Two days later

President Reagan broadcasts nationwide . . his plane to cut national spending. Two days later he jumps on hir Force One and takes a four-day vacation at an expense to the taxpayers of \$52,000. I would like to see him put his actions where his mouth is.



Dire: We went to those Muclear Tower Thanks meetings here in Wallis I year, but we Seaple that were and are against that Tante aidut have a Change Louston Light and Lower and the N. R.C. had it all in a sain when I Land I started Lung buying that rich fertileland in Wallis community, and then we were suppose to driver as 50 miles to causton for the same cover up such as we had in staling? West about the Padioactive Vate no body wants to and we will made it store are in our own Cens munity? Use you all human plinar? = dutice have a rough the firings strikedy in them havin or just to sell up now my quey s Docket suil of Poor copies some

DS03

8106040449

uno

H

De people on S. will be cut of Ley big weels in Washing ton, so what its all in a sack Till us all, take all our good sertileland for Muclear Jower Hants strung Ligh Sawer Lines over our nousess put blil pipe lines on our land So we cannot raise nothing on the land or build, so what what you will do with is Kills we so we wouldn't go on belgare? Temender theres some body else above you all - High and Migrey Than all Toland Leagan M. R.C. A. Z. and J. and Til the Dilco. read man - Someday you might end up with no peous to work farlow because we lost all our land that made living for ourselves and four ale. you all night endup hil on your plates and the clear Torres light

hanging over your reads A. I and I. (we will pay for it) will spent Billians of dollars building that allen's Creek Niclear Jawer Hant, how do they server that that one in South Lefas Dlant in Bay Citywill Hark! - you know their was his Rockets in Coment Walls and street faulty structures and it was even closed down already (what they H fand I did plaster it all up to Cover the mutakes? Thy not sire it up and see if it will turn out like three mile seem thant-Dave energy there are other ways Todare meray - Zow Thout Trendent Deagans Trips to California et \$ 52,000 each and the raised salarus for suctors and Congressman etc is That goodstample Said cow alignet Stresident of I Land I and Ol Companies Lateries? Ducking on

working people and people that work and up to 65 years of age or over, farmers do - where is the money those and people put in to I Security? How about those expressions they should be cut off completely off tap payers troughs or put them on \$100,00 a months pay may bod Help you all (if you all heleive in Lock you all remote take the money with you when the calls - now working people works for 1. P. S. and Oil Companie

Fred up

et them have Jun Someday it mightend

Reagan and aides flying west for ranch vacation



Question From Page 1

become dependent on a form of energy that produces toxic wastes that we are unable to dispose of and be rid of for good. We are right now "storing" this accumulation of poison on Federal dump sites. The attitude "out of sight, out of mind" in regards to dumping or disposal of toxic wastes whether they be radioactive or not is proving every day to be a nightmare that comes back to haunt us.

Every day the newspapers bring news of more toxic wastes being discovered. Whether it's been dumped by our own military forces into the Atlantic or buried under subdivisions in N.Y. of Houston these incidents prove how badly we have failed to manage these waste products. Just this morning in The Houston Post, James Edwards, the energy secretary designate said he "opposes giving states veto authority over the location of Federal nuclear waste disposal sites within their borders." saying the matter is a "national problem."

Is our dream of the future to be a highrmare living among life-threatening nuclear power plants on one hand and the radioactive dump sites on the other? How much can one planet take?

Some people I hear speaking about our energy problem who say they don't want to become more dependent on the OPEC Nations and who are willing to switch their dependency on nuclear power are the same ones who fail to take responsibility for their excessive energy waste.

If we really want to import less oil from OPEC, then we must take responsibility for our own energy use and conserve. We cannot be as wasteful as we Americans usually are without paying the price for it somewhere along the way. We mustn't build nuclear plants so we can waste more energy. We will become hostages to our own greed if we are forced to live among these dangerous plants.

At Three Mile Island the people of Harrisburg, Penn., were told for three days, the amount of radioactive leakage posed 'no threat to human life.' Can we trust the powers that be (in this case the operators on duty) to know how much is life threatening? To avoid panic

and evacuation, people were repeatedly told "no threat to human life, but better stay inside anyway." This is like bad science-fiction.

We are advised by our doctors even low-levels of radiation such as X-Rays are not safe to be taken repeat-

might be abandoned or turned over to the state by private operators;

-Establishing license criteria that take into consideration site suitability, compatibility with present land use in the vicinity, socio-economic effects on surrounding communities, alternatives to proposed activity by the waste licensee, qualifications of the applicant, monitoring plans, suitability of facilities, insurance, training programs, decommissioning plans, security plans, and worker protection plans;

—And enactment of stiff penalties for violations of the law, including fines of \$25,000 and \$100,000 per day in certain cases.

"I am personally gratified by the full Senate's approval of the measures that we came up with as embitied in this bill," said Caperton. Radioactive waste is a problem we fill face for the rest of our lives, and we have begun to solve the problem not a moment too soon.

"This legislation is of great importance to the citizens of Texas, especially those of Leon and LaSaile Counties," he said. "I hope the House of Representatives now acts responsibly and expeditiously to enact this legislation into law."

The bill. Senate Bill 480. will now go to the House for consideration.

edly. Yet, three days of radiation exposure at levels now known to be much higher than we were first told by officials is supposed to pose no threat to human life.

We still do not know all the ramifications of the near melt-down at TMI since some effects won't be known for years. These people and their children are in effect guinea pigs for the nuclear industry. I am not willing to sacrifice my child's life or future for big business and selfish people.

I think there should be a moratorium on the building of any more nuclear plants until we have a safer alternative form of energy. Once these things are built at the cost of billions of dollars there will be no shutting them down; we'll be dependent on them.

Our children will suffer the consequences if we fail to take a conscientious look at this issue and speak out against it.

I have not been actively petitioning my neighbors due to responsibilities to my family, but I will be busy this week circulating information and petitions. I would like to share this piece I recently read with people who share my opinion, but who have not, for various reasons, spoken out.

"In Germany, the Nazis first came for the communists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a communist. Then they came for the Jews, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Jew. Then they came for the trade unionists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a trade unionist. Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't

speak up, because I was Protestant. Then they came for me, and by that time, there was no one left to speak for me." This quote is attributed to the prominent German Theologian, Rev. Martin Wiemoiler.

Speak up, silence implies agreement.

The "Nuclear Question"

in last week's E.B. Tribune there was an article contributed by A. F. Urbanic, entitled, "Nuclear Question" In that article several extremely inaccurate allegations were made about two women who voiced their opinions to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission at the Wallis hearings. The record needs to be set straight by fact, not allegations.

. 4"45 "

The reporter failed to investigate the facts and did not report on them correctly. as he was not there when I appeared before the Commission. As one of the women who, "reportedly appeared before the NRC with a petition", I do wish to state the facts.

On January 13th I took the

opportunity given me to voice my opinion on the con- "We feel that all sources struction of Allen's Creek should have contacted the Nuclear Plant. These hear- influential citizens and oring were open to the public for this specific purpose: anyone could attend and speak. I have since been told that the woman I attended with, and I, were the only two people from East Bernard to to consult the "influential voice any opinion at all. We did not present any petition whatsoever, and spoke only for ourselves. Upon leaving the hearings we were invited to sign a petition opposing the plant construction and we their names signed to that did sign our names and addresses. That petition was later presented the NRC by NRC that day: two Wallis people. They had gathered 450 names in a few to the construction of this hours mostly from the Wallis nuclear power plant. I think area. In no way could our two we have the cart before the names be misconstrued as horse when we are willing to being representative of all of

Last week's article stated: ganization heads of the E.B. community for their viewpoint regarding the nuclear plant." It is my constitutional right to voice my opinion and I am not obliged citizens" of E.B. (whoever they are?) before I or anyone else states an opinion. Who is the writer of that article representing when he says "We feel -"? Why aren't article?

This is what I said to the

"I am adamantly opposed

See OUESTION, Page 6