UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)		
Northern States Power Company)	Docket No.	50-263
(Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 1))		

ORDER AND MEMORANDUM PERTAINING TO DISCOVERY AND DEFINITION OF CONTENTIONS AND SCHEDULING OF PREHEARING CONFERENCE AND REOPENING OF HEARING

This order and memorandum finalize with certain modifications a proposed order which the chairman discussed with the parties and their counsel at a conference in St. Paul, Minnesota, on July 14, 1970, pursuant to the chairman's notice to the parties of such conference by telegram of July 9, 1970.

I

To the end of providing for a fair and orderly hearing and of avoiding delay, the chairman, under the authority conferred upon him at 10 CFR §2.718 and with the concurrence of the two technical members of the board who have been consulted with respect hereto, ORDERS:

A. That Messrs, Dzugan, Pepin and Burnett and Minnesota Environmental Control Citizens Association (Mi C.A) serve on all the other parties and the board at or before

9212080379 700717 PDR ADOCK 05000263 A PDR the prehearing conterence hereinafter scheduled a statement of their respective contentions in support of their
opposition to the proposed operating license -- such
contentions to be those based in whole or in part upon
considerations arising out of the regulatory staff's
inspection reports (as made available to the parties),
including discovery proceedings relating thereto and
provided for herein.

- B. That the contentions referenced in paragraph A shall be individually enumerated in specific terms.
- C. That cross-examination by Messrs. Dzugan, Pepin and Burnett and by MECCA at the reopened hearing will be limited to such cross-examination based on the inspection reports which might reasonably be expected to elicit testimony supportive of the aforesaid contentions.
- D. That discovery shall be made available to Messrs. Dzugan,

 Pepin and Burnett and to MECCA between the date of this

 order and the hereinafter scheduled prehearing conference
 on the following basis:
 - The applicant shall make available to Messrs.
 Dzugan, Pepin and Burnett for inspection at the applicant's offices in Minneapolis, Minnesota, on

weekdays during normal business hours between
the date of this order and the prehearing conference
the Monticello Operations Manual.*

2. Upon reasonable notice, including identification of the material in the regulatory staff's inspection reports of interest to them by page and paragraph, Messrs. Dzugan, Pepin and Burnett, and MECCA may take depositions of appropriate personnel of the applicant and its contractors and vendors and also may serve written interrogatories upon such personnel of the applicant's contractors and vendors not available for depositions at the applicant's offices in Minneapolis, Mini esota. The depositions may be taken at the applicant's Minneapolis offices on any weekday during normal business hours between the date of this order and the scheduled prehearing conference. The written interrogatories shall be served upon appropriate personnel of the applicant's contractors or vendors and responses thereto shall be made by affidavit and returned within three days from receipt of the

^{*} For pertinent board commentary, see heading IV herein.

interrogatories, all to be accomplished between the date of this order and the prehearing conference.

Interrogatories and responses shall be served via special delivery, airmail. Copies shall be served upon the parties not directly involved and upon the board members in the same manner or in such other manner as would provide equivalent or better delivery service.

3. Upon reasonable notice, including identification of the material in the regulatory staff's inspection reports of interest to them by page and paragraph, Messrs. Dzugan, Pepin and Burnett, and MECCA may take depositions of appropriate personnel of the regulatory staff and may serve written interrogatories upon such personnel. The depositions may be taken on any weekday during normal business hours between the date of this order and August 1, 1970 at the regulatory staff's offices in Bethesda, Maryland, and at the AEC's Chicago Operations Office. The written interrogatories may be served upon appropriate personnel of the regulatory staff and responses

That at the hearing, once it is reopened, the applicant and the regulatory staff shall make available as witnesses for cross-examination purposes such of their personnel, including personnel of their contractors and vendors, who provided information in the discovery proceeding which might be reasonably regarded as supportive of any of the specific contentions of the intervenors referred to at paragraph A in opposition to the proposed license. Messrs. Dzugan, Pepin and Burnett, and MECCA shall identify such personnel in their respective statements called for at paragraph A, and they shall see to it that the applicant and regulatory staff, who have the burden to produce the witnesses at the hearing, shall have information as to the identity of those personnel by July 31, 1970. If Messrs. Dzugan, Pepin and Burnett or MECCA desire to have as a witness at the hearing a person who has already given testimony at an earlier hearing session and whose deposition had not been taken because of his unavailability in the Minneapolis/St. Paul area or elsewhere where depositions were in fact taken, they shall also identify the name of any such person to the applicant and the regulatory staff on July 31 or before and shall include

C. That this order reopening the hearing and providing for a prehearing conference shall be published promptly in the Federal Register and shall be the subject of a public announcement by the Commission's Division of Public Information.

III

The board is of the opinion after having reviewed in some detail the inspection reports, both with and without the deletions, that there has now been ample time and there is ample basis for Messrs. Dzugan, Pepin and Burnett and MECCA to proceed forthwith with detailed development of their contentions arising from the inspection reports as presently available to them and that the possible availability of further data resulting from response of the atomic safety and licensing appeal board to this board's certified questions of July 6, 1970, does not of itself necessitate a holding up of the proceeding at this time.

Rather, it is desirable now to move ahead with the proceeding.

Further, it should be borne in mind that the pending objections to the regulatory staff's compliance with the subpoena raise not only issues of general principle, which were discussed in the board's certification, but also an issue of the reasonableness, need or necessity of the discovery sought and denied in the particular context of the proceeding. The latter

The Monticello Operations Manual is made available to Messrs.

Dzugan, Pepin and Burnett for their inspection in order to provide

whatever orientation assistance the manual may serve them in connection

with that part of their previously identified case as now remains to be

presented, namely, cross-examination arising out of the inspection reports.

In light of this limited purpose of the manual's availability, the board sees

no requirement for the applicant to place into evidence the Monticello

Operations Manual and thereby to occasion cross-examination at the hearing

concerning its contents. The applicant's case as presented defines the

ultimate boundaries of cross-examination and it does not, and under the

Commission's regulations it need not, include the operations manual.

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Valentine B. Deale, Chairman

July 17, 1970 Washington, D.C.