Task: Allegations A-197 through A-206, A-213, and A-216

Reference No.: A-84-06/92, 93, 94, 101, 104

Characterization: It is alleged that Mercury's corrective actions for problems relating to quality control (QC) weld record data sheets were not documented adequately, nor were the reasons for the corrective actions denoted.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance is that the lack of adequate review of documentation for technical adequacy and completeness could cause the quality of installation to be in question. Without proper corrective action to determine the root cause and to prevent recurrence, the problem may be corrected, but the system could continue to be deficient.

The NRC staff reviewed 10 operational control record (OCR) packages (refer to Allegations A-183(a) and A-184 and did not substantiate this allegation. However, the records reviewed by the NRC staff were of a later date and consequently, the record deficiencies identified by the alleger had been properly corrected.

The NRC staff discovered the following problem pertaining to missing QC records. Communications between LP&L and EBASCO had prompted an EBASCO revision to an LP&L drawing to clarify the "class break" for N1 instrument lines. The revision imposed ASME Class requirements for all installations between the process piping and the instruments for instrument lines installed after April 7, 1982. Prior to the revision, a class break was defined to show the location were ASME Class requirements did not apply and where ANSI B31.1 guidelines applied.

Although ANSI B31.1 guidelines do not address records retention, 10 CFR 50 Appendix B does require installation and inspection records. Therefore, for locally mounted N1 instruments, even though they were installed prior to April 7, 1982, the QC records could not be located.

The NRC staff found several deficiencies in N1 instrument records of installation and inspection in the zones classified under ANSI B31.1, including weld reports, welder identification, weld filler material, base material, and weld inspection results.

Potential Violations: The lack of QC records violates 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criteria VIII, IX, X, XVII, and related criteria of the basic QA program.

Actions Required: See Item 2 of the Enclosure to the letter from D. Eisenhut to J. M. Cain (LP&L) dated June 13, 1984.

References

- EBASCO Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232.
- 2. EBASCO Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232R1.
- 3. Task A-183(a) and A-184 Staff File, 10 OCR packages.
- 4. Examples of N1 record deficiencies:

LT-SI-0305B LT-SI-0305D PS-CH-0224X PS-CH-0224Y PS-CH-0224Z

Statement Prepared by:	J. Stearns	Date
Reviewed By:	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	Task Management	Date

Document Name: SSER X A-197

Requestor's ID: CONNIE

Author's Name: JStearns

Document Comments:

Revision :	0	1	1 2 1	3]
Denny Crutchfield	XMEYN		WW/m	
Jim Gagliardo			H 1/21	

	-			*A197
Revision:	0 -	1	2	3
Denny Crutchfield		99.5		
Jim Gagliardo	11/			

Task: Allegations A-197 through A-206, A-213, and A-216

Reference No.: A-84-06/92, 93, 94, 101, 104

Characterization: It is alleged that Mercury's corrective actions for problems relating to quality control (QC) weld record data sheets were not documented adequately, nor were the reasons for the corrective actions denoted.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance is that the lack of adequate review of documentation for technical adequacy and completeness could cause the quality of installation to be in question. Without proper corrective action to determine the root cause and to prevent recurrence, the problem may be corrected, but the system could continue to be deficient.

The NRC staff reviewed 10 operational control record (OCR) packages (refer to Allegations A-183(a) and A-184 and did not substantiate this allegation. However, the records reviewed by the NRC staff were of a later date and consequently, the record deficiencies identified by the alleger had been could Not properly corrected. Be VERIFIED.

The NRC staff discovered the following problem pertaining to missing QC records. Communications between LP&L and EBASCO had prompted an EBASCO revision to an LP&L drawing to clarify the "class break" for N1 instrument lines. The revision imposed ASME Class requirements for all installations between the process piping and the instruments for instrument lines installed after April 7, 1982. Prior to the revision, a class break was defined to show the location were ASME Class requirements did not apply and where ANSI B31.1 guidelines applied.

Although ANSI B31.1 guidelines do not address records retention, 10 CFR 50 Appendix B does require installation and inspection records. Therefore, for locally mounted N1 instruments, even though they were installed prior to April 7, 1982, the QC records could not be located.

The NRC staff found several deficiencies in N1 instrument records of installation and inspection in the zones classified under ANSI B31.1, including weld reports, welder identification, weld filler material, base material, and weld inspection results.

Potential Violations: The lack of QC records violates 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criteria VIII, IX, X, XVII, and related criteria of the basic QA program.

Actions Required: See Item 2 of the Enclosure to the letter from D. Eisenhut to J. M. Cain (LP&L) dated June 13, 1984.

References

- EBASCO Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232.
- 2. EBASCO Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232R1.
- 3. Task A-183(a) and A-184 Staff File, 10 OCR packages.
- 4. Examples of N1 record deficiencies:

LT-SI-0305B LT-SI-0305D PS-CH-0224X PS-CH-0224Y

PS-CH-0224Z

Statement Prepared by:	Jack D Stearns	9-12-84 Date
Reviewed By:	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	Task Management	Date

Document Name: SSER X A-197

Requestor's ID: PATTYN

Author's Name: JStearns

Document Comments:

Retype Nits

Do Not remote

OK Final often typing

Task: Allegations A-197 through A-206, A-213, and A-216

Reference No.: A-84-06/92, 93, 94, 101, 104

Characterization: It is alleged that Mercury's corrective actions for problems relating to quality control (QC) weld record data sheets were not documented adequately, nor were the reasons for the corrective actions denoted.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance is that the lack of adequate review of documentation for technical adequacy and completeness could cause the quality of installation to be in question. Without proper corrective action to determine the root cause and to prevent recurrence, the problem may be corrected, but the system could continue to be deficient.

The NRC staff reviewed 10 operational control record (OCR) packages (refer to Allegations A-183(a) and A-184 and did not substantiate this allegation. However, the records reviewed by the NRC staff were of a later date and consequently, the record deficiencies identified by the alleger had been properly corrected.

The NRC staff discovered the following problem pertaining to missing QC records. Communications between LP&L and Ebasco had prompted an Ebasco revision to an LP&L drawing to clarify the "class break" for N1 instrument lines. The revision imposed ASME Class requirements for all installations between the process piping and the instruments for instrument lines installed after April 7, 1982. Prior to the revision, a class break was defined to show the location were ASME Class requirements did not apply and where ANSI B31.1 guidelines applied.

Although ANSI B31.1 guidelines do not address records retention, 10 CFR 50 Appendix B does require installation and inspection records. Therefore, for locally mounted N1 instruments, even though they were installed prior to April 7, 1982, the QC records could not be located.

The NRC staff found several deficiencies in N1 instrument records of installation and inspection in the zones classified under ANSI B31.1, including weld reports, welder identification, weld filler material, base material, and weld inspection results.

Potential Violations: The lack of QC records violates 10 Companies B, Criteria VIII, IX, X, XVII, and related criteria of the basic QA program.

Actions Required:

See item 2 of the Enclosure to the D. Eisenhut letter to J. M. Cain (LP&L) dated June 13, 1984.

References

- Ebasco Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232.
- Ebasco Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232R1.
- Task A-183(a) and A-184 Staff File, 10 OCR packages.
- 4. Examples of N1 record deficiencies:

LT-SI-0305B LT-SI-0305D PS-CH-0224X PS-CH-0224Y PS-CH-0224Z

Statement Prepared by:	J. Stearns	Date
Reviewed By:	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	Task Management	Date

Document Name: SSER X A-197

Requestor's ID: PATTYN

Author's Name: JStearns

Document Comments:

Task: Allegations A-197 through A-206, A-213, and A-216

Reference No.: A-84-06/92, 93, 94, 101, 104

Characterization: It is alleged that Mercury's corrective actions for problems relating to quality control (QC) weld record data sheets were not documented adequately, nor were the reasons for the corrective actions denoted.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance is that the lack of adequate review of documentation for technical adequacy and completeness could cause the quality of installation to be in question. Without proper corrective action to determine the root cause and to prevent recurrence, the problem may be corrected, but the system could continue to be deficient.

The NRC staff reviewed 10 operational control record (OCR) packages (refer to Allegations A-183(a) and A-184 and did not substantiate this allegation. However, the records reviewed by the NRC staff were of a later date and consequently, the record deficiencies identified by the alleger had been properly corrected.

The NRC staff discovered the following problem pertaining to missing QC records. Communications between LP&L and Ebasco had prompted an Ebasco revision to an LP&L drawing to clarify the "class break" for N1 instrument lines. The revision imposed ASME Class requirements for all installations between the process piping and the instruments for instrument lines installed after April 7, 1982. Prior to the revision, a class break was defined to show the location were ASME Class requirements did not apply and where ANSI B31.1 guidelines applied.

Although ANSI B31.1 guidelines do not address records retention, 10 CFR 50 Appendix B does require installation and inspection records. Therefore, for locally mounted N1 instruments, even though they were installed prior to April 7. 1982, the QC records could not be located.

The NRC staff found several deficiencies in N1 instrument records of installation and inspection in the zones classified under ANSI B31.1, including weld reports, welder identification, weld filler material, base material, and weld inspection results.

Potential Violations: The lack of QC records violates 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criteria VIII, IX, X, XVII, and related criteria of the basic Qn program.

Actions Required:

See item 2 of the Enclosure to the D. Eisenhut letter to J. M. Cain (LP&L) dated June 13, 1984.

References

- Ebasco Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232.
- 2. Ebasco Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232R1.
- Task A-183(a) and A-184 Staff File, 10 OCR packages.
- 4. Examples of N1 record deficiencies:

LT-SI-0305B LT-SI-0305D

PS-CH-0224X

PS-CH-0224Y

PS-CH-0224Z

Statement Prepared by:	J. Stearns	Date
Reviewed By:	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	Task Management	Date

Document Name: SSER A-197

Requestor's ID: STCINDY

Author's Name: JStearns

Document Comments:

Task: Allegations A-197 through A-206, A-213, and A-216

Reference No.: A-84-06/92, 93, 94, 101, 104

Characterization: It is alleged that Mercury's corrective actions for problems relating to quality control (QC) weld record data sheets were not documented adequately, nor were the reasons for the corrective actions denoted.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance is that the lack of adequate review of documentation for technical adequacy and completeness could cause the quality of installation to be in question. Without proper corrective action to determine the root cause and to prevent recurrence, the problem may be corrected, but the system could continue to be deficient.

The NRC staff reviewed 10 operational control record (OCR) packages (refere to Allegations A-183(a) and A-184 and did not substantiate this allegation. However, the records reviewed by the NRC staff were at a later data and consequently were not representative of those referred to by the alleger.

The NRC staff discovered the following problem pertainint to missing QC records. Communications between LP&L and Ebasco had prompted i=an Ebasco revision to an LP&L drawing to clarify the "class break" for N1 instrument lines. The revision imposed ASME Class requirements for all installations between the process piping and the instruments for instrument lines installed after April 7, 1982. Prior to the revision, a class break was defined to show the location were ASME Class requirements did not apply and where ANSI B31.1 guidelines applied.

Although ANSI B31.1 guidelines do not address records retention, 10 CFR 50 Appendix B does require installation and inspection records. Therefore, for locally mounted N1 instruments, even though they were installed prior to April 7, 1982, the QC records could not be located.

The NRC staff found several deficiencies in N1 instrument records of installation and inspection in the zones classified under ANSI B31.1, including weld reports, welder identification, weld filler material, base material, and weld inspection results.

Potential Violations: The lack of QC records violates 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criteria VIII, IX, X, XVII, and related criteria of the basic QA program.

Actions Required: LP&L shall, prior to fuel load, take the following action:

- Provide the missing documentation required by 10 CFR 50 Appendix B for the ANSI B31.1 instrumentation for local mounted instruments.
- Review other design changes and documentation for all safety-related N! instrumentation systems to assure all system installations were properly documented and inspected.
- If the QC documentation cannot be located, action must be taken to assure affected portions of safety-related systems comply with NRC requirements.

References

- Ebasco Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232.
- 2. Ebasco Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232R1.
- 3. Task A-183(a) and A-184 Staff File, 10 OCR packages.
- 4. Examples of N1 record deficiencies:

LT-SI-0305B LT-SI-0305D PS-CH-0224X PS-CH-0224Y

PS-CH-0224Z

Statement Prepared by:	1 64	D
	J. Stearns	Date
Reviewed By:		
	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:		
	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:		
	Task Management	Date

Document Name:
SSER.A-197 Chair already corrected the name
Requestor's ID:
STCINDY

Author's Name:
JStearns

Document Comments:

Check out on sumscapent Lyke type R 2 Re Routee

Task: Allegations A-197 through A-206, A-213, and A-216

Reference No.: A-84-06/92, 93, 94, 101, 104

Characterization: It is alleged that Mercury's corrective actions for problems relating to quality control (QC) weld record data sheets were not documented adequately, nor were the reasons for the corrective actions denoted.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance is that the lack of adequate review of documentation for technical adequacy and completeness could cause the quality of installation to be in question. Without proper corrective action to determine the root cause and to prevent recurrence, the problem may be corrected, but the system could continue to be deficient.

The NRC staff reviewed 10 operational control record (OCR) packages (referation Allegations A-183(a) and A-184 and did not substantiate this allegation. However, the records reviewed by the NRC staff were at a later data and consequently were not representative of those referred to by the dileger.

The NRC staff discovered the following problem pertaining to missing QC records. Communications between LP&L and Ebasco had prompted an Ebasco revision to an LP&L drawing to clarify the "class break" for NI instrument lines. The revision imposed ASME Class requirements for all installations between the process piping and the instruments for instrument lines installed after April 7, 1982. Prior to the revision, a class break was defined to show the location were ASME Class requirements did not apply and where ANSI B31.1 guidelines applied.

Although ANSI B31.1 guidelines do not address records retention, 10 CFR 50 Appendix B does require installation and inspection records. Therefore, for locally mounted N1 instruments, even though they were installed prior to April 7, 1982, the QC records could not be located.

The NRC staff found several deficiencies in N1 instrument records of installation and inspection in the zones classified under ANSI B31.1, including weld reports, welder identification, weld filler material, base material, and weld inspection results.

The NRC staff concludes that the lack of QC records for instrumentation installation to ANSI B31.1 is in violation of the requirements of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, and related QA/QC program elements.

The record deficiencies, dantified by the alleger had been properly

	Niolati VIII, IX											
Actions	Required:	LP8	L sha	11,	orior	to fuel	Toad.	take	the fo	211	owing act	ion

Provide the missing documentation required by 10 CFR 50 Appendix B for the ANSI B31.1 instrumentation for local mounted instruments.

Review other design changes and documentation for all safety-related N! instrumentation systems to assure all system installations were properly documented and inspected.

3. If the QC documentation cannot be located, action must be taken to assure affected portions of safety-related systems comply with NRC requirements.

References

- Ebasco Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232.
- 2. Ebasco Design Change Notice DCN-NYC-1C-232R1.
- 3. Task A-183(a) and A-184 Staff File, 10 OCR packages.
- 4. Examples of N1 record deficiencies:

LT-SI-0305B LT-SI-0305D PS-CH-0224X PS-CH-0224Y PS-CH-0224Z See item 2 enclosed in the Error but letter for cam Let dated Line 13, 1984.

Statement Prepared by:	J. Stearns	Date
Reviewed By:	Team Leader	Date
Reviewed By:	Site Team Leader(s)	Date
Approved By:	Task Management	Date