SE ELECTRIC

QUALITY ASSURANCE & RELIABILITY OPERATION San Jose, California

(256)

April 26, 1985

To:

Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN THIS FORM IMMEDIATELY

Acknowledgment of Receipt of Revision 5 to:

NEDO-11209-04A NUCLEAR ENERGY BUSINESS OPERATIONS QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

QA PROGRAM DESCRIPTION NO. 256

I have received revision packet number 5 for the above document assigned to me and will incorporate it as directed by the ERRATA and ADDENDA Sheet enclosed with the packet.

Signature Printed Name Date

Please indicate below any change in assignment of this manual and/or change in address of the manual assignee.

> Name Title Address

MAIL COMPLETED FORM TO:

850 5300045 850301 PDR TOPRP EMVGENE

G. J. Anderson General Electric Lompany M/C 899 175 Curtner Avenue San Jose, California 95125

(Telephone (408) 925-3368)

Revision 5 of NEDO-11209-04A has recently been accepted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. This revision identifies the organizational structure and describes the NEBO Quality Assurance Program in effect as of March 1, 1985. The changes contained in this revision do not decrease the effectiveness of the quality assurance program.

DESIGNATED ORIGINAL

NUCLEAR ENERGY BUSINESS OPER ATIONS . GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY SAN JOSE, ALIFORNIA 95125

GENERAL 🍪 ELECTRIC

PUBLIC	APPLIC	ABLE TO: NEDO-11209-04A
T. I. E.	NO71	8NED116R
TITLE .	Nuclear Ener	gy Business
	Operations Q	uality Assurance
	Program Desc	cription
ISSUE	ATE Feb	oruary 1, 1980

ERRATA And ADDENDA SHEET

NO. DATE March 1, 1985

5

NOTE: Correct all copies of the applicable publication as specified below.

ITEM	REFERENCES (SECTION, PAGE PARAGRAPH, LINE)	INSTRUCTIONS (CORRECTIONS AND ADDITIONS)
01	Front cover and spine insert for the loose-leaf binder for NEDO-11209-04A	Remove and discard the front cover green insert. Replace with green insert marked, "Nuclear Energy Business Operations Quality Assurance Program Description." Remove and discard the outside spine green insert and replace with green insert marked, "NEDO-11209 NEBO QA Program Description."
02	Entire contents of NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 4	Remove and discard entire contents of NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 4, and replace with NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 5, dated 3-1-85.

NEDO-11209 CLASS I

NUCLEAR ENERGY BUSINESS OPERATIONS QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTION



NEDO-11209-04A 78NED116R Revision 5 Class I March 1, 1985

NUCLEAR ENERGY BUSINESS OPERATIONS QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Issued by Quality Assurance Systems of the Quality Assurance and Reliability Operation

Approved:

Y. M. Tone

J. M. Case, Manager Quality Assurance Systems Quality Assurance and Reliability Operation

NUCLEAR ENERGY BUSINESS OPERATIONS • GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95125

GENERAL 🍪 ELECTRIC

NEDO-11209 3-1-85

LEGAL NOTICE

Except as otherwise agreed to in writing, neither the General Electric Company nor any of the contributors to this document makes any warranty or representation (express or implied) with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this document or that the use of such information may not infringe privately owned rights, nor do they assume any responsibility for liability or damage which may result from the use of any of the information contained in this document.

.

ſ

.

ſ

C

PAGE CONTROL SHEET NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 5

Page	Latest Revision	Page	Latest Revision
Title Page	3-1-85	2-10	10-1-80
11	3-1-85	2.11	10-1-80
iii/iv	3-1-85	2-12	8-31-81
v	3-1-85	2-13	3-1-85
vi	3-1-85	2-14	3-1-85
vii/viii	3-1-85	2-15/2-16	3-1-85
ix	4-19-85	3-1	3-1-85
x	4-19-85	3-2	3-1-85
xi/xii	4-19-85	3-3	3-1-85
xiii/xiv	3-1-85	3-4	3-1-85
xv	3-1-85	3-5	3-1-85
xvi	3-1-85	3-6	3-1-85
1-1	3-1-85	3-7/3-8	3-1-85
1-2	3-1-85	4-1/4-2	3-1-85
1-3	3-1-85	5-1	3-1-85
1-4	3-1-85	5-2	3-1-85
1-5	3-1-85	6-1/6-2	3-1-85
1-6	3-1-85	7.1	3-1-85
1-7	3-1-85	7-2	3-1-85
1-8	3-1-85	8-1/8-2	3-1-85
1-9	3-1-85	9-1/9-2	3-31-78
1.10	3-1-85	10-1/10-2	3-31-78
1-11	3-1-35	11-1	3-1-85
1-12	3-1-85	11-2	3-1-85
1-13	3-1-85	12-1/12-2	3-31-78
1-14	3-1-85	13-1/13-2	3-31-78
2.1	3-1-85	14-1/14-2	3-1-85
2.2	3-1-85	15-1	3-1-85
2-3	3-1-85	15-2	3-1-85
2-4	3-1-85	16-1/16-2	3-1-85
2-5	2-1-80	17-1/17-2	3-1-85
2-6	3-31-78	18-1	3-1-85
2-7	8-31-81	18-2	3-1-85
2-8	8-31-81	TIE Title Page	3-1-85
2.9	3-31-78		

CONTENTS

			Page
	NRC	ACCEPTANCE LETTER	ix
	STATE	MENT OF POLICY AND AUTHORITY	xiii
	INTRO	DOUCTION	XV
	-		
1	OHGA		
	1.1	General Annotational Europiana	
	1.2	OA Europianal Paragentibilities	
	1.4	QA Personnel Responsibilities and Qualifications	
2	QUAL	ITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	
	2.1	General	
	2.2	Quality System Documentation	2-2
3	DESIG	IN CONTROL	
	3.1	General	3-1
	3.2	Design Interface Control	
	3.3	Nuclear Steam Supply System Design	
	3.4	Design of Purchased Equipment	
	3.5	Design of Reactor Equipment Components	3-3
	3.6	Design of Controls and Instrumentation	
	3.7	Design of Fuel	3-4
	3.8	Design Verification	
	3.9	Team Design Review	
	3.10	Design Change Control	3-5
	3.11	Field Change Control	
	3.12	Design Change Application	3-6
4	PROC	UREMENT DOCUMENT CONTROL	41
5	INSTR	UCTIONS, PROCEDURES, AND DRAWINGS	5-1
6	DOCU	MENT CONTROL	6-1
7	CONT	ROL OF PURCHASED MATERIAL, EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES	7.1
8	IDENT	TIFICATION AND CONTROL OF MATERIALS. PARTS, AND COMPONENTS	
	001		0.1
9	CONT	NUL UF SPECIAL PROCESSES	

v

5

CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

INSPECTION	
TEST CONTROL	
11.1 Product Test Program	
11.2 Preoperational Testing	
11.3 Startup Testing	
CONTROL OF MEASURING AND TEST EQUIPMENT	
HANDLING, STORAGE AND SHIPPING	
INSPECTION, TEST, AND OPERATING STATUS	
NONCONFORMING MATERIALS, PARUS, OR COMPONENTS	
15.1 General	
15.2 Reporting of Nonconformances	
CORRECTIVE ACTION	
QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS	
AUDITS	
	INSPECTION TEST CONTROL 11.1 Product Test Program 11.2 Preoperational Testing 11.3 Startup Testing 11.3 Startup Testing CONTROL OF MEASURING AND TEST EQUIPMENT HANDLING, STORAGE AND SHIPPING INSPECTION, TEST, AND OPERATING STATUS NONCONFORMING MATERIALS, PART/S, OR COMPONENTS 15.1 General 15.2 Reporting of Nonconformances CORRECTIVE ACTION QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS AUDITS

5

Page

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
1.1	Nuclear Energy Business Operations	1-11
1-2	Nuclear Systems and Services Operations	1-12
1-3	Nuclear Technologies and Fuel Division	1-13
1-4	Nuclear Energy Business Planning and Materials Operation	1-14

TABLES

Title

Table

1-1 Typical Quality Assurance Organizational Responsibilities 1-9 1-2 Typical Responsibilities/Relationships Matrix 1-10 2-1 NRC Regulatory Guide Positions 2-5 3-1 Typical Design and Application Reviews 3-7 5-1 Key QA Program Documentation Approval and Issuance Authority 5-2



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

April 19, 1985

Mr. J. M. Case, Manager Quality Assurance Systems Quality Assurance and Reliability Operation General Electric Company 175 Curtner Avenue San Jose, CA 95125

Dear Mr. Case:

SUBJECT: NRC ACCEPTANCE OF REVISED GENERAL ELECTRIC QUALITY ASSURANCE TOPICAL REPORT

We have completed our review of proposed Revision 5 to the General Electric QA Program Topical Report, NEDO-11209-04A. Proposed Revision 5 was submitted with your letter of February 25, 1985. This revision updates the topical report to reflect organizational changes and some consolidation and changes to the QA program to accommodate the organizational changes. The results of our evaluation are summarized in the enclosure.

Based on our evaluation of the changes described in proposed Revision 5, we find that the revised topical report continues to meet the criteria of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 and is, therefore, acceptable. Should regulatory criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions regarding this topical are invalidated, we will notify you. You will be given the opportunity to revise and resubmit it should you so desire.

To use this topical report in future licensee applications, General Electric needs only to have it referenced in Section 17 of the SAR. Should it be referenced in an SAR for a new nuclear power project, the basis of our evaluation will be the acceptance criteria in the latest revision to the Standard Review Plan Section 17.1. Appropriate change, may, therefore, be necessary.

Please replace our previous acceptance letter with a copy of this letter, renumber the report NEDO-11209-04A-Revision 5, and forward ten copies to the Document Control Desk, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Sincerel

Gary G. Zech, Chief Vendor Program Branch Division of Quality Assurance, Vendor, and Technical Training Center Programs Office of Inspection and Enforcement

Enclosura: Topical Report NEDO-11209 4-19-85

TOPICAL REPORT EVALUATION

Report Number: NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 5, Nonproprietary Report Title: Nuclear Energy Business Operations Quality Assurance Program Description

Originating Organization: General Electric Company Reviewed by: Quality Assurance Branch

SUMMARY OF TOPICAL REPORT

Topical Report NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 5, describes the quality assurance (QA) program which General Electric (GE) applies to those design, procurement, and fabrication activities involving safety-related structures, systems, and components of nuclear power plants within the GE scope of work. NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 5, commits GE to comply with the requirements of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 and to follow the Regulatory Position provided by the NRC in Regulatory Guides 1.28 (June 7, 1972), 1.30 (August 11, 1972), 1.37 (March 16, 1973), 1.38-Revision 2 (May 1977), 1.39-Revision 2 (September 1977), 1.58-Revision 1 (September 1980), 1.64-Revision 2 (June 1976), 1.74 (February 1974), 1.88-Revision 2 (October 1976), 1.94-Revision 1 (April 1976), 1.116-Revision 0-R (June 1976), 1.123-Revision 1 (July 1977), and 1.146 (August 1980), as well as ANSI N45.2.12-1977 as documented in Table 2-1 in the report.

GE has provided for our evaluation a detailed organizational description of those individuals and groups involved in carrying out activities required by the QA program and a delineation of duties, responsibilities, and authority of those organizational elements involved in the QA program. NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 5, contains a description of the measures used to carry out the GE QA program activities and describes how applicable requirements of Appendix B will be satisfied by the administration and implementation of these measures in QA manuals and procedures.

SUMMARY OF REGULATORY EVALUATION

We have evaluated the QA program and the organizations responsible for QA functions as described in NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 5. We find that QA policy and direction originate at an acceptably high management level and are effectively communicated to other parts of the organization. Those performing QA functions have responsibility and authority commensurate with their duties in implementing the QA program. We also find that measures have been established, to be implemented by written procedures and instructions, which address each of the criteria of Appendix B in an acceptable manner.

Based on our review and evaluation of NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 5, we conclude that:

 The organizations and persons performing QA functions within GE have the required independence and authority to effectively carry out the QA program without undue influence from those directly responsible for costs and schedules.

X

- 2. The GE QA program contains requirements and controls which, when properly implemented, comply with the requirements of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50.
- 3. The GE topical report, NEDO-11209-04A, Revision 5, "Nuclear Energy Business Group BWR Quality Assurance Program Description," provides an acceptable description of the QA program for use in the design, procurement, and fabrication activities associated with nuclear power plants.

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY 175 Curtner Avenue San Jose, California 95125

March 1, 1985

STATEMENT OF POLICY AND AUTHORITY

It is the policy of the Nuclear Energy Business Operations to attain quality leadership, and to achieve and maintain high quality in products and services through timely and effective compliance with all quality requirements.

This document describes the Quality Assurance Program which is to be used by the Nuclear Energy Business Operations to fulfill this policy. All managers within the Nuclear Business Operations with quality-related responsibilities have full authority to implement the program within their respective areas of responsibility. Implementation of the Quality Assurance Program is a basic responsibility of each of the organizations within the Nuclear Energy Business Operations.

The implementation of the NEBO Quality Assurance Program has the unqualified endorsement and support of General Electric management.

Mor Suggeman

W. H. Bruggeman Vice President and General Manager Nuclear Energy Business Operations

5

5

5

INTRODUCTION

A Quality Assurance (QA) Program is provided by the General Electric Nuclear Energy Business Operations (NEBC) to assure the required effort, equipment, procedures, and management are directed toward satisfying the quality objectives of providing safe and reliable systems, services and components, and complying with the provisions of the following documents: Appendix B of 10CFR Part 50, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants"; NRC Regulatory Guide 1.28, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements"; ANSI N45.2-1971, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Power Plants" and applicable sections of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. The extent of QA program implementation is consistent with contract requirements.

The program herein described is structured in accordance with the outline of the 18 criteria of Appendix B of 10CFR Part 50, and NRC Regulatory Guide 1.70, "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants" — Light Water Reactor (LWR) Edition dated September 1975.

This document describes the QA Program which is applied generally throughout the NEBO, but which is specifically applicable to the safety-related functional aspects of systems, services and components within the domestic scope of supply. This scope of supply includes nuclear steam supply systems (NSSS), services, and nuclear fuel. Systems, services and components included in the scope of supply are classified "safety-related" if they prevent or mitigate the consequences of postulated accidents that could cause undue risk to the health and safety of the public. The quality of any systems, services or components not classified "safety related" is controlled in accordance with the importance of the overall functions to be performed by these items.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) will be given notification of programmatic changes in the QA Program as described herein, prior to implementation, except changes which do not decrease the effectiveness of the program, or those that reflect organizational changes. Organizational changes affecting the QA Program will be reported to the NRC within 30 days after implementation. This QA Program description will be reviewed at least annually and revised, if necessary, to reflect programmatic and organizational changes.

The QA program is designed to provide assurance that the quality-related work elements for systems, components (including spares and replacement parts), and services included in the scope of supply, are identified and controlled. Specific responsibilities of personnel and organizations are assigned and documented for quality-related activities throughout the major steps of design and construction of the nuclear power plant projects, encompassing the broad phases of:

- Contract definition
- Preliminary design
- Systems and components design and specification
- Supplier evaluation and selection
- Material and component procurement
- · Fabrication and assembly of components and systems
- Inspection and testing
- Cleaning, packaging, and shipping

^{*} Change bars (marked 5) identifying the Revision 5 changes are used throughout the text except for: typographical corrections and routine organizational name changes.

On those pages not changed by Revision 5, all references to NEBG now mean NEBO.

5

- Installation and erection of systems and components
- · Preoperational and startup testing

In addition to the Juality Assurance and Reliability Operation (QA&RO), the various line organizations have specifically designated Q/ responsibilities. Documented procedures require each such organization to administer its own activities and concluct self-audits as required, in addition to audits of General Electric (GE) suppliers to assure compliance with the Q/ Program for their assigned scope of responsibilities. These audits in turn are augmented by audits of these organi: ations by QA&RO.

Where specific NEBO job titles, document titles, or specific procedures or forms are identified in NEDO-11209, the use of equivalent t ties, forms, or procedures is acceptable. However, when job responsibilities or procedural controls as described in this topical report are changed, the changes will be reported to the NRC.

xvi

5

5

5

5

5

ORGANIZATION

1.1 GENERAL

Organizational structuring and functional responsibility assignments in the NEBO are based on recognition of QA as an interdisciplinary function with quality-related activities being performed by many organizational components and individuals from top-level management down to individual contributors.

The authorities and responsibilities of persons and organizations performing quality-related activities are established, assigned, and documented in formal document systems. Persons and organizations assigned QA functions are given appropriate and sufficient authority and organizational freedom to: identify quality problems; initiate, recommend, or provide solutions to quality problems; verify implementation of the solutions, and prevent further processing, delivery, installation, or utilization of nonconforming items until proper dispositioning has occurred.

The organizational structure and functional responsibility assignments are such that: (1) attainment of quality objectives is accomplished by individuals assigned responsibility for spacifying quality requirements or performing work to specifications: (2) verification of conformance to established guality requirements is accomplished by those who do not have direct responsibility for specifying, producing, or expediting products; and (3) personnel in key QA functions have direct access to top-level NEBO management.

1.2 ORGANIZATIONAL FUNCTIONS

An abbreviated organization chart showing the NEBO, and specifically those organizational components concerned with supplying systems, services and components, is shown as Figure 1-1. Figures 1-2 through 1-4 provide additional detail structuring of the NEBO organizations performing QA activities during design, purchase, manufacture, site construction, and test.

The Chief Engineer and the General Managers of Nuclear Systems and Services Operations (NS&SO), Nuclear Technologies and Fuel Division (NT&FD), and the Nuclear Energy Business Planning and Materials Operation (NEBP&MO) all report directly to the Vice President and General Manager of the NEBO.

The Chief Engineer has been designated by the Vice President and General Manager, NEBO, as the quality and angineering conscience of the NEBO. As the quality conscience of the NEBO, the Chief Engineer has the following responsibilities: (1) to assure that the intent of the NEBO quality policies are reflected in the products and services that are intended to be used in nuclear facilities; (2) to assure that a system is in place for independently measuring the performance of all NEBO organizations that have an effect on the quality of those products and services of NEBO that are intended to be used in nuclear facilities; and (3) to assure that a system is in place to promptly resolve identified issues that could have an impact on the ability of all NEBO organizations to satisfy the NEBO quality policies and other quality-related commitments.

The Quality Assurance and Reliability Operation (QA&RO) is a staff organization assigned responsibility for establishing the NEBO-level quality-related policies and procedures (P&Ps). The NEBO level quality-related policies and procedures thus established are issued by the Vice President and General Manager, NEBO. The QA&RO is also assigned responsibility for integrating, measuring, and auditing the various functional organizations involved in the business. Audit reports prepared by the QA&RO are issued independently by the QA&RO to the appropriate top-level 15 NEBO management. Procedures and practices are evaluated to assure conformance with applicable GE Corporate and NEBO quality-related P&Ps, and to assure integration of individual quality planning into an overall QA Program. 5 The QA&RO is responsible for auditing compliance of the overall QA Program with applicable codes, standards, and regulations. The QA&RO is also assigned the responsibility for monitoring the technical excellence of NEBO products and services by participating in management review boards independent of the comprehensive design verification and review programs carried out by the line organizations. The Manager, QA&RO, is further assigned responsibility for QA communication to the QA line organizations within the NEBO by providing technical guidance, advice, and counsel based on current QA technology as it relates to the business. This technical guidance, advice, and counsel is

NEDO-11209 3-1-85

directed toward specifying how the line organizations are to comply with the NEBO Quality Policy and related procedures. The Manager, QA&RO, has unrestricted access, at his determination, to the Vice President and General Manager of NEBO regarding quality-related matters.

The NEBO Vice President and General Manager has established a Quality Council to aid QA&RO in fulfilling its assigned integration and QA communications responsibilities and to provide a communications medium within the NEBO and to the NEBO Vice President and General Manager on quality-related matters. One of the primary objectives of the Council is to assure total quality system coverage, uniformity, consistency, and continuity, while eliminating system deficiencies and redundancies. The Council is chaired by the Manager-QA&RO and consists of the managers responsible for QA in each of the major organizations within the NEBO. The Council normally meets quarterly to review the status of quality-related programs and projects and to plan future efforts. The Council provides QA managers in the line organizations with direct access to top-level management and provides a forum for the review of quality problems and corrective actions.

5

5

5

NS&SO, NT&FD and NEBP&MO are line organizations with responsibility for planning and implementing the QA functions performed within their areas of responsibility. Procedures require that the detailed QA program planning and implementation performed by these line organizations comply with the overall quality system requirements which are established by QA&RO in the NEBO Quality Policy and quality-related Procedures. The QA activities related to design, purchase, manufacture and project management, as they are performed by the line organizations, are described in the succeeding paragraphs.

Administrative control (salary review, hire/fire, position assignment) and technical QA direction of the activities of each department-level organization are the responsibility of the individual department-level managers. The individual QA managers have the authority, independence, and organizational freedom to identify quality-related problems; initiate, recommend, or provide solutions to conditions adverse to quality; and to verify implementation of such solutions. Each QA manager is provided a direct line of communication to his department-level manager on all quality-related matters.

The overall BWR system design and the detail design of items of equipment manufactured by the NEBO organizations are provided by the NEBO engineering organizations. Detailed design of items of equipment fabricated by subcontractors for direct shipment to the reactor site is provided by NEBO engineering or by a subcontractor, subject to NEBO approval. Detail design of the NEBO supplied systems and components, whether fabricated by the NEBO or its subcontractors, is required to meet NEBO specified system design requirements by application of appropriate specifications and design controls. A continuity of engineering control is maintained from the conceptual design phase through purchasing of materials, manufacturing, field installations, and preoperational and startup testing. To assure compatibility with Owner*/AE design scope, NEBO has identified specific interface requirements needed for input to NEBO designs in a series of fill-in questionnaire documents which are furnished to the Owner/AE for completion and return to NEBO engineering organizations during the design phase. The NEBO engineering organizations have design change control responsibility for all NEBO-designed systems and components. Development engineering organizations contribute to the overall quality system by providing basic technical information and advanced inspection techniques resulting from planned development programs and through performance of necessary development and qualification tests.

The QA activities related to NEBO-manufactured products are under the direction of the various managers of QA. The Manager, Quality Assurance, Nuclear Fuel Manufacturing Department (NFMD), is responsible for providing QA planning and QA program implementation for equipment such as control rods, control rod drives (CRD's), steam separators, CRD hydraulic control modules, fuel bundles, channels, and fuel assembly components, which are manufactured in Wilmington, North Carolina. He is also responsible for providing QA planning and QA program implement used in the manufacture of NFMD products. The Manager, Quality Assurance, NS&SO, is responsible for providing or assuring QA planning and QA program implementation for equipment such as sensors, instrument panels, and racks, peripheral electrical sensing and control equipment, and reactor servicing tools and equipment which are manufactured by NS&SO. These QA managers report directly to their respective

5

^{*} The Owner of the nuclear power plant, also designated as "applicant" in Appendix B of 10CFR Part 50.

5

12 - A

8

N.

5

department general managers and are at the same organizational level as other managers who have product scheduling, expediting, and fabricating responsibilities; however, the QA manager's responsibilities are separate and independent from these other managers. Products are not released for shipment without the approval of the QA manager or his designee.

The QA Program for purchased equipment and purchased material and services is under the direction of assigned Quality Assurance Managers in NS&SO or NT&FD. The QA Managers are at the same organizational level as managers with procurement, product scheduling, and expediting responsibilities. The QA manager's responsibilities, however, are separate and independent from these other organizations. The QA Manager is responsible for defining QA requirements to suppliers of equipment and services and for assuring supplier compliance with NEBO requirements through surveillance, audits, and review and approval of quality-related documentation. The NEBO quality reviews of Owner/AE/Constructor field installation activities are performed by the QC Site Representative, under the direction of the Manager, QA-NS&SO. The purpose of such reviews is to verify that NEBO systems and components are properly received, handled, stored, and installed in compliance with NEBO requirements. These reviews are intended to satisfy NEBO interests relative to warranty fulfillmer t. When NEBO is responsible for implementation of changes, modification, completion of manufacturing actions, or other field construction activity with respect to equipment supplied by NEBO, the QC Site Representative provides surveillance, monitoring, auditing, and other QA/QC activities.

Liaison between the Owner and NEBO on all quality-related matters is through the appropriate Project or Services Manager. The Project or Services Managers are responsible for assuring that unique contract requirements involving quality-related matters are transmitted to the affected line organizations within the NEBO for planning and implementation. The Nuclear Safety and Licensing Operation has the primary responsibility for defining the product 5 safety standards and for assuring that applicable new regulatory requirements related to quality and safety are made known to the responsible functional organizations within the NEBO. When the Owner is responsible for installation and testing of NEBO-supplied systems and components, technical direction* during field installation and preoperational and startup testing is provided to the Owner by the NEBO Resident Site Manager and staff. Quality assurance planning and services are provided to the NEBO Resident Site Manager by the assigned NEBO QC Site Representative 5 as needed. Installation, preoperational, and startup testing engineers and specialists assigned to the NEBO Resident Site Manager have the responsibility for planning and providing technical direction for the installation, preoperational, and startup testing activities. Changes to plant design, resulting in changes to delivered equipment or systems, are handled in one of two ways. First, if the Owner is responsible to implement the change, where NEBO has provided the design and/or hardware required by the change, NEBO supplies technical direction and quality reviews of the implementation of the change. Second, if the change results in a responsibility by NEBO for incorporation of the change, NEBO provides or procures implementation services and also provides inspection or surveillance at the point of implementation to verify acceptable implementation of the change requirements. Manufacturing and testing work which is normally done in the manufacturing facilities of NEBO, or its suppliers, but which has been deferred for field implementation, is provided or obtained by NEBO. Inspection or surveillance is provided by NEBO to verify acceptable implementation of work requirements.

QA communication relationships are established within the NEBO, including a channel of communications directly between each of the QA line organizations and their department-level managers. A separate channel of communications is also available for line QA managers to the Manager, QA&RO, through the Quality Council. This communication channel is established for developing common solutions to quality-related problems, and for providing a second line of communication to NEBO management on such quality-related matters as stop-work actions.

The tabulation in Table 1-1, "Typical Quality Assurance Organizational Responsibilities," identifies the NEBO 5 organizations having line responsibilities for specifying, attaining, and verifying quality requirements for NEBO-supplied systems, components, and services. Additional detail responsibilities are further identified in other sections of this program description.

* Technical direction is defined as technical guidance, advice, and counsel based on current engineering and installation practices, which is provided to the Owner's staff.

NEDO-11209 3-1-85

5

5

5

5

A summary of prime and contributing functional responsibilities for each of the NEBO division-level organizations is shown in Table 1-2. A further breakdown of functional responsibilities for NEBO QA organizations and other major organizations performing quality-related functions is detailed in Subsection 1.3.

1.3 QA FUNCTIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

1.3.1 Staff QA Organizations

Quality Assurance and Reliability Operation

For the NEBO, the Quality Assurance and Reliability Operation has the responsibility for coordinating and integrating the QA Program as it relates to project and services management, engineering, manufacturing, procurement, and construction by appropriate means, including:

- Developing the NEBO P&Ps related to project and services management, engineering, manufacturing, procurement, and construction QA.
 - Representing the NEBO to the NRC, and other government authorities on matters regarding the project management, engineering, manufacturing, procurement, and construction aspects of the overall QA Program.
 - Providing assistance to the NEBO marketing, projects, and legal organizations on engineering, manufacturing, procurement, and construction-related quality systems matters, as requested.
 - Providing technical support and consultation to the NEBO licensing activities, as requested.
 - Providing quality system and auditing consultation services to the NEBO organizations, as requested.
 - Providing guidance to line organizations on matters related to engineering or project management QA activities.
 - Initiating stop-work recommendations to the affected NEBO manager, as necessary, to prevent further
 processing, delivery, installation, or utilization of nonconforming or suspect items until proper dispositioning has occurred.
 - Auditing the NEBO engineering, project management, manufacturing, procurement, and construction
 organizations for compliance with their approved quality-related systems, procedures, and instructions.
 - Participating in selected design reviews.
 - Providing quality planning for engineering which defines engineering QA program requirements.

1.3.2 Line Organizations - QA

- 5 For their assigned product scope, each line organization has the responsibility for assuring conformance with applicable design and QA requirements by appropriate means, including:
 - Developing and documenting a quality system in compliance with the NEBO policies, procedures, and applicable codes, standards, and regulatory requirements.
 - Conducting preproduction reviews with engineering to assure mutual understanding of design requirements and manufacturing capability.

-

5

- Preparing product and process quality planning to assure conformance with applicable drawings, specifications, and special instructions issued by design engineering organizations.
- Providing product and process control to assure that quality planning is properly interpreted and implemented.
- Providing required receiving, in-process, and final inspection and testing in accordance with QA documented inspection and test procedures.
- Providing for the calibration and control of measuring and test equipment.
- Providing for the effective control of nonconforming materials, parts, and components, including stopwork authority.
- Assuring provision of programs for the required training, qualification, and certification of personnel.
- Assuring provision for control of handling, storage, and shipping.
- Assuring provision of a formal corrective action system.
- Assuring provisions for generation, collection, review, approval, transmittal or storage, maintenance and retrieval of all necessary quality records, including supplier records.
- Assuring provisions for audit and other measurements of the effectiveness of the quality system, including supplier quality systems.
- Providing product release control and certification of product quality.
- Providing product quality-related problem analyses and initiating or recommending appropriate action.
- Providing quality assurance planning and requirements for inspection and testing for field implementation of product changes or completion of manufacturing actions, which will provide assurance of specified product quality.
- Providing for qualification of suppliers of services necessary for field implementation of the design changes or completion of actions deferred for field implementation.
- Providing, or obtaining provision for, quality assurance and control actions necessary to assure acceptable compliance with the quality assurance planning, inspection, and testing requirements established in change documentation and services purchase orders.
- Reviewing supplier QA Programs for adequacy.
- Conducting pre-award evaluations to establish supplier qualification.
- Conducting pre-procurement review with engineering and purchasing, as necessary, to assure clear understanding of guality requirements.
- Providing quality planning which defines supplier QA program requirements and check lists which define audit requirements.
- Providing audit/surveillance of supplier quality-system and activities during manufacturing.
- Reviewing and approving identified supplier fabrication, test, and inspection procedures.

5

5

- Providing for the review and approval or disapproval of supplier deviations from specified quality requirements.
- Initiating stop work orders through purchasing to prevent further processing, utilization, or shipment of nonconforming or suspect items until proper dispositioning has occurred.
- Providing surveillance, monitoring, inspection, auditing, and other activities as necessary to assure
 acceptable implementation of NEBO provided or purchased services for changes, modifications, or
 manufacturing completion of systems or components in the field.
- For NSSS equipment NS&SO QA assures that the Owner conforms with applicable NEBO installation requirements by appropriate means, including:
 - Reviewing and approving selected Owner/AE/Constructor installation procedures.
 - Providing site surveillance planning for implementation by the NEBO Quality Control Site Representative.
 - Performing surveillance of Owner/AE/Constructor conformance with NEBO-supplied installation and test documents.
 - Providing feedback and analysis of installation quality problems and implementation of preventive or corrective action to assure Owner/AE/Constructor compliance with NEBO requirements.
- Providing functional guidance and direction to managers and engineers in implementing applicable portions of the QA Program, and in responding to both internal and external QA audits.
 - Planning and directing preparation of the QA input to customer bid specifications and purchase orders for assigned scope.
- Planning, directing, and executing periodic audits of quality-related activities and reporting findings to management, including recommended corrective action.
- 5 The NEBO engineering organizations are responsible for product design and design control by appropriate means, including:
 - Assuring incorporation of applicable regulatory requirements, codes, standards, criteria, and design bases in the design.
 - Assuring incorporation of project design requirements into the design.
 - Translating the design information onto the appropriate design documents.
 - Verifying design adequacy either through independent design review, the use of alternate or simplified calculational methods, or by the performance of a suitable testing program.
 - Coordinating design activities among interfacing design engineers and design organizations.
 - Reviewing, approving, issuing, and distributing design documents under a controlled document system.
 - Controlling design changes and changes to design documents in accordance with documented procedures.
 - Providing for the retention, storage, control and retrievability of design record documents.

- Taking corrective action as necessary to correct design errors and to improve the design control function.
- Reviewing and approving proposed deviation dispositions to NEBO-supplied equipment as documented on Field Deviation Disposition Requests (FDDRs) or providing an alternate acceptable disposition for field identified equipment problems.
- Assuring that approved solutions to field identified equipment problems contain quantitative or qualitative engineering quality requirements that can be measured and verified in the field.
- Issuing Field Disposition Instructions (FDIs) which clearly identify required work to be performed on equipment or systems that have been delivered to the sites.
- Assuring that issued FDIs contain quantitative or qualitative engineering quality requirements that can be measured and verified in the field.
- Assuring that FDDRs and FDIs are reviewed by quality assurance organizations for identification of quantitative or qualitative quality requirements before release to the field for implementation.
- Administrating a lending library of the various NEBO organizations' key QA manuals and quality-related procedures manuals for loan to customers and potential customers.

The NSSS, Fuel, or Services Project or Program Manager maintains interface relationships with the Owner, as 5 well as cognizant GE personnel to assure provision of licensing, engineering, QA, and equipment supply activities by appropriate means, including:

- Directing the NEBO performing organizations and others, as applicable, as to the contract scope of supply and the basis definition, and changes thereto.
- Providing control of design interfaces with engineering, the AE/Constructor, and the Owner.
- Monitoring to assure contract commitments are satisfied.
- Providing for coordination, integration, and project management of requisition activities performed by contributing NEBO organizations.
- Maintaining recognition of quality requirements for fuel, services, systems and components, and taking 5
 appropriate action to maintain consistent requirements.
- Identifying the organization (NEBO or Owner) responsible to implement the requirements of the FDDRs or FDIs.
- Providing technical direction to the Owner for field installation and preoperational and startup testing of NEBO-supplied systems and components.
- Providing site management and coordination of quality assurance planning and services received from the NS&SO Site QC representative for installation and testing of NEBO-supplied equipment and systems.
- Providing confirmation of acceptable completion of changes, modifications, or completion of manufacturing of systems or components as identified on FDDRs or FDIs.
- Providing the Owner with appropriate information as to the status of the project and applicable revisions
 of project documentation as required by contract.

1.4 QA PERSONNEL RESPONSIBILITIES AND QUALIFICATIONS

The responsibilities, education, and experience requirements of individuals assigned to QA-related managerial and individual contributor positions are formally documented in the NEBO position guides which are approved and periodically reviewed by designated levels of management. The responsibilities and qualification requirements of individuals performing inspection and testing operations are formally documented in job descriptions, which are also approved and periodically reviewed by designated levels of management. Qualification requirements for managers responsible for QA activities are shown below:

Staff Organizations

Titles

Manager, Quality Assurance Systems, QA&RO Manager, Quality Assurance Audits, QA&RO

5

5

Senior Program Managers, Quality Assurance Systems/Audits

Qualification Requirements

BS or equivalent qualifications; at least 15 years in responsible managerial or project-type assignments, 10 years of which have been in quality-related work or equivalent experience in the design, construction or operation of a nuclear facility; thorough knowledge of all aspects of the QA Program.

BS or equivalent qualifications; at least 12 years in responsible managerial or project-type assignments, 7 years of which have been in quality-related work or equivalent experience in the design, construction or operation of a nuclear facility; thorough knowledge of the QA Program.

5

5

Line Organizations

Titles

Manager NS&SO QA Manager, QA, NFMD, NT&FD Manager, Product Assurance and Services, NSTO, NT&FD

Qualification Requirements

BS or equivalent qualifications; at least 10 years in responsible managerial or project-type assignments, 5 years of which have been in quality-related work, or equivalent experience in the design, construction, or operation of a nuclear facility; thorough knowledge of the QA Program for their area of responsibility.

.

ſ

5

Table 1-1 TYPICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Type of Equipment	Design	Manufacturing	Manufacturing
	Quality Requirements	Process Procedures	Compliance Verification
Purchased Equipment	NS&SO	Supplier*	NS&SO QA
and Design Services	NT&FD		NT&FD QA
Nuclear Fuel	NFED	Manufacturing Technology and Engineering Operations (NFMD)	NFMD-QA
Manufactured Reactor Equipment	NS&SO	Manufacturing Technology and Engineering Operations (NFMD)	NFMD-QA
Manufactured Controls and Instrumentation	NS&SO	NS&SO Manufacturing	NS&SO-QA
Purchased Controls	NS&SO	Supplier*	NS&SO-QA
and Instrumentation	NT&FD		NT&FD-QA

* Designated supplier manufacturing procedures and design documents are reviewed and approved by NEBO engineering or QA organizations in accordance with documented procedures.

5

Table 1-2 TYPICAL RESPONSIBILITIES/RELATIONSHIPS MATRIX

	Activity	QA&RO	NS&SO	NEBP&MO	Technologies and Fuel Division
1	I. Initial contract negotation	с	Р		Р
2	2. Design specifications		Р		P
3	3. Design verification		Р		Р
4	. Project schedules (design)		P		Р
5	 Project schedules (delivery) 		Р	С	Р
6	 Licensing technical description 	С	P		Р
7	 NSSS design and development 		Р		С
8	I. Fuel design and development		С		Р
9	NEBO quality policy	P	С	C	С
10	. Product quality ¹	С	Р	С	Р
11	. Quality systems ¹	Р	Р	P	Р
12	Product audits ¹	Р	Р	C2	Р
13	. Quality system audits ¹	P	Р	C2	Р
14	Manufacturing (NFMD)		C	С	P
15	Manufacturing (NS&SO)		Р	C	
16	. Purchased equipment and				
120	services		C	Р	С
17	Installation Engineering		P		С
18	Preoperational & startup services		Р		
19	Operating Plant Services		Р	С	Р
20	. Quality assurance records	Р	Р	С	Р
21	. Implement FDDRs/FDIs (NEBO				
	responsibility)		Р		P

P Prime responsibility

C Contributing responsibility/relationship

 1 Each functional organization in the NEBO is responsible for the quality of its own output. 2 NS&SO-QA conducts audits







Figure 1-2. Nuclear Systems and Services Operation

•



NEDO-11209 3-1-85

Figure 1-3. Nuclear Technologies and Fuel Division

NEDO-11209 3-1-85



Figure 1-4. Nuclear Energy Business Planning and Materials Operation

5

115

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

2.1 GENERAL

An overall QA program is established, documented, and implemented which encompasses the collective activities and events with their associated responsibilities, efforts, equipment, procedures, interfaces, and management which are necessary to provide the means to meet product quality objectives.

Since many projects are being processed by design, purchasing, and manufacturing organizations simultaneously, QA activities which are described in the QA Program are continuously being applied in support of the total NEBO scope of responsibility and are applicable to each of the projects throughout those phases of activity for which the NEBO is responsible.

The QA Program described herein applies those quality system elements necessary to provide assurance that NEBO-supplied systems and components meet the quality requirements of the Owner and applicable codes, standards, and regulatory agency requirements. This QA Program is documented in formaliy controlled document systems and is implemented throughout all phases of nuclear power plant design and construction. All systems, services and components classified "safety-related" are supplied in accordance with this QA Program.

Training and experience qualifications are defined for each position in NEBO. In addition, the program provides for indoctrination and training of personnel performing activities affecting quality in order to provide assurance that appropriate proficiency is achieved and maintained. This indoctrination and training is carried out through various documented procedures, personnel contacts, and meetings. These training programs encompass inspectors, testers, shop personnel, and engineers, as appropriate. Quality assurance, manufacturing, engineering, and project organizations each develop their own requirements for training and establish their own training programs. The purpose of the training is to assure that personnel responsible for quality-related activities are instructed as to the purpose, scope, and implementation of the quality-related manuals, instructions, and procedures.

The OA Program provides for conducting activities affecting quality under suitably controlled conditions, including the use of appropriate equipment, suitable environmental conditions, and assurance that prerequisites for the given activity have been satisfied. These prerequisites include consideration of special process controls and skills and the need for special inspection and test equipment where required for the verification of quality.

The quality system documentation derives its authority and is structured from the GE Corporate Company-wide Quality Policy down through each organizational level of the NEBO. Responsibility for the final review and issuance of the overall QA Program rests with the NEBO Vice President and General Manager. Responsible organizations and individuals within the NEBO are informed of the mandatory nature of the various guality policies, manuals, and procedures through the NEBO Quality Policy and Procedures (F&Ps) issued by the NEBO management. Quality-related instructions, manuals, and implementing procedures assign responsibilities making them mandatory for qualityrelated administrative functions. Shop travelers, work orders, or other planning documents relative to specific projects, processes, or work areas are mandatory directives for personnel involved in hardware-related activities, such as production, inspection, and test.

The QA Program provides for the regular management review, through audits or other appropriate means, of organizations participating in the business, and of the status and adequacy of that part of the QA Program for which 5 they have designated responsibility.

The QA Program is structured to comply with the NEBO commitments to the applicable quality-related regula-5 tory guides and ANSI Standards as documented in Table 2-1. This QA Program provides for periodic modification and/ or updating of NEBO commitments to comply with quality-related regulatory guides and ANSI Standards relating to the NEBO scope of supply. New or revised quality-related regulatory guides and reference standards are evaluated and determinations are made as to when, how, and to what extent they will be implemented. The NEBO commitments to comply with regulatory positions, or NRC-approved alternate positions to quality-related regulatory guides, are then incorporated into the QA Program documentation.

5

5

The NEBO commitments to comply with applicable regulatory guides not listed in Table 2-1 are documented in the project licensing documents for each nuclear power plant. The NRC-approved licensing documents for a specific nuclear power plant project establish the NEBO regulatory guide or alternate position commitments for that project. The commitments to comply with regulatory guides issued or revised subsequent to NRC approval of the project licensing documents are documented in amendments to the NRC-approved project licensing documents.

Classification of safety-related structures, systems, and major components is documented in the project licensing documents and the Project Master Parts List (MPL), and/or is provided through controlled documents to affected NEBO organizations for implementation. Replacement parts not identified in the project licensing documents are classified relative to safety importance using either original or current classification criteria.

2.2 QUALITY SYSTEM DOCUMENTATION

The NEBO quality-related activities are documented in a series of planned and coordinated policies, procedures, manuals, and instructions defined as QA program documentation. Activities and events comprising the CA Program appropriate to each NEBO organization are identified and documented in formally controlled document systems issued by each cognizant organization. Quality Assurance system documents, including QA manuals, are distributed to a predetermined list of key personnel as controlled copies. Revisions are distributed to the same list with appropriate instructions for replacement and disposition of obsolete documents.

Though the basic scope of the quality system utilized by the various organizations within the NEBO is essentially the same, each NEBO business organization has its own system of guides, procedures, instructions, manuals, and other implementing documentation that prescribes the methods for carrying out its portion of the overall QA Program. Each of these systems is unique, relates to the activities of the particular organizations involved, and is undergoing continuous review, upgrading, and improvement.

A brief description of the purpose and scope of each of the key document types governing QA activities which demonstrate the implementation of the QA Program is presented below:

General Electric Corporate Company-Wide Quality Policy (No. 20.1). The General Electric Corporate Company-Wide Quality Policy, issued by the Corporate Executive Office, states quality considerations and requirements applicable to all General Electric products and services.

- 5 Nuclear Energy Business Operations Quality Policy (No. 70-1). The NEBO Quality Policy, issued by the NEBO Vice President and General Manager, interprets the Corporate Company-Wide Quality Policy and provides implementing
- 5 direction to the NEBO organizations. This document, which is a part of the NEBO Policies and Procedures documentation system, establishes the quality policy for NEBO products and services, assigns quality-related responsibilities,
- 5 and identifies interrelationships for policy implementation. The NEBO Quality Policy is applicable to all NEBO organizations and specifically requires that each NEBO organization be in full compliance with the applicable requirements
- 5 of Company Policy 20.1, Company-Wide Quality, and applicable NEBO P&Ps referenced therein.
- 5 NEBO Quality-Related Procedures. The NEBO Procedures are issued by authority of the NEBO Vice President and General Manager and/or Division-Level managers to establish procedures and practices for those quality system elements requiring uniform consideration and application by several or all organizations within NEBO. These instruc-
- 5 tions and procedures are part of the NEBO P&P and are supplemental to the NEBO Quality Policy. They provide implementing direction in those areas where a standardized, uniform approach is deem ad necessary by the NEBO
- 5 management. Listed below are the key quality-related NEBO Procedures:
 - Quality System Requirements (No. 70-11):

This procedure supplements NEBO Policy No. 70-1 and establishes the minimum quality system requirements to be implemented by NEBO organizations in fulfilling licensing commitments, contracts, and internal requisitions for the sale, lease, or transfer of NEBO products to a customer.

5

5

5

5

5

5

Quality Assurance, Nuclear Safety, and Nuclear Material Safeguards Audits (No. 70-29):

This procedure supplements NEBO Policy No. 70-1 and Procedure No. 70-11 and establishes the requirements for the audit programs to be implemented by NEBO and identifies the responsibilities of NEBO organizations in support of these audit programs.

Personnel Proficiency in Quality-Related Activities (No. 70-30):

This procedure supplements NEBO Policy No. 70-1 and Procedure No. 70-11 and establishes the minimum personnel proficiency requirements to be implemented within the NEBO in support of the QA Program.

Reporting of Defects and Noncompliance under 10CFR21 (No. 70-42):

This procedure provides direction for NEBO compliance with the requirements of NRC Regulation 10CFR21, Reporting Defects and Noncompliance. Standard practices are established for all NEBO organizations for identifying, documenting, evaluating, and reporting potential defects in any licensed nuclear facility or activity, or noncompliance with the provisions of an NRC regulatory requirement relating to a substantial safety hazard.

Quality Assurance Program Manuals and Document Systems. Documents describing the QA Program and prescribing the detailed quality-related activities of individual organizations are prepared, issued, and maintained by the responsible organizations, such as: engineering, manufacturing, and quality assurance. Such documentation consists of, e.g., engineering operating procedures, QA plans and procedures, and inspection and test planning. Key manuals and document systems are:

ASME Quality Assurance Program Manual (NEBO) (NEDE-20387):

This manual describes the NEBO QA Program which meets all of the applicable requirements of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. It has been accepted by the ASME as a basis for issuance of a Certificate of Authorization.

Engineering Operating Procedures (NEDE-21109):

This manual contains documentation of the design control program. The criteria of 10CFR50, Appendix B, are addressed in the procedures to the extent that engineering activities are involved.

NS&SO — Operating Policy and Procedure Manual:

This manual contains documentation establishing uniform courses of action for quality-related NS&SO activities, and establishes interface requirements and responsibilities for NS&SO activities.

ASME Code QA Manual (NEDE-10912):

This manual contains a detailed description of the NS&SO manufacturing quality assurance program established in compliance with the requirements of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III. It has been accepted by the ASME as a basis for issuance of a Certificate of Authorization.

QA&RO Practices and Procedures Manual:

This manual contains documentation describing specific requirements and controls for performing activities for which QA&RO is responsible.

Nuclear Systems and Technology Operation Policies and Instructions

NFMD — ASME B&PV Code Quality Assurance Manual (NEDE-20910):

This manual contains a detailed description of the NFMD QA Program established in compliance with the applicable requirements of ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III and Section VIII. It has been accepted by ASME as a basis for issuance of Certificates of Authorization.

NFMD — Practices and Procedures:

These practices and procedures document the basic business policies, assigned responsibilities and administrative instructions established by NFMD management.

NFMD — QA Section Administrative Routines:

These administrative routines or procedures document the activities assigned specifically to the NFMD QA Section in order to control product quality.

Implementing Document Types. The document types listed below are representative of those used by the various 5 NEBO organizations to implement the QA program.

Acceptance standards Audit plans and procedures Calibration procedures Corrective action procedures Design control procedures Engineering drawings and specifications Handling, storage, packing and shipping procedures Inspection instructions Inspector and tester stamp control procedures Material identification and control procedures Measuring and test equipment control procedures Nonconforming material control procedures Preproduction quality evaluation procedures Process and personnel qualification procedures Process control procedures Product/process quality plans Purchased material quality control plans Purchased service quality plans Quality assurance document control procedures Quality assurance records specifications and instructions Quality control standard instructions Receiving inspection plans Shipment release control procedures Supplier evaluation and selection procedures Test instructions

A network of policies, document systems, manuals, and implementation documents is designed to provide the QA Program procedures, specifications, and documentation necessary to support the NEBO objectives of providing safe and reliable systems and components, and complying with the requirements of applicable codes, standards, laws and regulatory agency requirements.

11

Table 2-1 NRC REGULATORY GUIDE POSITIONS

NRC Regulatory Guide	ANSI Standard	NEBG Position
1.28 — June 7, 1972, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements	N45.2-1971	Comply with the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.28 (June 7, 1972) and the requirements and guidelines in ANSI N45.2-1971, except as follows:
(Design & Construction)		1. Section 4.3, first paragraph, third sentence: This sentence is not considered applicable since the first paragraph of Section 4.3 identifies three alternate methods for verifying or checking the adequacy of design. All other sentences of Section 4.3 will be complied with.
		2. Section 14, second paragraph, second sentence: This sentence is interpreted to mean that necessary handling tools and equipment will be <i>used</i> and controlled on work under GE jurisdiction. It does not mean that GE will necessarily <i>provide</i> handling tools and equipment. The provision of such tools and equipment is a contractual consideration.
		 Section 18, fourth paragraph, first sentence: To the extent required by contract, GE will maintain records which correctly identify the "as built" condition of items as furnished by GE for the life of the particular item, rather than for the life of the plant.
		4. Section 18, fourth paragraph, second sentence: This sentence is not considered applicable. Refer to the committed GE position on Regulatory Guide 1.88.
		 Section 19, second paragraph, first sentence: This sentence is revised to read, "Audits shall be per- formed, as necessary: (1) to provide"
		 Section 19, second paragraph, second sentence: This sentence revised to read, "Followup action shall be taken, as needed. This action may include reaudit of deficient areas."
1.30 — August 11, 1972, "Quality Assurance Requirements for the Installation, Inspection & Testing of Instrumenta- tion & Electrical Equipment"	N45.2.4-1972	Implement regulatory position.

1.28 - June "Quality Assur Program Requ NEDO-11209 3-31-78

Table 2-1 NRC REGULATORY GUIDE POSITIONS (Continued)

NRC Regulatory Guide	ANSI Standard	NEBG Position
1.37 — March 16, 1973, "Quality Assurance Requirements for Cleaning of Fluid Systems & Associated Components of Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants."	N45.2.1-1973	Comply with the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.37, March 16, 1973, including the requirements and recommendations in ANSI N45.2.1-1973, except as follows: Section 5, sixth para- graph, recommends that local rusting on corrosion resistant alloys be removed by mechanical methods. This recommen- dation shall be interpreted to mean that local rusting may be removed mechanically, but that it does not preclude the use of other removal means.
1.38 — Rev. 2, May 1977 "Quality Assurance Requirements for	N45.2.2-1972	Comply with the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.38, Rev. 2, May 1977, including the regulatory position relative to ANSI N45.2.2-1972, except as follows:
Packaging, Shipping, Receiving, Storage & Handling of Items for Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants."		 Section 3.7.1(1): GE will use cleated, sheathed boxes up to 1000 lb rather than 500 lb. This type of box is safe for, and has been tested for, loads up to 1000 lb. Other national standards allow this; see Federal Specification PPP-B-601. Special qualification testing may be re- quired for loads above 1000 lb.
		 Section 3.7.2: Skids or runners shall be used on con- tainers with a gross weight of 100 lb or more. Skids or runners shall be fabricated from 3x4-inch nominal lumber size minimum and laid flat except where this is impractical because of the small dimensions of the container.
		3. Section 4.3.4: Since title to equipment generally changes hands at the time it is moved off the supplier's dock into the carrier, GE will make these inspections "immediately prior to loading" rather than "after load-ing," as presently indicated. To have this inspection, and possible repair performed after loading, presents legal complications, as once the equipment enters the transport vehicle, the carrier has some responsibility and our customer has ownership.
		4. Appendix Section A3.4.1(4) and (5). During printing of the standard, a transposition occurred between the last sentence of (4) and (5). The correct requirements are as follows:
		 (4) "However, preservatives for inaccessible inside surfaces of pumps, valves, and pipe for systems containing reactor coolant water shall be the

water flushable type."

Table 2-1 NRC REGULATORY GUIDE POSITIONS (Continued)

NRC Regulatory Guide	ANSI Standard	NEBG Position
1.38 (continued)		(5) "The name of the preservative used shall be indicated to facilitate touchup."
		5. Appendix Section A3.4.2(3):Inert gas blankets are currently used on the reactor pressure vessel (RPV) and on some of the heat exchangers supplied by GE. Provisions are made for measuring and maintaining the RPV blanket pressure within the required range during shipment and storage. Heat exchangers or tanks containing carbon steel which require an inert gas blanket will be inerted prior to shipment. During storage, provision shall be made for measuring and maintaining the inert gas blanket pressure within the required range during storage, provision shall be made for measuring and maintaining the inert gas blanket pressure within the required range during the inert gas blanket pressure within the required range within each pressurized purged item or container.
		 Appendix Section A3.7.1(3) and (4). GE will work to the following requirement in lieu of items (3) and (4): Fiberboard boxes shall be securely closed either with a water resistant adhesive applied to the entire area of contact between the flaps, or all seams and joints shall be sealed with not less than 2-inch wide, water-resistant tape.
1.39 — Rev. 2, September 1977, "Housekeeping Requirements for Water- Cooled Nuclear Power Plants."	N45.2.3-1973	Implement regulatory position.
1.58 — Rev. 1, September 1980, "Qualification of Nuclear Power Plant Inspection, Examination, and Testing	N45.2.6-1978	Comply with the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.58, Rev. 1, September, 1980, including the regulatory position rela- tive to ANSI/ASME N45.2.6-1978, except as follows:
Personnel."		1. In lieu of paragraph 2.4 of ANSI N45.2.6, NEBG personnel who are responsible for reviewing and approving inspection and test procedures or per- forming inspection and test activities are consi- dered certified by the fact that they have been evaluated on the basis of training, past experience and performance on related jobs and found fully competent and qualified in the documented func- tions of their assignment. Nondestructive examina- tion procedures will be prepared or reviewed and approved, and performed by personnel who have

been qualified to SNT-TC-1A.
Table 2-1 NRC REGULATORY GUIDE POSITIONS (Continued)

NRC Regulatory Guide	ANSI Standard	NEBG Position
1.58 (continued)		2. In lieu of the formal educational documentation outlined in paragraph C.6 of Regulatory Guide 1.58, Rev. 1, September, 1980, inspectors and testers who do not hold a high school diploma or the General Education Development (GED) equivalent of a high school diploma are evaluated on the basis of past experience and performance on similar jobs to assure that they are fully qualified to perform their assigned duties.
1.64 — Rev. 2, June 1976, "Quality Assurance Requirements for the Design of Nuclear Power Plants."	N45.2.11-1974	Comply with the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.64, Rev. 2, June 1976, including the regulatory position relative to ANSI N45.2.11-1974, except for the following modifying provisions to the second paragraph of Section 6.1 of ANSI N45.2.11-1974:

NEDO-11209 3-31-78

Table 2-1 NRC REGULATORY GUIDE POSITIONS (Continued)

NRC Regulatory Guide	ANSI Standard	NEBG Position
1.64 (continued)		 If, in an exceptional circumstance, the designer's immediate supervisor is the only technically qualified individual available in the organization to perform a design verification by design review, this review may be conducted by the supervisor, providing that:
		 (a) the justification is individually documented and approved in advance by the supervisor's man- agement; and
		(b) QA audits cover frequency and effectiveness of use of supervisors as design verifiers, to guard against abuse.
		2. An individual who contributed to a given design may participate in a group verification of that design provided that the individual who contributed to the design (a) does not verify his contribution to the design, and (b) does not serve as the chairman or leader of the group verification activity.
1.74 — February 1974, "Quality Assurance Terms & Definitions."	N45.2.10-1973	Implement regulatory position.
1.88 — Rev. 2, October 1976, "Collection, Storage & Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plant Quality	N45.2.9-1974	Comply with the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.88, Rev. 2, October 1976, including the regulatory position relative to ANSI N45.2.9-1974, except as follows:
Assurance Records."		 Classification — As specified in Section 3.2.5, QA records will be classified by NEBG as "lifetime" or "non- permanent" in accordance with the definitions provided in Section 2.2 of ANSI N45.2.9-1974. The supplement" to this alternate position identifies the classification so assigned by NEBG for each QA record type and is based on the guidance furnished in Appendix A of ANSI N45.2.9-1974.
		2. Procurement — In accordance with the second sen- tence of Section 1.2, ANSI N45.2.9-1974, the NEBG will identify in procurement documents the QA records which are to be supplied to or maintained for the NEBG or the Owner by suppliers or subsuppliers, including those GE organizations other than the NEBG.

*See Supplement to Regulatory Guide 1.88 Alternate Position on page 2-13.

2

Table 2-1 NRC REGULATORY GUIDE POSITIONS (Continued)

3.

NRC	Regulatory
	Guide

ANSI Standard

1.88 (continued)

Storage and Maintenance - Records classified as "lifetime" will be furnished to the Owner or stored and maintained in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of ANSI N45.2.9-1974. In lieu of the requirements of Sections 5.2-5.6 for "nonpermanent" records, such records will be stored in metal file cabinets as permitted in NFPA-1975 for Class 3 records to prevent loss, damage, or deterioration and maintained in a manner designed to permit accurate retrieval. "Nonpermanent" records, listed in the supplement, which are generated by NEBG or provided to NEBG by NEBG suppliers in accordance with contract requirements, will be retained for periods of time as specified in the supplement to this alternate position. After elapsed retention times, "nonpermanent" records may be disposed of at the option of the NEBG or the supplier. Concurrence with this disposition of nonpermanent records by the Owner is through endorsement of the GE Topical Report NEDO-11209 by its reference in the Owner's Safety Analysis Report.

NEBG Position

- 4. Indexing The NEBG will comply with a substitute to paragraph 3.2.2 of ANSI N45.2.9-1974, which reads: "Both lifetime and nonpermanent records shall be listed in an index or system of indexes. The index or system of indexes shall include, as a minimum, record retention times and the location of the records within the record system. Index systems used by organizations for the retention of records shall provide information which can be used to identify equipment or material."
- 5. Special Processed Records The NEBG will comply with a substitute to paragraph 5.4.3 of ANSI N45.2.9-1974, which reads: "Special Processed Records — Provisions shall be made for special processed records (such as radiographs, photographs, negatives, and microfilm) to prevent damage from excessive light, stacking, electromagnetic fields and temperature. These provisions will be delineated in NEBG internal instructions which will incorporate, or take into consideration, available manufacturer's recommendations."

N45.2.5-1974

Not applicable to standard BWR scope of supply.

1.94 — Rev. 1, April 1976, "Quality Assurance Requirements for Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Structural Concrete and Structural Steel During the Construction Phase of Nuclear Power Plants." NEDO-11209 10-1-80

2

2

Table 2-1 NRC REGULATORY GUIDE POSITIONS (Continued)

NRC Regulatory Guide	ANSI Standard	NEBG Position
1.116, Rev. 0-R, June 1976, "Quality Assurance Requirements for Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Mechanical Equipment and Systems"	N45.2.8-1975	Comply with the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.116, Rev. O-R, June 1976, including the regulatory position relative to ANSI N45.2.8-1975, except as follows: Regulatory Position 3 — comply with the implementation of Regulatory Guide 1.68 as described in GESSAR, Chapter 14, "Initial Test."
1.123, Rev. 1, July 1977, 'Quality Assurance Requirements for Control of Procurement of Items and Services for Nuclear Power Plants''	N45.2.13-1976	Comply with the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.123, Rev. 1, July, 1977, including the regulatory position relative to N45.2.13-1976, except as follows: For items within the NEBG scope of supply, the third sentence of ANSI N45.2.13-1976, Section 8, Control of Nonconformances, paragraph 8.2, item b, is revised to read: "Nonconformances to the contractual procurement requirements or Purchaser approved documents and which consist of one or more of the following shall be submitted to the Purchaser for approval of the recommended disposition prior to shipment when the nonconformance could adversely affect the end use of a module or shippable component" relative to safety, interchangeability. oreability, reliability, integrity, or maintainability: 1. Technical or material requirement is violated. 3. Nonconformance cannot be corrected by continuation of the original manufacturing process or by rework. 4. The item does not conform to the original requirement even though the item can be restored to a condition such that the capability of the item to function is unimpaired."
None issued	N45.2.12-1977, "Requirements for Auditing of Quality Assurance Programs for	Comply with the requirements of ANSI/ASME N45.2.12-1977.

*A module is an assembled device, instrument, or piece of equipment identified by serial number or other identification code, having been evaluated by inspection and/or test for conformance to procurement requirements regarding end use. A shippable component is a part or subassembly of a device, instrument, or piece of equipment which is shipped as an individual item and which has been evaluated by inspection and/or test for conformance to procurement requirements regarding end use.

Nuclear Power Plants"

Table 2-1 NRC REGULATORY GUIDE POSITIONS (Continued)

NRC Regulatory Guide	ANSI Standard	NEBG Position
1.146 — August 1980, "Qualification of Quality	N45.2.23-1978	Comply with the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.146, August, 1980, including the regulatory position relative to
Assurance Program Audit Personnel for Nuclear Power		ANSI/ASME N45.2.23-1978, except as follows:
Plants."		 In lieu of the system to qualify lead auditors, as covered in paragraphs 2.3 and 4.2, General Electric management will evaluate each candidate for lead auditor on an individual case basis. Certification of qualification will be documented showing (1) edu- cation, (2) major training programs, (3) profes- sional licenses/certificates held, (4) general experience, and (5) auditing experience of the can- didate leading to his certification as a lead auditor. The Quality Assurance Manager of the applicable component reviews and determines whether the

 For any new lead auditors initially certified after August, 1981, a record will be made of the evaluation of the candidate. Such records will be classified nonpermanent and maintained with certification of qualification.

candidate is or is not qualified to be a lead auditor.

NEDO-11209 3-1-85

NEBO Retention Classification*

5

SUPPLEMENT TO THE ALTERNATE POSITION ON REGULATORY GUIDE 1.88

SAFETY-RELATED AND/OR ASME CODE RECORD TYPES, STORAGE/MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY AND RETENTION CLASSIFICATION

Storage/Maintenance Responsibility*

A-1 Design Records

Applicable Codes & Standards used in Design	G	L
As-Constructed Drawings	N/A	
Certified Design Specifications - Code Equipment	0**	L
Certified Stress Reports - Code Equipment	0**	L
Design Calculations & Record of Checks (Design Verification)	G	L
Design Change Requests (Engineering Change Notices)	G	L
Design Deviations (Nonconformance Reports)	G	L
Design Procedures and Manuals (EOP Manual)	G	A
Design Reports (Nonproprietary)	0**	L
Design Reports (Proprietary)	G	L
Design Review Reports	G	L
Drawing Control Procedures (GE Drafting Manual) (EOP Manual)	G	A
GE-Approved Supplier Drawings and Procedures	G	L
NDE Specifications	G	L
Operation and Maintenance Manuals	0**	L
Preoperation and Startup Test Specifications	0**	L
Purchase & Design Specifications & Amendments (including purchased part drawings for design and build orders)	0**	L
Purchased Part Drawings for Items used in NEBO Manufacture	G	L
QA System Audit Reports	G	В
Quality Requirements Summary (NEBO Make Reactor Equipment)	0**	L
Reports of Engineering Surveillance of Field Activity	N/A	
Safety Analysis Report (GE Input)	0**	L
Stress Reports	G	L
Systems Descriptions (Nonproprietary System Design Specifications)	0**	L
Systems Descriptions (Proprietary System Design Specifications)	G	L
Systems Process and Instrumentation Diagrams (P&ID)	0**	L
Technical Analysis, Evaluations, and Reports (Nonproprietary Topical Reports)	0**	L
Technical Analysis, Evaluations, and Reports (Proprietary Topical Reports)	G	L

A.2 Procurement Records (Procured Engineered Equipment)

Audit Reports	G	В
Procurement Procedures (NS&SO OP&P Manual)	G	Α
Procurement Specification	0**	7
Purchase Order (unpriced) including Amendments	0**	7
Purchaser's (NEBO) Pre-Award QA Survey	G	В
Product Quality Certification	0**	7
OA Records Rinder (Specified Supplier Manufacturing Records)	0**	7
Receiving Records	N/A	

NEDO-11209 3-1-85

5

SUPPLEMENT TO THE ALTERNATE POSITION ON REGULATORY GUIDE 1.88 (Continued)

orage/Maintenance

sponsibility*

G

NEBO Retention Classification*

D

L

Supplier's QA Program Manual Welding Procedures

A.3 Manufacturing Records (NEBO Make)

Applicable Code Data Reports	0**	L
As-Built Drawings and Records (Parts and Assembly Drawings)	G	L
As-Built Drawings and Records (Outline Drawings)	0**	L
ASME Code - Permanent Records Index	0**	L
Certificate of Inspection & Test Personnel & Qualification	G	A
Certificates of Compliance (Product Quality Certification)	0**	L
Cleaning Procedures	G	10
Eddy-Current Examination Procedures	G	10
Eddy-Current Examination Final Results	G	L
Electrical Control Verification Test Results	G	L
Ferrite Test Procedures	G	10
Ferrite Test Results	G	L
Forming & Bending Procedure Qualifications	G	7†
Heat Treatment Procedures	G	10
Heat Treatment Records	G	L
Hot Bending Procedure	G	10
In-Process (Final) Inspection & Test Results	G	10
Insp. & Test Instr. & Tooling Calib. Procedures & Records	G	С
Liquid Fenetrant Examination Procedure	G	10
Liquid Fenetrant Examination Final Results	G	L
Location of Weld Filler Material	G	L
Magnetic Particle Examination Procedure	G	10
Magnetic Particle Examination Final Results	G	L
Major Defect Repair Records (except San Jose Manufactured Code Items)	G	L
Major Defect Repair Records (NS&SO Code Items)	0**	L
Materials Properties Records (except San Jose Manufactured Code Items)	G	L
Materials Properties Records (NS&SO Code Items)	0**	L
Nonconformance Reports	G	L
Packaging, Receiving, and Storage Procedures (except those contained in QA Manuals)	G	10
Performance Test Procedure & Results Records	G	L
Pipe and Fitting Location Report	G	L
Pressure Test Procedure	G	10
Pressure Test Results	G	L
Product Equipment Calibration Procedure	G	C
Product Equipment Calibration Records	G	С
Product Quality Checklist (NFMD only)	0**	L
Purchase Orders for Material used on NEBO Make Items	G	10
Purchaser's (NEBO) Pre-Award QA Survey	G	В
	Applicable Code Data Reports As-Built Drawings and Records (Parts and Assembly Drawings) As-Built Drawings and Records (Outline Drawings) ASME Code — Permanent Records Index Certificate of Inspection & Test Personnel & Qualification Certificates of Compliance (Product Quality Certification) Cleaning Procedures Eddy-Current Examination Procedures Eddy-Current Examination Final Results Electrical Control Verification Test Results Ferrite Test Procedures Ferrite Test Procedures Ferrite Test Results Forming & Bending Procedure Qualifications Heat Treatment Procedures Heat Treatment Procedures Heat Treatment Procedures Heat Treatment Records Hot Bending Procedure In-Process (Final) Inspection & Test Results Insp. & Test Instr. & Tooling Calib. Procedures & Records Liquid Fenetrant Examination Procedure Liquid Fenetrant Examination Procedure Magnetic Particle Examination Procedure Magnetic Particle Examination Final Results Major Defect Repair Records (except San Jose Manufactured Code Items) Materials Properties Records (NS&SO Code Items) Performance Test Procedure Pressure Test Procedure Pressure Test Procedure Pressure	Applicable Code Data Reports 0** As-Built Drawings and Records (Parts and Assembly Drawings) G As-Built Drawings and Records (Outline Drawings) 0** ASME Code — Permanent Records Index 0** Certificate of Inspection & Test Personnel & Qualification G Certificates of Compliance (Product Quality Certification) 0** Cleaning Procedures G Eddy-Current Examination Final Results G Electrical Control Verification Test Results G Ferrite Test Results G Forming & Bending Procedures G Heat Treatment Procedures G Heat Treatment Procedures G Heat Treatment Procedures G In-Process (Final) Inspection & Test Results G Insp. & Test Instr. & Tooling Calib. Procedures & Records G Liquid Fenetrant Examination Final Results G Insp. & Test Instr. & Tooling Calib. Procedures G Magnetic Particle Examination Final Results G Magnetic Particle Examination Final Results G Magnetic Particle Examination Final Results G Major Defect Repair Records (NS&SO Code Items) O** <

2.14

5 .

ance

SUPPLEMENT TO THE ALTERNATE POSITION ON REGULATORY GUIDE 1.88 (Continued)

	Storage/Mainte Responsibil	NEBO Retenti Classification
QA System Audit Report	G	В
QA Manuals, Procedures & Instructions	G	Α
Radiographic Procedures	G	10
Radiographic Review Forms (except San Jose Manufactured Code Items)	G	L
Radiographic Review Forms (NS&SO Code Items)	0**	L
Radiographs (except San Jose Manufactured Code Items)	G	E
Radiographs (NS&SO Items)	0**	E
Receiving Inspection Records	G	7†
Safety-Related Items Log (NC&ID only)	G	L
Ultrasonic Examination Procedures	G	10
Ultrasonic Examination Final Results	G	L
Supplier Certificates of Conformance accompanied by Special Specified		
Data	G	L
Supplier's QA Program Manual	G	D
Welding Materials Control Procedures (except those contained in QA		
manuals)	G	10
Welding Personnel Qualification	G	7†
Welding Procedure Qualifications and Data Reports	G	7†
Welding Procedures	G	L
Work Processing and Sequencing Documents	G	10

* See legend. Records transmitted to Owner are consistent with contract requirements.

** Where NEBO supplies QA records to the Owner, NEBO will normally retain copies as needed for NEBO business purposes. The NEBO records storage, however, should not be considered as Owner's second storage facility without NEBO agreement.

+ For orders placed after June 1, 1978, this retention period is extended to 10 years from shipment of the product.

Legend

N/A = Not applicable to NEBO (Owner or AE scope of Responsibility)

- G = Retained by or For GE-NEBO
- O = Transmitted to Owner
- L = Lifetime retention (life of the item)
- 7 = 7 years retention (from date of generation)
- 10 = 10 years retention (from date of generation)
- A = Retained for 3 years after being superseded or invalidated
- B = Retained until corrective action is completed or 3 years (whichever is later)
- C = Retained until recalibrated
- D = Retained until purchase order is closed out
- E = Retained for lifetime per NRC request or until NRC permits their disposal

5

5

3 DESIGN CONTROL

3.1 GENERAL

The design of structures, systems, and components is controlled within the various design organizations to assure safe and reliable performance of products and services to be supplied. The design control processes are documented in practices and procedures which establish the responsibilities and interfaces of each organizational unit that has an assigned design responsibility. The practices and procedures include measures to assure that:

- design requirements are defined and design activities are carried out in a planned, controlled, and orderly manner;
- appropriate quality requirements and standards are specified in design documents;
- suitable materials, components, and processes are specified in design documentation;
- appropriate design verification methods are selected and implemented by individuals or groups not having direct responsibility for the original design; and
- design changes are controlled to the same level as was applied to the original design, including review and approval by the same organization that performed the original review and approval unless another responsible organization is designated by NEBO management.

Each GE BWR offered for sale is of a current product line which has been engineered as a "Standard Plant" design for each of several ratings. The "Standard Plant" designs, and changes thereto, are reviewed for conformance to GE product safety standards and to the applicable NRC regulations independent of sales commitments, and are updated as necessary to assure compliance with changes to these standards and regulations. Modifications to the "Standard Plant" for a particular sale are made only pursuant to the contract with the Owner, provided safety and warranty conditions are not adversely affected. Since each nuclear system sale (called "project" after sale is made) is based on a "Standard Plant," it is not necessary to repeat the review of the "Standard Plant" design documentation for each project, but only to review the modifications, if any, for each project.

The NEBO engineering organizations define and document acceptable and approved materials, parts, equipment, and processes. The definition is documented in controlled design manuals and standards specifications. Standard off-the-shelf commercial items are included. The standards specifications are reviewed, approved, and issued in accordance with the engineering review system. In addition, all equipment designers have available to them direct consultation services of materials engineers during the design action and design review phases. Application selection of materials is based upon this review system, and review for suitability occurs during the engineering review for design verification action. Where engineering experience identifies a need for testing confirmation, suitable controlled gualification tests are performed.

Each discrete NEBO design is subject to the NEBO design control system. The NEBO design control measures are applied to: analyses for performance parameters; reactor physics; stress; thermal; hydraulic; radiation; accidents; compatibility of materials; accessibility for in-service inspection, maintenance, and repair; and specification of criteria for inspection and test.

The contractual design basis and data unique to a specific project is defined to the engineering organizations by the project or program manager. The information is documented in the appropriate project/program release document, e.g., the Project Work Authorization (PWA), which authorizes and initiates the engineering and licensing work for the project. NEDO-11209 3-1-85

3.2 DESIGN INTERFACE CONTROL

agent design organizations.

To provide assurance of structure, system, and component interface compatibility, NEBO design documents are distributed for information, review, and coordination by affected design organizations. The responsible engineer is required to have design documents reviewed for interface compatibility by individuals having responsibility for the interfacing documentation in order to assure that there is no conflict in the design objectives and that the product resulting from the interfacing designs will function as planned. When required by contract, design documents are also furnished to the Owner and/or his agents to provide for interface compatibility review and coordination by Owner/

5

5

5

NUCLEAR STEAM SUPPLY SYSTEM DESIGN 3.3

Design specifications and data sheets containing design basis and other data for a specific contract are developed by the design engineer based on the applicable project/program release document and issued to the responsible design organizations in the early months following the receipt of an order. The design controlling documents provide the basis for detailed systems, structure, and component design and typically include the system and structure design specifications, piping and instrumentation diagrams, process diagrams, functional control diagrams, and instrument engineering diagrams.

The design specifications, data sheets, and design controlling documents incorporate the design and safety requirements for each plant. These designs are subject to independent design verification within engineering, as described in Subsection 3.8. These designs also are reviewed by the project engineer for each project before they can be applied to that project (see Item 2 of Table 3-1). The project engineer and project manager assigned to the particular project are responsible for overall project coordination, but are not directly responsible for the preparation of the engineering design or the documentation issued for the project. The various engineering organizations fo the NEBO are responsible for the design and design control activities for the GE BWR. Engineering personnel are authorized to define and prepare performance parameters and to document the design of systems and equipment. They obtain necessary internal engineering interface consultation and services as required. They provide final design approval in accordance with documented engineering practices and procedures. Responsibility for internal design document control is vested in the engineering support organizations of the NEBO. Responsibility for interface control with the Owner is assigned to the responsible project or program manager.

In addition to the design specifications, data sheets, and design control documents, engineering organizations issue general standard design specifications which establish standard requirements for designing components which satisfy the system and structures requirements. These standard design specifications identify applicable codes, standards, regulations, and other requirements to be utilized to assure compliance with safety criteria, quality levels, and other specific requirements which have been imposed to obtain acceptable quality, safety, and reliability. These design specifications are subject to design verification review prior to issue. The original issue and any subsequent changes to the document for the project are subjected to review for project application by the project engineer.

A design freeze review is made of the engineering work after the design documents have been issued and the Owner has had an opportunity to review them for adherence to the contractual requirements and to provide interface design data from the balance of plant design (see Item 4 of Table 3-1). The system design freeze review ic made by a review team coordinated by the review team leaders, and covers the data sheets, the design controlling documents, and the general standard design specifications described above. The team includes (1) the project licensing engineer who participates in the review of the documents against requirements of the PSAR and any applicable amendments, (2) the responsible design engineers who participate in the review to provide necessary design information and who initiate and follow through on any required changes, and (3) the project engineer who participates in the review of the documents against the contract requirements.

Following implementation of any changes required as a result of the design freeze review, the design is considered frozen and further changes to the design documentation will be made only for the following reasons: (1) requests by the Owner for changes from the original plant as sold, (2) changes to meet requirements of applicable codes, standards, and regulatory agencies, (3) feedback from recent plant startup testing or operating plant experience, or (4)

5

5

5

5

other information identifying changes required to make a system or equipment function properly and safely. Results of design freeze reviews are documented and filed in a controlled manner for future reference in accordance with applicable codes, standards, and regulations. For identification of those positions or organizations responsible for the various types of reviews held in NEBO, refer to Table 3-1.

3.4 DESIGN OF PURCHASED EQUIPMENT

The design documentation of NEBO-purchased components (including instrumentation and controls and materials used in the fabrication of NEBO-manufactured components) consists of one or more of the following documents: equipment purchase specifications which specify general requirements, including materials, processes, workmanship, and acceptance criteria; purchased part drawings which show the outline and interface requirements; and specific data sheets or project sheets which define the project unique requirements of the equipment. The responsible engineer prepares the specifications, drawings and data sheets and is responsible for assuring that they conform to the input requirements such as the project PWA, the nuclear system data sheets, system design control docu-5 ments, interface control drawings, the general design specifications, and applicable codes, standards and regulations. The designs are subjected to design verification review including a review to assure that the design documents meet any unique project requirements. Initial issues of purchase specifications for engineered equipment are reviewed by purchasing and QA prior to supplier bidding. Changes to the documents after the purchase order is placed, are controlled as described in Subsection 3.1, "Design Change Control."

The purchase documentation identifies the documents, such as drawings, procedures, calculations, and test data which must be submitted by the supplier for review and approval by engineering and/or QA (see Item 5 of Table 3-1).

Product design and quality requirements are transmitted from engineering to purchasing through controlled issuance of documents which identify the product and specify applicable drawings, specifications, and QA requirements. Initial issuance and revisions are controlled by written procedures.

DESIGN OF REACTOR EQUIPMENT COMPONENTS 3.5

Design documentation for reactor equipment manufactured by NFMD consists of specific detailed product drawings augmented by design and process specifications necessary to fabricate, inspect, and test the finished product. The responsible engineer assures that the design documents conform to the project PWA, the nuclear system data sheets, the system design control documents, the general design specifications, and the applicable codes, standards, and regulations before release to manufacturing. The design documents are subjected to a design verification review and a review by cognizant materials engineers Reviews are also conducted with NFMD Manufacturing Engineering and Quality Assurance to assure compatibility with manufacturing and quality control technology and capability. Changes initiated after this point are controlled as described in Subsection 3.10, "Design Change Control."

Product requirements are transmitted to NFMD through issuance of controlled engineering instructions, or in the case of spare and renewal parts orders, by Requisition Instruction Sheets (RIS's). These documents specify the applicable drawings and specifications.

DESIGN OF CONTROLS AND INSTRUMENTATION 3.6

The system design controlling documentation for controls and instrumentation consists of design specifications, instrument engineering diagrams, functional control drawings, and general controls and instrumentation specifications which incorporate the general functional, environmental, material, and test requirements. The responsible engineer obtains interface review of the documents he has initiated and assures that they meet the requirements of the project PWA, applicable nuclear system data sheets, system design control documents, interface control drawings, and general design specifications, as well as the applicable codes, standards, and regulations. Reviewers include the project engineer and other engineers responsible for systems or equipment with which there exists a design interface. In addition, a design verification review is performed to assure correctness and completeness of design, including specification of the appropriate quality requirements.



5

5

5

5

5

5

5

5

The detail design of controls and instrumentation by engineering and subcontractor-designers encompasses the generation of system elementary diagrams and connection diagrams, panel and rack arrangement drawings, purchased part drawings, instrument data sheets, manufacturing drawings, and instruction manuals. The instrument data sheets define the characteristics of the measurable parameters, the instrument environment ranges, accuracies, set points, and locations of instruments required by the system design. These detail design documents are subjected to design verification review. The detail design makes use of standard products and purchased components which have been procured in accordance with approved functional specifications, and qualified for performance and design adequacy in accordance with documented procedures by a separate testing group. Design requirements, qualification test reports, calculations, and other design data are reviewed for design adequacy in accordance with documented procedures. Product requirements are transmitted from engineering to the manufacturing organization through issuance of controlled documents which specify applicable drawings, specifications, and project unique requirements. Changes to the controls and instrumentation documents after release to manufacture are controlled as described in Subsection 3.10 "Design Change Control."

3.7 DESIGN OF FUEL

Nuclear system performance requirements and design bases for nuclear fuel are specified by Fuel Design Engineering. Detailed fuel drawings and specifications are prepared and are subjected to a design verification review.
 These documents are reviewed with Manufacturing and QA personnel to assure compatibility with manufacturing and quality control technology and capability prior to release for manufacture. Changes to drawings or specifications are made through the use of Engineering Change Notices (ECNs) that are reviewed for consistency within cognizant organizations, and are approved by the engineering organization that approved the original design. Design changes affecting system design or the design of non-fuel components are reviewed for system interface compatibility with other affected organizations in the NEBO.

Product requirements are transmitted from engineering to manufacturing through issuance of controlled documents which specify applicable drawings and specifications. The Nuclear Fuel Projects Department also specifies other project unique requirements to NFMD through the issuance of controlled Project Work Authorizations.

Design review, design release, and design change control systems are formally documented and implemented for all designs of fuel components and assemblies.

5 3.8 DESIGN VERIFICATION

Design verification is a process for an independent review of designs against design requirements to confirm that the designer's methods and conclusions are consistent with requirements, and that the resulting design is adequate for its specified purpose. Product designs and each application thereof are verified, consistent with contract requirements. Design verification is performed and documented by persons other than those responsible for the design using the method specified by the design organization. The methods of design verification include one or more of the following: design review, qualification testing, alternate or simplified calculations, or checking. When qualification testing is used as the sole means of verifying design adequacy, a prototype or initial production unit is tested under the most adverse operational conditions expected to be experienced by the product (see Item 1 of Table 3-1).

For a given application, no additional verification is required for spare or renewal parts which are the same as those originally supplied. If spare or renewal parts for an application are of a modified or different design from the original design, the differences between the new part and the original part are verified for the new application. If spare or renewal parts are used in a new application (a different system, different power plant, etc.) the designs are verified for the differences between the old and the new application.

5 3.9 TEAM DESIGN REVIEW

A team design review is a formal, independent evaluation of designs by persons other than those directly responsible and accountable for the design. These activities are ongoing reviews of designs, selected by engineering

management, to evaluate the adequacy of product designs including concepts, the design process, methods, analytical models, criteria, materials, applications, or development programs. When appropriate, design reviews are used to verify that product designs meet functional, contractual, safety, regulatory, industry codes and standards, and NEBO requirements. The design review team selection depends on the product design and the type of review. The team's technical competence will fall into three broad categories: (1) those with broad design experience on similar products, (2) those with specialized technical expertise such as in heat transfer, materials, structural analysis, etc., and (3) those with a functional expertise such as manufacturing engineering, product service, legal, etc. (see Item 3 of Table 3-1).

3.10 DESIGN CHANGE CONTROL

Following issuance of engineering documents, a design change control procedure is implemented with controls commensurate with those applied to the original design. Measures for documenting and dispositioning errors and deficiencies in the design and for determining and implementing corrective actions are described in this section as well as in Subsection 3.11, "Field Change Control." The end result, after all changes have been incorporated, is reflected in accurate drawings, specifications and other documents which fully describe the final design for equipment supplied. The control procedure requires that every change must be documented, design verified, approved by the responsible engineer, and reviewed by the appropriate interfacing components. The responsible engineer is charged with the responsibility for defining all other design documents affected by the change, and for resolving and coordinating changes with other engineers whose documents are affected. The Engineering Change Notice (ECN) is used for documenting the change and its approval.

The ECNs are identified, issued, and controlled in accordance with documented procedures. Copies of ECNs are distributed to design interface personnel, including the responsible engineer who approved the change, the project manager, and to engineering, manufacturing, purchasing, and QA personnel in other organizations, as appropriate. Design changes affecting interface conditions between Owner-supplied and NEBO-supplied equipment are identified and reviewed by the project manager with the Owner or his designated representative. Such documented changes are transmitted by the project manager to the Owner or his designated representative for implementation of design and field changes, as appropriate, in his interfacing scope of supply.

3.11 FIELD CHANGE CONTROL

After delivery of equipment and during the installation and startup phases at the plant site, field changes that become necessary fall into two general classes: first, those generated by design changes, and second, those initiated in the field as a result of unique field conditions. In order to accomplish a field change on NEBO-furnished components, the responsible engineer processes a design change in accordance with Subsection 3.10, "Design Change Control" and issues a Field Disposition Instruction (FDI) which identifies the components affected, the changes to be made, the parts to be replaced, the source of replacement parts the disposition of parts replaced, the procedures to be followed in making the change, and the quality requirements to be met. The responsible engineer is also responsible for providing instructions for the manufacture or procurement of the replacement parts, as applicable, and for assuring that instructions are issued for other projects requiring such changes. The design documentation supporting the FDI is subjected to a design verification review and is reviewed by the project engineer. The field change is then authorized by the project manager prior to distribution of the FDI.

When it becomes necessary to ship products to the site before manufacturing is complete (ship short) either by NEBO or its suppliers, an FDI is issued which identifies the work to be completed in the field. Such FDIs identify the necessary engineering and quality requirements.

Changes initiated by field organizations generally are the result of deviations from the planned construction or preoperational conditions. In order to initiate action to accomplish a field change on NEBO-furnished components, the field organization generates a Field Deviation Disposition Request (FDDR) which identifies the deviation and the proposed changes to correct or compensate for the deviation. The engineering and project management organizations review the FDDR for compliance with the established design criteria and for safety, performance, and functional design requirements. If the proposed method is found to be satisfactory, the FDDR is then reviewed and released for

5

5

NEDO-11209 3-1-85

field implementation. If the proposed method for correction does not comply with the established criteria and requirements, the responsible engineer disapproves the FDDR, and an alternate solution is presented by modification of the FDDR or by issuing an approved FDI. If an FDDR results in a design change, documents are changed by use of the ECN. When an FDDR indicates an inherent problem which affects more than one project, the responsible engineer issues appropriate ECNs to effect changes to the design documents for all plants affected. The FDIs, FDDRs, and ECNs are formally identified, prepared, and controlled in accordance with documented procedures.

The NEBO installation engineers and technical specialists provide technical direction of Owner-implemented field changes during the installation, preoperational and startup testing phases. Also, verification of field change implementation during the installation phase is accomplished by NEBO surveillance of NEBO-supplied systems and components. NEBO-implemented changes at the site are performed and controlled under the direction of the NEBO.

5 3.12 DESIGN CHANGE APPLICATION

The system for assuring controlled changes in the design has been described in preceding subsections. In addition, when conditions adverse to quality or safety are identified in designs, they are documented with proposed corrective action and proposed project application of the corrective action. This is reviewed by appropriate management through a review board to finalize agreement on the necessary design change action and the projects affected. Following management decision to apply the corrective action to specific projects, the responsible engineer makes the necessary design document changes and issues Material Request (MR) changes, Engineering Instruction changes, or FDIs, where appropriate, to implement the design change. As a result, corrective action is applied to all projects where action to correct the cause of the condition is deemed appropriate (see Item 6 of Table 3-1).

5

Table 3-1 TYPICAL DESIGN AND APPLICATION REVIEWS 5

	Review Type	Review Scope	Responsible Organization or Individual	Text References
	Design verification prior to issue of design documentation and changes thereto.	Verification of correct translation of requirements and application.	Designated design engineer for verification.	See Subsection 3.8 "Design Verification."
	Project application review.	Incorporation of contract requirements.	Project engineer for each project.	See Subsection 3.3, "Nuclear Steam Supply System Design."
3.	Team design review to determine adequacy of product design.	Evaluation of design for application of new safety, licensing, or operational requirements.	Review team selected by management.	See Subsection 3.9 "Team Design Review."
	Design freeze review.	Design contract, and licensing compatibility.	Responsible design, licensing, and project engineers.	See Subsection 3.3, "Nuclear Steam Supply System Design."
5.	Review of vendor design for compliance with purchase specifications.	Vendor compliance with requirements; design adequacy.	Each design engineer responsible for the equipment design.	See Subsection 3.4 "Design of Purchased Equipment."
5.	Review of significant design change proposals.	Authorization of design change and project application.	Management review board.	See Subsection 3.12, "Design Change Application."

3-7/3-8

NEDO-11209 3-1-85

σ

4 PROCUREMENT DOCUMENT CONTROL

Measures are established and documented for the preparation, review, approval, and control of procurement documents to provide assurance that regulatory requirements, design bases, and other requirements which are necessary to assure the requisite level of quality are included or referenced in the documents for procurement of items and services, including spare and replacement parts and subcontractor design services. Design quality requirements, such as those documented in drawings and specifications are prepared, reviewed, approved, and issued by the appropriate engineering organizations, prior to order placement. Additional quality compliance requirements, such as supplier QA Program and documentation requirements, and provisions for source surveillance and audit, are prepared, reviewed, approved, and issued by QA organizations for inclusion in the procurement document packages. Reviews of procurement documents by QA personnel are made to provide assurance that quality requirements are complete and correctly stated and that they can be controlled by the supplier and verified by NEBO QA personnel. Purchase Specifications are reviewed and signed off by QA on an Engineering Review Memorandum prior to issuance.

The NEBO documents for procurement of engineered equipment are approved by the responsible engineer. QA 5 develops QA requirements which are applied to each Material Request for engineered equipment or services and which become a part of the purchase contract. Each purchase order is available to QA for planning purposes. 5

Quality-related changes in procurement documents are subject to the same level of control as was exercised in the preparation of the original procurement documents. The NEBO purchasing organizations close out purchase orders only after ascertaining that all equipment and documentation has been received and that the pertinent records accurately reflect the procurement actions. The pertinent records are then stored and maintained in a systematic and controlled manner in designated record retention areas for the length of time specified by contract or other legal requirements.

The following information and requirements are included in procurement documents, as deemed appropriate by NEBO QA engineers:

- GE Supplier Quality Assurance Program identification of the requirements of the QA Program to be developed and implemented by the supplier, such as design control, document preparation and control, purchased material control, control of materials, special process controls, inspection and test control, control of measuring and test equipment, handling, storage, and shipment control, inspection and test status control, nonconforming material control, corrective action, auditing, etc.
- Basic Technical Requirements Drawings, specifications, codes, industrial standards, and the applicable requirements of those Regulatory Guides and ANSI Standards identified in Table 2-1, with applicable revision data, test and inspection requirements, including test equipment, special instructions, and requirements such as for designing, fabricating, cleaning, packaging, handling, shipping, and normal or extended storage in the field.
- Source Surveillance and Audit Provisions for access to the plant facilities, records, and documentation necessary for source surveillance or audit by the NEBO, the Owner, or his agent personnel when the need for such access has been determined.
- Document Requirements Identification of supplier documents and records to be prepared, controlled, retained, maintained, submitted, or made available for NEBO review and/or approval. Such records include: drawings; specifications; procedures; procurement documents; inspection and test records; personnel and procedure qualifications; material, chemical, and physical property test results; and product quality certifications.
- Lower Tier Procurement Extension of applicable requirements of procurement documents to lower tier suppliers.

5 INSTRUCTIONS, PROCEDURES, AND DRAWINGS

Documented instructions, procedures, and drawings are utilized to communicate quality requirements throughout all phases of design, purchasing, manufacturing, and construction. Activities affecting quality, including methods of complying with 10CFR50, Appendix B, are delineated, accomplished, and controlled by such documents as policies, procedures, operating instructions, design specifications, shop drawings, planning sheets, test and inspection procedures, and standing instructions. Each organization performing QA-related functions, such as engineering, manufacturing and QA is responsible for the preparation, review, approval, release, application, and maintenance of its own documentation.

Components, assemblies, and systems furnished by the NEBO are inspected or tested in accordance with instructions and/or check lists written for the purpose of communicating quality requirements and inspection and test methods to quality control (QC) inspection and test personnel. These instructions may be included on a shop traveler or be documented on a special inspection or test instruction, depending on the nature or complexity of the quality control operation to be performed. The documents define or reference appropriate quantitative criteria such as dimensions, tolerances, and operating limits or qualitative criteria such as comparative workmanship samples or visual standards for determining that important activities have been satisfactorily accomplished. The associated shop traveler or QA record provides space for recording or stamping identification of the individual performing the inspection or test and is the record of the quality control operation. This document serves as evidence of completion of the operation and certification of the acceptance status of the item(s) being inspected. Other sections of this QA Program description contain more detailed information concerning the specific documents employed in prescribing quality-related activities.

Approval and issuance authority for the various document types is in accordance with Table 5-1.

5

	-		
			Δ.
		-	

Table 5-1 KEY QA PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION APPROVAL AND ISSUANCE AUTHORITY*

Document Type	NEBO Vice President	Division Level Managers	QA&RO Manager	Dept. Level Managers	Engr. Managers	QA Managers	Mfg. Engr. Managers
NEBO Policy and Procedures	x						
NEBO Procedures	x	x					
NEBO QA Program Description	x						
Division-level Policies							
and Procedures		x					
Department-Level Procedures				x			
Safety Analysis Report							
QA Input			X				
Design Input					x		
Product Design Documentation					x		
QA Planning, Instructions,							
Procedures, and Manuals						x	
Manufacturing Process Instructions and Procedures							x

* Approval and issuance authority may be delegated by the respective managers.

5-2

6 DOCUMENT CONTROL

Procedures and practices are established, documented, and implemented to control the issuance and use of documents which prescribe activities affecting quality, including: design basis specifications; purchase specifications; test and inspection instructions; manufacturing, construction, and installation drawings; operating procedures; and QA plans and manuals. These measures provide assurance that documents, including changes, are reviewed for adequacy and approved for release by authorized personnel and are promptly distributed for use at the location where the prescribed activity is performed. Changes to documents are reviewed and approved by representatives of the same organization that approved the original document unless another organization is specifically designated by appropriate management. The designated reviewing individual(s) has access to pertinent background information upon which to base the review, and is determined by the NEBO management to have an adequate understanding of the requirements and intent of the original document.

Through established distribution and communication systems, those participating in an activity are furnished or apprised of current applicable instructions for performing the activity. Participating organizations have documented procedures for control of these documents, and the changes thereto, to assure use of the proper documents and to preclude the possibility of use of outdated or inappropriate documents.

The NEBO engineering services organizations are assigned responsibility for the initial distribution, safeguarding of originals and future retrieval of design documents. Controlled distribution lists are maintained to assure that distribution is to responsible individuals and organizations. The NEBO organizations utilize a design record retention/ retrieval system based on prints made from microfilm/microfiche. When a document is issued or revised, the engineering services organization replaces the prior revision microfilm and relocates it to an obsolete file.

The NEBO-QA-related documents such as the Project Master Parts List, Project Work Authorizations, Engineering Instructions, Shop Travelers, Work Orders, QA Plans, QA Procedures and those documents identified in Subsection 2.2 are controlled in accordance with the following provisions:

- Individuals or organizations responsible for preparing, reviewing, approving, and issuing documents and their revisions are identified by higher level procedures with appropriate authority for such delegation of responsibility.
- Interface documents are developed, coordinated, controlled, and require mutual agreement between the interfacing organizations.
- Assurance that proper documents are being used is ascertained by cognizant supervisors and verified by QA through inspection and audits.
- Distribution lists are established by the issuing organization and include those individuals or organizations with a need to know in order to fulfill their assigned responsibilities.
- Revised documents are distributed to those on controlled distribution of the original or prior revision of the document. Distribution of changes or additions to issued manuals and other QA documents is made to a controlled distribution list with instructions to the recipient as to the disposition of the obsolete documents.

7 CONTROL OF PURCHASED MATERIAL, EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES

Procedures and practices are established and documented to provide assurance that purchased items and services, whether purchased directly or through subcontractors, conform to the procurement document requirements. These measures include provisions, as appropriate, for the following: source evaluation and selection; review of procurement requirements; QA or engineering review of supplier documents, appropriate objective evidence of quality furnished by the supplier; surveillance, inspection, or audit at the source; and examination or review of items or services upon delivery or completion. Documentation of such requirements is originated and maintained by the cognizant QA organizations. Spare and renewal parts that perform safety-related functions are procured to a level of quality standards and controls that are at least as stringent as those employed for the purchase of the original equipment.

Measures for evaluation, certification, or qualification, and selection of procurement sources by engineering and QA personnel include the use of historical quality performance data, source surveys (including a review of the supplier's QA Program), or source qualification programs. Specific provisions for QA review, concurrence and audit of NEBO supplier's QA Programs are delineated in purchase orders for safety-related equipment or services. Suppliers of engineered equipment and services are required to document their QA Program in accordance with the applicable elements of ANSI N45.2 and to submit their documented QA Program to the NEBO for review. Those comments affecting component quality are resolved prior to commencement of any work which could be affected by the comments.

The NEBO suppliers are subject to audit/evaluation by NEBO QA personnel for evaluation of the sufficiency of the supplier's QA Program and for adequacy of implementation. Each supplier of safety-related equipment or services is audited or evaluated initially to determine acceptability of their QA Program. If acceptable, the supplier is placed on an approved supplier list. Following placement on the approved supplier list, the supplier is either: (1) audited annually to determine the continued acceptability of the supplier's program, or (2) evaluated annually to determine if an audit is required during the upcoming year. When an evaluation is performed, the results are documented and approved by responsible QA personnel. This evaluation considers pertinent factors, such as: the results of previous audits; history of performance of product and/or purchased service; effectiveness of implementation of the supplier's QA Program; and the importance, complexity, and quality requirements of the item or service concerned. Active suppliers of "safety related" items are audited at least every 3 years.*

Source inspection or surveillance is performed by NEBO QA organizations as necessary to assure the required quality of an item or service. The QA organizations may elect not to perform source inspection or surveillance of supplier activities when the quality of the item or service is to be verified by some other method such as: review of test reports, inspection or review upon receipt, or by the performance of acceptance or installation tests. The QA representatives responsible for supplier audit and surveillance are typically assigned responsibilities as follows:

- Review purchase specifications and drawings to assure a clear understanding of quality requirements.
- Participate in preproduction reviews with supplier personnel to assure mutual understanding of quality requirements.
- Review suppliers' detailed fabrication process sheets to assure proper sequencing and adequate inprocess inspection, test, and control.
- Witness and audit various qualifications, tests, inspections, and nondestructive examinations.
- Audit heat treatment, welding, cleaning, preserving, packing, and packaging activities.
- Review supplier QA records for compliance with requirements.

^{*} May be satisfied by ASME survey.

- Audit supplier conformance with established procedures, such as:
 - Use of approved drawings and procedures
 - Use of approved product and process guality planning
 - Use of inspection and test personnel who are organizationally independent from production and scheduling personnel
 - Document change control
 - Nonconforming material control
 - Material identification and traceability control
 - Control and calibration of measuring equipment
 - Control of welding
- Complete product quality certifications prior to release of equipment for direct-to-site shipment.

A Product Quality Certification (PQC) or equivalent certification is transmitted with the equipment whenever safety-related items are shipped to a nuclear power plant. The document certifies that the items identified therein are in conformance with the procurement quality requirements, including applicable codes, standards, and specifications as identified in documents referenced on the certification. Any nonconformances to procurement quality requirements that may exist or modules being shipped are identified or referenced on the certification. (Reference Subsection 15.2, "Reporting of Nonconformances.")

5

5

5

8 IDENTIFICATION AND CONTROL OF MATERIALS, PARTS, AND COMPONENTS

Procedures and practices are established and documented which provide for the identification and control of materials, parts, and components, including partially fabricated subassemblies. The identification and control measures are documented in engineering, manufacturing, and QA-originated documents, and are implemented by the appropriate manufacturing and QA organizations. Equipment components, including subassemblies or parts, are identified and controlled by serial numbers, part numbers, or heat numbers in accordance with NEDO, ASME Code or regulatory requirements.

These measures provide means for assuring that only correct and acceptable items are used during manufacture. Physical identification is specified and utilized to the maximum extent practicai. When physical identification is either impractical or insufficient, physical separation, procedural control, or other appropriate means are specified. Identification may be either on the item or on records traceable to the item. Where identification marking of an item is employed, the marking will be clear, unambiguous and indelible, and will be applied in such a manner as not to affect the function or quality of the item. Markings are transferred to each part of an item when subdivided so as not to be obliterated or hidden by surface treatment or coatings, unless other means of maintaining identification are utilized. The identification and control measures provide for relating an item of production (batch, lot, part or assembly) at any stage, from material receipt through fabrication and shipment to an applicable drawing, specification, or other control document.

Materials, parts, or components classified as "safety-related" are processed through and released by QA Inspection. Inspections and tests of material received from suppliers are conducted in accordance with the engineering drawing and/or receiving inspection instructions or plans developed by QA to assure verification of material to the requirements of the purchase order. Material is marked or identified in accordance with QA procedures to identify Inspection status and maintain identification. As applicable, materials traceability is provided in accordance with documented procedures specifically required by the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.

Materials organizations are responsible for maintaining raw material, purchased components, and in-process material in a manner which preserves the identification of the items while in the storage areas. Material identification is verified by the Materials organizations prior to release for assembly or installation. Final verification of correct identification is accomplished by QA personnel prior to release for shipping.

Items shipped to the Owner are normally identified by nameplate or other identification marking on the item. In those instances when it is not practical to provide nameplate or identification markings on individual items, identification information is provided in shipping paperwork that is transmitted with each shipment.

9 CONTROL OF SPECIAL PROCESSES

Procedures and practices are established and documented to provide assurance that special processes such as welding, heat treating, and nondestructive examination are accomplished under controlled conditions. These special processes are accomplished in accordance with applicable codes, standards, regulations, specifications, design criteria, and other special requirements, using qualified personnel, procedures, and equipment.

Requirements for special processes such as welding, heat treating, and nondestructive examinations are specified on design documentation by the engineer responsible for the design. Appropriate inspections and tests to assure control of these special processes are designated in test and inspection procedures.

-0

Manufacturing and QA personnel, procedures, and equipment involved or utilized in the execution of control of special processes are qualified to the requirements of applicable codes and standards. Documented evidence of the validity of such qualifications is maintained for all such personnel, procedures, and equipment in accordance with applicable codes and standards. Each cognizant QA organization has the responsibility of periodically monitoring these records to assure the continued validity of all such qualifications. For special processes not covered by existing codes or standards, or where product requirements exceed the requirements of established codes or standards, the necessary qualifications of personnel, procedures, or equipment are defined in appropriate documents.

10 INSPECTION

Inspection of materials, equipment, processes, and services is defined and executed in accordance with established QA plans, procedures, or instructions to provide assurance that the items conform to applicable drawings, specifications, codes, standards, and regulations.

Examinations or measurements are performed on items in process for each work operation where necessary to provide assurance of quality. Final quality verification inspection activities (for release to inventory or shipping), and in-process inspection of operations which are inaccessible during final inspection, are performed by QC personnel who are organizationally independent from the Manufacturing personnel who performed the work being inspected. These personnel report to the various QA managers and include inspectors, test technicians, and QA representatives who have been trained to meet established performance standards and are periodically evaluated to assure their competence in applicable quality control technologies.

Required accuracy of inspection and measuring devices specified is dependent on the characteristic to be measured and the design tolerances specified. If direct inspection of processed items is impossible or impractical, indirect control by monitoring of processing methods, equipment and personnel is utilized. A combination of direct inspection and process monitoring is provided when control is inadequate without both. Modifications, repairs and replacements are inspected in accordance with original inspection criteria or alternate approved criteria. Sampling is primarily used to verify process parameter control and to verify acceptability of items or lots where a high degree of confidence can be assured through use of statistically significant sampling plans.

Document QA plans, procedures, or instructions are reviewed and approved by QA personnel and provide for the following, where applicable:

- Identification of characteristics or activities to be inspected.
- Identification of those responsible for performing the inspection operations.
- Acceptance or rejection criteria.
- Method of inspection.
- Recording evidence of completing an inspection operation.
- Identifying the inspection or data recorder and the results of the inspection operation.
- Qualification requirements of individual performing inspections and examinations. (Refer to Table 2-1 for the commitment to compliance with ANSI N45.2.6.)
- Specification of any mandatory inspection points beyond which work cannot proceed without appropriate inspector action.

Such information is documented in planning documents which are appropriate to the activity and have been issued for utilization by inspection or examination personnel.

Inspection results are documented and evaluated by QA personnel prior to release of a product for shipment to provide assurance that inspection requirements have been satisfied and that proper records have been prepared.

11 TEST CONTROL

11.1 PRODUCT TEST PROGRAM

A product test program is established to provide assurance that all testing required to demonstrate that the product will perform satisfactorily in service is identified, performed, and documented. Product testing is performed in accordance with QA or engineering test procedures which incorporate or reference the test requirements and acceptance limits contained in applicable engineering and QA documents. Test requirements and acceptance criteria are normally provided by the organization responsible for the Lesign of the product. The product test program covers all required tests, including, as appropriate: development to sting, prototype qualification testing, calibration testing of instruments, hydrostatic testing of pressure boundary components, qualification testing of procured components, inprocess testing of manufactured components, or final proceptance testing of completed products.

Test procedures for NEBO-manufactured equipment, are normally developed by QC engineers and/or development engineers in accordance with specified test requirements and reviewed by the cognizant design engineer. These test procedures include provisions for assuring that prerequisites for the given test have been met for mandatory hold points (where applicable), for acceptance and rejection criteria, and for appropriate methods of documenting test results. Prerequisites include such items as appropriate and calibrated test equipment, trained test personnel, completion status of the item to be tested, suitable environmental conditions, and provisions for data acquisition and storage.

Test procedures for procured equipment are based on engineering design and ASME code requirements and when prepared by the supplier, are approved by assigned NEBO engineering or QA personnel.

Product test results are documented, reviewed, and evaluated by designated QA or engineering personnel prior to release for shipment to assure that test requirements have been satisfied.

11.2 PREOPERATIONAL TESTING

The nuclear system is made operational by the Owner with technical direction provided by NEBO personnel. The NEBO provides preoperational test specifications and instructions for NEBO-supplied systems and components.

Preoperational test specifications identify the systems and components which must be tested and state the requirements of the tests necessary to assure safe performance during testing. Test specifications are reviewed and approved by the appropriate engineering component prior to release.

Preoperational test instructions provide the necessary information and the essential steps to be taken to fulfill the requirements of the preoperational test specifications.

The NEBO supplies field engineers and technical specialists with extensive product knowledge and preoperational testing experience to provide technical direction of the preoperational tests.

Upon completion of preoperational tests, the NEBO Resident Site Manager and the Owner's representative formally document that the tests have been completed and that the results are in accordance with applicable specifications and instructions.

11.3 STARTUP TESTING

Initial fuel loading, nuclear system startup, and operational testing are performed by the Owner with technical direction provided by NEBO personnel. To facilitate technical direction of initial fuel loading and power testing, startup test specifications and instructions are provided by the NEBO.

Startup test specifications define the minimum test program for safe and efficient startup and authorize and require the performance of the described tests. The specifications limit and define the freedom for changes during the startup test activities. The specifications are reviewed and approved by responsible NEBO engineering personnel and each required test is performed to the extent specified.

Startup test instructions contain the recommended test method and describe the steps necessary to perform the test as required by the startup test specification. Other test methods may be employed; however, the resulting data must be equivalent in all respects to the data which would result from the recommended test method. As a sults of analyses made to facilitate startup testing activities required by the startup test specifications are included in the startup test instructions. The startup test instructions also contain criteria for judging the test results, where applicable, and data and calculation sheets for analysis of the data on the site.

The NEBO supplies field engineers and technical specialists with extensive product knowledge and startup experience to provide technical direction for the startup test program. Results of the startup tests are analyzed at the reactor site as the data becomes available and periodic reports of the results of the program are issued during the course of testing activities.

Upon completion of startup testing, the NEBO Resident Site Manager and the Owner's representative formally document that the startup tests have been completed and report that the results have met the intent of the specifications, calculations, and instructions.

12 CONTRO. OF MEASURING AND TEST EQUIPMENT

A measuring and test equipment control program is established and documented to provide assurance that tools, gauges, instruments, and other inspection, measuring, and testing equipment and devices used in activities effecting or evaluating quality are of the proper range and type, with measurement error limitations necessary to verify conformance to established requirements. Documented instructions and procedures, which establish and specify the control program for measuring and test equipment, are prepared, maintained, and implemented by the responsible QA or engineering organizations.

Measuring and test equipment and reference standards are stored and calibrated in environments which will not adversely affect their accuracy. Environmental factors which are considered include, but are not limited to: temperature, humidity, vibration, electromagnetic interference, background radiation, dust, cleanness, and fumes. To assure precision and accuracy, inspection, measuring and test equipment is identified and controlled in accordance with documented procedures. Certified standards having known valid relationships to national standards are utilized in performing the calibration and adjustment when such standards exist. If no national standards exist, the basis for calibration is documented. Instrument calibration includes verification that the instrument works in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction manual when such manual exists. When practical and within the limitations of the state-of-the-art, the reference standards used for calibration of measuring and test equipment shall have tolerances no greater than 25% of the allowable tolerance for the equipment being calibrated. Reference standards shall be calibrated against higher level standards of closer tolerance. The accuracies of the measuring and test equipment and the reference standards are chosen such that the equipment being calibrated can be calibrated and maintained withir, the required tolerances. Calibration measures are not employed for rulers, tape measures, levels, and other such standard devices, since their use is limited to rough measuring functions.

Calibration and maintenance of measuring and test equipment are performed at appropriately specified intervals. The method and interval of calibration for each item of equipment is defined and documented and is based on the type of equipment, stability characteristics, required accuracy, and other conditions affecting measurement control. Special calibration is performed when accuracy of the equipment is suspect or when other conditions warrant it. When inspection, measuring, or test equipment is found to be significantly out of calibration (as determined by the responsible engineer from the area where the suspect equipment was last used), an evaluation is made and documented to determine the validity of previous inspection or test results and the disposition to be made of items previously inspected or tested. If any inspection, measuring, or test equipment is found to be worn and consistently out of calibration, it is repaired or replaced.

Records are maintained of calibration and maintenance activity, and items or measuring and test equipment are suitably and uniquely identified by the responsible QA organization to provide for the traceability to their calibration test data and for visual determination of their calibrations status. It is the responsibility of each person utilizing inspection or test equipment to assure himself that such equipment is within the calibration due date prior to performing an inspection or test operation. Test and inspection supervision is responsible for enforcement of this provision.

13 HANDLING, STORAGE AND SHIPPING

Procedures and practices are established, documented, and implemented in accordance with applicable codes, standards, and regulations to provide control of handling, storage, cleaning, packaging, preservation, shipping release, and shipping of material and equipment to prevent damage, deterioration, or loss during manufacture and shipment. When necessary for a particular item, special covering, special equipment, or special environmental conditions, such as inert gas atmosphere, specific moisture content levels, and temperature levels are specified by the cognizant engineering organization, and their existence is verified by QA. As required, special markings or instructions are supplied to identify, maintain, and preserve a shipment, including indication of the presence of special environments or the need for special control.

Where necessary to control quality, instructions or guidance for site inspection, handling, preservation, storage, and special controls are prepared and are transmitted to the Owner prior to, or at the time of component shipment.

14 INSPECTION, TEST, AND OPERATING STATUS

Procedures and practices are established and documented to assure identification of inspection and test status. These procedures and practices provide for assurance that required inspections and tests are performed and that the acceptability of items with regard to inspections and tests performed is readily apparent throughout the manufacturing cycle and is verified by QA prior to shipment to the Owner. Nonconforming items are segregated and/or clearly identified as such and are controlled in accordance with the nonconforming material control measures described in Section 15.

The inspection and test status of items is maintained through the use of separate physical locations or status indicators such as: tags, markings, shop travelors, stamps, or inspection records. Measures provide for assuring that only items that have successfully completed the required inspections and tests are used in manufacturing or are released for shipment. When operations or inspections are performed out of planned sequence, limit points are established beyond which work cannot proceed until required operations or inspections have been performed. The instructions for control of status indicators, including the authority for application and removal of tags, markings, labels, or stamps are documented in manufacturing or QA procedures.

15 NONCONFORMING MATERIALS, PARTS, OR COMPONENTS

15.1 GENERAL

Procedures and practices are established and documented to provide for positive control of items which do not conform to specified requirements in order to prevent their inadvertent use during manufacturing, or their release for shipment. Procedures have been issued within the NEBO to provide for determining the cause of significant nonconformances, for the identification, documentation, segregation, and disposition of such items, and for the notification of responsible and other affected organizations. These procedures also delineate the responsibility and authority for establishing disposition of nonconformances after appropriate review.

Nonconforming items are either accepted for use as is, repaired, reworked, returned to the supplier, or scrapped in accordance with a properly documented disposition. Nonconforming items dispositioned as "rework" or "repair" undergo reprocessing and reinspection in accordance with the original operational planning and inspection instructions or special planning approved by the responsible engineer and QA. Reworked material must fully comply with drawings and specifications and final acceptability documentation is required for items which have been reworked or repaired.

For procured engineered equipment provided by suppliers for direct-to-site shipment, deviations are processed on a Deviation Disposition Request (DDR) form, or an equivalent form approved by the NEBO. The supplier describes the deviation on the DDR and recommends the NEBO disposition. The deviations are reviewed by the cognizant Design Engineer, Quality Control Engineer, and Buyer, who cosign the DDR and indicate the NEBO disposition.

Completed nonconformance reports identify the nonconforming item, describe the nonconformance, specify the disposition of the nonconformance, prescribe any special inspection or test requirements, and include signature approval of the disposition.

A disposition decision to "use as is" or "repair" a nonconforming item is made only after careful review and approval by a Material Review Board (MRB) consisting of a minimum of two technically competent persons selected by engineering and QA management. Before making disposition, the engineering representative must determine that utilization of the item in the "as is" or "repaired" condition will not infringe upon the capability of the item to satisfactorily perform its intended functions and must document the rationale for acceptance. Items dispositioned as scrap are deformed, defaced, or clearly identified as unfit for their intended use and removed from the processing area as soon as practicable.

Procedures and instructions are in place, which prevent or limit further processing of nonconforming items pending a decision on their disposition. The QA managers have authority for stopping work in process when such action is necessary to assure requisite quality.

Physical segregation of nonconforming items within hold areas is used where practical until disposition is made; otherwise, tagging, marking, or other positive means of identification are used to effect control and to prevent inadvertent use.

A system is established by each QA organization to periodically or continuously analyze nonconformances through review of MRB action and scrap and rework, to determine trends. Appropriate action is taken relative to recurring defects and their cause, and the effect of corrective actions taken. Reports of these analyses are documented and submitted to appropriate management.

15.2 REPORTING OF NONCONFORMANCES

For safety-related equipment manufactured or purchased by the NEBO, the NEBO will identify and report to the Owner at the time of shipment, through completion of the PQC (or equivalent) and attachments, those nonconformances to procurement requirements affecting end use of modules or shippable components which are dispositioned

15

NEDO-11209 3-1-85

"use as is" or "repair." For criteria and definitions for obtaining customer approval for such nonconformances refer to the NEBO position for NRC Regulatory Guide 1.123, Rev. 1, July 1977 (Table 2-1, page 2-12).

Modules or components of modules which are fabricated according to the requirements of applicable codes must satisfy the requirements of the codes and applicable code cases. Identification and description of nonconformances of these parts are furnished to the Owner in accordance with code requirements.

16 CORRECTIVE ACTION

Procedures and practices are established and documented which provide assurance that conditions adverse to quality or nonconformance such as: failures, malfunctions, deficiencies, and deviations in material and equipment are promptly identified, documented, and corrected or otherwise handled in accordance with established procedures. Corrective action followup and closeout procedures provide for assuring that corrective action commitments are implemented in a systematic and timely manner. Results of such followup and closeout activities are periodically reported to appropriate levels of management. Corrective action documentation and request forms or formal letters are used to document the corrective-action-related requests, responses and followup.

Procedures provide for significant conditions adverse to quality to be identified, their causes to be determined, and for corrective action to be taken. Pertinent information is then documented and reported to responsible management through established communication systems.

Each cognizant NEBO QA organization is responsible for the following:

- Identifying, diagnosing, and determining the cause of chronic quality problems.
- Transmitting relevant information to the individual responsible for taking corrective action.
- Verifying to assure that corrective action is taken which will preclude repetition of the problem or satisfactorily control the cause of the problem.

Continuous surveillance of operating BWR performance is maintained. Periodic contact by NEBO personnel with plant operations personnel provides detailed information on statistical performance of the plant, as well as narrative reports of equipment malfunctions or failures. Automatic data handling systems record and analyze the statistical information. This information is analyzed by the cognizant design components and carefully monitored for significant or generic equipment weakness. This provides feedback to the responsible design component to avoid repetition of the same problems. Prompt communication to all operators of similar equipment is provided whenever significant or avoidable problems are discovered. Implementation of appropriate design changes to assure corrective action on requisition projects is accomplished in accordance with procedures described in Subsection 3.10, "Design Change Control," and Subsection 3.11, "Field Change Control."

17 QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS

Procedures and practices are established and documented to provide assurance that sufficient records are prepared and accumulated as work an enformed to furnish documentary evidence that the quality of items is satisfactory and that other closely related accumulations have been performed satisfactorily. Requirements and responsibilities for record generation, accumulation, transmittal, retention, and maintenance are documented in these procedures. The QA records are consistent with the requirements of applicable codes, standards, regulations, specifications, and contract requirements and are adequate for use in effective management of the QA Program.

The QA records include such documentation as the results of design reviews, inspections, tests, material analyses, etc. These records also include closely-related data such as qualifications of personnel, procedures, and equipment and other documentation required by applicable codes and regulations. Inspection and test records, as a minimum, identify the completion date of the inspection or test, the inspector or data recorder, the type of observation, the results, the acceptability of the item, and the action taken in connection with any nonconformance noted. The QA records are identified, collected, stored, and maintained in a systematic and controlled manner and are retrievable consistent with the applicable NEBO and regulatory requirements.

Each NEBO business organization is responsible for collecting, filing, transmitting, and/or maintaining and 5 controlling those quality-related records which they generate or cause to be generated in accordance with documented procedures. Nonconformance reports (such as IRs and DDRs) are filed and maintained by the cognizant line 4 A organization. Corrective action reports and audit reports prepared by QA&RO are filed and maintained by QA&RO. These reports are made available to the Owner and NRC personnel for audit and review at NEBO facilities upon request.

For those items for which there are no code requirements for record retention or transmittal, designated QA records, such as outline drawings, purchase specifications, material property records or inspection and test data are provided to the Owner or maintained for him in accordance with the contractual agreement with the Owner.

Records which identify the "as built" condition of safety-related items as furnished by NEBO shall be transmitted to, or retained for, the Owner for the life of the items.

15

18 AUDITS

A comprehensive system of planned and documented audits is carried out to verify product quality and compliance with the QA Program. This audit program is designed to assure compliance with all aspects of 10CFR50, Appendix B, including, as a minimum, the quality-related aspects of design, procurement, manufacture, storage, shipment, and NEBO supplied services at reactor sites. These audits are conducted at scheduled intervals as documented in audit schedule planning or on a random unscheduled basis, or both, as appropriate. The NEBO instructions require that QA audits be conducting using pre-established written procedures or check lists by appropriately trained personnel not having direct responsibilities in the areas being audited. Audit results are documented by the auditors and transmitted for review and commitment of corrective action by management having responsibility in the area audited. Audit data are periodically analyzed and reports indicating performance trends and the effectiveness of the QA Program are prepared and issued to responsible management for review and assessment.

After evaluation and agreement on audit findings, the responsible managers take whatever action is necessary to correct any noncompliance revealed by the audit. The audit program provides for follow up action, including any necessary reaudit of deficient areas, to assure that corrective action has been taken. Audits are performed to determine the following, as appropriate:

- The adequacy of documented QA-related policies, procedures, instructions and practices to meet their intended purpose of assuring product quality.
- Compliance with quality-related policies, procedures, instructions, and practices.
- The adequacy of work areas, activities, processes, items of equipment, documents, and records.
- Product compliance with applicable engineering drawings and specifications.
- Implementation of corrective action in accordance with applicable procedures.

The QA&RO, by delegation from the NEBO Vice President and General Manager through the NEBO P&Ps, has the responsibility for conducting QA audits of each of the Department-level organizations that affect product quality for the purpose of appraising the quality of the products and the effectiveness of the quality system. The Quality Assurance Audits component of QA&RO is required to prepare plans each year, for the conduct of audits which will assure that the quality system established by such Department-level organizations is audited at least annually.

Division-level organizations are required by the NEBO P&P to perform annual self-audits to determine the effectiveness of, and verify compliance with, assigned portions of the QA Program. Each organization prepares plans for the conduct of internal audits prior to February 1 of each year so that during the course of each year all aspects of the QA Program are included in at least one self-audit. More frequent audits or measurements may be conducted when dictated by any of the following circumstances: (1) When significant changes are made in functional areas of the QA program; (2) when a systematic, independent assessment of program effectiveness or product quality or both is considered necessary; or (3) when it is necessary to verify implementation of required corrective actions.

The NEBO suppliers' quality-related systems, procedures, processes, operations, in-process and finished products, and documentation are subject to audit by the cognizant NEBO QA organizations. Audits are used to assess the adequacy of quality-related systems and procedures and compliance thereto, and to evaluate the effectiveness of inspection operations and other product controls. "QA Requirements," which are included as part of the purchase specifications for engineered equipment important to safety, require NEBO suppliers to grant access to the NEBO QC Representatives for audit and review of applicable design, manufacturing, and quality control records, reports, and documents. NEDO-11209 3-1-85

Audits of NEBO suppliers of safely-related engineered equipment are conducted by QA Representatives at least every 3 years, or more frequently, based on the following: (1) Importance, complexity, and quality requirements of the item or service; (2) the results of previous audits; (3) history of performance of product and/or purchased service; or (4) effectiveness of implementation of the supplier's QA Program. For those years when an audit is not planned, a formal evaluation of the supplier is performed to determine if a re-audit is required during the upcoming year (refer to Section 7, "Control of Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services").

NUCLEAR ENERGY BUSINESS OPERATIONS • GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95125



TECHNICAL INFORMATION EXCHANGE

TITLE PAGE

THOR SUBJECT Nuclear Science	TIE NUMBER 78NED116R
J. Fox and Technology	DATE 3-1-85
LE	GE CLASS
Quality Assurance Program Description	GOVERNMENT CLASS
PRODUCIBLE COPY FILED AT PROGRAPHIC SERVICES, SAN JOSE, LIFORNIA 95125 (Mail Code 305)	NUMBER OF PAGES
LIFORNIA 95125 (Mail Code 305)	

SUMMANI

A Quality Assurance (QA) Program is provided by the General Electric Nuclear Energy Business Operations (NEBO) to assure the required effort, equipment, procedures, and management are directed toward satisfying the quality objectives of providing safe and reliable structures, systems, and components, and complying with the provisions of the following documents: Appendix B of 10CFR Part 50, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants"; NRC Regulatory Guide 1.28, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements"; ANSI N45.2-1971, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements"; and applicable sections of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.

The Program herein described is structured in accordance with the outline of the 18 criteria of Appendix B of 10CFR Part 50, and NRC Regulatory Guide 1.70, "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants" — Light Water Reactor (LWR) Edition dated September 1975.

This document describes the QA Program which is applied generally throughout the NEBO, but which is specifically applicable to the safety-related functional aspects of systems and components within the domestic scope of supply.

By cutting out this rectangle and folding in half, the above information can be fitted into a standard card file.

DOCUMENT NUMBER	NEDO-11	1209-04A, Revision 5		
NFORMATION PREPARE	EDFOR	Nuclear Energy Busi	ness Operations	
SECTION Quality Assu	rance and	d Reliability Operatio	n	
BUILDING AND ROOM N	UMBER	L-1191	MAIL CODE	310