Docket No: 50-184

U. S. Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards ATTN: Dr. R. S. Carter, Chief Reactor Radiation Division Washington, D.C. 20234

Gentlemen:

Subject: Inspection Report No. 50-184/85-01

We acknowledge receipt of your letter dated May 10, 1985, in response to our letter dated April 16, 1985.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letter. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

Honald B. Bellam Thomas T. Martin, Director J Division of Radiation Safety

and Safeguards

cc:
Public Document Room (PDR)
Local Public Document Room (LPDR)
Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
State of Maryland (2)

8506200021 850612 PDR ADOCK 05000184 G PDR

5 Earl

bcc w/encl:
Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)
Senior Operations Officer (w/o encls)

RI:DRSS Carson/mjh BHC 6/10/85 Pasciak

RI:DRSS Bellamy

6/10/85

RI:DRSS Martin 5/ /85

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

RL US DOC 85-01 - 0002.0.0 05/28/85



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards

Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

May 10, 1985

Mr. Thomas T. Martin
Division of Radiation and Safeguards
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Sir:

Reference: Docket No. 50-184, Inspection No. 50-184/85-01

This is in response to the above subject inspection report. NBS was always aware that there are a few spots in the process room that exceeded 100 millirems per hour (mrem/hr) at the surface of the primary system and has carefully identified and labeled them. These spots are small, isolated, localized, transient areas that are not easily accessible. They form an insignificant fraction of the large volume of the process room. It is highly unlikely that anyone could receive an exposure of 100 mrem in one hour to a major portion of the body in the vicinity of any of these areas. Since the process room itself is not a high radiation area and visual contact cannot be maintained between the process room entrance point and all parts of the process room, the only way to have provided the positive control required in the NRC inspection report for these few obscure and remote spots would have been to post personnel inside the process room which would have resulted in needless exposure. This would not be in line with 10 CFR 20.1 (c) concerning ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable).

It has always been the policy of NBS to keep exposures to all personnel to a minimum. During the more than 6 months of outage, NBS has gone to great lengths and expense in order to provide additional shielding, replace radioactive components, exchange radioactive heavy water, and delayed scheduled work to allow for radioactive decay solely for the purpose of reducing exposures and releases. It is the NBS view that this NRC action is a very narrow, if not incorrect, application of the spirit and intent of 10 CFR Part 20 and that the additional measures being required by NRC are unnecessary and counter-productive. With this understanding, NBS reluctantly will provide the positive control over these and similar areas required in the subject report effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Robert S. Carter

Chief, Reactor Radiation Division

Robert & Carta

95/153 APH169