

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20665

December 8, 1992

Docket No. 50-331

Iowa Electric Light
and Power Company
ATTN: Mr. Lee Liu
Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer
IE Towers
P.O. Box 351
Cedar Rapids, IA 52406

Dear Mr. Liu:

SUBJECT: INSPECTION OF THE PROCUREMENT AND COMMERCIAL GRADE DEDICATION PROGRAMS AT THE DUANE ARNOLD ENERGY CENTER (REPORT NO. 50-331/92-201)

This letter transmits the report of the inspection conducted May 11 through 15, 1992, at Iowa Electric Light and Power (IELP) Company's Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC) by R. Pettis, S. Alexander, L. Cambbell, and S. Magruder of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Vendor Inspection Branch (VIB) and R. Langstaff of NRC Region III. The inspection was related to activities at the plant site authorized by NRC license DPR-49. At the conclusion of the inspection, we discussed our findings with Mr. J. Franz, Vice President, and the other members of your staff identified in Section 5 of the enclosed inspection report.

The inspection was conducted to review the implementation of IELP's program for the procurement and dedication of commercial grade items (CGIs) used in safety-related plications at DAEC. The inspection team identified a major program stren. In that IELP's policy was to purchase safety-related spare and replacement items from original equipment manufacturers with approved 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, quality assurance programs when available, thus reducing the number of items that need to be purchased commercial grade and dedicated for use in safety-related applications. Another strength identified was IELP's receipt inspection and testing capabilities for performing material verification which are performed by independent quality control inspectors qualified to ANS! N45.2.6.

The results of the inspection also indicate that some weaknesses existed within IELP's program for the dedication of CGIs procured for use in safety-related applications. These weaknesses contributed to the specific findings that were identified during the review of dedication files for CGIs installed or available for installation in safety-related plant systems. Examples of these findings are that IELP did not fully verify the material of certain CGIs, did not have provisions to fully assure material traceability and lot homogeneity when using statistical sampling plans, did not properly classify

9212110153 921208 PDR ADOCK 05000331 IE09

certain valve and pump parts when using a generic classification approach, and did not assure the calibration and control of certain test equipment used in the dedication process. It should be noted that the inspection team selected for review equipment from DAEC's safety-related component list and made no further attempts to assess individual component contribution to the system's safety-related function.

This was the fourth of a series of five pilot inspections being conducted to evaluate the implementation of licensee CGI procurement and dedication programs and to finalize an inspection procedure for future inspections of this type. The other four inspections also identified certain weaknesses in licensee CGI dedication programs and their implementation. The first three inspections classified these weaknesses as deficiencies with the potential for subsequent enforcement actions by the appropriate NRC regional office. During this period, the NRC also received significant feedback from several utilities and from the Nuclear Management and Resources Council questioning the regulatory basis for the CGI dedication guidance contained in NRC Generic Letter 91-05 as well as specific interpretations of that guidance by the NRC inspection teams.

In order for the NRC to properly respond to these concerns and to resolve major points of contention concerning the CGI dedication process we will be holding public meetings with individual utilities and conducting a public workshop which will address issues concerning the existing dedication guidance and specific interpretations of that guidance. Prior to the public workshop, the proposed inspection procedure for future inspections will be issued for public comment and discussion at the workshop.

In view of these pending actions, the specific observations concerning your CGI dedication programs and implementation are classified as "findings." Because of the need for additional discussion of the appropriate guidance for dedication of CGIs, no enforcement action will be taken based on any of the five pilot inspections. It should be recognized, however, that some of these findings may require corrective action based on: (1) their potential impact on the performance of specific equipment, or (2) because of noncompliance with your commitments to specific industry standards or regulatory guides endorsing such standards. We expect that you will evaluate the inspection findings in view of these considerations and take appropriate corrective action as necessary.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and the enclosure will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

000

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you. Thank you for your cooperation in this inspection.

Sincerely,

Jack W. Roe, Director Division of Reactor Projects III, IV, V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:

Inspection Report 50-331/92-201

cc w/enclosure: see next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket Files 50-331/Central Files/RIDS IE:09 CHehl, RI PDR WHodges, RI LPDR EMerschoff, RII VIB R/F AGibson, RII DRIS R/F GWright, RIII JRoe BBurgess, RIII WRussell HMiller, RIII FMiraglia EGreenman, RIII RLangstaff, RIII ERossi LNorrholm LCallan, RIV UPotapovs Scollins, RIV RPettis RZimmerman SAlexander GGrant, OEDO LCampbell JZwolinski SMagruder KPerkins, RV GCwalina RPulsifer RMcIntyre CVanDenburgh, OE

SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

OFC :RIS1:VIB:DRIL NAME :RPettis DATE :7/30/92*	:RIS2:VIB:DRIL :SAlexander :7/28/92*	:RIS1:VIB:DRI :LCampbell :7/20/92*	L:RIS2:VIB:DR :SMagruder :7/22/92*	IL :REGION II :RLangstaf : 11/PV926	I :RECION III 12 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17
OFC :SC:RIS1:VIB	VIBAR(L:NRR V:LNortholm	:TECH EDITOR :RSanders :8/27/92*	:DD:DRYL:NRR :RZimmerman d : 12/1/92	:D:BBIL:NRR :CEROSSI :12/2/92	: ADTXNER Not needle : WRINSET TREND June.
OFC :PM:PD3-3:NRR NAME ::RPulsifer DATE :12/-/92	:PD:PD3-3:NRR: :JHannon :12/m/92	H for	:D:DRPW:NRR :JRoex		C Port

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you. Thank you for your cooperation in this inspection.

Sincerely,

Jack W. Roe, Director Division of Reactor Projects III, IV, V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:

Inspection Report 50-331/92-201

cc w/enclosure: see next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket Files 50-331/Central Files/RIDS IE:09 CHehl, RI EMerschoff, RII GWright, RIII HMiller, RIII PDR WHodges, RI LPDR VIB R/F AGibson, RII DRIS R/F BBurgess, RIII JRoe WRussell FMiraglia EGreenman, RIII RLangstaff, RIII ERossi LNorrholm LCallan, RIV UPotapovs SCollins, RIV RPettis RZimmerman SAlexander GGrant, OEDO LCampbell JZwolinski SMagruder KPerkins, RV GCwalina RPulsifer RMcIntyre CVanDenburgh, OE

SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

I MA MI TORK	NI IV		transcription of the second se	The state of the s	Cold in the cold i	reterminated
ON TII : REGION II	:RIS2:VIB:DRIL :REGION I	B:DRIL	RIL : RIS1: VI	L :RIS2:VIB:	:RIS1:VIB:DRI	OFC
istaff : GWright .	:SMagruder :RLangstat			:SAlexande	:RPettis	NAME
1/92 01 : 11/19/92V	:7/22/92* : 11/1/92	*	:7/20/92	:7/28/92*	:7/30/92*	DATE
China E.	002			100		
MRR ADTINER NO		DITOR :	RR : TECH ED	VIB VIR (L:		
:WRUSsell Persel	RZimmerman od : CERossi	'S :	:RSander	1. LNgrotholm		
12 : W/ \/92 med	12/1/92 :12/2/92	*	:8/27/92	11:11/19/92	:8/05/92*	DATE
C		home	H	5 41	11	
	: DRPW: NRR	I: W	RR: AD: R3: DRP	: PD: PD3-3:	: PM: PD3-3:NRR	OFC
	Roex	(i 44)	:JZwolinsk	:JHannon	::RPulsifer	NAME
	12/8 /92	17:	:12M /92	:12/11/92	:12/1/92	DATE
HRR :ADTXNER W :WRW.sell	DD:DRPL:NRR :D:DRIL:NRR RZimmerman (d) :CEROSSI 12/1/92 :12/2/92 :DRPW:NRR Roe	DITOR :	RR :TECH ED :RSander :8/27/92 RR:AD:R3:DRP :JZwolinsk	:VIB APR(1: D:LNgctholm D:11//9/92 :PD:PD3-3: :JHannon	:SC:RIS1:VIB :UPotapovs :8/05/92* :PM:PD3-3:NRR ::RPulsifer	OFC NAME DATE OFC NAME

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you. Thank you for your cooperation in this inspection.

Sincerely,

Jack W. Roe, Director Division of Reactor Projects III, IV, V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Inspection Report 50-331/92-201

cc w/enclosure: see next page

Mr. Keith Young Manager, Nuclear Licensing Duane Arnold Energy Center 3277 DAEC Road Palo, Iowa 52324

3277 DAEC Road Palo, Iowa 52324

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspector's Office Rural Route #1 Palo, Iowa 52324

Regional Administrator, Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. Stephen N. Brown Utilities Division Iowa Department of Commerce Lucas Office Building, 5th Floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319