

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20065-0001

December 13, 1996

Mr. Lew W. Myers Vice President - Nuclear, Perry Centerior Service Company P.O. Box 97, A200 Perry, OH 44081

SUBJECT: DRAFT NUREG-1560, "INDIVIDUAL PLANT EXAMINATION PROGRAM: PERSPECTIVES ON REACTOR SAFETY AND PLANT PERFORMANCE, SUMMARY REPORT," - REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Dear Mr. Myers:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has published a draft of "Individual Plant Examination Program: Perspectives on Reactor Safety and Plant Performance, Summary Report," NUREG-1560. Draft NUREG-1560 is comprised of two volumes. Volume 1 (Part 1) provides an overall summary of the key perspectives. Volume 2 (Parts 2 through 5) provides a more in-depth discussion of the perspectives summarized in Part 1. This report summarizes the insights and findings from our review of the Individual Plant Examinations (IPEs) submitted to the NRC in response to Generic Letter 88-20. A copy of this draft NUREG was recently mailed to your organization for review and comment. The distribution to your organization included: the Plant Manager of the nuclear plant for which you are responsible, the licensee-identified contacts at this plant, and a nuclear executive. Notification of the availability of the NUREG for public comment was also published in the <u>Federal</u> <u>Register</u> on November 14, 1996 (61 FR 58249).

The purpose of this letter is to bring to your personal attention the availability of this report. We would appreciate any comments you may have as discussed below; however, any response to this letter is voluntary. If you require an additional copy of the report, you may request one by writing to Distribution Series, Printing and Mail Services Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Draft NUREG-1560 is based entirely on docketed information submitted to the NRC in conjunction with the IPE program. NUREG-1560 is published as a draft for comment, partly because the staff recognizes that most licensees have updated their IPEs and have made hardware and procedural/operational modifications that have not been reflected in the docketed information. As part of any comments on Part 1, updated information regarding your IPE(s) would be appreciated.

Chapter 8 of Volume 1 of NUREG-1560 discusses the m cential need for followup regulatory activities by the NRC based on IPE insights. Comments on those activities would also be helpful.

In addition, comparisons among plants are provided in Volume 1. Although only a few licensees identified vulnerabilities and no common vulnerabilities were

180021 PDR ADDCK 05000440

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.

The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

(1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.

(2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.

(3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.

(4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.

(5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the <u>Federal Register</u> in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No: 50-440

cc: See next page

OFFICE	P0113/PM* C	PM:PD33C	LA:PD33 C	RES/PRAB/C*	0:PD33	DD PPY	ADPR*	ARR/9/25
NAME	RHernan	JHopkins	DFoster-Curacen	MCunningham	GMarcus GHH	EAdensam	RZimme man	FMiraglia
DATE	11/12/96	12/10 1961	12/ 10/96 000	11/12/96	12/ 10/96		11/22/26	12/12 196

- 2 -

L. Myers Centerior Service Company

cc:

Jay E. Silberg, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20037

Ms. Mary E. O'Reilly Centerior Energy Corporation 300 Madison Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43652

Resident Inspector's Office U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Parmly at Center Road Perry, Ohio 44081

Regional Administrator, Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 801 Warrenville Road Lisle, Illinois 60532-4531

Lake County Prosecutor Lake County Administration Bldg. 105 Main Street Painesville, Ohio 44077

Ms. Sue Hiatt OCRE Interim Representative 8275 Munson Mentor, Ohio 44060

Terry J. Lodge, Esq. 618 N. Michigan Street, Suite 105 Toledo, Ohio 43624

Ashtabula County Prosecutor 25 West Jefferson Street Jefferson, Ohio 44047

Mr. James D. Kloosterman Regulatory Affairs Manager Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. Perry Nuclear Power Plant P. O. Box 97, A210 Perry, Ohio 44081

Mr. James R. Williams Chief of Staff Ohio Emergency Management Agency 2855 West Dublin Granville Road Columbus, Ohio 43235-2206 Perry Nuclear Power Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Mr. James W. Harris, Director Division of Power Generation Ohio Dept. of Industrial Relations P.O. Box 825 Columbus, Ohio 43216

Mayor, Village of Perry 4203 Harper Street Perry, Ohio 44081

Mayor, Village of North Perry North Perry Village Hall 4778 Lockwood Road North Perry Village, Ohio 44081

Attorney General Department of Attorney General 30 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43216

Radiological Health Program Ohio Department of Health P.O. Box 118 Columbus, Ohio 43266-0118

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency DERR--Compliance Unit ATTN: Mr. Zack A. Clayton P.O. Box 1049 Columbus, Ohio 43266-0149

Chairman Perry Township Board of Trustees 3750 Center Rd., Box 65 Perry, Ohio 44081

State of Ohio Public Utilities Commission East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573

Mr. Richard D. Brandt, Plant Manager Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. Perry Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 97, SB306 Perry, Ohio 44081 identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.

The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

(1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.

(2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.

(3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.

(4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.

(5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the <u>Federal Register</u> in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No: 50-440

cc: See next page DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PERRY\IPENUREG.LTR

*See previous concurrence

OFFICE	PDI13/PM* C	PM:PD33	C	LA:PD33	c	RES/PRAB/C*	D:PD33	DO PREM	ADPR*	ARR/D/) FMiraglia
NAME	RHernan	JHopkins y	Y	DFoster-Curgee	n	MCunningham	GMarcus (7777)	EAdensam	RZimmerman	FMiraglia
DATE	11/12/96	12/6 1961		12/ 10/96 GA	CP	11/12/96	GMarcus GHH 12/ 10/96	12/11/96	11/22/96	12/2 196

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Distribution for ltr. to plant CNO re: IPE Draft NUREG-1560 dated 12/13/96 for PERRY

Docket File PUBLIC PDIII-3 Reading J. Roe E. Adensam (E) G. Marcus M. Drouin OGC ACRS J. Caldwell, RIII

2

1