## VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION



Ferry Road, Brattleboro, VT 05301-7002

ENGINEERING OFFICE Set MAIN STREET BOLTON, MA 01740 (508) 779-6711

November 24, 1992 BVY \$2-131

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

References:

(a) License No. DPR-28 (Docket No. 50-271)

(b) Letter, USNRC to VYNPC, NVY 92/190, dated 10/13/92

Dear Sir:

## Subject: Response to Letter, USNRC to VYNPC (Reference b)

The following information is submitted in response to NRC questions in Reference (b), relative to a fund-raising event held at our Training Facility in September 1992.

Vermont Yankee routinely offers use of our Brattleboro, Vermont Training Center facilities to worthy civic organizations as part of our community service programs. On September 23, 1992 the Training Center was us d by a local organization which provides services for special needs children in our area. Vermont Yankee was the 1992 corporate sponsor for the related fund raising event and had offered the use of our training facility in Brattleboro, after normal working hours for this purpose.

The organization and volunteer staff handled all preparations for the meeting including food, drink, pamphlets, and guest lists. Two Vermont Yankee personnel acted as escorts for 50-75 representatives of other organizations as they were arriving and departing the Training Center.

We subsequently determined that the guest organization brought some wine to the meeting in violation of Vermont Yankee policy. We have also determined that there was no deliberate attempt to circumvent Vermont Yankee policy in this regard. The subject of our policy restrictions on alcoholic beverages on Vermont Yankee property was never discussed with the organization in arranging for this meeting. In this instance we, Vermont Yankee failed to inform the outside organization of our policy restrictions on alcoholic beverages.

9212010087 921124 PDR ADOCK 05000271 PDR PDR U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission November 24, 1992 Page 2

The second issue raised in your letter was that a supervisor did not follow through to address concerns raised by a plant worker about the same September event. Our investigation revealed that the supervisor did try unsuccessfully to obtain an answer for the plant worker. The supervisor ultimately received information from other supervisors about the September event, namely that nothing contrary to company policy had occurred at the meeting. Consequently, the supervisor considered this a non-issue and dropped further inquiries.

The plant worker has indicated that there is no communication problem with his supervisor even though the response he received was subsequently determined to be inaccurate. We have reviewed this event with the plant worker's supervisor and consider this incident to be resolved.

We trust that this information is responsive to your concerns; however, should you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very tauly yours,

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation

Wan pmg

Warren P. Murphy Senior Vice President, Operations

cc: USNRC Region I Administrator USNRC Resident Inspector - VYNPC USNRC Project Manager - VYNPC