

September 20, 1984

Sandra McDonald Licensing Section Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Ms. McDonald:

In response to your request on September 17th, I am enclosing a package insert from a Sulfur Colloid kit which details radiation dosimetry for IV injections. I have also enclosed an article from the September, 1983 issue of the Journal of Nuclear Medicine which discusses radiation dosimetry for Sulfur Colloid administered orally.

You also requested that we submit the dose range we intend to use for Ventriculoatrial and Ventriculo-Peritoneal Shunt studies. The dose range for this study will be 1.0 to 5.0 millicuries.

If you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me, (219) 738-5600.

Sincerely,

July & Buds

J. Budd, CNMT

JB:mb Enclosures

> 8506140675 850611 PDR PR PDR

"Serving Northwest Indiana at Gary and Merrillville" NORTHLAKE CAMPUS / Corporate Office / 600 Grant Street / Gary, Indiana 46402 / Phone: 219/886-4000 SOUTHLAKE CAMPUS 8701 Broadway / Merrillville, Indiana 46410 / Phone: 219/738-5500

TECHNICAL NOTES

Radiation Dose Estimates for Oral Agents Used in Upper Gastrointestinal Disease

Jeffry A. Siegel, Raymond K. Wu, Linda C. Knight, Ronald E. Zelac, Howard'S. Stern, and Leon S. Malmud

Temple University, Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Radiation dosimetry was calculated for a number of orally administered radiopharmaceuticals used for study of upper gastrointestinal function. These include: Tc-99m sulfur colloid in water, in a cooked egg, and in chicken liver labeled in vivo; In-111 DTPA; Tc-99m DTPA; In-113m DTPA; Tc-99m ovalbumin in cooked egg; and In-111 colloid in chicken liver labeled in vivo. Radiation burdens to the stomach, small intestine, upper and lower large intestine, ovaries, testes, and total body are calculated for each preparation.

J Nucl Med 24: 835-837, 1983

Within the past five years, a number of different radioagents and techniques have been introduced for the study of upper gastrointestinal function. The new diagnostic tests include esophageal transit studies, gastrcesophageal scintigraphy, gastric emptying of mixed liquid and solid meals, bile reflux scintigraphy, and combined imaging procedures of the gallbladder and stomach $(1-\delta)$. In the course of introducing oral application of intravenously administered radiopharmaceuticals, it was first necessary to perform tissue distribution studies in two animal species (one of which was not a rodent) in order to make preliminary estimates of radiation dose. Subsequent to certification by the institutional review committees, patient studies were performed and the biokinetics of the orally administered agents were observed in patients.

The purpose of this study is to report estimates of radiation burden for studies of upper gastrointestinal function using the following orally administered radiopharmaceuticals: technetium-99m sulfur colloid in water, In-111 DTPA in water, Tc-99m DTPA in water, In-113m DTPA in water, Tc-99m ovalbumin, Tc-99m sulfur colloid in a cooked egg, and chicken liver labeled in vivo with Tc-99m colloid or In-111 colloid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Radiopharmaceutical preparation. A. Liquids. 1. Tc-99m Sulfur Colloid (SC). Tc-99m SC injection is prepared at ~500 μ Ci/ml, diluted 1:5 with normal saline solution (0.9%), USP, to a concentration of ~100 μ Ci/ml. The Tc-99m SC is then diluted with tap water to the appropriate volume (15 ml-300 ml) for the par-

Received Jan. 18, 1983; revision accepted Mar. 10, 1983.

For reprints contact: Jeffry A. Siegel, PhD, Dept. of Nuclear Medicine, Temple University Hospital, 3401 North Broad St., Philadelphia, PA 19140.

Volume 24, Number 9

ticular study, e.g., 15 ml for esophageal transit, 300 ml for esophageal reflux, etc.

2. In-DTPA. Indium-111 or In-113m DTPA is prepared for oral administration from the product supplied for intrathecal injection. Two hundred fifty microcuries is diluted with 300 ml tap water for studies of gastric emptying of a liquid. The commercial product contains 1.0 mCi/ml on the date of calibration.

B. Solids. 1. Chicken liver tagged in vivo with tc-99m sulfur colloid (or In-111 colloid). A live chicken is injected in a wing vein with 1 mCi of Tc-99m SC (or $250 \ \mu$ Ci In-111 colloid). After 30 min the chicken is slaughtered and the liver is removed, rinsed in saline solution, and cooked.

2. Tc-99m-ovalbumin. This is prepared by labeling purified ovalbumin with Tc-99m by an electrolytic method similar to that of Dworkin and Gutkowski (7). The labeled ovalbumin is mixed with a beaten fresh egg, then cooked.





DOSIMETRY MODEL FOR SOLIDS AND LIQUIDS TAKEN ORALLY

FIG. 2. Dosimetry model for oral agents.

 At t = 0, the ag There is no unla The biological he in 20 normal pa 	ent is taken orally abeled activity. alf-times of the pro- tient studies, are	eparations, as o as follows:	bserved
Stomach	= 0.38 hr for liquid and 1.47 hr for solid;		r for
Small Intestine	= 2.8 hr;		
Upper large	= 10 hr;	1.10	
Lower large	= 17 hr.		
. 100% of the in stantaneously.	gested activity re	aches the ston	hach in-
. There is no rena	al clearance of th	e activity.	

3. Tc-99m sulfur colloid egg: The Tc-99m SC is injected into a freshly beaten raw egg and the mixture cooked until firm in consistency.

METHODS

After oral administration of the appropriate radiopharmaceutical, the subject was positioned under the diverging collimator of a gamma camera. Ten normal subjects were studied for each radioagent. The camera was interfaced to a digital computer for subsequent data analysis. For all subjects, 1-min images were obtained over the stomach, with the subject supine, at 15-min intervals for 2 hr. The subjects were free to move about during the intervals between imaging.

RESULTS

The time-activity curves for the stomach are shown in Fig. 1 (8).. The biological half-times in the stomach are 1.47 hr for solid food and 0.38 hr for liquid food, based on measurements in 20 normal patients. The clearance characteristics for the bowel were found to be consistent with the work of Eve (9). We therefore used Eve's data to determine the pharmacodynamics of the various agents in the bowel, except that we used an exponential excretion model whereas Eve used a bolus model. In order to normalize the two models, it was necessary to obtain the appropriate biological half-time t_b, by setting the cumulated activity integral,

fe=0.693 1/10d1.

equal to the corresponding transit time reported by Eve.

These results are summarized in Fig. 2. The necessary assumptions are listed in Table 1.

The cumulated activities in the various source organs were calculated using the uptake and clearance parameters summarized in Fig. 2. The cumulated activities were calculated analytically following the method of Bernard and Hayes (10).

TABLE 2. RADIATION BURDEN FOR GASTROINTESTINAL SCINTIGRAPHIC STUDIES Organ absorbed dose (mrad) Stomach ULI Ш GB **Ovaries** Testes Total body Esophageal motility or esophageal reflux 300 µCi Tc-99m -sulfur colloid 28 83 160 5 8 Hepatocystic (with gallbladder stimulation at 1 hr) A. 5 mCi Tc-99m PIPIDA 120 880 1600 1000 1700 330 29 82 B. 5 mCi Tc-99m HIDA 120 1100 2000 1300 630 390 26 82 Gastric emptying 10 A. 250 µCi In-111 DTPA 110 490 1100 2000 420 27 60 B. 1 mCi Tc-99m DTPA 93 280 520 320 98 5 20 C. 500 µCi In-113m DTPA 170 280 270 68 20 10 1 D. 500 µCi Tc-99m ovalbumin (or Tc-SC egg) 120 120 230 140 42 2 9 E. 250 µCi In-111 chicken liver 240 480 1100 1900 400 28 58 F. 500 µCl Tc-99m chicken liver 120 120 230 140 42 2 9 **Combination studies** Esophageal-gastroesophageal 1. scintigraphy (comb.) 56 170 310 180 58 10 2 Gastro-hepatic (max) II + IIIA, or II + IIIC 140 290 1600 3100 3300 1700 810 56 3. Dual gastric IIIA + IIID or IIIF 230 610 1300 2200 460 29 69 HIB + HE 340 760 1600 2300 500 78 33

THE JOURNAL OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE

836

For dosimetry purposes, no distinction is made between solid and liquid food after their passage through the stomach.

The dose to the target organs per unit administered activity can be expressed as (11):

$\overline{D}_{k-h} = S_{k-h}\overline{A}_{h}$

where $\overline{D}_{k \leftarrow h}$ denotes the mean absorbed dose (mrad) to target organ k, due to source organ h; $S_{k \leftarrow h}$ is the S-factor for organ h to organ k (mrad per mCi-hr); and \widetilde{A}_h is the cumulated activity in organ h (mCi-hr).

The mean total dose to target organ $k(\overline{D}_k)$ is given as:

$$\overline{D} = \sum \overline{D}_{k-h}$$

The dosimetry data are shown in Table 2. For completeness we have included our previously reported dosimetry estimates for Tc-99m HIDA and Tc-99m PIPIDA. The table summarizes the dosimetry for all current gastrointestinal scintigraphio procedures and shows the recommended administered dose for each preparation.

CONCLUSIONS

Orally administered radiopharmaceuticals for upper gastrointestinal studies afford the clinician and investigator valuable clinical and physiologic information not previously obtainable by other techniques. The radiation burden to the patient from single or sequential studies is acceptable in comparison to fluoroscopy, which results in approximately 5000 millirem per min of exposure. The variety of preparations listed above should make these types of studies available in any routinely equipped nuclear medicine laboratory.

REFERENCES

1. TOLIN R, MALMUD LS, REILLEY J, et al: Esophageal

scintigraphy to quantitate the esophageal transit (quantitation of esophagal transit). Gastroenterology 76:1402-1408, 1979

- FISHER RS, MALMUD LS, ROBERTS GS, LOBIS IF, et al: Gastroesophageal (GE) scintiscanning to detect and quantitate GE reflux. Gastroenterology 70:301-308, 1976
- MALMUD LS, FISHER RS, KNIGHT LC, et al: Scintigraphic evaluation of gastric emptying. Semin Nucl Med 12:116-125, 1982
- FISHER RS, MALMUD LS, BANDINI P, et al: Gastric emptying of a physiologic mixed solid-liquid meal. Clin Nucl Med 7:215-221, 1982
- TOLIN R, MALMUD, LS, MENIN R, et al: A new dual isotope technique to correlate gallbladder and gastric emptying: J Nucl Med 19.738-739, 1978
- TOLIN RD, MALMUD LS, STELZER F, et al: Enterogastric reflux in normal subjects and patients with Bilroth II gastroenterostomy. Gastroenterology 77:1027-1033, 1979
- DWORKIN HJ, GUTKOWSKI RF: Rapid closed-system production of ^{99m}Tc-albumin using electrolysise/ Nucl Med 12:562-565, 1971
- KNIGHT LC, FISHER RS, MALMUD LS: Comparison of solid food markers in gastric emptying studies. In Nuclear Medicine and Biology. Proceedings of the Third World Congress of Nuclear Medicine and Biology, Volume III. Paris, Pergamon Press, New York, 1982, pp 2407-2410
- EVE IS: A review of the physiology of the gastrointestinal tract in relation to radiation doses from radioactive materials. *Health Phys* 12:131-161, 1966
- BERNARD SR, HAYES RL: Dose of various segments of the GL tract. Proceedings of Symposium, Medical Radionuclides: Radiation Dose and Effects. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, 1970, pp 295-314
- 11. SNYDER WS, FORD MR, WARNER GG, et al: "S" absorbed dose per unit cumulative activity for selected radionuclides and organs, MIRD Pamphlet No. 11. New York, Society of Nuclear Medicine, 1975

14.2 146.27