

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

January 4, 1993

Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

> Mr. Harold B. Ray Senior Vice President Southern California Edison Co. Irvine Operations Center 23 Parker Street Irvine, California 92718

Mr. Edwin A. Guiles
Vice President
Engineering and Operations
San Diego Gas & Electric Co.
101 Ash Street
San Diego, California 92112

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: REPLACEMENT OF EXIDE BATTERIES AT SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3 (TAC NOS. M85091 AND M85092)

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3 has experienced problems with Exide batteries. Specifically, some jars of three of the Class 1E 125 VDC safety-related station battery banks for Units 2 and 3 have cracked covers. Southern California Edison (SCE) has disconnected the affected cells of the jars with cracking covers and the batteries remain operable. To eliminate the problem with cracking jar covers, SCE has decided to expeditiously replace these three battery banks with a new Exide battery design that is not subject to this cracking phenomenon (the other five safety-related station batteries for Units 2 and 3 are of the new Exide battery design).

In a letter to the staff dated November 20, 1992, SCE provided the details of the planned replacement of the one Unit 2 safety-related battery bank and the two Unit 3 safety-related battery banks containing cracked jar covers during normal power operation. This replacement process will involve the installation of a temporary battery bank near the battery rooms (in the east end of fire area 2-AC-50-29) that will serve as a replacement safety-related battery during the period when each of the battery banks with cracked jar covers are being replaced.

The only issue requiring prior staff approval before the battery replacement can be accomplished is the need to temporarily depart from a previously approved deviation from 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, Section III.G.2, for fire area 2-AC-50-29. The staff had previously approved the requested deviation for this area, Deviation Request No. 8, by SER dated June 29, 1988.

The revised Deviation Request No. 8, attached to the SCE November 20, 1992 letter, delineates the actions that will be taken to compensate for the reduced margins in cable separation that will occur during the periods when the temporary battery acts as a safety-related battery for one or the other unit. The staff finds that the planned compensatory measures, in particular the use of continuous and one-hour

DF01 1/0

fire watches during specific plant modifications, provide an adequate level of fire protection during the period when the batteries with cracked covers are replaced. The staff concludes, therefore, that the conditions and compensatory actions described in the revised Deviation Request No. 8 are acceptable deviations from C.5.b.(2) of Branch Technical Position CMEB 9.5.1. Once the batteries with cracked jars have been replaced, the licensee has committed to restore the plant configuration to the configuration described in the original Deviation Request No. 8.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Mel B. Fields, Project Manager Project Directorate V Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File JRoe

MFields ACRS (10), P315 NRC & Local PDRs

MVirgilio DFoster

PDV Plant File

PDV Reading File

TQuay

OGC, 15818 KPerkins, RV

ewintarkin

OFC	PDV/LA	PDV/PM	BC/SPLB	PDV/D
NAME	DFoster	MFields	CMcCracken	TQuay
DATE	12/20/92	12/30/92	1141 93	1/4/95

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: S085091.LTR

fire watches during specific plant modifications, provide an adequate level of fire protection during the period when the batteries with cracked covers are replaced. The staff concludes, therefore, that the conditions and compensatory actions described in the revised Deviation Request No. 8 are acceptable deviations from C.5.b.(2) of Branch Technical Position CMEB 9.5.1. Once the batteries with cracked jars have been replaced, the licensee has committed to restore the plant configuration to the configuration described in the original Deviation Request No. 8.

Mel B. Frieldle

Mel B. Fields, Project Manager

Project Directorate V
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

Messrs. Ray and Guiles Southern California Edison Company

CC: James A. Beoletto, Esq. Southern California Edison Company Irvine Operations Center 23 Parker Street Irvine, California 92718

Chairman, Board of Supervisors County of San Diego 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 335 San Diego, California 92101

Alan R. Watts, Esq. Rourke & Woodruff 701 S. Farker St. No. 7000 Orange, California 92668-4702

Mr. Sherwin Harris Resource Project Manager Public Utilities Department City of Riverside 3900 Main Street Riverside, California 92522

Mr. Charles B. Brinkman, Manager Washington Nuclear Operations ABB Combustion Engineering Nuclear Power 12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Mr. Howard J. Wong U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region V 1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210 Walnut Creek, California 94596

Mr. Don J. Womeldorf Chief, Environmental Management Branch California Department of Health Services 714 P Street, Room 616 Sacramento, California 95814

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3

> Mr. Richard J. Kosiba, Project Manager Bechtel Power Corporation 12440 E. Imperial Highway Norwalk, California 90650

Mr. Robert G. Lacy Manager, Nuclear Department San Diego Gas & Electric Company P. O. Box 1831 San Diego, California 92112

Mr. Hank Kocol Radiologic Health Branch State Department of Health Services Post Office Box 942732 Sacremento, California 94234

Resident Inspector/San Onofre NPS c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 4329 San Clemente, California 92674

Mayor City of San Clemente 100 Avenida Presidio San Clemente, California 92672

Regional Administrator, Region V U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1 0 Maria Lane, Suite 210 Walnut Creek, California 94596