

SSER

Task: Allegation A-230

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/118

Characterization: The allegation is that an Ebasco review of Startup System (SUS) 52B, Reactor Coolant System, found many Mercury documents that were incomplete and red lined on drawings that did not match the as-built plant configuration. This allegation also claimed that there were generic deficiencies in Mercury turnover packages.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance of this allegation is that missing or inadequate documentation and incorrect red lined drawings could place the quality of installation in question.

The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing four of the six safety-related Operation Control Reports (OCRs) associated with SUS52B and five OCrs for other systems.. Ebasco reviewed 100% of the OCrs prior to Mercury turnover of the packages and adequately LP&L performed a 10% sample review. The NRC staff found that Mercury, Ebasco, and LP&L reviews were documented on completed checklists and various other firms in the turnover packages. QA documentation deficiencies were identified on Ebasco DRs and resolved. (See Allegation A-05).

The issue involving problems with red lined drawings was addressed by Allegation A-187, for which the NRC review identified no problems.

The NRC staff concludes that this allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. Operational Control Reports (OCR)

OCR-666, -1019, -1020, -1022, -1647, -1782, -1855, -1859, -1881

Statement Prepared By:

J. Stearns

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:
SSER A-230

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:
JStearns

Document Comments:

Document Name:
SSER A-230

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:
JStearns

Document Comments:

SSER

Task: Allegation A-230

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/118

Characterization: The allegation is that an Ebasco review of Startup System (SUS) 52B, Reactor Coolant System, found many Mercury documents that were incomplete and red lined on drawings that did not match the as-built plant configuration. This allegation also claimed that there ~~were~~ generic deficiencies in Mercury turnover packages. *were*

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance of this allegation is that missing or inadequate documentation and incorrect red lined drawings could place the quality of installation in question.

The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing four of the six safety-related Operation Control Reports (OCRs) associated with SUS 52B and five OCRs for other systems.. Ebasco reviewed 100% of the OCRs prior to Mercury turnover of the packages and ~~adequately~~ LP&L performed a 10% sample review. The NRC staff found that Mercury, Ebasco, and LP&L reviews were documented on completed checklists and various other forms in the turnover packages. QA documentation deficiencies were identified on Ebasco DRs and resolved. (See Allegation A-05).

The issue involving problems with red lined drawings was addressed by Allegation A-187, for which the NRC review identified no problems.

The NRC staff concludes that this allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. Operational Control Reports (OCR)

OCR-666, -1019, -1020, -1022, -1647, -1782, -1855, -1859, -1881

Statement Prepared By:

J. Stearns

_____ Date _____

Reviewed By:

_____ Team Leader _____

_____ Date _____

Reviewed By:

_____ Site Team Leader(s) _____

_____ Date _____

Approved By:

_____ Task Management _____

_____ Date _____