

#23-14-SueT

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Q You are not licensed in any health related profession, correct?

A That is correct.

Q Are you licensed in engineering?

A I don't have a professional engineer's license, no.

Q You are not a health physicist, correct?

A Correct.

Q You are not a nuclear --

JUDGE GLEASON: Her credentials are right there in the paper. We know a lot of things she is not.

(Laughter.)

We know what she is, too.

BY MR. LODGE: (Continuing)

Q Are you a member of the American Nuclear Society?

A Yes.

Q Are you a member of the American Physical Society?

A No.

Q Are you familiar with a test taken in recent weeks by the American Physical Society to, I guess, publicly reaffirm their support for a ten mile evacuation raise?

A I am aware of the American Physical Society report which was a review of the BMI-2104 documents on source terms. I am not aware that anywhere in that review

TR-01

#23-21-SueT1

1 a ten mile evacuation zone is unnecessary to protect the  
2 public health and safety. But it is the law. And I assume  
3 CEI will comply.

4 BY MR. LODGE: (Continuing)

5 Q Okay. In your technical opinion, what is an  
6 appropriate radius?

7 A Something less than two miles.

8 Q Site boundary perhaps?

9 A It's really a moot question, isn't it?

10 Q Is it?

11 A The law is the law.

12 MR. LODGE: May I just have one moment, sir.

13 (Pause.)

14 BY MR. LODGE: (Continuing)

15 Q Was there a specific recommendation by the  
16 American Nuclear Society as to what to reduce the potential  
17 evacuation radius down to?

18 A I do not believe the American Physical Society  
19 in their source term review was chartered --

20 Q I said the American Nuclear Society.

21 A Oh, I'm sorry. The American Nuclear Society, I  
22 do not recall it.

23 Q Do you recall if they made any recommendation  
24 to reduce things from ten miles?

25 MR. SILBERG: Mr. Chairman --