Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-72

Characterization: It is alleged that an EBASCO quality assurance (QA) inspector did not exercise proper judgment and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company (AB) work had been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in discrepancy notices (DNs), two NCRs, and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The alleger also stated that he no longer has any concern over AB work. The NRC staff also had no questions as a result of a review of the two NCRs addressing this subject.

The integrity of the EBASCO inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied from its review of the NCRs that the safety issues related to AB have been properly addressed. The possible generic implications for other technical matters resolved by EBASCO QA personnel whose integrity is in question is contingent on the results of investigations being performed by OI. Further technical evaluations may be necessary depending on the outcome of these investigations.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

\mathbf{D}	-4	Car	ren	in.	20
PK.	64 T	80.1	60.1	100	m ~
10	No. 1	760 /	50.11		ber not

Reviewed By:

2. EBASCO NCR 626	63 (see Allegation A-136)	
Statement Prepared	By: S. B. Kim	Date

Approved By:

Task Management Date

Date

Site Team Leader(s)

Draft 1 5/29/84 (TSK4)

SSER

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-72

Characterization: It was alleged that an Ebasco CA inspector did not exercise proper judgement and may not be honestly doing his job while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge steel construction work.

Initial Assessment of Significance: The technical aspects of the allegation has no safety significance since all the American Bridge work has been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in DNs, NCRs and field corrective measures. The alleger also stated that he has no concern over American Bridge work. The NRC staff also had no questions as a result of a review to the two NCR's addressing his subject.

The allegation on the integrity of ax inspector is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI) which is currently conducting an investigation which includes this individual. (see allegation 156) resident to these matter. The NRC staff is satisfied from its review of the NCRS that the safety issues for the reason stated above, there is no safety implication of this item. Possible generic implications can be assessed upon completion of OIs investigation.

Potential Violations: None.

Note:)

Move metily

from 2nd

from 2nd

page in here

w/proper specing.

related to American Bridge addressed. The possible yeneric implications for other technical matters resolved by Ebasco QA personnel whose integrity is in question is contingent on the results of investigations being performed by the office of Investigations. Further technical evaluations may be necessary depending on the outcome of these investigations.

Draft 1 5/30/84 (TSK4)

Actions Required: Nor	ne.	
References		
1. NCR 5934 (A198)		
2. NCR 6263 (A126)		
Statement Prepared By:	S. B. Kim	Date
Reviewed By:	R. Shewmaker Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	Task Management	Date

Requestor's ID: PATTYN

Author's Name:

FILE A-177

Requestor's ID:

PATTYN

Author's Name:

fix to 12 sp.

1/2 00

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-72

EPASCO quality assurance

Characterization: It is alleged that an Ebasco (QA) inspector did not exercise proper judgement and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company work has been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in DNs, the NCRs, and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The alleger also stated that he no long has any concern over American Bridge work. The NRC staff also had no questions as a result of a review of the two NCR's addressing this subject.

The integrity of the Ebasco inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied from its reviw of the NCRs that the safety issues reltated to American Bridge Company have been properly addressed. The possible generic implications for other technical matters resolved by Ebasco QA personnel whose integrity is in question is contingent on the results of investigations being performed by the NRC Office of Investigations. Further technical evaluations may be necessary depending on the outcome of these investigations.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

see allegation A-136e)

1. NCR 5594 (A136)

2. NCR 6263 (A136)

Statement Prepared By:	S. B. Kim	Date
Reviewed By:	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	Task Management	Date

(a) two

Requestor's ID:

PATTYN

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

THESE ARES COPIES PUT IN

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-72

Characterization: It is alleged that an Ebasco QA inspector did not exercise proper judgement and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company work has been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in DNs, the NCRs and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The alleger also stated that he no long has any concern over American Bridge work. The NRC staff also had no questions as a result of a review of the two NCR's addressing this subject.

The integrity of the Ebasco inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied from its reviw of the NCRs that the safety issues reltated to American Bridge Company have been properly addressed. The possible generic implications for other technical matters resolved by Ebasco QA personnel whose integrity is in question is contingent on the results of investigations being performed by the NRC office of Investigations. Further technical evaluations may be necessary depending on the outcome of these investigations.

Potential	Violations:	None.
		4000

Actions Required: None.

References

- 1. NCR 5594 (A136)
- 2. NCR 6263 (A136)

Statement Prepared By:	5. B. Kim		Date
Reviewed By:	Team Leader		Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	_	Date
Approved By:	Task Management		Date

Requestor's ID: PATTYN

Author's Name:

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-72

Characterization: It is alleged that an Ebasco QA inspector did not exercise proper judgement and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

. CAPS

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company work has been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in DNs, the NCRs and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The alleger also stated that he no long has any concern over American Bridge work. The NRC staff also had no questions as a result of a review of the two NCR's addressing this subject.

The integrity of the Ebasco inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied from its reviw of the NCRs that the safety issues reltated to American Bridge Company have been properly addressed. The possible generic implications for other technical matters resolved by Ebasco QA personnel whose integrity is in question is contingent on the results of investigations being performed by the NRC Office of Investigations. Further technical evaluations may be necessary depending on the outcome of these investigations.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

- 1. NCR 5594 (A136)
- 2. NCR 6263 (A136)

Statement Prepared By:	S. B. Kim	Date
Reviewed By:	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	Task Management	Date

Requestor's ID: PATTYN

Author's Name:

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-72

Characterization: It is alleged that an Ebasco QA inspector did not exercise proper judgement and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company work has been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in DNs, the NCRs and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The alleger also stated that he no long has any concern over American Bridge work. The NRC staff also had no questions as a result of a review of the two NCR's addressing this subject.

The integrity of the Ebasco inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied from its reviw of the NCRs that the safety issues reltated to American Bridge Company have been properly addressed. The possible generic implications for other technical matters resolved by Ebasco QA personnel whose integrity is in question is contingent on the results of investigations being performed by the NRC Office of Investigations. Further technical evaluations may be necessary depending on the outcome of these investigations.

Potential	Violations:	None. /

Actions Required: None.

References

- 1. NCR 5594 (A136)
- 2. NCR 6263 (A136)

Statement Prepared By:	S. B. Kim	Date
Reviewed By:	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	Task Management	Date