



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION I

631 PARK AVENUE
KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406

U.S. NRC

AUG 20 1984

1984 AUG 21 AM 7:56

OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS
FIELD OFFICE, REGION I

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. Keith Christopher, Director, Office of Investigations
Field Office, Region I

FROM: Thomas E. Murley, Regional Administrator

SUBJECT: MULTIPLE ALLEGATION 84-A-0100

The subject multiple allegation was reviewed and discussed during a routine allegation panel meeting on August 7, 1984. It was determined that Mr. Ebneter, DETP, will be directing the technical investigation beginning on August 13, 1984 at the Seabrook site. This inspection by DETP will not include interviews with QA/QC personnel regarding possible intimidation. However, I am requesting that you conduct an interview with the alleger to first determine if further investigation into intimidation of QA/QC personnel is warranted. If you have any questions concerning this request, please contact me.

TMurley
Thomas E. Murley
Regional Administrator

CC:
Allegation File RI-84-A-0100
R. Gallo
S. Ebneter
T. Martin

8509300239 850916
PDR FOIA
GROSSBEE85-560 PDR

CONVERSATION RECORD			TIME 12:00	DATE 7/15/81
TYPE	<input type="checkbox"/> VISIT	<input type="checkbox"/> CONFERENCE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INCOMING
				<input type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING
Location of Visit/Conference:				
NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU Anonymous		ORGANIZATION (Office, dept., bureau, etc.)	TELEPHONE NO.	
SUBJECT Seabrook Allegations.				

SUMMARY
 anonymous caller called regarding
 malallegations at Seabrook. He provided no
 additional information and is considering
 talk to D. He will call back on
 Friday and advise us if he will talk
 to us. Allegator was advised of confidentiality
 program and was also advised that we
 would be willing to interview him without
 him.

ACTION REQUIRED

await Friday call

NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION RK CHRISTOPHER	SIGNATURE RK Christopher	DATE 12-25
---	---------------------------------	---------------

ACTION TAKEN

2

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
-----------	-------	------

INVESTIGATION STATUS RECORD

ACTIONS: This form is to be completed whenever significant activity has occurred relative to a case or at least every 30 days. If no change has occurred during the 30 day reporting period, indicate "No Change" in the status block. Keep the original with the case file and send one copy to Headquarters, Office of Investigations.

CASE NUMBER Q-1-84-020	CATEGORY			OFFICE OI:RI
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> O - OPERATING REACTOR	<input type="checkbox"/> I - INDIVIDUAL LICENSEE		
<input type="checkbox"/> C - REACTOR UNDER CONSTRUCTION		<input type="checkbox"/> M - MATERIALS/FUEL		
<input type="checkbox"/> V - VENDOR		<input type="checkbox"/> X - OTHER		
ASSIGNED TO		SUBJECT SEABROOK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION/IMPROPRIETIES IN THE PULLMAN HIGGINS QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM		

STATUS (Specify date and provide a brief description.)

August 10, 1984: An inquiry has been initiated at the request of the Regional Administrator to determine if there is a basis for an investigation into allegations that Pullman Higgins Quality Assurance Inspectors were being ordered not to perform inspections of unacceptable welding. Estimated completion date of preliminary Inquiry is September 1, 1984. RKC

August 31, 1984: Alleged interviewed, field work pending.

September 28, 1984: No Change.

November 2, 1984: Review of allegers technical concerns satisfactorily completed and documented. One additional OI interview required prior to closure of investigation.

November 20, 1984: CLOSED

CHRONOLOGICAL RECORD OF INQUIRY OR INVESTIGATION

CONTROL NO: Q-1-84-020

OPENED:AUG. 10, 1984

CLOSED:

SUBJECT: SEABROOK

DATE	TIME	ACTIVITY
8-28-84		Contact with Commissioner relative offer re. Identity of Alleged
8-7-84		called reporter at Boston Globe
8-13-84		called reporter at Boston Globe he will get back to me on a policy decision as to whether or not FBI will put me in contact with the individual
8-13-84		Ron Gilbspon called. Will attempt to get Alleged to call no or will contact o2 re. status
8-14-84		Ron Gilbspon advised Alleged will call today at noon.
8-14-84		Alleged called will advise on Friday noon if he will talk
8-17-84	11:55	Alleged called said he would not talk in person but would talk and answer questions over the phone we agreed to a call at 0900 on Friday Aug. 24, 1984
11-6-84		DO NOT DISCLOSE Telephone number of Boston

This document may contain information exempt from public disclosure.

CONTROL NO:
Q-1-84-020

OPENED: Aug. 10, 1984

CLOSED: 11-20-84

SUBJECT: SEABROOK/IMPROPRIETIES IN THE PULLMAN HIGGINS QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

DATE	TIME	ACTIVITY
8-24-84	0900	telephone interview of Harold May
11-6-84		telephone interview of Douglas Barker.
11-20-84		closed

DO NOT DISCLOSE

This document may contain information exempt from public disclosure.

Commerce - 9:00 AM

8/24/84

Telephone interview of "Bard Day"

Carpenter on site for Aug 1978 to Sep 1980
Pipfitts - Oct 1980 - June 1983.
- Pipfitts under - pipewelds ad day and
nights

Two fires of each census from Aug 1981 to
his term as (resigned)

Pullman - Higgins - his assignment was
when he was brought to get involved
in problems, concern at present with
Pullman Higgins, some concern with
ANT and Yankee Power and
Inspectors

areas he worked in included - containment
West River, early low, Bechtel,
Generals, P&G, and other others.

Bennett asks series of technical questions
concerning location of piping operations
of concern.

Ground down of pipe - very illegal trade
managed and DC. ~~the~~ Company supervisor
was Mike Isacosa. Pullman Higgins
Marine Feed Areas. IQA - John Martin
(Pullman was also aware of the immorality)

8/23/84

John Martin was aware of it because it was a half point deficiency. Fit up and tack Martin was already aware of.

CBS - contained Burley Spry Appliance
Martin off side inspection and he commented that the fit up would not pass - from Welsh and Co. Geno "La pelle" (proceed on trade inference)
Weld Services was also aware - because the manwallt was so great it would not pass - no one specifically checked him to find it out - that the fillet of the weld was not in accordance with standard. After he is concerned that the minimum wall thickness was reached after grinding the weld was x-rayed and not UT'd.

On T-joint any process of welding to copper has contractor never raised the issue with management. nor was not immediate or stated in this area.

P. 1 of letter - Contractor quoted ~~location~~ on location re. R Coolant line (1st floor) no OJ questions)

P. 2. Par. 2 - question about general repairs - no OJ questions on this area.

P. 2 Par 3 - see guarantee sheet

regarding P. 3 - Contractor instructed him not to inspect faulty welds. allegedly Weldboard and Baker inspectors. Purple Dennis

Dick said they were only out too many welds. I believe the area supervisor told Kenneth Clark to get them out of there. Clark would not be able to Bon Evans (the area supervisor) from making comments about having 100 suspect welds. Parker was transferred out because he was ordering too many welds to be cut. Below is he is (below) was the subject of inspection because he was transferred to clear shift when he did not want to work second shift. Parker did not tell him he was being shifted, this was his own personal decision.

In my view Bon Evans makes it's comment about the suspect welds people who have become wealthier. Reply engineer (9) Kenneth Clark, Ray Welsh Construction, all Evans a known for. & to transferred Parker. To his knowledge none ever went back in there - This all occurred November 1981 to Jan 1982. - The US knows he knows all the 100 welds was suppose to be inspected. no actual inspection to 100 suspect welds, may have been inspecting condenser tube in the final fiscal year watched but they didn't get to see the ends of the pipe. but no actual inspection.

unknown if Roy Baker is still on site
would probably need to contact Royce
Baker to explain repre the inspection
process.

not aware of any areas of falsification
and a threat of intimidation in this
Particular Area. However in May 1983 in Shreveport
boundary on shoreline ~~began to wonder~~
& not aware of falsification - ~~intimidation~~
but intimidation believed. Baker was
intimidated. See Don Gandy and
Baker would like the best to talk to

P. 3. Coldekey Pipe - Penetr. fusion
San Leouis, Pipe engineer - cold spray
~~+ 3 foot pipe info.~~

P. 3 Part 2 - Draw welding

Brian Kennedy, a ~~former~~ P.T. Q.C.
Inspector - was the inspector he showed
the Rep. defect to. Kennedy told her
it was not their practice to accept that
shop weld - weldy inspection as prime
for P.T. in general. And this attitude
then never seemed to be limited only to
concern with the needs the company had

P. 3) of Par - cold spray pipe - 4" line of EBS tank - agrees. Benet's location of pipe in *gaster* - Jim Chestnut, area supervisor told the pipe went to cold spray the pipe *gaster*

P. 3 Plan 2) West Peony Building after fire - ~~NRC inspection~~ been seen for this area. Day has no formal knowledge of actual inspection except the maximum temp. - the referee to the inspection went up 100 NRC² & is down & know what inspection was - he was not located. Par Evans told him not to worry about it because all of the welds were being accepted as is because the inspection was on a go and go carry away. did not actually see them go back

P. 4. West Peony. - NRC had written up the bone for emprository. stainless steel valve had earlier steel connection. Photographs were before he taught it was being removed to do & still the NRC inspect of those conditions. doesn't know if the NRC inspects does not inspect. not NRC inspect do not burn he is not sure agrees with the inspector

Am I more other people involved
who would be witnesses to intimidate
Jen Butler should have been aware of
problems.

Am I edon't say witness regard my
alleged intimidation harassment etc has
is not aware of other school participants.

Interview concluded
at 10:30 AM

8:00 AM

11/6/84

Douglas Barker, P-H Q.C. expected an
acc 3 yrs. - never had any trouble
or alleged by his. The Ed. base
would inspect back on the
Marquette factory workers. This
was in route Phoenix. There
was a problem with the conservative
meals & by Ed. & only then
was a problem until they were
well on the way. asked for
X-rays. at the end Ed.
wouldn't get it done. Howes
told what he could. I make
cough project. after that he
is made then keep an op.
and go to his inspector. There
was a problem with the
conservative not buy
recommend the same. He
was told that because the
area was not soft related
Kent would not be responsible.
Howes did recommend about the
Vitamins mentioned to face?

Nov. 1942 & 28

I am to have inspection ~~after~~
finishing. Supervisor who told
him not to go east was Richard
Wise - papers were in U.S.A.
Kingsbury Rd. ~~between~~ in U.S.
I am not certain P.L.B. and unit 2
small p.p. 3-6 miles. Classification
is B 31. 1 - non soft related
No one primary or bin. more
to second soft was at Kingsbury
100 subject mobile. numbers
correct, not aware of Galipeau
or manayunk intermediate

Indians crowded 8.200

Almondine's' application answer to
all to oral on some medicare
problems