

**RESOLUTION OF COMMENTS ON DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR**  
**TOPICAL REPORT “QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (QMS),” REVISION 8.0**  
**WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY**

By letter dated May 7, 2020 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML20129K029), Westinghouse Electric Company (Westinghouse) provided comments on the draft safety evaluation (SE) for Topical Report “Quality Management System (QMS),” Revision 8.0. Westinghouse stated that there is no proprietary information in the draft SE. The NRC staff has reviewed two clarification comments provided by Westinghouse and agrees that the proposed changes provide additional clarification. The NRC staff reviewed nine editorial comments provided by Westinghouse and finds them acceptable because the changes are editorial in nature.

Comment Number	Comment Location	Comment Type	Comment	NRC
1	Page 1/Line 15	Editorial	ML20118C993 is the non-proprietary package. ML20118C994 is the actual transmittal letter. Suggest referencing ML20118C994 to be consistent with the SE cover letter.	Change accepted
2	Page 1/Line 36	Editorial	“Revision 7.0” should be changed to “Revision 7.1.” Westinghouse QMS Revision 7.1 was accepted by the U.S. NRC on September 19, 2019 (ML19246A008)	Change accepted
3	Page 1/Line 36	Editorial	The quoted QMS text is in Section 4.3.1, “General,” under “Procurement.” Therefore, ‘Section 4.3, “Procurement,”’ should be changed to ‘Section 4.3.1, “General,” under “Procurement.”’	Change accepted
4	Page 1/Line 46	Editorial	The quoted QMS text is missing the following paragraphs: “For safety-related items and services, Quality determines the need to conduct supplier audits based on an evaluation that is conducted and documented in accordance with established procedures. Based on this evaluation Supplier audits need not be conducted for suppliers of safety-related items which are:”  “Audit programs for suppliers of items and services for nuclear power plants that are not subject to NRC regulations comply with requirements imposed by the governing regulatory agency or customer contract.”	Change accepted

Comment Number	Comment Location	Comment Type	Comment	NRC Response
5	Page 2/Line 27	Editorial	“type” should be changed to “types”	Change accepted
6	Page 2/Line 42	Editorial	“the” should be deleted	Change accepted
7	Page 2/Line 43	Editorial	“supplier audits” should be changed to “supplier audits and surveys” to be consistent with the last sentence in the paragraph.	Change accepted
8	Page 3/Line 41-42	Clarification	It is unclear to Westinghouse the applicability of the SE reference to Westinghouse, when the QMS cites RG 1.28, Revision 4, Section C.2.b.5 which allows the 90-day grace period.	Change made. Final SE references RG 1.28, Rev. 4, Section C.2.b.5 and not the SE.
9	Page 4/Line 10	Editorial	“length” should be changed to “duration”	Change accepted
10	Page 5/Line 7	Clarification	It is unclear to Westinghouse the applicability of the SE reference to Westinghouse, when the QMS cites RG 1.28, Revision 4, Section C.2.b.5 which allows the 90-day grace period.	Change made. Final SE references RG 1.28, Rev. 4, Section C.2.b.5 and not the SE.
11	Page 5/ Line 7	Editorial	“ML101830108” should be changed to “ML101820108”	Change made. Final SE references RG 1.28, Rev. 4 when discussing the 90-day grace period. SE reference deleted.