

Docket Nos. 50-498  
and 50-499

MAY 30 1985

Mr. J. H. Goldberg  
Vice President - Nuclear Engineering  
and Construction  
Houston Lighting and Power Company  
Post Office Box 1700  
Houston, Texas 77001

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL  
INFORMATION

The NRC staff has determined that additional information is required in three  
subject areas related to Equipment Qualifications. The areas are:

1. The Seismic Qualification Review Team (SQRT) audit.
2. The Pump and Valve Operability Review Team (PVORT) audit.
3. Items 4.1, 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 of Generic Letter 83-28.

Guidance on providing the required information on these subjects is contained  
in Enclosures 1 and 2. Enclosure 1 contains guidance on the SQRT and PVORT  
audits and Enclosure 2 on the Generic Letter 83-28 items.

Please let us know your schedule for responding to the above. If you have  
any questions, please contact Dr. Prasad Kadambi at (301) 492-7272.

Sincerely,

151  
George W. Knighton, Chief  
Licensing Branch No. 3  
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:  
As stated

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

NRC PDR

Local PDR

JLee

NKadambi

GWKnighton

TMNovak

NPK  
DL:LB#3  
NPKadambi:tm  
05/29/85

GWKnighton  
05/30/85

DL:AD/L  
TMNovak  
05/31/85

8506270297 850530  
PDR ADOCK 05000498  
A PDR

South Texas

Mr. J. H. Goldberg  
Group Vice President, Nuclear  
Houston Lighting and Power Company  
P. O. Box 1700  
Houston, Texas 77001

Mr. J. T. Westermeir  
Manager, South Texas Project  
Houston Lighting and Power Company  
P. O. Box 1700  
Houston, Texas 77001

Mr. E. R. Brooks  
Mr. R. L. Range  
Central Power and Light Company  
P. O. Box 2121  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78403

Mr. H. L. Peterson  
Mr. G. Pokorny  
City of Austin  
P. O. Box 1088  
Austin, Texas 78767

Mr. J. B. Poston  
Mr. A. Von Rosenberg  
City Public Service Board  
P. O. Box 1771  
San Antonio, Texas 78296

Jack R. Newman, Esq.  
Newman & Holtzinger, P.C.  
1615 L Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20036

Melbert Schwartz, Jr., Esq.  
Baker & Botts  
One Shell Plaza  
Houston, Texas 77002

Mrs. Peggy Buchorn  
Executive Director  
Citizens for Equitable Utilities, Inc.  
Route 1, Box 1684  
Brazoria, Texas 77422

William S. Jordan, III, Esq.  
Harmon, Weiss & Jordan  
2001 S Street, N.W.  
Suite 430  
Washington, D. C. 20009

Brian Berwick, Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Environmental Protection Division  
P. O. Box 12548  
Capitol Station  
Austin, Texas 78711

Mr. Claude E. Johnson, Resident  
Inspector/South Texas Project  
c/o U. S. NRC  
P. O. Box 910  
Bay City, Texas 77414

Mr. Jonathan Davis  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Austin  
P. O. Box 1088  
Austin, Texas 78767

Ms. Pat Coy  
Citizens Concerned About Nuclear  
Power  
5106 Casa Oro  
San Antonio, Texas 78233

Mr. Mark R. Wisenberg  
Manager, Nuclear Licensing  
Houston Lighting and Power Company  
P. O. Box 1700  
Houston, Texas 77001

Mr. Charles Halligan  
Mr. Burton L. Lex  
Bechtel Corporation  
P. O. Box 2166  
Houston, Texas 77001

Regional Administrator - Region IV  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive  
Suite 1000  
Arlington, Texas 76011

Mr. Lanny Sinkin  
Citizens Concerned About Nuclear Power  
3022 Porter St. N. W. #304  
Washington, D. C. 20008

Mr. S. Head  
HL&P Representative  
Suite 1309  
7910 Woodmont Avenue  
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Guidance in Providing Information to Support the  
Review and Audit of the Seismic and Dynamic  
Qualification of Seismic Category I Mechanical and  
Electrical Equipment and the Pump and Valve  
Operability Qualification

To confirm the extent to which safety-related equipment meets the requirements of the General Design Criteria (GDC) of 10 CFR Part 50, the NRC staff, assisted by Technical Assistance Contractors, will conduct a plant site audit and review. It is our intent to conduct a plant specific on-site Pump and Valve Operability Review Team (PVORT) audit concurrent with the Seismic Qualification Review Team (SQRT) audit. We believe such scheduling should minimize manpower and scheduling conflicts for the applicant, the NRC staff, and our technical assistance contractors.

Since the site audit is performed on a sampling basis it is necessary to ensure that 85 to 90 percent of the safety related equipment are qualified and installed before the audit. In order that the staff is familiar with the seismic and dynamic qualification programs currently being conducted, it is requested that all test programs be identified by submitting a brief description of the program, items being tested, the vendor or the testing laboratory involved, and the dates and location of the tests. Information about the ongoing test programs should be submitted as soon as possible so that the NRC staff can review and witness relevant tests for selected items.

A list of all safety-related equipment should be provided so that an assessment of the equipment qualification status can be made by the staff. Equipment should be divided first by system then by component type. Attachment #1 shows a tabular format which should be followed to present the status summary of all safety-related equipment.

After the information on Attachment #1 is received, and it is determined that the equipment qualification is substantially complete, selections will be made of the equipment to be audited, and reviewed, by the SQRT and PVORT. Specific information on equipment selected for audit by each review team will be requested. The information that will be requested for those equipment selected by the SQRT is shown in Attachment #2. The information that will be requested for those equipment selected by PVORT is shown in Attachment #3. In addition, the applicant will be requested to provide a complete set of floor response spectra identifying their applicability to the equipment listed in Attachment #1.

For the equipment selected by the SQRT for audit, the combined Required Response Spectra (RRS) or the combined dynamic response will be reviewed. The SQRT will examine and compare the equipment on-site installation v/s the test configuration and mounting, and determine whether the test, or analysis which has been conducted conforms to the applicable standards and agrees with the RRS. In cases where the plant is a BWR facility, the equipment qualifying documentation must also provide evidence that the hydrodynamic loads in the (0 - 100) Hz frequency range have been accounted for.

For the equipment selected by the PVORT for audit, the applicant must provide evidence that appropriate manufacturers' tests have been conducted, reviewed, and approved, and that the equipment meets, or exceeds the design requirements. The applicant must also provide qualification test and or analysis results that provide assurance that the equipment will operate (function) during and following the Design Basis Events (DBE) and all appropriate combinations thereof.

The specific information requested in Attachments #2, and #3 should be provided to the NRC staff two weeks prior to the plant site visit. The applicant should make available at the plant site all the pertinent documents and reports of the qualification for the selected equipment. After the visit, the applicant should be prepared to submit certain selected documents and reports for further staff review. The purpose of the audits is to confirm the acceptability of the qualification procedures, and implementation of the procedures to all safety-related equipment based on the review of a few selected pieces. If a number of deficiencies are observed or significant generic concerns arise, the deficiencies should be removed for all equipment important to safety subject to confirmation by a follow-up audit of randomly selected items before the fuel loading date.

The site audits will also include a review of the extent to which the documentation of equipment qualification is complete. The acceptance criteria for requirements on records is provided in Section 3.1D of the Standard Review Plan Revision 2 (NUREG-800).



- MASTER LISTING OF SEISMIC AND DYNAMIC QUALIFICATION  
SUMMARY AND STATUS OF SAFETY-RELATED EQUIPMENT
- ASSOCIATED EXPLANATORY NOTE

PLANT NAME:

DOCKET NO:

### UTILITY:

 $\Lambda/E_1$ 

NSSS:

PAGE OF

FOR EQUIPMENT LISTED BELOW

THE SUPPLIER IS: A/E ☐, NSSS ☐, OTHER ☐. SAFETY SYSTEM & FUNCTION ARE:

[illegible]



NOTES TO MASTER LISTING

- (1) The information on Plant Name, Docket No., etc., are pertinent to the power station and will be the same for all sheets.
- (2) The equipment is listed by supplier (circle one after "SUPPLIED BY:") and by system (indicate name and function of system after "SYSTEM AND FUNCTION:"). Typical safety systems, for example, are Engineered Safeguard Actuation, Reactor Protection, Containment Isolation, Steamline Isolation, Main Feedwater Shutdown and Isolation, Emergency Power, Emergency Core Cooling, Containment Heat Removal, Containment Fission Product Removal, Containment Combustible Gas Control, Auxiliary Feedwater, Containment Ventilation, Containment Radiation Monitoring, Control Room Habitability System, Ventilation for Areas Containing Safety Equipment, Component Cooling, Service Water, Emergency Systems to Achieve Safe Shutdown, Postaccident Sampling and Monitoring, Radiation Monitoring, Safety-Related Display Instrumentation. The supplier will usually be either A/E or NSSS. Use separate sheets for each system. Use additional sheets when a given system has more equipment than can be listed on one sheet.
- (3) "IDENT. NO." is to be filled in by the organization preparing the list. Each equipment listed should have separate identification number. The following form is recommended:
  - (a) For A/E supplied equipment, the number may be "BOP-XXX." If more than one group is preparing forms, the number may be "BOP-M-XXX" (Mechanical) or "BOP-IC-XXX" (Instrumentation and Control).
  - (b) For NSSS supplied equipment, the number may be NSSS-M-XXX, NSSS-IC-X etc.
  - (c) The number written on each line (for each listed equipment) should be an ordered numeric listing for the above indicated-XXX (-001 through completion). These numbers need not follow in order for each system (-002 and -004 may be with one system, but -003 may be with another system).
  - (d) Inside the parenthesis should be the "BOP-M," "NSSS-IC," etc.
- (4) The "TYPE" refers to its generic name, such as pressure transmitter, indicator, solenoid valve, cabinet, etc. Equipment type should be described by indicating for example, motor driven pump, turbine driven pump, motor operated valve, air operated valve, 18" valve, etc. Following abbreviations can be used where appropriate.

Valves:

BV - Ball valve, BFV - Butterfly valve, CV - check valve, DV - Diaphragm valve, GV - Gate valve, GLV - Globe valve, SV - Safety Valve, RV - Relief Valve

Pumps:

CP - Centrifugal pump, PDP - Positive displacement pump, DDP - Deep draft pump, JP - Jet pump

- (5) Quantity refers to the number of the same equipment used in the plant.
- (6) Under mounting condition indicate the following as applicable:

CF for concrete floor mounting  
CW for concrete wall mounting  
DM for direct mounting  
HM for hanger mounting  
RM for rack mounting  
CM for cabinet mounting  
EM for equipment mounting

Mounting details such as number of bolts, weld length, etc. need not be indicated here.

- (7) The columns "SEISMIC" and "OTHER DYNAMIC" need only be checked (X) if applicable. In the case of BWRs indicate "H" under "OTHER DYNAMIC" column where qualification includes hydrodynamic loads.
- (8) Under "REQ'D INPUT (ZPA)," the applicable "g" level should be provided.
- (9) Under Qualification Method under analysis, indicate "S" for static, and "D" for dynamic; under test frequency, indicate "SF" for single, and "MF" for multiple; and under test direction, indicate "SD" for single, "MD" for multiple.
- (10) Equipment status is to be addressed separately to qualification and to installation.

The applicable letter should be provided under the column headed "QUAL," according to the following code:

- A The qualification and associated documentation are complete.
- B The qualification testing is finished but associated documentation is not yet submitted or still in review.
- C The qualification plan/procedure is documented, but testing has not yet begun.
- D Equipment to be qualified.
- E Equipment is judged not qualifiable and will be replaced with qualified equipment.
- F For BWR plants only: Equipment is qualified for seismic loading only. Requalification will be performed to account for the suppression pool hydrodynamic loading effects.

The applicable letter should be provided under the column headed "INSTALLATION," according to the following code:

- A Installation is completed. Equipment is ready for service.
- B Equipment mounting/hookup is completed, but significant parts of the equipment are not yet installed.
- C Equipment is located at its intended service location, but mounting and/or hookup is not completed.
- D The equipment is not installed and is not available for inspection.

(11) The Required Response Spectra (RRS) package should be provided along with the Master Listing. Only response spectra applicable to the listed equipment should be included, each numbered for reference under the column headed "RRS REF." In many cases, several equipment will reference the same RRS.

(12) Codes and Standards

Applicable codes, standards and Regulatory Guides should be indicated here, for example, ASME Section III Class 2; IEEE-344, 1975, 323-1974, 382-1972; ANSI N278-1, Regulatory Guide 1.100, 1.148 etc.

Seismic and Dynamic Qualification Summary of Equipment

- ° To be completed to stand on its own (do not refer to any document)
- ° All questions are to be answered (if not applicable; mark "N/A")

I. Plant Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Utility: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Location: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Type: \_\_\_\_\_ 4. Capacity (MWe Net): \_\_\_\_\_

5. Containment Type: \_\_\_\_\_ 6. Cooling Source: \_\_\_\_\_

7. NRC Docket No.: \_\_\_\_\_ 8. CP Docket Date: \_\_\_\_\_

9. NSSS Vendor: \_\_\_\_\_ 10. A/E: \_\_\_\_\_

II. Component Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Scope: ☐ NSSS ☐ BOP

2. Vendor: \_\_\_\_\_ 3. Vendor Model No.: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Manufacturer: \_\_\_\_\_ 5. Manufacturer Model No.: \_\_\_\_\_

6. Purchase Spec. No.: \_\_\_\_\_ 7. Total No. in Safety Systems: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Location (Choose the worst one with respect to seismic)

a. Building: \_\_\_\_\_ b. Elevation and Area: \_\_\_\_\_

c. Environment: ☐ Harsh ☐ Mild

9. Field Mounting:

a. ☐ Floor ☐ Wall ☐ Pipe ☐ Panel

☐ Other (describe) \_\_\_\_\_

b. ☐ Bolted; description: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Welded; description: \_\_\_\_\_ (no. size, grade, etc.)

☐ Other; description: \_\_\_\_\_ (size, length, electrode type, etc.)

c. Mounting restriction from the manufacturer, if any: (horizontal vertical, etc.) \_\_\_\_\_

10. Functional Description of the Equipment:

a. System in which located: \_\_\_\_\_

(for item 8 in II, above)

b. Type: ☐ Active ☐ Passive

c. Equipment required for: ☐ Hot standby ☐ Cold shutdown

☐ Both ☐ Neither

d. Intended safety function: \_\_\_\_\_

e. Direct consequences of its failure (brief description of the effect on the system): \_\_\_\_\_

f. Redundancies, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

III. Equipment Qualification Method:

☐ Test      ☐ Analysis

☐ Combination of test & analysis      ☐ Other (describe) \_\_\_\_\_

IV. Loads and Load Combinations:

1. Loads:

a. ☐ Seismic

b. ☐ Hydrodynamic

c. ☐ Flow induced vib.

d. ☐ Normal operation vib.

e. ☐ Other dynamic loads: (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

2. Combination technique: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Required acceleration in each direction:

a. ☐ ZPA      ☐ Other; specify: \_\_\_\_\_

b. OBE: s/s \_\_\_\_\_; f/b: \_\_\_\_\_; v: \_\_\_\_\_

SSE: s/s \_\_\_\_\_; f/b: \_\_\_\_\_; v: \_\_\_\_\_

V. Qualification by Test (complete this section for each report including partial test):

1. Test report: (Company) \_\_\_\_\_

a. Title: \_\_\_\_\_

no.: \_\_\_\_\_; revision: \_\_\_\_\_; date: \_\_\_\_\_

b. Reviewed by: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Qualification report: (Company) \_\_\_\_\_

a. Title: \_\_\_\_\_

no.: \_\_\_\_\_; revision: \_\_\_\_\_; date: \_\_\_\_\_

b. Reviewed by: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Laboratory mounting:

a. Describe [from shaker table to the equipment; include orientation, bolt (size, no., gr., etc.), weld (type, size, length, electrode type, etc.)]: \_\_\_\_\_



b. If different from field mounting include equivalency justification:

4. Resonance search: ☐ yes ☐ no

a. Technique: \_\_\_\_\_

b. Excitation magnitude & frequency interval (or sweep rate): \_\_\_\_\_

c. Resonances found: (up to: \_\_\_\_\_)

s/s: \_\_\_\_\_; f/b: \_\_\_\_\_; v: \_\_\_\_\_

5. Test Description:

a. Input:

(a) ☐ single axis; ☐ biaxial; ☐ pseudo biaxial;

☐ tri-axial ☐ random; ☐ sine beat;

☐ other: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ phase coherent; ☐ phase incoherent

(b) Frequency range: \_\_\_\_\_

(c) Input level (g-level & frequency)

OBE: s/s: \_\_\_\_\_; f/b: \_\_\_\_\_; v: \_\_\_\_\_

SSE: s/s: \_\_\_\_\_; f/b: \_\_\_\_\_; v: \_\_\_\_\_

(d) Number of tests performed: OBE: \_\_\_\_\_; SSE: \_\_\_\_\_; other: \_\_\_\_\_

(e) Sequential test, including fatigue & vibration aging

conducted: ☐ yes ☐ no

Justification, if not performed: \_\_\_\_\_

b. Output:

(a) TRS generated: ☐ yes ☐ no

(b) Percent damping in TRS generation: \_\_\_\_\_

(c) Percent damping used in RRS: \_\_\_\_\_

(d) Margin included in RRS: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ by test lab. ☐ by others: (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

(e) Attach sets of TRS and RRS comparison plots (if not provided, explain): \_\_\_\_\_



c. Results:

(a) Basis of qualification:

[ ] structural integrity verified; [ ] operability verified

(b) Failures detected during qualification tests: \_\_\_\_\_

(c) Anomalies (with disposition) if any: \_\_\_\_\_

(d) Modifications made (in the equipment or mounting) during the qualification phase; describe, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

(e) How (modifications) implemented in the field: \_\_\_\_\_

d. Other tests performed (such as fragility test; include results)

VI. Qualification by Analysis (complete this section for each report )

1. Analysis Report: (Company) \_\_\_\_\_

a. Title: \_\_\_\_\_

no.: \_\_\_\_\_; revision: \_\_\_\_\_; date: \_\_\_\_\_

b. Reviewed by: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Qualification Report: (Company) \_\_\_\_\_

a. Title: \_\_\_\_\_

no.: \_\_\_\_\_; revision: \_\_\_\_\_; date: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Failure modes: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Method of Analysis:

[ ] static [ ] static coefficient [ ] dynamic

[ ] time history [ ] response spectrum

5. Natural frequencies (up to cut off frequency of: \_\_\_\_\_):

s/s: \_\_\_\_\_; f/b: \_\_\_\_\_; v: \_\_\_\_\_

6. Model type:  
☐ 1D;      ☐ 2D;      ☐ 3D  
☐ finite element: (kinds of elements used) \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ other: (specify) \_\_\_\_\_
7. Support & Boundary conditions in the model:  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_
8. Computer codes used: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Method of verification: \_\_\_\_\_
9. Damping: OBE: \_\_\_\_\_; SSE: \_\_\_\_\_; Basis: \_\_\_\_\_
10. Fatigue & aging consideration: ☐ yes      ☐ no
11. Responses:  
 a. Method of combination: ☐ ABS; ☐ SRSS;  
     ☐ algebraic, ☐ other, specify: \_\_\_\_\_  
 b. For critical elements: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification	Location	Loads	Total Calculated Stresses	Allowable Stresses	Source of Allowables
----------------	----------	-------	---------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------

Identification	Location	Loads	Total Defl.	Allow. Defl.	Source of Allow. Defl.
----------------	----------	-------	-------------	-----------------	---------------------------

VII. Surveillance and Maintenance Program:

1. Qualified life: \_\_\_\_\_  
     (based on weakest link or appendage in the equip.)
2. Basis: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Procedure of assuring operability of the equipment under seismic and dynamic condition throughout the plant life: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

PUMP AND VALVE  
OPERABILITY ASSURANCE REVIEW

I. PLANT INFORMATION

1. Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Unit No. \_\_\_\_\_ 2. Docket No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
3. Utility: \_\_\_\_\_  
4. NSSS: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ PWR ☐ BWR  
5. A/E: \_\_\_\_\_

II. GENERAL COMPONENT\* INFORMATION

1. Supplier: ☐ NSSS ☐ BOP  
2. Location: a. Building/Room \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Elevation \_\_\_\_\_  
c. System \_\_\_\_\_

3. Component number on in-house drawings: \_\_\_\_\_

4. If component is a ☐ Pump complete II.5.

If component is a ☐ Valve complete II.6.

5. General Pump Data

a. Pump

b. Prime-mover

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Mfg. \_\_\_\_\_

Mfg. \_\_\_\_\_

Model \_\_\_\_\_

Model \_\_\_\_\_

S/N \_\_\_\_\_

S/N \_\_\_\_\_

Type \_\_\_\_\_

Type \_\_\_\_\_

\* The component, whether pump or valve, is considered to be an assembly composed of the body, internals, prime-mover (or actuator) and functional accessories.

a. Pump (continued)

b. Prime-mover (continued)

Size \_\_\_\_\_

Size \_\_\_\_\_

Weight \_\_\_\_\_

Weight \_\_\_\_\_

Mounting Method \_\_\_\_\_

Mounting Method \_\_\_\_\_

Required B.H.P. \_\_\_\_\_

H.P. \_\_\_\_\_

Parameter    Design    Operating

Power requirements: (include normal, maximum and minimum).

Press \_\_\_\_\_

Electrical \_\_\_\_\_

Temp \_\_\_\_\_

Flow \_\_\_\_\_

Head \_\_\_\_\_

Other \_\_\_\_\_

Required NPSH at maximum

If MOTOR list:

flow \_\_\_\_\_

Duty cycle \_\_\_\_\_

Available NPSH \_\_\_\_\_

Stall current \_\_\_\_\_

Operating Speed \_\_\_\_\_

Class of insulation \_\_\_\_\_

Critical Speed \_\_\_\_\_

List functional accessories:\*

List control signal inputs:

\* Functional accessories are those sub-components not supplied by the manufacturer that are required to make the pump assembly operational, (e.g., coupling, lubricating oil system, etc.)

# 6. General Valve Data

## a. Valve

Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Mfg. \_\_\_\_\_  
Model \_\_\_\_\_  
S/N \_\_\_\_\_  
Type \_\_\_\_\_  
Size \_\_\_\_\_  
Weight \_\_\_\_\_  
Mounting Method \_\_\_\_\_  
Required Torque \_\_\_\_\_

Parameter	Design	Operating
Press	_____	_____
Temp	_____	_____
Flow	_____	_____
Max $\Delta P$ across valve	_____	
Closing time @ max $\Delta P$	_____	
Opening time @ max $\Delta P$	_____	
Power requirements for functional accessories, (if any)	_____	
List control signal inputs: _____		
_____		
_____		

## b. Actuator (if not an integral unit)

Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Mfg. \_\_\_\_\_  
Model \_\_\_\_\_  
S/N \_\_\_\_\_  
Type \_\_\_\_\_  
Size \_\_\_\_\_  
Weight \_\_\_\_\_  
Mounting Method \_\_\_\_\_  
Torque \_\_\_\_\_

Power requirements: (include normal, maximum and minimum).

Electrical \_\_\_\_\_

Other: ☐ Pneumatic ☐ Hydraulic



List functional accessories:\*

III. FUNCTION

1. Briefly describe components normal and safety functions:

2. The components normal state is: ☐ Operating ☐ Standby

3. Safety function:

a. ☐ Emergency reactor shutdown

b. ☐ Containment heat removal

c. ☐ Containment isolation

d. ☐ Reactor heat removal

e. ☐ Reactor core cooling

f. ☐ Prevent significant release of radioactive material to environment

g. ☐ Does the component function to mitigate the consequences of one or more of the following events? ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If "Yes", identify.

☐ LOCA

☐ HELB

☐ MSLB

☐ Other

4. Safety requirements:

☐ Intermittent Operation

☐ During postulated event

☐ Continuous Operation

☐ Following postulated event

If component operation is required following an event, give approximate length of time component must remain operational.

(e.g., hours, days, etc.)

\* Functional accessories are those sub-components not supplied by the manufacturer that are required to make the valve assembly operational, (e.g., limit switches).



5. For VALVES:

does the component ☐ Fail open ☐ Fail closed ☐ Fail as is

Is this the fail safe position? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Is the valve used for throttling purposes? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Is the valve part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary?  
☐ Yes ☐ No

Does the valve have a specific limit for leakage? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes" give limit: \_\_\_\_\_

IV. QUALIFICATION

1. Reference by specific number those applicable sections of the design codes and standards applicable to the component: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. Reference those qualification standards, used as a guide to qualify the component: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. Identify those parts of the above qualification standards deleted or modified in the qualification program.

Deleted:	Modified:
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

4. Have acceptance criterias been established and documented in the test plan(s) for the component? ☐ Yes ☐ No

5. What is the expected failure mode that would keep the pump or valve assembly from performing its safety function? \_\_\_\_\_

6. Are the margins\* identified in the qualification documentation?  
☐ Yes ☐ No

\_\_\_\_\_  
d. Margin is the difference between design basis parameters and the test parameters used for equipment qualification.

If component is a PUMP, complete IV.7.

If component is a VALVE, complete IV.8.

7. Pump operability has been demonstrated by: ☐ Analysis  
☐ Test ☐ Combination

Identify PUMP tests performed:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| a. <input type="checkbox"/> Shell hydrostatic<br>(ASME Section III)  | b. <input type="checkbox"/> Bearing temperature<br>evaluations                  |
| c. <input type="checkbox"/> Seismic loading  | d. <input type="checkbox"/> Vibration levels                                    |
| e. <input type="checkbox"/> Exploratory vibration<br>(Fundamental freq. _____)   | f. <input type="checkbox"/> Seal leakage @ hydro press                          |
| g. <input type="checkbox"/> Aging: <input type="checkbox"/> Thermal<br><input type="checkbox"/> Mechanical   | h. <input type="checkbox"/> Flow performance                                    |
|  | Are curves provided <input type="checkbox"/> Yes<br><input type="checkbox"/> No |
| i. <input type="checkbox"/> Pipe reaction end<br>loads (nozzle loads)  | j. <input type="checkbox"/> Others _____<br>_____<br>_____<br>_____<br>_____    |
| k. <input type="checkbox"/> Extreme environment:<br><input type="checkbox"/> Humidity<br><input type="checkbox"/> Chemical<br><input type="checkbox"/> Radiation |   |

8. Valve operability has been demonstrated by: ☐ Analysis  
☐ Test ☐ Combination

Identify VALVE tests performed:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| a. <input type="checkbox"/> Shell hydrostatic<br>(ASME Section III)            | b. <input type="checkbox"/> Cold cyclic List times:<br>Open _____<br>Closed _____ |
| c. <input type="checkbox"/> Seismic loading                                    | d. <input type="checkbox"/> Hot cyclic List times:<br>Open _____<br>Closed _____  |
| e. <input type="checkbox"/> Exploratory vibration<br>(Fundamental freq. _____) | f. <input type="checkbox"/> Main seat leakage                                     |

- g. ☐ Aging: ☐ Thermal ☐ Mechanical  
h. ☐ Back seat leakage
- i. ☐ Pipe reaction end loading  
j. ☐ Disc hydrostatic loading
- k. ☐ Extreme environment ☐ Humidity  
☐ Chemical  
☐ Radiation  
l. ☐ Flow interruption capability
- m. ☐ Flow characteristics n. ☐ Others \_\_\_\_\_  
Are curves provided? \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Yes ☐ No \_\_\_\_\_

9. As a result of any of the tests (or analysis), were any deviations from design requirements identified? ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If "Yes", briefly describe any changes made in tests (or analysis) or to the component to correct the deviation.
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

10. Was the test component precisely identical (as to model, size, etc.) to the in-plant component? ☐ Yes ☐ No If "No", is installed component ☐ oversized or ☐ undersized?
11. If type test was used to qualify the component, does the type test meet the requirements of IEEE 323-1974, Section 5.? ☐ Yes ☐ No
12. Is component orientation sensitive? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown  
If "Yes", does installed orientation coincide with test orientation? ☐ Yes ☐ No
13. Is the component mounted in the same manner in-plant as it was during testing (i.e., welded, same number and size bolts, etc.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

14. Were the qualification tests performed in sequence and on only one component? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes" identify sequence, (e.g., radiation, seismic, cyclic, thermal, etc.): \_\_\_\_\_

15. If "aging"\* was performed, identify the significant aging mechanisms: \_\_\_\_\_

16. Identify loads imposed (assumed) on the component for the qualification tests (analysis) performed:

- a. ☐ Plants (shutdown loads)      b. ☐ Extreme environment  
c. ☐ Seismic load                      d. ☐ Others \_\_\_\_\_

17. Have component design specifications been reviewed in-house to assure they envelope all expected operating, transient, and accident conditions? ☐ Yes ☐ No

18. Does the component utilize any unique or special materials? (Examples are special gaskets or packing, limitations on nonferrous materials, or special coatings or surfaces.)  
☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes", identify: \_\_\_\_\_

19. Does component require any special maintenance procedures or practices, (including shorter periods between maintenance).  
☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes", identify: \_\_\_\_\_

20. Is the qualified life for the component less than 40 years?  
☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes", what is the qualified life? \_\_\_\_\_

\* As outlined in Section 4.4.1 of IEEE-627 1980.

21. Information Concerning Qualification Documents for the Component

Report Number	Report Title	Date	Company/Organization Preparing Report	Company/Organization Reviewing Report



SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2  
REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION  
GL 83-28, ITEMS 4.1, 4.2.1 AND 4.2.2

INTRODUCTION

Houston Lighting & Power Company, the applicant for South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, submitted their response to Generic Letter 83-28 on November 3, 1983. The response has been reviewed with respect to Items 4.1, 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 of the Generic Letter. The applicant's response does not permit an evaluation of the adequacy of the periodic maintenance and trending programs for the breakers. The following information is required to evaluate compliance with Items 4.1, 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

- I. Item 4.1 - Reactor Trip System Reliability (Vendor-Related Modifications).

The applicant stated that he will respond to this Item by January 1985. The NRC has not received this response as of April 1985. The applicant is to submit to the NRC either:

1. A statement confirming that all vendor-recommended DS-416 modifications have been or will be implemented;

or

2. A written evaluation of the technical reasons for any vendor recommended modification not implemented.



II. Item 4.2.1 - Periodic Maintenance Program for Reactor Trip Breakers.

II.1 Criteria for Evaluating Compliance with Item 4.2.1

The South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, Reactor Trip Systems utilize Westinghouse DS-416 circuit breakers. The primary criteria for an acceptable maintenance program for the DS-416 Reactor Trip Breaker (RTB) are contained in Westinghouse Maintenance Manual for the DS-416 Reactor Trip Circuit Breaker, Revision 0, October 1984. The NRC staff, Equipment Qualification Branch, has reviewed this document and endorsed the maintenance program described in it. More specifically, the criteria used to evaluate compliance include those items in this document that relate to the safety function of the breaker, supplemented by those measures that must be taken to accumulate data for trending.

II.2 Issues Relating to Item 4.2.1

The applicant response states that he will respond to Item 4.2 by June 1985.

South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, periodic maintenance program for the reactor trip breakers should include, on a six-month basis (or when 500 breaker operations have been counted, whichever comes first):

1. General inspection to include checking of breaker's cleanliness, all bolts and nuts, pole bases, arc chutes, insulating link, wiring and auxiliary switches;
2. The retaining rings inspection, including those on the undervoltage trip attachment (UVTA) and shunt trip attachment (STA);

3. Arcing and main contacts inspection as specified by the Westinghouse Maintenance Manual;
4. UVTA check as specified by the Westinghouse Maintenance Manual, including replacement of UVFA if dropout voltage is greater than 60% or less than 30% of rated UVTA coil voltage;
5. STA check as specified by the Westinghouse Maintenance Manual;
6. Lubrication as specified by the Westinghouse Maintenance Manual;
7. Functional check of the breaker's operation prior to returning it to service.

South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2, Periodic Maintenance Program for the reactor trip breakers should include, on a refueling interval basis (or when 500 breaker operations have been counted, whichever comes first):

1. Pre-cleaning insulation resistance measurement and recording;
2. RTB dusting and cleaning;
3. Post-cleaning insulation resistance measurement and recording, as specified by the Westinghouse Maintenance Manual;
4. Inspection of main and secondary disconnecting contacts, bolt tightness, secondary wiring, mechanical parts, cell switches, instruments, relays and other panel mounted devices;
5. UVTA trip force and breaker load check as specified by the Westinghouse Maintenance Manual;
6. Measurement and recording RTB response time for the undervoltage trip;
7. Functional test of the breaker prior to returning to service as specified by the Westinghouse Maintenance Manual.

The maintenance procedure should include a caution to the maintenance personnel against undocumented adjustments or modifications to RTBs.

The applicant is to confirm that the periodic maintenance program will include these fourteen items at the specified intervals or commit to their inclusion.

III. Item 4.2.2 - Trending of Reactor Trip Breaker Parameters to Forecast Degradation of Operability.

III.1 Criteria for Evaluating Compliance with Item 4.2.2

Four parameters have been identified as trendable and are included in the criteria for evaluation. These are (a) undervoltage trip attachment dropout voltage, (b) trip force, (c) breaker response time for undervoltage trip, and (d) breaker insulation resistance.

III.2 Issues Relating to Item 4.2.2

The applicant is to commit to inclusion of trip force, breaker response time and dropout voltage for undervoltage trip and breaker insulation resistance as trending parameters. The applicant should also identify the organization which will perform trend analysis, how often the analysis will be performed, and how the information derived from the analysis will be used to affect periodic maintenance.