

Doc

DEC 3 1993

Docket No. 50-483

Union Electric Company  
ATTN: Mr. Donald F. Schnell  
Senior Vice President - Nuclear  
Post Office Box 149 - Mail Code 400  
St. Louis, MO 63166

Dear Mr. Schnell:

SUBJECT: ROUTINE RADIATION PROTECTION INSPECTION AT CALLAWAY POWER PLANT

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Mr. D. W. Nelson of this office on November 2-6, 1992, of activities at the Callaway Nuclear Plant, authorized by NRC Operating License No. NPF-30 and to the discussion of our findings with members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

Areas examined during this inspection are identified in the report. These areas included the radiation protection, radioactive waste and transportation programs. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations of activities in progress.

Based on the results of this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements. However, as described in the enclosed inspection report, you identified this violation. Therefore, the violation will not be subject to enforcement action because your efforts in identifying and correcting the violation meet the criteria specified in Section VII.B of the "General Statement of Policy and Procedures for NRC Enforcement Actions," (Enforcement Policy, 10 CFR 2, Appendix C).

The results of this inspection also showed that certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Notice of Violation (Notice). The violation is of concern because it is the result of inadequate corrective actions from a previous violation. Because this represented a violation that was repetitive in nature, the absence of lasting corrective action may result in more significant enforcement action.

You are required to respond to this letter and should follow the instructions specified in the enclosed Notice when preparing your response. In your response, you should document the specific actions taken and any additional actions you plan to prevent recurrence. You should also discuss these actions in regard to the previous actions taken and why these actions are expected to be adequate to prevent recurrence. After reviewing your response to this

9212160074 921203  
PDR ADOCK 05000483  
G PDR

IE 06  
1/1

Notice, including your proposed corrective actions and the results of future inspections, the NRC will determine whether further NRC enforcement action is necessary to ensure compliance with NRC regulatory requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

"Original signed by Cynthia D. Pederson"

Cynthia D. Pederson, Chief  
Reactor Support Programs Branch

Enclosures:

- 1. Notice of Violation
- 2. Inspection Report  
No. 50-483/92025(DRSS)

cc w/enclosures:

- G. L. Randolph, Vice President,  
Nuclear Operations
- J. V. Laux, Manager, Quality  
Assurance
- Tom P. Sharkey, Supervising  
Engineer, Site Licensing  
DCD/DCB (RIDS)  
OC/LFDCB  
Resident Inspector, RIII  
Region IV  
Resident Inspector, Wolf Creek
- K. Drey
- Chris R. Rogers, P.E.  
Utility Division, Missouri  
Public Service Commission
- Gerald Charroff, Esq.
- R. A. Kucera, Deputy Director,  
Department of Natural Resources

RIII  
WAS  
Nelson/sd  
for

42  
RIII  
Jackiw  
12/2

RIII  
WAS  
Snell  
12/4/92

RIII  
WAS  
Pederson  
12/3/92