### ENCLOSURE 1

EXAMINATION REPORT - 50-269 OL-85-01

Facility Licensee: Duke Power Company

P. O. Box 1436 Seneca, SC 29678

Facility Name: Oconee 1, 2 and 3

Facility Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-287

Simulator examinations were administered at Oconee Training Center near Seneca, South Carolina. An oral examination was administered at Oconee

Nuclear Station near Seneca, South Carolina.

Chief Examiner:

Bruce A Wilson

3/14/85

Approved by:

Bruce A Wilson Section Chief

Date Signed

Summary:

Examinations on February 5-6, 1985

Simulator examinations were administered to five cand Jates, all of whom passed. One oral examination was administered, and that candidate passed.

#### REPORT DETAILS

### . Facility Employees Contacted.

\*T. Farmer, Lead Classroom Instructor

\*D. Roth, Shift Supervisor

\*T. Coutu, Asst. Operating Engineer

\*P. Stovall, Instructor

\*J. Byko, A:sociate Instructor

\*L. Hindman, Lead Simulator Instructor

\*T. Loflin, Instructor

\*H. Lowery, Shift Operating Engineer

\*J. N. Pope, Superintendent of Operations

\*R. Bugert, Senior Instructor

\*M. S. Tuckman, Station Manager

\*Attended Exit Meeting

### 2. Examiners:

\*B. A. Wilson

W. J. Apley

T. Rogers (observer)

\*Chief Examiner

# 3. Examination Review Meeting

N/A

# 4. Exit Meeting

At the conclusion of the site visit, the examiners (W. Apley/T. Rogers) met with the representatives of the plant staff to discuss the results of the examinations. Those individuals who clearly passed the oral and simulator examinations were identified.

There were no generic weaknesses noted for the oral examination. Three generic weaknesses related to the simulator examinations were identified:

- (1) Communications between operators were marginal; between operators and senior operators, it was consistently poor.
- (2) Operators, when reducing to two Reactor Coolant Pumps, do not split the loads between alternate busses.

(3) Operators did not always check for secondary verification of valve positioning and turbine tripping.

The Malfunction Index provided by the facility for use in preparing simulator scenarios contained a significant number of errors. The facility staff was informed that it is not acceptable in its current form for future submittals where information on simulator capabilities is requested.

The support of the Oconee Simulator Training Staff and cooperation given to the examiners by both training and operations staff (during oral examination) were also noted and appreciated.