

KANSAS GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

GLENN L KOESTER VICE PRESIDENT NUCLEAR

January 4, 1985

Mr. R.D. Martin, Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

KMLNRC 85-005
Re: Docket No. STN 50-482
Subj: Cycle 1 Radial Peaking Factor Limit Report

Dear Mr. Martin:

The attached Cycle 1 Radial Peaking Factor Limit Report is submitted in accordance with Section 6.9.1.9 of the Wolf Creek Generating Station Technical Specifications.

Yours very truly,

Alenn L'Krester

GLK:bb Attach xc:HRDenton Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attn: Core Performance Branch U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

PO'Connor (2) HBundy

8507160393 850104 PDR ADOCK 05000482 P PDR

IE31 li

Radial Peaking Factor Limit Report

This Radial Peaking Factor Limit Report is provided in accordance with Paragraph 6.9.1.9 of the Wolf Creek Unit 1 Nuclear Plant Technical Specifications.

The F_{xy} limits for RATED THERMAL POWER within specific core planes for Cycle 1 shall be:

1. F_{Xy}^{RTP} less than or equal to 1.71 for all core planes containing

bank "D" control rods, and

2. F_{XY}^{RTP} less than or equal to 1.55 for all unrodded core planes.

These $F_{xy}(z)$ limits were used to confirm that the heat flux hot channel factor $F_{Q}(z)$ will be limited to the Technical Specification values of:

 $F_0(z) \leq [\frac{2.32}{p}] [K(z)]$ for P > 0.5 and,

 $F_Q(z) \leq [4.64] [K(z)] \text{ for } P \leq 0.5$

with all and to an 's the strigting of

assuming the most limiting axial power distributions expected to result from the insertion and removal of Control Banks B, C and D during operation, including the accompanying variations in the axial xenon and power distributions as described in the "Power Distribution Control and Load Following Procedures", WCAP-8403, September, 1974. Therefore, these F_{XY} limits provide assurance that the initial conditions assumed in the LOCA analysis are met, along with the ECCS acceptance criteria of 10CFR50.46.

See Figure 1 for a plot of $[F_Q^T P_{Rel}]$ vs. Axial Core Height.

Attachment to KMLNRC 85-005

a Prince Stratt and

FIGURE 1 MAXIMUM FQ^T · P_{REL} VERSUS AXIAL HEIGHT DURING NORMAL CORE OPERATION

: 3. 5'.

