

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Consumers Power Company
Palisades Nuclear Generating Plant

Docket No. 50-255
License No. DPR-20

During an NRC inspection conducted on December 7 through 18, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 4.0.5.a states ". . . inservice testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 pumps and valves shall be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code . . ." ASME Section XI, IWV-3522, "Exercising Procedure," states, in part "check valves shall be exercised to the position required to fulfill their function..."

Contrary to the above, inservice test procedure QO-8R, dated October 2, 1992, contained inadequate acceptance criteria to verify that the following check valves were exercised to their full open position (50-255/92028-C1(DRS)).

- a. Primary coolant loop check valves CK-ES3101, CK-ES3116, CK-ES3131, and CK-ES3146 required 8000 gpm flow to verify full open, whereas the test acceptance criteria was 1200-1800 gpm.
- b. Low pressure safety injection check valves CK-ES3103, CK-ES3118, CK-ES3133, and CK-ES3148 required 1600 gpm flow to verify full open, whereas the test acceptance criteria was 1200-1800 gpm.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I.D.3).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Consumers Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, 799 Roosevelt Road, Glen Ellyn, Illinois, 60137, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the Palisades Nuclear Generating Plant, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violation, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply

is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Glen Ellyn, Illinois
this 21 day of January, 1993

Ronald N. Jarkner for
M. A. Ring, Chief
Engineering Branch