July 26, 1985

Docket No. 50-416

Mr. Jackson B. Richard Senior Vice President, Nuclear Mississippi Power and Light Company P.O. Box 23054 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Subject: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station Unit 1 -High Density Spent Fuel Racks

Dear Mr. Richard:

DISTRIBUTION:
Docket No. 50-416
NRC PDR
Local PDR
PRC System
LB #4 r/f
EAdensam
MDuncan
LKintner
WBrooks
Attorney, OELD
JPartlow
BGrimes
EJordan
ACRS (16)

The NRC staff is reviewing the Mississippi Power and Light Company submittal dated May 6, 1985, which requests an amendment to Grand Gulf Unit 1 license to allow installation of high density spent fuel racks in the upper containment pool and in the spent fuel storage pool. The staff finds that the additional information described in the enclosure is needed to complete the review.

In order to meet the schedule for completion of this review, you are requested to provide the information described in the enclosure by August 15, 1985. If you cannot meet this date you should advise the NRC Project Manager for Grand Gulf licensing actions, L. L. Kintner, within 7 days of receipt of this letter.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely,

Darl S. Hood

Elinor G. Adensam, Chief
Cicensing Branch No. 4
Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

DL:LB #4 LKintner/hmc 7/2485

LA:DL; B #4 MDuncan 7/2/85 DL:LB #4 EAdensam 7/ /85 Mr. Jackson B. Richard Mississippi Power & Light Company

cc: Robert B. McGehee, Esquire Wise, Carter, Child, Steen and Caraway P.O. Box 651 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Nicholas S. Reynolds, Esquire Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell and Reynolds 1200 17th Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Mr. Ralph T. Lally Manager of Quality Assurance Middle South Services, Inc. P.O. Box 61000 New Orleans, Louisiana 70161

Mr. Larry F. Dale, Director Nuclear Licensing and Safety Mississippi Power & Light Company P.O. Box 23054 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Mr. R. W. Jackson, Project Engineer Bechtel Power Corporation 15740 Shady Grove Road Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760

Mr. Ross C. Butcher Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Route 2, Box 399 Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. J. E. Cross, General Manager Grand Gulf Nuclear Station Mississippi Power & Light Company P.O. Box 756 Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150 Grand Gulf Nuclear Staiton

The Honorable William J. Guste, Jr. Attorney General Department of Justice State of Louisiana Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804

Mr. Oliver D. Kingsley, Jr. Vice President, Nuclear Operations Mississippi Power & Light Company P.O. Box 23054 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Office of the Governor State of Mississippi Jackson, Mississippi 39201

Attorney General Gartin Building Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Mr. Jack McMillan, Director Solid Waste Mississippi State Board of Health 880 Lakeland Jackson, Mississippi 39206

Alton B. Cobb, M.D. State Health Officer State Board of Health P.O. Box 1700 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

President Claiborne County Board of Supervisors Port Gibson, Mississippi 39150

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - GRAND GULF SPENT FUEL POOL EXPANSION

The proposed acceptance criterion for storage in the racks is that the K_{∞} of a fuel assembly shall not be greater than 1.395 when calculated at the most reactive point in the assembly life in the core geometry at a temperature of 20 C. This K_{∞} value is based on a calculations with a fresh 8 X 8-2 water rod assembly having uniform enrichment in the remaining rods. There are two sources of bias or uncertainty in this calculation which have not been treated. First is the effect on the rack K_{∞} of different assembly designs having the same value of K_{∞} as calculated for the core geometry. The second source (presumably a bias) arises from the fact that the values of K_{∞} assigned to the reload fuel will presumably be those provided by the fuel vendor (General Electric, e.g.) and calculated by their methods, which are different from the ones used to obtain the 1.395 value.

Please provide a discussion of these phenomena to address the magnitude of the uncertainties involved or to support a conclusion that the analyses provided are conservative.