

13

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 20, 1985

DOCKETED

\*85 JUN 21 P2:52

Robert M. Lazo, Esq., Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Dixon Callihan Administrative Judge Union Carbide Corporation P.O. Box Y Oak Ridge, TN 37830 Dr. Richard F. Cote BRANCH Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

James H. Carpenter Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

In the Matter of ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, ET AL. (Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3) Docket Nos. STN 50-529 and STN 50-5300L

Dear Administrative Judges:

On June 19, 1985 Staff received Applicants' proposed "Initial Decision and Order" for the Palo Verde operating license proceeding. The language proposed by Applicants appears satisfactory, except for paragraph one on page eight. 1/ We unge that the following paragraph be substituted for the language proposed by Applicants:

> The testimony of the expert witnesses and other documentary materials made available to this Licensing Board establish there is very little likelihood that the amount

1/ This proposed paragraph reads:

"The measurements of cooling tower drift from the facilities performed by Mr. Wilber and the computer models discussed by Dr. Goldman demonstrates that there will be a minimal amount of drift from the cooling towers. The testimony of Drs. Curtis and McCune reviewing the University of Arizona study and that of Dr. Pentecost all indicate that there will be no adverse effect from the deposition of salt drift from the cooling towers on agricultural crops grown in the vicinity of the facilities."

8506240744 850620 PDR ADOCK 05000529 G PDR of drift emitted from the Palo Verde facility will adversely affect crops grown in the vicinity of the facility. Moreover, the agricultural monitoring program to which the Joint Applicants have committed will provide a basis for determining whether agricultural crops will be damaged by salts emitted from the facility. If crop damage is detected, then Joint Applicants are required to report such damage and take appropriate action pursuant to the provisions of § 5.4.1 of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1, Environmental Protection Plan, which provides that "... If harmful effects or evidence tends toward irreversible damage to the environment are observed, the licensees shall provide a detailed analysis of the data and a proposed course of action to alleviate the problem."

The revised paragraph is more appropriate since Mr. Wilber's conclusions have been questioned by the testimony of Staff witness, Dr. Samworth, and are contradictory to the Board's Order of July 23, 1984, which provides (pursuant to stipulation of the parties) that the amount of salt deposition in this proceeding is to be based upon the predictions in Joint Applicants' environmental report. In addition, the paragraph we p opose makes reference to the monitoring programs which Joint Applicants have committed to perform. Applicants' proposed paragraph fails to mention this important matter. Further, our proposed language would be more appropriate since the experts in this proceeding have not absolutely established that no crop damage can be caused by cooling tower salt deposition.

Sincerely,

Les Sciett Davey

Lee Scott Dewey Counsel for NRC Staff

cc: Arthur C. Gehr, Esq. Theodore W. Barudin Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Lynne Bernabei, Esq. Docketing and Service Section Charles Bischoff, Esq. Ms. Lee Hourihan Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Kenneth Berlin Ron Rayner