FOR INFORMATION ONLY

HOUSTON LIGHTING AND POWER COMPANY SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT ELECTRIC GENERATING STATION PLANT PROCEDURES MANUAL

SAFETY-RELATED

Emergency Operating Procedures Preparation, Approval and Implementation

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. O Page 1 of 16

APPROVED: Warnen H. Kunst PLANT MANAGER

DATE APPROVED DATE EFFECTIVE

This procedure is not described in the FSAR.

Table of Contents	Page
1.0 Purpose and Scope	2
2.0 Definition	2
3.0 Responsibilities	2
4.0 Emergency Operating Procedures Development References	3
5.0 Emergency Operating Procedures Preparation and Review Process	3
6.0 Emergency Operating Procedures Validation Process	5
7.0 Emergency Operating Procedures Implementation	9
8.0 References	9
9.0 Addendum 1 - Example of Procedural Tran Flow Chart	isition 10
Emergency Operating Procedure Review Request Form (PGP3-ZA-27-1)	11
Emergency Operating Procedures Validati Test Checklist (PGP3-ZA-27-2)	lon 12
Emergency Operating Procedure Validation Test Deficiency Form (PGP3-ZA-27-3)	on 15
Emergency Operating Procedure Validation Scenario Form (PGP3-ZA-27-4)	on 16
8506270409 850614 PDR ADOCK 05000498 F PDR	

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 2 of 16

1.0 Purpose and Scope

- 1.1 This procedure provides the following:
 - 1.1.1 Integration of the Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) preparation, accomplished using the "Emergency Procedures Writer Guide and Verification", OPOP1-ZA-6, with the requirements for submittal to PORC for Approval.
 - 1.1.2 Provides the verification and validation process for the EOPs.
 - 1.1.3 Provides the specific area of responsibilities, documentation process and methods to accomplish items 1.1.1 and 1.1.2.
 - 1.1.4 Identifies the specific references to be used and the application of those references.
- 1.2 Identification of the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station (STPEGS) EOPs will be accomplished using the Westinghouse Owners Group (WOG) Emergency Response Guidelines (ERGs).

2.0 Definitions

- 2.1 EOP Verification The evaluation performed to confirm the technical correctness of the EOPs and to ensure that all aspects of the ERGs are addressed in the STPEGS EOPs.
- 2.2 EOP Validation The evaluation performed to determine that the actions specified in the EOPs can be followed by trained operators to manage the emergency conditions in the plant.
- 2.3 Validation Operations Group Those operations personnel selected to perform the task specified in the EOPs for the purpose of insuring the manageability of the emergency covered by a specific EOP.
- 2.4 Validation Observation Group Those personnel selected to observe and evaluate the use of the EOPs while being used by the Validation Operations Group.
- 2.5 Validation Scenario A structured plan that identifies the initiating cause/s for entry into the EOPs, the expected results and end points resulting in the use of the EOPs.

3.0 Responsibilities

3.1 The Plant Manager is responsible for the preparation, approval and implementation of the EOPs.

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 3 of 16

- 3.2 The Technical Support Superintendent is responsible for providing engineering support and the technical confirmation of the usability of the EOPs.
- 3.3 The Reactor Operations Superintendent shall have the overall responsibility for the verification and validation program management including the following:
 - 3.3.1 Selection of the validation method.
 - 3.3.2 Selection of the validation process Groups
 - 3.3.3 Schedule coordination of personnel for the validation process.
 - 3.3.4 Approval of discrepancies/resolutions and forwarding of procedures to the Plant Manager.
- 3.4 The Nuclear Training Department is responsible for the:
 - 3.4.1 Training of the validation groups in the use of the EOPs and the validation process.
 - 3.4.2 Preparation of the Training facility to support the validation process.
- 3.5 The EOP writer is responsible for assembling the drafted version of the EOP and all documentation produced as a result of the review process outlined in OPOP1-ZA-6, "Emergency Procedures Writers Guide and Verification".
- 4.0 The STPEGS EOPs are developed using those references specified in the "Emergency Procedures Writers Guide and Verification", OPOP1-ZA-06.
- 5.0 EOP Preparation and Review Process
 - 5.1 The specified EOPs will be prepared in accordance with OPOPI-ZA-6, "Emergency Procedures Writers Guide and Verification".
 - 5.1.1 Contained within the writers guide are instructions for documenting the variations between the WOG ERGs and the EOPs.
 - 5.2 EOPs which have completed the writers guide review process, including resolutions of all discrepancies, will then be approved by the Reactor Operations Superintendent for review by the designated plant staff.

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 4 of 16

- 5.2.1 When submitted for plant review, the EOP package shall consist of the following:
 - 5.2.1.1 EOP Review Request Form (PGP3-ZA-27-1)
 - 5.2.1.2 Copy of the WOG ERG used in the preparation of the EOP.
 - 5.2.1.3 Copies of the "EOP Step Justification/Verification Forms" generated by the EOP writer.
 - 5.2.1.4 Copies of the "EOP Discrepancy Comment Forms" generated as a result of the Reactor Operations Division review.
 - 5.2.1.5 Calculation sheets or calculation numbers obtained from engineering.
 - 5.2.1.6 The "EOP Discrepancy Comment Form" (OPOP1-ZA-06-3) for reviewers use.
 - 5.2.1.7 A completed "License Compliance Review Form".
- 5.3 EOP Review by designated Plant Staff shall consist of the following as a minimum:
 - 5.3.1 Quality Assurance Operations review in accordance with the Operations Quality Assurance Plan.
 - 5.3.2 Technical Support shall review the EOPs and:
 - 5.3.2.1 Make a comparison between the EOP and the FSAR for technical accuracy.
 - 5.3.2.2 Review the EOP for technical accuracy considering plant design.
 - 5.3.2.3 Review the instrument usage assignments for usability during all modes of plant conditions as determined by OPOP1-ZA-06.
 - 5.3.2.4 Confirm the plant specific numerical values, both calculated and non-calculated.
 - 5.3.3 The Nuclear Training Department shall review the EOPs as an independent operational review.
 - 5.3.4 Other affected divisions.

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 5 of 16

- 5.4 Each reviewer shall return the EOP package, with comments if any, to the EOP Writer.
 - NOTE: The reviewer may retain the WOG ERG for reference if needed.
 - 5.4.1 All comments on reviewed EOPs are to be written on the OPOP1-ZA-6-3 "Review and Comment" forms. Because of the required retention and actions to be taken on received comments, DO NOT write comments on the EOP copy being reviewed. One comment per form.
- 5.5 Comments received concerning EOP technical content shall be resolved between the EOP writer and reviewer. Comments that cannot be resolved will be decided upon at the next higher management level until resolution is accomplished.
- 5.6 Comments received concerning non-technical content will be incorporated if in agreement with the writers guide.
- 5.7 EOPs completing the plant review process constitute the verification cycle and may be approved for information only.

6.0 EOP Validation Process

- 6.1 Selection and Qualifications of the EOP Validating Personnel
 - 6.1.1 Validation Operations Group
 - 6.1.1.1 Each assembled group shall, as a minimum have 2 Reactor Operators with at least Reactor Operator Certification Qualification.
 - 6.1.1.2 Each assembled group shall have 1 Shift Supervisor and 1 Unit Supervisor having either a prior Senior Reactor Operator License or Certification.
 - 6.1.1.3 There should be at least 2 Operations Groups for the validation effort to gain the maximum effect of knowledge and background of the operators.
 - 6.1.1.4 The Operations Groups must have satisfactorily completed the licensing training as of the dates of the validation test.

6.1.2 Validation Observation Group

6.1.2.1 Personnel selected for the observation group may be from the Reactor Operations Division and if so, shall not have been the writer of the EOP being tested.

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 6 of 16

- 6.1.2.2 Personnel selected from other departments/divisions, i.e., Technical Support, Training, etc., must have sufficient knowledge of the plant systems and controls to assess the actions taken by the operators during the EOP Validation Test.
- 6.1.2.3 Observation groups should consist of at least one observer per operations group member and one additional member to serve as the group leader.

6.2 Validation Process Preparation

- 6.2.1 Nuclear Training Department
 - 6.2.1.1 Shall train the Operations Groups in the use of and the background of the EOPs.
 - 6.2.1.2 Shall train the Observation Groups in the use of the EOPs and the conduct of the Validation Test.
 - 6.2.1.3 Shall prepare the Plant Simulator for the Validation if selected for the test method.
- 6.2.2 Validation Scenario selection
 - 6.2.2.1 Test scenario will be selected by a committee composed of members of the EOP Writers Group, other Reactor Operations personnel, Nuclear Training Department, and Technical Support. The committee will be chaired by the Reactor Operation Superintendent or his designee.
 - 6.2.2.2 When selecting test scenarios, every effort should be made to test as many of the procedure transitions as possible and the FSAR Accident analysis.
 - 6.2.2.3 Each selected scenario will be charted showing the expected entries, transition points and termination points of the specific EOPs being tested. (Example in Addendum 1).
 - 6.2.2.4 The Scenario Committee Chairman or his designee shall document the selected scenario by completing the "EOP Validation Scenario Form".
 - 6.2.2.5 Each selected scenario will be reviewed, after preparation with the Observation Groups to familiarize them with the scenario.

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 7 of 16

- 6.3 EOP Validation Test Methods
 - NOTE: Either of the two validation test methods may be used as determined by the Reactor Operations Superintendent.
 - 6.3.1 EOP usage on the plant simulator
 - 6.3.1.1 The usage test method on the plant simulator consist of initialization of the simulator to the initial conditions specified, causing the malfunction/s or symptom/s co occur and the manipulation of the control board controls by the operators.
 - 6.3.1.2 During this test method, the observation group should position themselves in a position that best affords them a clear view of the operators actions.
 - 6.3.1.3 During the test, the observation group will note the procedure steps that the operators appear to have difficulty with such as step wording, equipment, nomenclature, locations, understanding and information content. Use the "EOP Validation Test Checklist", PGP3-ZA-27-2 as a guide for test assessment.
 - 6.3.1.4 A designated member of the observation group should follow the test sequence on the prepared transition flow chart, noting deviations from the expected path.
 - 6.3.1.5 Termination of EOP Validation Test will be when the expected end point is achieved or at the discretion of the Observation Group Leader.
 - 6.3.1.6 At the earliest possible time, the two validation test groups should conduct a debriefing session led by the Observation Group Leader. During the debriefing, each group shall identify the areas of concern or difficulty with the tested procedure. Use of PGP3-ZA-27-2 is required.
 - 6.3.1.7 Record procedural deficiencies on "EOP Vilidation Test Deficiency Forms", PGP3-ZA-27-3, for submittal to the Reactor Operations Superintendent. Also identify the deficiency sheet sequential number associated with each reviewed procedure on the checklist where provided.

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 8 of 16

6.3.2 EOP Walk-through validation test

- 6.3.2.1 The walk-through test method, on either the plant simulator, control board mockup or main control panels, is the enactment by the operators of their actions/responses without the actual manipulation of controls.
- 6.3.2.2 During the progress of this test method, the Observation Group Leader shall signify the initial conditions, initiating events and critical readings or symptoms that cause procedure transitions.
- 6.3.2.3 Due to the static nature of the type of validation test, operator responses must be well announced and the conduct of the test performed slowly to avoid missed information. Therefore the observers should be in a physical position to clearly hear as well as see the operators.
- 6.3.2.4 Because of the nature of this type of test, a debriefing for the purpose of identifying deficiencies may not be required.
- 6.3.2.5 During the conduct of this test, the observation group should use the "EOP Validation Test Checklist", PGP3-ZA-27-2 as a guide for assessment of the effectiveness of the operators to use the reviewed procedure.
- 6.3.2.6 Use of the prepared transitional flow chart and identification of procedure deficiencies are conducted the same as when using the actual procedure on the simulator outlined in 6.3.1.

6.4 EOP Post Test

- 6.4.1 The Observation Group Leader shall assemble the following for return to the Reactor Operations Superintendent.
 - 6.4.1.1 Scenario Expected Results Chart and the Validation Scenario Form (PGP3-ZA-27-4).
 - 6.4.1.2 All EOP Validation Test Deficiency Forms

6.4.1.3 Event record print-outs and other available hardcopy records produced by the simulator (if used).

6.4.2 The Reactor Operations Superintendent or his designee shall review the identified deficiencies and resolve each.

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 9 of 16

- 6.4.3 Each deficiency sheet shall be signed by the Reactor Operations Superintendent signifying his approval for the resolutions and procedural changes.
- 6.5 Resubmittal of EOPs for re-test.
 - 6.5.1 The Reactor Operations Superintendent may, based upon his judgement, cause any EOP to be retested. The basis for retest may be: consideration of the procedure changes due to identified deficiencies; variations in the transitions actually used when compared to the predicted transition flow; actual operator performance yielding vague or unsatisfactory overall procedure/operator interface.
 - 6.5.2 When a EOP is determined to be re-testable, the validation effort will be repeated after a sufficient amount of training to the operators has been accomplished to clarify the EOP to be retested.

7.0 EOP Implementation

- 7.1 Approved procedure changes previously identified by noted deficiencies shall be incorporated using the "EOP Writers Guide and Verification", OPOP1-ZA-06.
- 7.2 Procedures which have been revised will be presented to PORC and the Plant Manager by the Reactor Operations Superintendent with recommendation for approval. Along with the revised procedure, the documentation produced from the OPOP1-ZA-06 review and all documentation produced as a result of this procedure shall be produced at the PORC meeting for review.
- 7.3 EOP implementation will be accomplished when PORC and the Plant Manager approve the procedures for use and Operator Training.

8.0 References

- 8.1 PGP3-ZA-2 (Plant Procedures)
- 8.2 INPO guideline 83-006 (Emergency Operating Procedures Validation Guidelines).
- 8.3 INPO Guideline 83-004 (Emergency Operating Procedures Verification Guideline).
- 8.4 OPOP1-ZA-O6 (Emergency Operating Procedures Writers Guide and Verification)
- 8.5 PGP3-ZA-3 (License Compliance Review)

Emergency Operating Procedures Review Request

.

...

1. 1

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 10 of 16





Emergency Operating Procedures Review Request PGP3-ZA-27-1

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 11 of 16

	1-РОР5-ЕО-	_ is requested	by your	departmen
accordance	with PGP3-ZA-27 N	by		(Date).
EOP Title			Rev.	
EOP Source	Documents used			
FOP Writer				
POT HEFFCT				

Emergency Operating Procedures Review Request PGP3-ZA-27-2 Page 1 of 3

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 12 of 16

Reviewed Procedure Number

Review Points

B

.

. .

Discrepancy (Yes/No) (If No - Sheet number)

Usa	bili	ty	Yes No
Α.	Lev	vel of Detail	
	1.	Is there sufficient information to perform the specified actions at each step?	
	2.	Are the Alternates adequately described at each decision point?	
	3.	Labeling, abbreviations and location as written sufficient and clear to the operator enabling him to find equipment	
	4.	Is the EOP information adequate to manage the emergency condition?	
	5.	Are symptoms sufficiently abbreviated by actions prescribed?	
	6.	Are titles and numbers clearly defined for referencing and branching?	
	7.	Are points clearly identified for entry into the Emergency Plan?	
в.	Und	erstandability	
	1.	Is the EOP easy to read?	
	2.	Are the figures and tables easy to read with accuracy?	
	3.	Can the values on figures and charts be easily determined?	
	4.	Are caution and note statements readily understandable	
	5.	Are the EOP Steps readily understandable	
			Second and an an an and the second seco

Emergency Operating Procedures Review Request PGP3-ZA-27-2 Page 2 of 3

Reviewed Procedure Number

Review Points

Discrepancy (Yes/No) (If No - Sheet number)

Yes No

PGP3-ZA-27

Page 13 of 16

Rev. O

C. Plant Compatibility

- Can the actions specified in the EOP be performed in the specified sequence?
- If there are choices of success paths, is the most preferred choice listed first and all others listed in descending preferential order.
- Can the information required by the EOP be obtained by the operator or supervisor
- Is the EOP selection, based upon the symptoms, easily determined
- Are the EOP entry conditions appropriate for the symptoms displayed to the operator
- Are all information and equipment needs identified to accomplish the specified task
- 7. Do plant responses agree with the EOP basis
- Are the instrument readings and tolerances stated in the EOP consistent with the instrument values displayed
- 9. Is the EOP physically compatible with the work situation (too bulky to hold, cannot be laid flat, places where it can be laid down in an open condition)?

D. Operator Compatibility

 If time intervals are specified, can the procedure action steps be performed on the plant within or at the designated time intervals? Emergency Operating Procedures PGP3-ZA-27 Review Request PGP3-ZA-27-2 Page 3 of 3

Rev. 0 Page 14 of 16

Reviewe	d Procedure Number			
Review	Points	Discr (If No	epancy - Shee	(Yes/No) t number)
2.	Can the procedure steps be performed by the operating shift.		Yes	No
3.	If specific actions are assigned to individual shift personnel, does the EOP adequately aid in the coordination of actions among shift personnel where necessary?			
4.	Can the operating shift follow the designated action step sequences			_
5.	Can the particular steps or sets of steps be readily located when required			
6.	Can procedure exit point be returned to without omitting steps when required			
7.	Can procedure branches be entered at the correct point			
8.	Are EOP exit points specified adequately			_
Total n	umber of discrepancy sheets			
Validat	ion Test Method			

Validation Observation Group members

Leader

..

....

This form when completed, shall be retained for the life of the plant.

Emergency Operating Procedures Review Request PGP3-ZA-27-3 Page 1 of 1 Deficiency Sheet _____ of ____

1 4.

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 15 of 16

Procedure Number 1POP5-E0-	Rev.
Deficiency At	(Step Number)
Deficiency	
Observer Name	Date
Resolution	
Resolution By	Date
Approved YES NO (Circle	One)
Reactor Operations Superintendent	
Resolution Incorporated by	
Date	

This form when completed, shall be retained for the life of the plant. Color paper requirements do not apply to this form.

Emergency Operating Procedures Review Request PGP3-ZA-27-4 Page 1 of 1

Procedure No. <u>1-POP5-E0-</u> Title

Proc Rev Date of Test

Scenario Prepared By

11

Purpose of Scenario

Scenario Desription

Initial Plant Conditions

If test is actial usage on Simulator, Nuclear Training Dept. shall complete. If not go to next section.

Event	Time	1/0	Malfunction	
No	Hr. Min. Sec	Override	Description	Intent

If test is walk-through method, the scenario selection committee chairman shall complete the following:

Procedure Step/s	Parameter/Symptom	Expected Transition
Description/Content	To cause transition	to Proc. No & Step

This form when completed, shall be retained for the life of the plant.

PGP3-ZA-27 Rev. 0 Page 16 of 16

Validation Test Method EOP Usage on Simulator

EOP Walkthrough On simulator On Mockup FOR INFORMATION ONLY

4

1 **

HOUSTON LIGHTING AND POWER COMPANY SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT ELECTRIC GENERATING STATION PLANT PROCEDURES MANUAL

	STATION PROCEDURE SAFETY RELATED Emergency Procedures Writers Guide and Verification	OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 1 of 58
APPRO	VED: Dortent.Kening 5-09-85 PLANT MANAGERS DATE APPROVED	5-31-85 DATE EFFECTIVE
This	Procedure is not described in the FSAR.	
Table	of Contents	Page
1.0	Purpose and Scope	3
2.0	WOG Guideline Conversion to Plant Specific Procedures	3
3.0	Formats	5
4.0	Procedures Organization	9
5.0	Procedures Numbering	9
6.0	Flow Chart Preparation	10
7.0	Procedure Writing Techniques	11
8.0	Equipment Identification, Numbering & Location Aids	13
9.0	Specifying Use of Plant Instrumentation, Controls and Equipment in EOPs	14
10.0	Use of Logic Terms	15
11.0	Cautions	16
12.0	Notes	17
13.0	Writing Instructions for Multi-column formats	18
14.0	Referencing and Branching	19

	Emergency Procedures Wri Guide and Verificatio	n OPOPO1-ZA Rev. 1 Page 2 of	-0006 58
15.0	Mechanics of Style	20	
16.0	Abbreviations, Letter Symbols, and Acronyms	22	
17.0	Provisions for Missing Information	23	
18.0	Integration of EOPs and requirements of the South Texas Project Emergency Management Pl	an 23	
19.0	Verification to Writers Guide	24	
20.0	Procedure Package Handling	26	
21.0	Source Documents for use in the Preparation of EOPs	26	
22.0	References	26	
Addend	dum 1 - Cover Page Format	27	
Addend	dum 2 - Procedure Page Format	28	
Addend	dum 3 - Operator and Other Addendum Cover Pag	ge Format 29	
Addend	dum 4 - Checklist Format	30	
Addend	dum 5 - Reactor Operations Division List of Abbreviations	31	
Addend	dum 6 - Action Verb Definitions	37	
Addend	dum 7 - Preferred Instrumentation and Contro	ls 39	
Proced	dure Verification Request (OPOPO1-ZA-0006-1)	50	
Reacto	or Operations EOP Review Checklist (OPOPOI-Z	A-0006-2) 51	
EOP D	iscrepancy/Comment Form (OPOPO1-ZA-0006-3)	56	
EOP St	tep Justification/Verification Form (OPOPO1-	ZA-0006-4) 57	
Punch	list	58	

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 3 cf 58

1.0 Purpose and Scope

7 2

- 1.1 This Procedure is to be used in the preparation of the Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs) and any supporting Addendum for those EOPs using the Westinghouse Owners Group (WOG), Rev. 1 Guidelines and Background Information.
- 1.2 This Procedure provides the methods and instructions for the preparation of the EOPs as per the Writers Guide and the verification of the technical accuracy when compared to WOG Guidelines.

2.0 WOG Guideline Conversion to Plant Specific Procedure

- 2.1 Due to the similarity between the South Texas Project and the Westinghouse generic plant and the extensive research completed by Westinghouse for the generic guidelines and background information, the WOG Generic Guidelines Rev. 1 of July, 1983 shall be used in the preparation of the STP plant specific EOPs. Recognized differences between the generic plant and STP are associated with the safeguards and protection trains.
- 2.2 Conversion Process
 - 2.2.1 The EOP writer should assemble reference material for the specific EOP to be written and:
 - 2.2.1.1 Compare the WOG Guideline and Background Information with the FSAR Accident scenarios for consistency in expected responses.
 - 2.2.1.2 Determine the differences between the required safeguards equipment of STP and the generic plant.
 - 2.2.2 The EOP writer shall prepare the STP EOP in the following manner:
 - 2.2.2.1 Determine the applicability of each WOG procedural step to the needs of the same task at STP.
 - 2.2.2.2 Each WOG procedural step should be reviewed for preferential order considering: control board layout; controls and/or instrument location and type; and which operator is performing the task.

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 4 of 58

- 2.2.2.3 When a WOG procedural step requires a plant specific numerical value, as per the footnotes, do the following, as appropriate:
 - 2.2.2.3.1 Consult the Westinghouse Precautions, Limitations and Setpoints Document
 - 2.2.2.3.2 Consult the Bechtel Engineering Setpoints Document
 - 2.2.2.3.3 Consult the specific Vendor Equipment Manual
 - 2.2.2.3.4 Request Assistance from the Technical Support Division
 - 2.2.3.5 Consult the WOG Guideline Executive Volume.
- 2.2.2.4 Calculated numerical values must be supported by a calculation sheet or calculation number. Refer to Plant Procedure OPGP03-ZE-0002. This information shall be maintained by the EOP writer through the procedural review and retained with the procedure verification and validation package for permanent storage.
- 2.2.2.5 When a WOG procedural step specifies plant specific information (equipment, controls, indication, additional steps) add the information required as per the appropriate section of the writers guide.
- 2.2.2.6 If in the preparation process actions are identified that are not in the WOG Guidelines or guideline steps cannot be performed due to the STP design, provide appropriate steps within the EOP and use the "EOP Step Justification/Verification Form" (-4) for additional information about the use of the step.
- 2.2.2.7 In all cases for procedure steps, NOTES and CAUTIONS, the EOP shall be prepared consistent with the Writers Guide.

Eme	rge	ncy	P	roc	ed	ur	es	Wr	iters
	Gui	de	an	d V	er	if	ica	ti	on

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 5 of 58

- 2.3 Documentation
 - 2.3.1 When preparing the EOPs, situations may arise where the intent specified by the Guidelines may have to be altered or the sequence may have to be varied due to the STP design. When this happens, the writer shall complete the documentation for changes to the WOG Guidelines on "EOP Step Justification/Verification Form", (-4).
 - 2.3.1.1 Provide justification for each deviation such as plant design, control board layout, improve operator actions, etc.
 - 2.3.1.2 All deviation forms will accompany the procedure through the review process and be retained with the original procedure in the Nuclear Plant Operations Department (NPOD) Document Control Center.

3.0 Formats

3.1 Written Procedure Body Format

- 3.1.1 The written procedure section prepared for the Supervisor use shall be in the two column style as shown in Addendum 2
- 3.1.2 The written procedure section prepared for the operator shall be in the dual page, four column style using Addendum 2.
 - 3.1.2.1 Each operator copy of the written procedure shall:
 - 3.1.2.1.1 Present the user information and steps on the left page when opened.
 - 3.1.2.1.2 Present the non-user information and steps on the right page when opened.
 - 3.1.2.1.3 Be identified on the front page as which operator section when closed as per Addendum 3.
- 3.1.3 Each pair of columns shall be titled "Action/Expected Response" on the left and "Contingency Actions" on the right.

Emergency	Pro	ced	ure	s	Wr	iters
Guide	and	Ver	ifi	ca	ti	on

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 6 of 58

3.1.4 Each page of the written procedure (supervisor's section and operators section) shall have displayed across top of the page the following:

3.1.4.1 Procedure Title

3.1.4.2 Procedure Number

3.1.4.3 Revision Number

3.1.4.4 User Identification (at the word "copy")

3.1.4.5 Page Number

- 3.1.5 EOPs shall be printed on 8½" X 11" paper as per the example in Addendum 2.
- 3.1.6 Margins for EOP written pages should be one inch on the left and right.
- 3.1.7 Cautions, Notes and Tables shall be printed across the applicable users columns and placed in the middle of the page.
- 3.1.8 Each procedure key step shall have a specific format sequence in the following order:

3.1.8.1 Action to be taken with the object.

3.1.8.2 Information Source Primary -Alternate -

3.1.8.3 Location in parenthesis.

- 3.2 Cover Sheet Format
 - 3.2.1 Each EOP shall have a cover page with the Procedure Number, Procedure Title, Approval Signatures, and effective date displayed in the middle of the page as shown in Addendum 1.
 - 3.2.2 Those items specified in 3.2.1 shall be in the order specified by 3.2.1 and shall have three (3) line separation between each.
 - 3.2.3 The procedure title shall be printed in BOLD FACE TYPE for emphasis.

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 7 of 58

- 3.2.4 The Procedure Title should be brief and self explanatory of the purpose of the procedure. When needed, a brief explanation may be added below the title.
- 3.3 Flow Chart Format
 - 3.3.1 The construction of the flow charts shall present the procedural flow from top to bottom or left to right.
 - 3.3.2 To avoid congestion on the flow charts, do not put more than two major flow paths per page. A major flow path is one in which a series of actions or decisions are linked together to form the process in which analysis is made or procedure steps to carry out the process.
 - 3.3.3 All flow charts are to prepared on &₂" X ll" pages with white Background and color coded borders corresponding to the unit assignment for inclusion with the procedure. When using the flow charts they may be enlarged and the pages connected together.
 - 3.3.4 Flow chart page margins should be one inch (1") on the left and one-half inch (2") on the right.
- 3.4 Conditional Information Page Format
 - 3.4.1 Conditional Information pages may be used for various types of information and as such may be in several formats. Examples of conditional information page formats are:
 - 3.4.1.1 Tables provide the title of the table above the table and label the columns clearly.

.

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 8 of 58

EXAMPLE:

Symptoms of Inadequate Core Cooling

Go To "LOSS OF REACTOR OR SECONDARY COOLANT" 1POP05-E0-00E1 IF any set of conditions in a column exists.

Par	rameter	Column A	Column B	Column C
1.	Incore TC's	> 1200 F		> 700 F
2.	Containment Conditions		Abnormal	Abnormal
3.	RCP Status		Any on	All off
4.	RVLIS		< 100%	< (Later)

3.4.1.2 Conditional Statements - provide instructions based upon conditions which may arise at any time during the performance of a procedure.

EXAMPLE:

Reactor Coolant Pump Trip Criteria

- TRIP Any RCP IF cooling water is lost to the RCP motor for longer than 2 minutes OR a "Bearing Hi Temp" alarm exists.
- o TRIF all RCP's IF both conditions exist.
 - a. Hi Head SI pumps are delivering flow to the Reactor Coolant System
 - b. RCS Pressure is < 1600 psig.

3.5 Checklist Format

. .

- 3.5.1 Checklist, when prepared for use with the EOP, shall be prepared using a column on the left, for the devices, and a column in the middle for position required.
- 3.5.2 When needed for place keeping, provisions shall be made on the right for check (\checkmark) marks.

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 9 of 58

3.5.3 Each checklist sheet shall have the Procedure Title, and Number, Page Number and Checklist title displayed at the top of the page as shown in Addendum 4.

4.0 Procedure Organization

- 4.1 The first page of each procedure shall be a cover page as shown in Addendum 1.
- 4.2 Conditional Information pages shall be printed on the back of each page of the main body of the Supervisors Procedure Section. Conditional Information pages shall be to the left of the procedure text when it is being used.
- 4.3 Addendums and Checklists used with the procedure shall be placed in order of expected use.
- 4.4 The Operators sections of the procedure shall be the last of the procedure attachments.

5.0 Procedure Numbering

- 5.1 The procedure number shall consist of a system that uniquely indentifies it as a specific Emergency Operating Procedure. The first digit shall be the unit designator followed by "POPO5-EO-" and a four place alpha-numeric combination made up of the WOG guideline identification.
- 5.2 The first page of the procedure text shall have a section entitled "Symptoms or Entry Conditions". The section title shall be printed in capital letters and underlined.
 - 5.2.1 The "Symptoms or Entry Conditions" section is a summary of those conditions which require entry into the procedure.
 - 5.2.2 Entry conditions may be of two types, but are not limited to only two.

EXAMPLE:

- 5.2.2.1 The following are symptoms of Reactor Trip:
- o Any Reactor Trip Annunciator Lit
- o Rapid decreasing Neutron level on Nuclear Instruments

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 10 of 58

- 5.2.2.2 This procedure may be entered from (Proc. No.) (Step No.). When using entry from another procedure, use the procedure number and step to show exact transition.
- 5.3 The sequence of step numbering identifies the order by which the procedure shall be performed. When steps may be performed in some other order, the alternate sequence shall be provided prior to the applicable steps. Identify the operator Immediate Actions with a NOTE prior to Step 1.
- 5.4 When numbering the steps of the dual column procedure body, only the "Action/Expected Response" column shall be numbered. Maintain a direct horizontal relationship between related steps in the left and right columns.
- 5.5 The step numbering of the operator's sections of the procedure shall be the same as the Supervisor's section. Designate in the Supervisor's section, with a "P" or "S" enclosed in Brackets [] and to the left of the step number, which operator is to perform the step. ("P" shall designate the "Primary" Operator and "S" Shall designate the "Secondary" Operator.) When an action is to be performed by the Unit Supervisor, use "US" in the brackets.

6.0 Flow Chart Preparation

- 6.1 Flow charts shall be prepared using logic symbols to denote the type of statement enclosed within the symbol. Only "Reactor Trip/Safety Injection" shall be required to be flow charted. Symbols used are:
 - 6.1.1 Diamonds shall be used to denote questions or logic decisions.
 - 6.1.2 Squares shall be used to denote instructional steps based upon the result of a logic decision where those results do not result in exiting the flow chart.
 - 6.1.3 Hexagons shall be used when the result of a logic decision will cause exiting to another procedure, either as a final step or branching.
 - 6.1.4 Triangles shall be used to identify the need to declare an Emergency Action Level based upon the diagnostics performed. (Refer to Section 18).
- 6.2 All written information/questions shall be contained within the symbol and therefore must be brief. Complete sentences are not required.

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 11 of 58

- 6.3 Extreme care must be used when preparing flow charts to maintain a meaning yet be brief. Use of the abbreviations listed in Addendum 5 is recommended.
- 6.4 Each shape symbol of the flow chart shall be identified by its corresponding step number. The step number shall be placed at the upper left of the symbol.
- 6.5 "Cautions" and "Notes" shall be included in the flow chart in the same format as in the written procedure. Placement shall be directly above or below the flow chart symbol as appropriate.
- 6.6 "Cautions" shall be printed in RED ink and "Notes" shall be printed in medium BLUE ink.

7.0 Procedure Writing Techniques

- 7.1 Procedure steps should be short and concise and should contain one, but not more than two actions.
- 7.2 Action verbs shall be capitalized for emphasis. Refer to Addendum 6 for correct use of Action Verbs.

EXAMPLE:

7.2.1 OPEN SG 1A Feed Water Isolation Valve FWIV 1*FW-7141

7.2.2 CHECK Containment Isolation valves closed

7.3 Provide a level of detail in each procedure step to enable the operator to perform the intended function, but not so much that he may become confused.

EXAMPLE:

7.3.1 Inadequate Level of Detail:

CHECK pressure Indicator to ensure adequate suction pressure:

7.3.2 Adequate Level of detail:

CHECK pressure Indicator (1*SI-PI-368) to ensure 75-80 psig suction pressure.

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 12 of 58

7.3.3 Too much detail:

CHECK HHSI pump suction pressure indication for 75-80 psig suction to HHSI Pump A.

- 7.4 Avoid complex evolutions in one procedural step. Use, instead a series of steps with each as simple as possible.
- 7.5 Objects of operator actions should be clearly defined. Also when possible, include the location of those objects. Refer to Sect. 8.0.
- 7.6 If a step contains more than two objects of an action, such as opening several valves, refer the operator to a list immediately below the step.

7.6.1 EXAMPLE:

VERIFY open the HHSI Pump Discharge Valves (1-CP003)

HHSI Pump #11 - 1*SI-MOV-004A HHSI Pump #12 - 1*SI-MOV-004B HHSI Pump #13 - 1*SI-MOV-004C

7.7 When a setpoint is required to be maintained, prescribe a band about the setpoint.

EXAMPLE: 100 - 200 psig; 70 - 80 gpm; 90 - 100 F.

- 7.8 Always use units of measure and values that are compatible with the instruments being used i.e., gpm, psig, etc. For temperature measures only the letters F and C are necessary. The degree sign (°) may be omitted.
- 7.9 Do not use vague or general expressions that may lead to confusion. Specific measurable parameters are necessary to eliminate confusion:

EXAMPLES:

VagueClearPeriodicallyevery 30 minutesCrack openopen the valve 1 turnRapidlyraise temperature 10F/min.

OPOP01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 13 of 58

7.10 Procedures are developed to prescribe a specific series of tasks to be accompl.shed. These tasks will be identified as the key steps and be performed by the sub-steps listed below.

EXAMPLE:

7.10.1 RESET both Trains of Safety Injection

RESET Train "R" SI

RESET Train "S" SI

- 7.11 During the performance of an EOP, the necessity of communication between the Control Room Operators and other personnel arises, specify the need and to whom it shall go.
- 7.12 When considered beneficial to the user for proper understanding and performance, describe the system response time associated with performance of the instruction.
- 7.13 When system response dictates a time frame within which the instructions must be accomplished, prescribe the time frame. If possible, however, avoid using time to indicate operator actions. Operator actions should be related to plant parameters.
- 7.14 When referring to instrumentation inside of the containment which, when exposed to adverse conditions, such as temperature and radiation, provide the expected instrument range correction caused by those adverse conditions. Identification of those alternate ranges shall be made by the words, "For Adverse Containment Conditions", then the new expected reading, all within parenthesis.

8.0 Equipment Identification, Numbering and Location Aids

8.1 All valves within the plant will be identified using the unit number, system designator, and valve number. When applicable, motor operated valves shall also be identified by using "MOV" between the system designation and valve number.

EXAMPLE:

1-CC-MOV-0269 0-IA-0260



OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 14 of 58

8.2 Electrical Breakers and Local control switches shall be identified by specifying the switchgear, cubicle, control panel and switch number, as applicable.

EXAMPLE:

4160 BUS 1D-6 480V MCC-1K-C-7 ZLP-601-AW1

8.3 The use of an asterisk in the place of a dash after the unit number indicates that the component is safety related.

EXAMPLE:

1* CC-0110 2* CC-MOV-368

8.4 When referring to controls or indications, the location should be given the first time it appears in the procedure. This location should indicate the board or panel on which the device is mounted. In the case of large boards, the specific section should be identified.

EXAMPLES:

START a Reactor Coolant Pump (1-CP005)

1. START the RCP Oil Lift Pump

2. START Reactor Coolant Pump...

8.5 Equipment, controls, and displays shall be identified in operator language (common usage) terms. These terms shall have the first letter of each word capitalized, followed by the equipment number where applicable. Since these terms may not always match engraved names of plarards, the engraved term shall be placed in the procedure immediately after the common term. The engraved term shall be emphasized by using all capitals and shall be underlined.

9.0 Specifying Use of Plant Instrumentation Controls and Equipment in EOPs

- 9.1 Control Room Instrumentation and Controls shall be identified by Name and Number of the component.
- 9.2 Assignments of Operator Usage Instrumentation and Controls shall be selected from Addendum 7, "Preferred Instrumentation and Controls".

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 15 of 58

- 9.2.1 When selecting a required variable, use the variable with the highest letter-number combination designation.
- 9.2.2 Identify at least one alternate to the selected variable with the same levels or the next highest designation available.
- 9.2.3 When available, control board (MCB IND) instruments and controls other than CRT and plasma images are the Primary information sources with the "Qualified Display Processing System"(QDPS) as alternate. Care must be taken when selecting MCB IND so as not to require the operator to leave the field of vision of the balance of the control boards.
- 9.2.4 When information such as trending is required, the QDPS may be listed as the main instrument required.
- 9.3 When specifying the Instrumentation for the Supervisors usage, Identify the "Emergency Response Facility Data Aquisition Display System"(ERFDADS) as the main source of instrumentation with the Operators selection process as an alternate.
- 9.4 EXAMPLES:
 - VERIFY Neutron Flux decreasing on Extended Range Neutron Flux 1-NI-0045 or 1-NI-0046
 Primary - ERFDADS
 Alternate - QDPS - MCB IND
 - VERIFY Steam Generator Secondary Activity decreasing on Steam Line Monitor RIT-XXXX or Steam Generator Blowdowns Monitor RIT-XXXX
- 10.0 Use of Logic Terms
 - 10.1 The logic terms IF, AND, OR, NOT, IF NOT, WHEN and THEN are to be used to describe precisely a set of conditions or a sequence of actions. Logic terms are to be emphasized by capitalization and underlining.
 - 10.2 The multi-column format equates to the following logic: IF NOT the expected response in the left users column, THEN perform the contingency action in the right-hand column.

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 16 of 58

- 10.3 When action steps are contingent upon certain conditions, the step shall begin with the words IF or WHEN followed by a description of those conditions, a coma, the word THEN, and the action to be taken.
- 10.4 Logic Term Applications
 - 10.4.1 IF is used for an unexpected but possible condition.
 - 10.4.2 WHEN is used for an expected condition.
 - 10.4.3 AND calls attention to combinations of conditions and shall be placed between each condition. If more than two conditions are to be combined, a list format is preferred.
 - 10.4.4 OR implies alternative combinations or conditions, OR means either one or the other, or both.
 - 10.4.5 IF... NOT or IF... can NOT should be used when an operator must respond to the second of two possible conditions. IF should always be used to specify the first conditions. (The right-hand column of the users procedure format contains an implicit IF NOT.)

11.0 Cautions

Use cautions only to alert an operator to conditions that could 11.1 result in health hazards or plant equipment damage. Cautions should describe the hazardous conditions and consequences of actions. If, however, cautions are overused, their effectiveness as attention-getters will be diminished. The cautionary statement must be presented before the statement directing the action. If the caution pertains to the entire procedure, place it at the beginning of the procedure. The cautionary statement shall be identified with the capitalized heading, "CAUTION." This shall be centered and double-spaced above the cautionary note. The text of the cautionary note shall be written in both upper and lower case letters for ease of reading. The cautionary note and heading shall be boxed in asterisks. The body of the procedure shall be double-spaced above and below the asterisk lines. Use short, concise sentences to describe the hazardous condition. Cautions should not contain action statements.



0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 17 of 58

11.1.1 Example

*	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	e l
*		
*	CAUTION	Ł
*		ł
*	Rapid addition of feedwater following a	ł
*	reactor trip will cause excessive cooldown,*	Ł
*	which could result in a safety injection *	Ł
*	actuation.	Ł
*		k
*	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	k

11.2 If a caution applies to a single step, the caution shall appear on the same page as the applicable step.

12.0 Notes

Use notes to provide descriptive or explanatory information 12.1 that is intended to aid the operator in performing the instructional step. Notes are a means for providing explanatory information in a procedure without encumbering the instructional steps. Notes should not contain action steps. Present information to the operator in the order in which it is needed. If the information in the note is intended to aid in the performance of a step, place it ahead of the step. If it pertains to the results of a step, place it after the step. If the note pertains to the entire procedure, place it at the beginning of the procedure. The note statement shall be identified with the heading "NOTE." This shall be centered and do ble-spaced above the note. The text of the note shall be written in both upper and lower case letters for ease of reading. The note and heading shall have a solid line above and below their text. The body of the procedure shall be doublespaced above and below the solid line.

12.1.1 Example

NOTE

Opening the recirculation valve on the discharge of any Steam Generator Feed Pump will cause a decrease in the suction pressure to all Steam Generator Feed Pumps.

12.2 If a note applies to a single step, the note shall appear on the same page as the applicable step.

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 18 of 58

13.0 Specific Writing Instructions

10.00

- 13.1 User Left-hand column
 - 13.1.1 The left-hand column should be used for the operator key steps and expected responses.
 - 13.1.2 Each key step should begin with an appropriate action verb or verb with modifier (capitalize action verbs)
 - 13.1.3 If a key step requires multiple substeps, then each substep will have its own expected response.

Example:

CHECK SI Accumulator Isolation Valve Status

- o CHECK power on to valve operator
- o CHECK Isolation Valve open
- 13.1.4 If the key step does not require substeps then the step shall contain its expected response.

Example: CHECK RCP status - At least one running.

- 13.2 User Right-hand column
 - 13.2.1 The right-hand column is used to provide contingency actions which are to be taken in the event that a stated condition, event, or task in the left-hand column does not represent or achieve the expected result.
 - 13.2.2 Contingency actions should identify directions to override automatic controls and manually initiate any failed automatic action.
 - 13.2.3 If contingency actions contain substeps that do not reflect the substeps in the left-hand column, then use small alpha characters to denote those substeps.

Example:

Establish Normal	IF Normal Letdown is	
Letdown	NOT available, USE	
1.	Excess Letdown	
2.	а.	
3.	b.	

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. l Page 19 of 58

- 13.3 EOPs are to be written to provide the operator guidance for a specified event, therefore:
 - 13.3.1 Prepare the left-hand column considering no contingency action required.
 - 13.3.2 Prepare the right-hand column with contingencies to a corresponding step in the left-hand column and assume the operator is to return to the left when the contingency is completed.
 - 13.3.3 DO NOT prepare the procedure in such a manner to hold the operator in a contingency. IF a contingency cannot be completed, he is to continue in the left-hand column.

14.0 Referencing and Branching

- 14.1 The term "referencing" is used when interacting or referring to another procedure. It implies that the referenced procedure will be used as a supplement to the procedure presently being used. Beware, excessive forward and backward referencing within the same procedure can be confusing and may lead to skipping of steps, particularly since the referenced steps may not return the operator to the directing step. Also, important information preceding a referenced step can be missed.
- 14.2 If operators are required to use many procedures at the same time, there is a possibility that the referenced information may not be obtained and used or the exit point for the original procedure might be forgotten. Important steps might be missed and operator delay might result. Therefore, referencing should be minimized.
- 14.3 In determining whether to reference another part of the procedure for instructions or to repeat the instructions within the procedure, consider the following factors:
 - 14.3.1 If the referenced instructions can be repeated without greatly increasing the length of the procedure, repeat them.
 - 14.3.2 If the procedure splits into two or more optional paths, references to other steps may be unavoidable.
 - 14.3.3 Be sure there is a means of returning the user to the correct step in the procedure after using the reference.

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 20 of 58

- 14.4 The term "branching" is used in connection with another procedure. It signifies that the procedure being used is to be exited and the new procedure is to be used in its entirety. Branching eliminates most of the problems associated with referencing.
- 14.5 To determine whether to reference another procedure, branch to another procedure, or to provide the instructions within the procedure being written, consider the following:
 - 14.5.1 How the procedure and other supporting plant procedures interrelate for compatibility.
 - 14.5.2 If the information in question is material that is part of the expected knowledge of the adequately trained operator, a reference may not be necessary.
 - 14.5.3 If a sequence of actions is covered completely by another existing procedure and if the original procedure is not to be re-entered, consider branching to the procedure.
 - 14.5.4 If a sequence of actions is covered completely by another existing procedure and if the original procedure is to be re-entered, consider referencing the procedure.
 - 14.5.5 Reference complete procedures or sections of a procedure if possible.

15.0 Mechanics of Style

15.1 Spelling

Spelling should be consistent with modern usage. When a choice of spelling is offered by a dictionary, the first spelling should be used.

15.2 Hyphenation

Hyphens are used between elements of a compound word when usage calls for it. The following rules should be followed for hyphenation:

15.2.1 In compound numerals from twenty-one to ninety-nine.

15.2.2 In fractions; i.e., one-half.
0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 21 of 58

- 15.2.3 In compounds with "self;" i.e., self-contained.
- 15.2.4 When the last letter of the first word is the same vowel as the first letter of the second word. As an alternative, two words may be used; i.e., fire-escape or fire escape.
- 15.2.5 When misleading or awkward consonants would result by joining the words; i.e., bell-like.
- 15.2.6 To avoid confusion with another word, i.e., pre-position to avoid confusion with preposition.
- 15.2.7 When a letter is linked with a noun, i.e., x-ray.
- 15.2.8 To separate chemical elements and their atomic weight; i.e., Uranium-235, U-235.
- 15.2.9 When doubt exists, the compound word should be restructured to avoid hyphenation.

15.3 Punctuation

Punctuation should be used only as necessary to aid reading and prevent misunderstanding. Word order should be selected to require a minimum of punctuation. When extensive punctuation is necessary for clarity, the sentence should be rewritten and possibly made into several sentences. Punctuation should be in accordance with the following rules:

15.3.1 Brackets

Do not use brackets except when using "P" or "S" for operator action identification.

15.3.2 Colon

Use a colon to indicate that a list of items is to follow, for example: Restore cooling flow as follows:

15.3.3 Comma

Use of many commas is a sign the instruction is too complex and needs to be rewritten. Therefore, evaluate the number of commas to ensure the instruction is not too complex. Use a comma after additional phrases for clarity and ease of reading.

OFOP01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 22 of 58

15.3.4 Parentheses

Parentheses shall be used to indicate alternative items or equipment numbers in a procedure or instruction.

15.3.5 Period

Use a period at the end of complete sentences and for indicating the decimal place in numbers.

15.4 Vocabulary

Words used in procedures should convey precise understanding to the trained person. The following rules apply:

- 15.4.1 Use simple words. Simple words are usually short words of few syllables. Simple words are generally common words.
- 15.4.2 Use common usage, it makes the procedure easier to understand.
- 15.4.3 Use words that are concrete rather than vague, specific rather than general, familiar rather than formal, precise rather than blanket.
- 15.4.4 Define key words that may be understood in more than one sense.

16.0 Abbreviations, Letter Symbols and Acronyms

- 16.1 The use of abbreviations should be minimized because they may be confusing to those who are not thoroughly familiar with them. Abbreviations may be used where necessary to save time and space, and when their meaning is unquestionably clear to the intended reader.
- 16.2 The full meaning of the abbreviation, other than the abbreviations listed in Addendum 5 should be written in before the first use of the abbreviation and whenever in doubt. Consistency should be maintained throughout the procedure.
- 16.3 Capitalization of abbreviations should be uniform. If the abbreviation is comprised of lowercase letters, should appear in lowercase in a title or heading. The period should be omitted in abbreviations except in cases where the omission would result in confusion.
- 16.4 Letter symbols may be used to represent operations, quantities, elements, relations, and qualities.

OPOP01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 23 of 58

- 16.5 An acronym is a type of symbol formed by the initial letter or letters of each of the successive parts or major parts of a compound term. Acronyms may be used if they are defined or commonly used.
- 16.6 Abbreviations, symbols, and acronyms should not be overused. Their use should be for the benefit of the reader. They can be beneficial by saving reading time, ensuring clarity when space is limited, and communicating mathematic ideas.
- 16.7 Approved abbreviations, letter symbols and acronyms are listed in Addendum 5.

17.0 Provision for Missing Information

- 17.1 In some instances, information required to write a procedure is not available when it is drafted, or cannot actually be verified until the system is operated for the first time. This missing information will be identified in the procedure as (PUNCHLIST-X)
- 17.2 The writer shall ensure that the missing information is obvious to the reader by expanding the (PUNCHLIST-Item #) if necessary. Examples of (PUNCHLIST) usage.
 - 17.2.1 For a missing setpoint: (PUNCHLIST-Item #) psig
 - 17.2.2 For a missing operating range where the writer has estimated a parameter: (verify estimated 100-110 PUNCHLIST-Item #)amps.
 - 17.2.3 For a document that is not written yet: In accordance with (PUNCHLIST - emergency instruction for turbine trip).
 - 17.2.4 For a missing instrument: Observe pressure increase on (PUNCHLIST-X pump discharge pressure indicator).
- 17.3 Missing information should be resolved at the earliest possible time prior to licensing of the Plant
- 17.4 Missing information shall be listed on a separate Punchlist at the end of the written procedure body. Each Punchlist item should contain a usability statement pertaining to this item.
- 18.0 Integration of EOPs and Requirements of the South Texas Project Emergency Management Plan (STPEMP)
 - 18.1 During the preparation process of each EOP, a review of the STEMP Emergency Action Level (EAL) Tables (STPEMP Attachment 13) shall be conducted to determine applicability for the specific EOP (PUNCHLIST 1).

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 24 of 58

- 18.2 Based upon the symptoms and conditions exhibited through control room indication and other methods of plant status determination, the EOP writer shall enter the specified EAL into the procedure at the earliest possible point.
- 18.3 EAL declaration points shall be written in the form of cautions with the EAL Level (Unusual Event, Alert, Site Area Emergency or General Emergency) written in all capital letters.

19.0 Procedure Verification for Compliance to the Writers Guide

- 19.1 Responsibilities
 - 19.1.1 The EOP writer shall assemble the Procedure Package including all addendums (checklist, flow charts, tables and graphs) for review and complete the "Procedure Verification Request" Form (-1). List Source Documents used.
 - 19.1.2 The Reactor Operations Superintendent or his designee shall assign a person the responsibility of completing the review and provide the reviewer's name(s) on the "Procedure Verification Request"
 - 19.1.3 The Reactor Operations Superintendent or his designee shall send the procedure package for simultan ous review, to the Technical Support Division for concurrence of all specified setpoints and calculated values.
 - 19.1.4 The Assigned Reviewer should complete the review within 10 working days.
- 19.2 Procedure Review
 - 19.2.1 The reviewer shall review the assigned Procedure Package using the "EOP Review Checklist" (-2) as a guide for comparison to the "Writers Guide".

OPOP01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 25 of 58

19.2.2 If discrepancies are noted in the procedure, the reviewer shall describe the discrepancy on the "EOP Discrepancy/Comment Form" (-3). Use only one form for each discrepency identified in the Step/Caution/Note of the reviewed procedure.

NOTE

Discrepancies are to be made ONLY on the "EOP Discrepancy/Comment Form". DO NOT make notes within the body or addendum being reviewed.

- 19.2.3 As discrepancies are identified also note the checklist item number against which the comparison is being made.
- 19.2.4 When the review is completed, the reviewer shall assemble the procedure package with the "EOP Discrepancy/Comment Forms" attached and provide the number of Forms attached on the "Procedure Verification Request" (1).
- 19.2.5 The reviewer shall return the review completed procedure package to the assigning supervisor for return to the EOP procedure writing group.

19.3 Discrepancy/Comment Resolution

- 19.3.1 The EOF writer shall review all Discrepancy/Comment Forms and resolve each with the reviewer on a case by case basis. When resolutions are agreed upon, the reviewer shall initial the discrepancy/comment form.
- 19.3.2 Where resolution is not achievable, the Reactor Operations Superintendent shall have the responsibility of deciding the resolution.
- 19.3.3 When the resolution is agreed upon or incorporated into the procedure, the EOP writer shall note the result of each discrepancy on the "EOP Discrepancy/ Comment Form" and sign the sheet showing final resolution.
- 19.3.4 If during the review, instrumentation and/or control needs are identified as being needed in the Control Room, and are recommended by the Reactor Operations Superintendent, these needs shall be reviewed as part of the Control Room Design Review.

OPOP01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 26 of 58

20.0 Procedure Package Handling

• • • • •

- 20.1 When the completed procedure package is ready for the validation process, the EOP writer shall make a copy of the package for storage in the Reactor Operations Files.
- 20.2 Transmit the original of the package to NPOD Document Control Center for retention.
- 21.0 Source Documents For Use in the Preparation of EOPs
 - 21.1 WOG Guidelines and Background Information Rev. 1 of July 1983.
 - 21.2 STPEGS FSAR and Tech. Specs.
 - 21.3 Plant Piping and Instrument Drawings.
 - 21.4 Plant Equipment Technical Manuals.
 - 21.5 Plant Electrical and Logic Drawings.

22.0 References

- 22.1 OPGP03-ZA-0002 (Plant Procedure)
- 22.2 INPO 82-17 (Emergency Operating Procedures Writing Guidelines)
- 22.3 NUREG 0899 (GUIDELINE FOR THE PREPARATION OF EMERGENCY OPERATING PROCEDURES Resolution of Public Comments on NUREG-7099)

0P0P0001-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 27 of 58

Emergency Procedures Writers Guide and Verification ADDENDUN I COVER PAGE FORMAT (Page 1 of 1)

PROCEDURE NUMBER

PROCEDURE TITLE

PLANT MANAGER

EFFECTIVE DATE





ADDENDUM 3 OPERATOR and OTHER EOP ADDENDUM COVER PAGE FORMAT (Page 1 of 1)

> 0P0P0001-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 29 of 58

ADDENDUM

PROCEDURE TITLE

OPERATOR COPY

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 30 of 58

	ADDENDUM 4 CHECKLIST FORMAT (Page 1 of 1)	
Checklist Title	Rev. Number	Page of
Procedure Title	Procedure Number	Rev. Number

DEVICE NAME

POSITION REQUIRED



. . . .

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 31 of 58

ADDENDUM 5 REACTOR OPERATIONS DIVISION LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (Page 1 of 6)

C

A

AC	alternating current
ACC	accumulator
ACT	actuator
ACW	auxiliary cooling water
ADD	additive
ADJ	adjuster
ADMIN	administration
AFW	auxiliary feedwater
AFWP	auxiliary feedwater pump
AFWPT	auxiliary feedwater pump
	turbine
AFWS	auxiliary feedwater system
ALT	alternate
AMP	amperes
AOV	air operated valve
ATM	atmosphere
AUCT	auctioneer
AUTO	automatic
AUX	auxiliary
AVG	average

В

BA	boric acid
BAT	boric acid tank
BKR	breaker
BLDG	building
BLKD	blocked
BLR	boiler
BLWDN	blowdown
BOD	biochemical oxygen demand
BOOST	booster
BOP	balance of plant
BPRA	burnable poison rod assembly
BRG	bearing
BTRS	boron thermal regeneration
	system
BTU	British Thermal Unit
B/U	backup
BYP	bypass

C

CAV	cavity
Cb	boron concentration
CB	control bank
CC	cubic centimeter

CCP	centrifugal charging pump
CCW	component cooling water
CENT	centrifugal
CFM	cubic feet per minute
CFS	cubic feet per second
CHEM	chemical
CHG	charging
CHL	chill
CHLD	chilled
CHLR	chiller
CHNL	channel
Ci	curie
CIA	containment isolation phase A
CIB	containment isolation phase B
CIRC	circulating
CK	check
CL	closed loop
CLD	cold
CLDN	cooldown
CLNG	cooling
CLR	cooler
CNDSR	condenser
CNTMT	containment
C02	carbon dioxide
COMP	compensated
OMPART	compartment
COMPR	compressor
CONC	concentration
COND	condensate
CONN	connection
CONT	control
CONTR	controller
CP	control panel
CPLG	coupling
CPM	counts per minute
·CPRW	condensate polishing
	regenerative waste
CR	control room
CRDM	control rod drive mechanism
CS	containment spray
CSP	containment spray pump
C/U	cleanup
CUB	cubicle
CVCS	chemical volume control
	system
CVI	containment ventilation
	isolation
CWP	circulation water pump

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 32 of 58

		ADDENDUM	5		
REACTOR	OPERATIONS	DIVISION	LIST	OF	ABBREVIATIONS
		(Page 2 of	(6)		

D

DAMP	damper
DC	direct current
DEAER	deaerator
DEMIN	demineralizer
DET	detector
DEV	deviation
DF	decontamination factor
DG	diesel generator
DGB	diesel generator building
DISCH	discharge
DISP	displace
DIST	distribution
DN	down
DO	dissolved oxygen
DPM	decades per minute or
	desintegrations per minute
DRN	drain
DRPI	digital rod position
	indication
DSTL	distillate
DVRT	divert
DWS	demineralized water system
D/P	differential pressure

E

EAB	electrical auxiliary building
ECCS	emergency core cooling system
ECP	essential cooling pond
ECW	essential cooling water
EHC	electro-hydraulic control
EL	elevation
ELEC	electrical
ELEM	element
EMER	emergency
ENCL	enclosure
ERFDADS	Emergency Response Facility
	Data Aquisition Display
	System
ESF	engineered safety features
ET	emergency transformer
EVAP	evaporator
EXCH	exchange

EXCS	excess
EXCT	excitation
EXH	exhaust
EXP	expansion
EXTR	extraction

F

FCV	flow control valve
FDR	feeder
FHB	fuel handling building
FIS	flow indicating switch
LTR	filter
FLW	flow
FO	fuel oil
FPS	feet per second
REQ	frequency
FW	feedwater
FWD	forward

G

GEN	generator		
GND	ground		
GOV	governor		
GP	group		
GPM	gallons per minute		
RBX	gearbox		
WPS	gaseous waste processing		
	system		

H

Ha	hydrogen
НС∜	hand control valve
HDP	heater drip pump
HDR	header
HEPA	high efficiency particulate air
HHSI	high head safety injection
HI	high
HNDLG	handling
HOTWL	hotwell
H.P.	health physics
HP	high pressure



OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 33 of 58

ADDENDUM 5 REACTOR OPERATIONS DIVISION LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (Page 3 of 6)

Hp	horsepower
HR	hour
HTG	heating
HTR	heater
H/U	heatup
HVAC	heating, ventilation & air conditioning
HX	heat exchanger
HWD	hydraulic
Hz	Hertz

I

IA	instrument air system
ICRR	inverse count rate ratio
ILRT	integrated leak rate test
IMP	impulse
IMPL	impeller
IND	indication
INJ	injection
INL	inlet
INOP	inoperable
INTLK	interlock
INSTR	instrument
IR	intermediate range
IRC	inside reactor containment
ISOL	isolation

$\underline{\mathbf{J}}$

JNT joint JRNL journal

K

K	thousand
Keff	effective neutron
	multiplication factor
KW	killowatt
KWH	killowatt hour

L

LAB	laboratory
LBM	pounds mass
LC	load center
LCV	level control valve
LED	light emitting diode

LETDN	letdown
LH	left hand
LHSI	low head safety injection
LKF	leakoff
LLR	T local leak rate test
LN	line
LO	low
L.O.	lube oil
LP	low pressure
LS	limit switch
LWPS	liquid waste processing system

M

MAB	mechanical auxiliary building
MAN	manual
MB	missile barrier
MCB	main control board
MCC	motor control center
MEAB	mechanical electrical
	auxiliary building
MEAS	measure
MECH	mechanical
MEV	million electron volts
M-G	motor generator
MIDS	movable incore detector system
MINI	minimum
MISC	miscellaneous
ML	milliliter
MN	main
MNTR	monitor
MOIST	moisture
MOV	motor operated valve
MSIV	main steam isolation valve
MSL	mean sea level
MSR	moisture separator reheater
MTR	motor
M/U	makeup
MW	megawatt

N

Na	nitrogen	
N.C.	normal closed	
NEG	negative	
NEUT	neutral	
NIS	nuclear instrumentation	system
NNS	non-nuclear system	
N.O.	normal open	



OPOP01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 34 of 58

ADDENDUM 5 REACTOR OPERATIONS DIVISION LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (Page 4 of 6)

NO number Q NORM normal N/R narrow range QDPS Qualified Display NSRE non-safety related Processing System 0 R RAD radiation 0, oxygen OL open loop R range OPER operator RC reactor coolant ORC outside reactor containment RCB reactor containment ORIF orifice building OUTL outlet RCCA Rod control cluster assembly RCDT reactor coolant drain P tank PAMS post accident monitoring RCFC reactor containment fan cooler system PASS post accident sampling system RCP reactor coolant pump PCi pico curies RCPB reactor coolant pressure PCM percent milli rho boundary PCV pressure control valve RCS reactor coolant system RCV receiver PE pressure element PENETR penetration REC recorder PERM permissive RECIRC recirculation RECOMB recombiner PI pressure indicator PMP pump **REF** reference REFL refuel PNL panel POL polish, polishing, polisher REFLNG refueling PORV power operated relief valve REGEN regenerative POS positive RHTR reheater REL relief POSIT position REM roentgen equivalent man PPB parts per billion PPM parts per million RET return REV reverse/revision PR power range RH right hand PRI primary RHDS reactor head degassing PROT protection PRT pressurizer relief tank system RHR residual heat removal PRZR pressurizer RHRS residual heat removal PSIA pounds per square inch absolute system PT pressure transmitter RHT recycle holdup tank RM room PULS pulsation PURIF purification PWR power RMPF reservoir makeup pumping facility PRESS pressure RMS radiation monitoring

system

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 35 of 58

ADDENDUM 5 REACTOR OPERATIONS DIVISION LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (Page 5 of 6)

RMW	reactor makeup water
'' 'I.	contol rod position
	indicator
RSVR	reservoir
RTD	resistance temperature
	detector
RUNBK	runback
RWST	refueling water storage
	tank
RV	reactor vessel
RX	reactor
REG	regulator/regulating

S

2

SA	station air system
SB	shutdown bank
SCRN	screen
SD	shutdown
SEC	secondary
SEL	selector
SFPCS	spent fuel pit coolant system
S/G	steam generator
SGFP	steam generator feed pump
SGFPT	steam generator feed pump
	turbine
SHWR	shower
SI	safety inspection
SIS	safety injection system
SL	seal
SMP	sump
SPEC	specification
SOL	solenoid
SP	setpoint
SR	source range
SRE	safety-related
SRG	surge
SSPS	solid state protection system
STAB	stabilizer
STBY	standby
STDPIPE	standpipe
STM	steam
STOR	storage
STPEGS	South Texas Project Electric
	Generating Station
STRNR	strainer
STRT	start

STTR	stator
S/U	startup air system
SUCT	suction
SUPP	supply
SW	switch
SWYD	switchyard
SYNCH	synchronize/synchroscope
SYS	system

T

	*
TAVG	temperature average
T/C	Thermocouple
Tc/TC	Cold Leg Reactor Coolant
TCV	temperature contol valve
TDS	total dissolved solids
TECH	technical
TERM	terminal
TG	turbine generator
TGB	turbine generator building
Th/TH	Hot Leg Reactor Coolant
THERM	thermometer
THR	thrust
THROT	throttle

U

U	uranium	
UIC	uncompensated ion chamber	
JNINT	uninterruptable	
UAT	unit auxiliary transformer	t
UST	unit standby transformer	

V

V	volt
VAC	vaccum
VAR	volt amps reactive
VCT	volume control tank
ENT	ventilation
VIB	vibration
VLV	valve
VPR	vapor

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 36 of 58

REACTOR OPERATIONS DIVISION LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (Page 6 of 6)

W

٠. ٠.

WHT waste holdup tank WNDG winding W/R wide range WSH wash WST waste WTHDRAWL withdrawal WTR water

Х

X cross XFER transfer XFMR transformer XMTR transmitter

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 37 of 58

ADDENDUM 6 ACTION VERB DEFINITIONS (Page 1 of 2)

ALLOW: To permit a stated condition to be achieved prior to proceeding. 1. To perform a physical action that determines the state of a CHECK: 2. variable of status of equipment without directing a change in status. CLOSE: To change the physical position of a mechanical device to the 3. closed position so that it prevents a fluid flow or permits passage of electric current. COMPLETE: To accomplish specific procedural requirements. 4. DECREASE: To reduce, as in size, amount, number or intensity. 5. ESTABLISH: To make arrangements for a stated condition. 6. INCREASE: To enlarge, as in size, amount, number or intensity. 7. INSPECT: To measure, observe, or evaluate a feature or characteristic 8. for comparison with specified limits, method of inspection should be included. MAY: Used to denote permission, neither a requirement or condition. 9. OPEN: To change the physical position of a mechanical device to an 10. unobstructed position that permits access of flow, or prevents passage of electrical current. RECORD: To document a specified condition or characteristic. 11. SET: To physically adjust to a specified value an adjustable feature. 12. SHALL: Used to denote a requirement. 13. SHOULD: Used to denote a recommendation. 14. START: To originate motion of an electrical or mechanical device. 15. STOP: To cease motion of an electrical or mechanical device. 16. THROTTLE: To operate a valve in an intermediate position to obtain a 17. certain flow rate for gases or liquids.

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 38 of 58

ACTION VERB DEFINITIONS (Page 2 of 2)

- 18. TRIP: To activate a mechanical or electrical device which would initiate a protective function.
- 19. VERIFY: To prove to be true, exact, or accurate by observation of a condition or characteristic for comparison with an original or procedural requirement.

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 39 of 58

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 1 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Type/ Category	of Channels	Control Room Display
RCS Pressure (Wide Range)	0-3000 psig	A1, B1, B2 C1, C2, D2	l per plant	QDPS 1 recorded
Wide Range T Hot	0-700 F	Al, Bl, B2	l per loop	QDPS 4 recorded
Wide Range T Cold	0-700 F	A1, B1, B2	l per loop	QDPS 4 recorded
Wide Range Steam Gener- ator Level	0-100% of span	A1, B1, B2, D2	l per steam generator	QDPS 4 recorded
Narrow Range Steam Gener- ator Level	1-100% of span	A1, B1, B2, D2	4 per steam generator	QDPS 4 recorded
Pressurizer Level	1-100% of span	A1, B2, D2	4 per plant	QDPS 1 recorded
Containment Pressure	-5 to 60 psig	A1, B1, B2 C1, C2, D2	4 per plant	QDPS 2 recorded
Steamline Pressure	0-1400 psig	A1, B1, D2	4 per loop	QDPS 1 per loop recorded
Refueling Water Stor- age Tank Leve	1-100% of span 1	A1, B1, D2	3 per tank	QDPS 2 meters 1 recorded
Containment Level (Wide Range)	0-609,000 gal	A1, B1, B2 C2, D2	3 per plant	QDPS 3 recorded
Containment Water Level (Narrow Range)	Bottom of Sump to Top of Sump	A1, B2, C2, D2	2 per plant	QDPS 2 recorded
Auxiliary Feedwater Storage Tank	1-100% of span	A1, B1, D2	3 per plant	QDPS 1 recorded

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 40 of 58

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 2 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Type/ Category	Number of Channels	Control Room Display
High Range Containment Radiation Level	1R/hr to 10 R/hr Gamma	A1, B1, B2 C2, E2	2 per plant	QDPS 2 meters 2 recorded
Steam Gener- ator Blowdow Radiation Mo	(Later) m mitor	A1, B2, C2 E2	l per Blowdown Line	QDPS 4 meters 4 recorded
Main Steamli Radiation Mo	ne (Later) mitor	A1, B2, C2 E2	l per steam line	QDPS 4 meters 4 recorded
Core Exit Temperature	100-2200 F	A1, B1, C1	2 train of 25 thermocouples each, equally distributed across core	QDPS High & Average values re- corded for each train
RCS Sub- cooling	200 F sub- cooling to 35 F superheat	A1, B1	2 per plant	QDPS 2 recorded
Neutron Flux (Extended Range)	<pre>x 10-¹⁰to 100% Full Power</pre>	B1, D2	2 per plant	QDPS 1 recorded
Neutron Flux Startup Rate	1	B1, D2	2 per plant	QDPS 1 recorded
Reactor Vessel Water Level	Upper Core Support Plate to top of vessel	B1, C2, D2	2 per plant	QDPS
Containment Isolation Valve Status	Open/Closed	C2, D2	l per valve	l pair of lights per valve
Containment Hydrogen Concentratio	1-10%	B1, C1	2 per plant	QDPS 1 recorded
Control Rod Position	Rods on Bottom	D3	l per rod	LED

0P0P01-ZA-0606 kwv. 1 Page 41 of 58

1

6

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 3 of 11)

		Type /	Number	Contral
Variable	Range/Status	Category	of Channels	Room Display
Auxiliary Feedwater Flo	1-100% of w span	A1, B1, D2	l per loop	QDPS 4 recorded
RCS Pressure (Extended Range)	0-3500 psig	A1, B1, C1	2 per plant	QUPS 2 recorded
0-,			14 A.	
Primary Coolant Activity and Sampling	N/A	C3	l post accident sampling system per plant	CRT (ERFDADS)
Unit Vent Radioactivity		C2, E2	<pre>c per plant</pre>	CRT (RMS)
Level			1	
Fuel Handling Bldg. Radia- tion	10- ¹⁰ uCi/cc	10- to C3	2 per plant	2 meters, 2 recorded
Adjacent Building Radiation Level	10- ¹⁰ to 10 mR/hr	C3	5 per plant	CRT (RMS)
Site Envir- onmental Rad- iation Level	N/A	С3, ЕЗ	N/2	Portable Sampling
(Portable Moni	itoring)			
Pressurizer PORV Status	Open/Closed	B2, D2	? per valve) pair of Jights per valve
Pressurizer PORV Block Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair of lights per valve
Pressurizer Safety Valve Status	Open/Closed	B2, D2	l per valve	l Alarm CRT (ERFDADS)





0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 42 of 58

Γ

٢

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 4 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Category	of Channels	Control Room Display
Containment Pressure (Extended Ram	0-180 psig nge)	C1, C2	2 per plant	QDPS 1 recorded
Pressurizer Spray Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l Light per valve
Charging System Flow	0-500 gpm	D2	l per plant	QDPS
Letdown Flow	0-500 gpm	D2	l per plant	1 meter
Volume Control Tank Level	0-100% of span	D2	2 per plant	l meter
CVCS Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair of light per valve
Charging Pump Status	On/Off	D2	2 per plant	l pair of light per pump
Boric Acid Transfer Pump Status	On/Off	D2	2 per plant	l pair of light per pump
RCP Seal Injection Flo	0-20 gpm w	D2	l per loop	QDPS 4 recorded
S/G Atmos- pheric PORV Status	0-100% Open	D2	l per valve	QDPS 1 meter per valve
Main Steam- line Isolation Valve Status	Open/Closed n	B2, D2	l per valve	l pair of light per valve
Main Steam- line Bypass Valve Status	Open/Closed	B2, D2	l per valve	l pair of light per valve



0 30

1.2

ø

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 43 of 58

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 5 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Type/ Category	Number of Channels	Control Room Display
Pressurizer Heater Breaker Position	Open/Closed	D2	l per bank	l pair of lights per valve
Pressurizer Pressure		D2	4 per plant	QDPS
KCP Status	On/Off	D2	l per pump	l pair of light per pump
Main Feed- water Control Bypass Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	CRT (ERFDADS)
Main Feed- water Isola- tion Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	CRT (ERFDADS)
Main Feed- water Isola- tion Valve Bypass Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair of light per valve
Main Feed- water Flow	0-100% of span	D2	3 per loop	QDPS 1 per loop recorded
S/G Blowdown Isolation Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
S/G Blowdown Samples Isol- ation Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
Total HHSI Flow	0-2000 gpm	D2	2 per SI pump	6 meters
Total LHSI Flow	0-5000 gpm	D2	2 per SI pump	6 meters

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 44 of 58

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 6 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Type/ Category	Number Of Channels	Control Room Display
S/G Safety Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	Alarm CRT (RMS)
Main Feed- water Control Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
Auxiliary Feedwater Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
Containment Spray Flow	0-100% of span	D2	l per train	3 meters
Containment Spray System Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
Containment Spray Pumps Status	On/Off	D2	l per pump	l pair lights per valve
RCB Fan Cooler Dif- ferential Pressure/Stat	3-4 in. water	D2	l per fan	l Alarm per fan
CCW Pump Discharge Pressure	0-150 psig	D2	l per header	3 meters
Containment Ventilation Damper Status	Open/Closed	D2	1 per damper	l pair lights per damper
CCW Header Temperature	0-250 F	D2	1 per header	3 meters
CCW Surge Tank Level	0-100% of span	D2	l per tank component	3 meters

.

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 45 of 58

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 7 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Type/ Category	Number of Channels	Control Room Display
ECCS Accu- mulator Pressure	0-700 psig	D2	2 per tank	6 meters
ECCS Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
ECCS Pump Status	On/Off	D2	l per pump	l pair lights per valve
Essential Cooling Water System Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair of lights per valve
ESF Environment	Temperature above setpoint Fan Stopped/ Running	D2 t	l per ESF component/ cubicle	l alarm or pair of lights per item
Standby Power and Emergency Power Source Status	Bus Specific	D2	l per bus	l meter or alarm for each power source
Other Energy Sources Important to Safety	Component Specific	D2	l per source	l meter or alarm for each power source
RHR Heat Exchanger Discharge Temperature	50-400 F	D2	l per heat exchanger	QDPS 3 recorded
RHR Flow	0-100% of span	D2	l per RHR train	QDPS 3 meters
RHR Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 46 of 58

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 8 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Type/ Category	Number of Channels	Control Room Display
CCW Flow to to ESF Components	0-100% of span	D2	l per ESF components	9 meters
CCW Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
Essential Cooling Water	0-100% of span	D2	l per major ESF component	QDPS
Auxiliary Feedwater Turbine Pump Status	0-5000 rpm Open/Closed	D2	l turbine speed indicator, l per steam inlet valve	l meter, l pair lights per valve
SI Pump Status	On/Off	D2	l per pump	l pair lights per pump
SI Valve Status	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
Essential Cooling Water Pump Status	On/Off	D2	l per pump	l pair lights per pump
CCW Pump Status	On/Off	D2	l per pump	l pair lights per pump
RHR Pump Status	On/Off	D2	l per pump	l pair lights per pump
SI Actuation Status	On/Off	D2	1 per plant	l Alarm
Containment Isolation Actuation Status	On/Off	D2	l per plant	l Alarm
Control Room Radiation	10- ¹⁰ to 10 mR/hr 10- ¹⁰ to 10- ¹⁰ uCi/cc	E3 E2	l per plant	CRT on demand 2 meters 2 recorded

OPOP01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 47 of 58

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 9 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Type/ Category	Number of Channels	Control Room Display
Reactor Trip Breaker Posit	Open/Closed	D2	l per breaker	l pair lights per breaker
Turbine Governor Valve Positio	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
Turbine Stop Valve Positic	Open/Closed	D2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
Motor-Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pum Status	On/Off	D2	l per pump	l pair lights per pump
S/G Monitor	10- ¹⁰ to 10- ¹⁰ uCi/cc	E2	l per plant	CRT on demand
Cond. Polish	10- ¹⁰ to 10- ¹⁰ uCi/cc	E2	l per plant	CRT on demand
Liquid Radwaste	10- ¹⁰ to 10- ¹⁰ uCi/cc	E2	l per plant	CRT on demand
TGB Drain	10- ¹⁰ to 10- ¹⁰ uCi/cc	E2	l per plant	CRT on demand
FHB Vent Radiation	10- ¹⁰ to 10- ¹⁰ uCi/cc	E2	2 per plant	2 meters 2 recorded
Effluent Path Flow Rate/Status S/G Blowdown Flow	0-100% of span	E3	l per plant	CRT on demand
Valve Status	Open/Closed	E2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
Cond. Polish Flow	0-100% of span	E3	l per plant	CRT on demand
Valve Status	Open/Closed	E2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 48 of 58

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 10 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Type/ Category	of Channels	Room Display
Access Area Radiation	10- ¹⁰ to 10	R/hr E3	l per designated area	CRT on demand
Condenser Vacuum Pump Effluent Radiogas Concentration	10- ¹⁰ to 10 uCi/cc	E3	l per plant	CRT on demand
Concentration from Liquid Pathways	n			
Condenser Vaccuum Pump Flow	0-100% of spa	in E3	l per plant	CRT (RMS)
Pump Status	Open/Closed	E2	l per plant	CRT (RMS)
Meteorologic Parameters	al N/A	E3	15 per plant	CRT (ERFDADS)
Containment Sump and Atmospheric Sampling	N/A	E3	l post accident sampling system per plant	CRT (ERFDADS)
Boric Acid T Charging Flo	ank w			
Containment Atmospheric Temperature				
Accumulator Tank Level	·			
Containment Sump Water Temperature				



0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 49 of 58

ADDENDUM 7 Preferred Instrumentation and Controls (Page 11 of 11)

Variable	Range/Status	Type/ Category	of Channels	Room Display
Liquid Radwaste Flow	0-100% of span	E3	l per plant	CRT on demand
Valve Status	Open/Closed	E2	l per valve	l pair lights per valve
TGB Drain Flow	0-100% of span	Е3	l per plant	CRT (RMS)
Valve Status	Open/Closed	E2	l per plant	CRT (RMS)
Unit Vent Flow	0-100% of span	E2	l per plant	CRT (RMS)
Heat Removal by the Contain Fan Heat Remov System	nment val			
Emergency Ventilation Damper Position				





٠,



OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 50 of 58

Procedure Verification Request

OPOP01-ZA-0006-1 (Page 1 of 1)

COP Number	Rev. No
COP Source Documents	Used.
ı .	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
Assigned Reviewer(s)	
Date Due	EOP Writer

This form when completed, shall be retained for the life of the plant. Color paper requirements do not apply to this form.

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 51 of 58

Reactor Operations EOP Review Checklist

* .

0P0P01-ZA-0006-2 (Page 1 of 5)

	Procedu	re Rev		
	Writer	Reviewer		
	Date Su	bmitted for Review		
	Date Re	view Completed	<u>i</u> 1919 - 1919	
1.0	Are all	Formats correct	Yes	No
	Writers	Guide Sect. 3.0		
	1.1	Cover Page		
	1.2	Supervisors Copy		
	1.3	Operators Copy		
	1.4	Flow charts (if attached)		
	1.5	Conditional Information		
	1.6	Addendums		
2.0	Procedu	re Organization		
	Writers	Guide Sect. 4.0		
	2.1	Placement of Cover Sheet		- <u></u>
	2.2	Conditional Information page Placement Supervisors copy		
	2.3	Addendums in order of use		

This form, when completed, shall be retained for the life of the plant. Color paper requirements do not apply to this form.

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 52 of 58

Reactor Operations EOP Review Checklist

OPOP01-ZA-0006-2 (Page 2 of 5)

- 3.0 Procedure Numbering Writers Guide Sect. 5.0
 - 3.1 Are all key steps clearly identified by a procedure step number
 - 3.2 Are sub-steps clearly numbered
 - 3.3 Are flow charts clearly and properly cross-referenced to the written procedure Writers Guide Sect. 5.0
- 4.0 Flow charts (If attached) Writers Guide Sect. 6.0
 - 4.1 Are the correct symbols used to match verbage within the symbol
 - 4.2 No more than 2 flow paths per page
 - 4.3 Are the statements within the symbol understandable
 - 4.4 Do the statements agree with the written procedure
- 5.0 Procedure Readability and Understanding Writers Guide Sect. 7.0
 - 5.1 Are steps clearly stated with proper use of action verbs
 - 5.2 Is the level of detail adequate for the operator to achieve the intended task
 - 5.3 Are the steps limited to a single action and use a listing of the objects of an action when there are more than 2
 - 5.4 Are the instrument readings compatable with the instrument and do the instructions provide the proper band width for control

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 53 of 58

Reactor Operations EOP Review Checklist

OPOP01-ZA-0006-2 (Page 3 of 5)

- 5.5 If communications between personnel in and out of the Control Room are required, are the personnel identified
- 5.6 Are the expected system responses clearly stated with any instrument affects clearly identified
- 6.0 Equipment identification, Numbering and Locations Utilization Writers Guide Sect. 8.0
 - 6.1 Are the components specified for use in the procedure properly numbered
 - 6.2 Are the locations of corponents clearly identified with Control panel numbers, rooms or areas understandable
 - 6.3 Are the names used for components the same as the label or, if necessary, also simplified
- 7.0 Are specified instruments and Controls Assigned as per "Preferred Instrumentation and Controls" List on Addendum 7 of POP1-ZA-6 Writers Guide Sect. 9.0
- 8.0 Logic Term Usage Writers Guide Section 10.0
 - 8.1 Are all logic terms properly capitalized and underlined
 - 8.2 Are logic terms, when used, applied correctly and understandable in the context of the step
- 9.0 Use of Cautions and Notes Writers Guide Sect. 11.0 and 12.0
 - 9.1 Are the Cautions and Notes clearly identified with the correct format

OPOPO1-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 54 of 58

Reactor Operations EOP Review Checklist

OPOP01-ZA-0006-2 (Page 4 of 5)

- 9.2 Do the cautions clearly identify the concern of the steps to which it applies
- 9.3 Do the notes supply the necessary information to aid the operator in the performance of a step with a clear understanding
- 10.0 Left-hand & Right-hand column Checks Writers Guide Sect. 13.0
 - 10.1 Are the left-hand columns in all cases clearly the steps to be taken if no contingency exists
 - 10.2 Are the right-hand columns restricted to only contingency actions
 - 10.3 Are the actions in the right-hand column correctly aligned with the steps in the left-hand column
- 11.0 Referencing and Branching Techniques Usage Writers Guide Sect. 14.0
 - 11.1 Are the interactions with other procedures clearly specified with both exit and re-entry points when needed
- 12.0 Spelling, Hyphenation, Punctuation and Vocabulary Writers Guide Sect. 15.0
 - 12.1 Spelling correct
 - 12.2 Are punctuation and hyphenation marks correctly used and placed
 - 12.3 Are words used common to an operation and simple

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 55 of 58

Reactor Operations EOP Review Checklist

OPOP01-ZA-0006-2 (Page 5 of 5)

13.0 Are abbreviations, letter symbols and acronyms used common to an operator and/or listed on the abbreviation list, Addendum 5 Writers Guide Sect. 16.0

.....

14.0 Are all setpoints, operating ranges, other document numbers and equipment identifications, i.e., "Later's" filled in

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 56 of 58

EOP Discrepancy/Comment Form

0P0P01-ZA-0006-3 (Page 1 of 1)

EOP Title _____ Rev. No. _____

• . • . •

Step/Caution/Note

Discrepancy/Comment

Reviewer

Date

Date

Resolution

Resolution Approval

Reactor Operations Superintendent or Alternate

This form, when completed, shall be retained for the life of the plant. Color paper requirements do not apply to this form.
Emergency Procedures Writers Guide and Verification

OPOP01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 57 of 58

EOP Step Justification/Verification Form

0P0P01-ZA-0006-4 (Page 1 of 1)

Procedure No.	(STP)	Rev
WOG Procedure Title	No	
Prepared By		Date
STP EOP Step No.	WOG Step No.	Justification/Verification Basis

16

.....

This form, when completed, shall be retained for the life of the plant. Color paper requirements do not apply to this form.

Emergency Procedures Writers Guide and Verification

0P0P01-ZA-0006 Rev. 1 Page 58 of 58

PUNCHLIST

15 ...

 18.1 South Texas Project Emergency Management Plan is not a approved Document but is drafted. Emergency Action Tables are provided in the draft stage. This procedure is usable without the STPEMP being approved.