

Stephen L. Smith Engineering Vice President

> April 27, 2020 ET 20-0004

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject:

Docket No. 50-482: Application for Technical Specification Change Regarding Risk-Informed Justification for the Relocation of Specific Surveillance Frequency Requirements to a Licensee Controlled Program (TSTF-425)

Commissioners and Staff:

In accordance with the provisions of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR Part 50.90), "Application for amendment of license, construction permit, or early site permit," Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (WCNOC) is submitting a request for an amendment to the technical specifications (TSs) for the Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS).

The proposed amendment would modify the WCGS TSs by relocating specific surveillance frequencies to a licensee-controlled program with the implementation of Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 04–10, "Risk-Informed Technical Specification Initiative 5B, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies."

Attachment I provides a description of the proposed change, the requested confirmation of applicability, and plant-specific verifications. Attachment II provides documentation of the probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) technical adequacy. Attachment III provides the existing TS pages marked up to show the proposed change. Attachment IV provides revised (clean) TS pages. Attachment V provides the proposed TS Bases changes for information only. Attachment VI provides a proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration, consistent with that published in the Federal Register on July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32000). Attachment VII provides a cross reference table that correlates WCGS TS surveillance requirement numbers to the NUREG-1431, "Standard Technical Specifications, Westinghouse Plants," TS surveillance requirement numbers.

P.O. Box 411 | Burlington, KS 66839 | 620-364-8831

Contraction and Additional Additi

har .....

ET 20-0004 Page 2 of 3

WCNOC requests approval of this license amendment request by January 29, 2021. The license amendment, as approved, will be effective upon issuance and will be implemented within 90 days from the date of issuance. The requested approval date will support preparations for a planned spring 2021 refueling outage.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.91, "Notice for public comment; State consultation," Section (b)(1), a copy of this amendment application, with Attachments, is being provided to the designated Kansas State official.

There are no regulatory commitments contained in this submittal. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me at (620) 364-4156, or Ron Benham at (620) 364-4204.

Sincerely,

Stephen L. Smith

SLS/rlt

Attachments: I Description and Assessment

II Documentation of PRA Technical Adequacy

III Proposed Technical Specification Changes (Mark-up)

IV Revised Technical Specification Pages

V Proposed TS Bases Changes (for information only)

VI Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration

- VII WCGS TS Surveillance Requirements (SRs) to NUREG-1431 SRs Cross-Reference
- cc: S. A. Morris, (NRC), w/a N. O'Keefe (NRC), w/a B. K. Singal (NRC), w/a K. S. Steves (KDHE), w/a Senior Resident Inspector (NRC), w/a

#### STATE OF KANSAS SS COUNTY OF COFFEY

Stephen L. Smith, of lawful age, being first duly sworn upon oath says that he is Vice President Engineering of Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation; that he has read the foregoing document and knows the contents thereof; that he has executed the same for and on behalf of said Corporation with full power and authority to do so; and that the facts therein stated are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

By Stephen L. Smith Vice President Engineering

SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me this 27<sup>th</sup> day of April , 2020.



<u>Rhonda L. Jiemeyes</u> Notary Public Expiration Date <u>January 11,</u> 2022

## DESCRIPTION AND ASSESSMENT

- Subject: Application for Technical Specification Change Regarding Risk-Informed Justification for the Relocation of Specific Surveillance Frequency Requirements to a Licensee Controlled Program (TSTF-425)
- 1.0 DESCRIPTION
- 2.0 ASSESSMENT
  - 2.1 Applicability of Published Safety Evaluation
  - 2.2 Optional Changes and Variations
- 3.0 REGULATORY ANALYSIS
  - 3.1 Applicable Regulatory Requirements
  - 3.2 No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination
  - 3.3 Precedent
  - 3.4 Conclusions
- 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

#### **EVALUATION**

#### 1.0 DESCRIPTION

The proposed amendment would modify the Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS) Technical Specifications (TSs) by relocating specific surveillance frequencies to a licensee- controlled program with the adoption of Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF)-425, Revision 3, "Relocate Surveillance Frequencies to Licensee Control – RITSTF Initiative 5b." Additionally, the change would add a new program, the Surveillance Frequency Control Program (SFCP), to TS Section 5.5, "Programs and Manuals."

The changes are consistent with Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approved Industry/TSTF Standard Technical Specification (STS) change TSTF-425, Revision 3, (ADAMS Accession No. ML090850642). The Federal Register Notice published on July 6, 2009 (74 FR 31996) announced the availability of this TS improvement.

#### 2.0 ASSESSMENT

#### 2.1 <u>Applicability of Published Safety Evaluation</u>

Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (WCNOC) has reviewed the safety evaluation provided in Federal Register Notice 74 FR 31996, dated July 6, 2009. This review included a review of the NRC staff's evaluation, TSTF-425, Revision 3, and the requirements specified in Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 04-10, "Risk-Informed Technical Specification Initiative 5B, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies," Revision 1 (ADAMS Accession No. ML071360456).

Attachment II includes WCNOC's documentation regarding the WCGS probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) technical adequacy consistent with the requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.200, Revision 2 (ADAMS Accession No. ML090410014), Section 4.2, and describes any PRA models without NRC-endorsed standards, including documentation of the quality characteristics of those models in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.200.

WCNOC has concluded that the justifications presented in the TSTF proposal and the safety evaluation (SE) prepared by the NRC staff are applicable to WCGS and justify this amendment to incorporate the changes to the WCGS TSs.

#### 2.2 Optional Changes and Variations

The proposed amendment is consistent with the STS changes described in TSTF-425, Revision 3; however, WCNOC proposes variations or deviations from TSTF-425, as identified below, which include differing TS surveillance numbers.

- 1. WCGS Surveillance Requirements (SRs) with SR numbers that differ from the corresponding TSTF-425 SRs are administrative deviations from TSTF-425 with no impact on the NRC's model SE dated July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32001).
- 2. For NUREG-1431 SRs not contained in the WCGS TS, the corresponding mark-ups included in TSTF-425 for these SRs are not applicable to WCGS. This is an

administrative deviation from TSTF-425 with no impact on the NRC's model SE dated July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32001).

- The TSTF-425 TS Section 5.5.18 insert for the new Surveillance Frequency Control Program references NEI 04-10, "Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies." WCNOC is adopting this new program as TS Section 5.5.19 and references NEI 04-10, "Risk-Informed Technical Specifications Initiative 5b, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies." This is an administrative deviation from TSTF-425 with no impact on the NRC staff's model SE dated July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32001).
- 4. The insert provided in TSTF-425 for the TS Bases (Insert 2) states, "The Surveillance Frequency is based on operating experience, equipment reliability, and plant risk and is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program." In a letter dated April 14, 2010 (ADAMS Accession No. ML100990099), the NRC staff agreed that the insert applies to surveillance frequencies that are relocated and subsequently evaluated and changed in accordance with the SFCP but does not apply to frequencies relocated to the SFCP, but not changed. Therefore, the insert for the TS Bases is revised to, "The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program," or "The Surveillance Frequencies are controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program," as appropriate. This is an administrative deviation from TSTF-425 with no impact on the NRC staff's model SE dated July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32001). The existing TS Bases information describing the basis for the surveillance frequency will be relocated to the licensee-controlled SFCP.
- 5. Due to the relocation of SR frequencies and replacing the frequencies with the statement "In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program," there are multiple SRs that moved to the next page and resulted in new pages. The new pages result in changes to the Table of Contents. These changes (new pages due to SRs moving to a new page and changes to the Table of Contents) are administrative changes with no impact on the NRC's model SE dated July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32001).
- 6. Periodic frequency associated with WCGS TSs 5.5.13 is included in the scope of this amendment that are not identified for relocation in TSTF-425, Revision 3.

TS 5.5.13.c is revised as follows (deleted text in strikeout and added text in *italics*):

"Total particulate concentration of the fuel oil is  $\leq$  10 mg/l when tested every 31 days in accordance with ASTM D-2276, Method, at a Frequency in accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program."

WCNOC has determined that the relocation of the periodic frequencies associated with this specification is consistent with the intent of TSTF-425, Revision 3, and with the NRC's model SE dated July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32001). The subject TS Section 5.5 frequency is a periodic frequency and does not meet the scope exclusion criteria identified in Section 1.0, "Introduction," of the model SE. This change is similar to the SR frequency relocation (i.e., TS 5.5.13.e frequency) that the NRC approved for Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 1 in License Amendment No. 264 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19098A955).

In accordance with TSTF-425, changes to the frequency for this surveillance would be controlled under the SFCP. The SFCP provides the necessary administrative controls to require that surveillances related to testing, calibration and inspection are conducted at a frequency to assure that the necessary quality of systems and components is maintained, that facility operation will be within safety limits, and that the limiting conditions for operation will be met. Changes to frequencies in the SFCP would be evaluated using the NRC approved methodology and probabilistic risk guidelines contained in NEI 04-10, Revision 1.

7. TS 5.5.18.d is revised as follows (deleted text in strikeout and added text in *italics*):

Measurement, at designated locations, of the CRE pressure relative to the outside atmosphere during the pressurization mode of operation by one train of the CREVS, operating at the flow rate required by the VFTP, at a Frequency of 18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS in accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. The results shall be trended and used as part of the periodic assessment of the CRE boundary.

TSTF-425 includes the relocation of the frequency for NUREG 1431, SR 3.7.10.4, associated with verifying one Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS) train can maintain a positive pressure relative to adjacent area(s). This SR was revised under TSTF-448, "Control Room Habitability," to perform control room envelope unfiltered air inleakage testing in accordance with the Control Room Envelope Habitability Program. The requirement to perform the relative pressure surveillance was included in the new NUREG 1431, TS 5.5.18, "Control Room Envelope (CRE) Habitability Program," as TS 5.5.18.d. WCNOC adopted TSTF-448 in Amendment No. 179 dated December 24, 2008 (ADAMS Accession No. ML083390833), designating the Control Room Envelope Habitability Program as TS 5.5.18 with the subject surveillance requirement as TS 5.5.18.d. Therefore, the frequency change identified for NUREG-1431 SR 3.7.10.4 in TSTF-425 is being adopted as the WCGS TS 5.5.18.d frequency. This is an administrative deviation from TSTF-425 with no impact on the NRC staff's model SE dated July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32001). In addition, on May 22, 2019, the NRC approved a similar SR frequency relocation (i.e., TS 5.5.8.d frequency) in the Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 1 in License Amendment No. 264 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19098A955)

WCNOC proposes to relocate surveillance frequencies, except those that reference other approved programs, that are purely event-driven, are event-driven but have a time component for performing the surveillance on a one-time basis once the event occurs, or are related to specific conditions. WCNOC considers the differences listed herein to be minor variations or deviations of the type permitted by TSTF-425.

Attachment VII provides a cross-reference between WCGS TS SRs and the NUREG-1431 SRs included in TSTF-425. This attachment includes a summary description of the referenced TSTF-425/WCGS TS SRs, which is being provided for information purposes only and is not intended to be a verbatim description of the TS SRs. This cross-reference highlights the following:

 NUREG-1431 SRs included in TSTF-425 and corresponding WCGS TS SRs with plantspecific surveillance numbers;

- NUREG-1431 SRs not included in TSTF-425 that meet TSTF criteria for frequency relocation and corresponding WCGS TS surveillances with plant-specific surveillance numbers, as applicable;
- NUREG-1431 SRs included in TSTF-425 that are not contained in the WCGS TS; and
- WCGS plant-specific TS surveillances that meet TSTF criteria for frequency relocation but are not contained in either NUREG-1431 or markups in TSTF-425.

Inclusion of Attachment VII is provided to assist the NRC staff's review of the proposed amendment and has no impact on the NRC staff's model SE dated July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32001).

## 3.0 REGULATORY ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Applicable Regulatory Requirements

A description of the proposed changes and their relationship to applicable regulatory requirements is provided in TSTF-425, Revision 3 and the NRC's model safety evaluation published in the Notice of Availability dated July 6, 2009 (74 FR 31996). WCNOC has concluded that the relationship of the proposed changes to the applicable regulatory requirements presented in the Federal Register notice is applicable to WCGS.

#### 3.2 <u>No Significant Hazards Consideration</u>

WCNOC has reviewed the proposed no significant hazards consideration determination (NSHC) published in Federal Register 74 FR 32000, dated July 6, 2009. WCNOC has concluded that the proposed NSHC presented in the Federal Register notice is applicable to WCGS and is provided as Attachment VI to this amendment request, which satisfies the requirements of 10 CFR 50.91(a).

#### 3.3 <u>Precedent</u>

Relocation of surveillance frequencies to a licensee controlled program was approved for multiple licensees including; Palisades Nuclear Plan per License Amendment No. 271 issued on December 30, 2019 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19317D855), H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant Unit 2 per License Amendment Nos. 265 issued on August 15, 2019 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19158A307), and Grand Gulf Nuclear Station per License Amendment No. 219 issued on June 11, 2019 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19094A799), and Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 1 per License Amendment No. 264 issued on May 22, 2019 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19098A955).

#### 3.4 <u>Conclusion</u>

Based on the considerations discussed herein, (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

## 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

WCNOC has reviewed the environmental consideration included in the NRC's model safety evaluation published in the Federal Register on July 6, 2009 (74 FR 32006). WCNOC has concluded that the NRC's findings presented therein are applicable to WCGS, and the determination is hereby incorporated by reference for this application.

Attachment II to ET 20-0004 Page 1 of 17

# ATTACHMENT II

# DOCUMENTATION OF PROBABLISTIC RISK ASSESSMENT (PRA) TECHNICAL ADEQUACY

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1.0 Purpose
- 2.0 Scope
- 3.0 Technical Adequacy of the Wolf Creek Generating Station PRA
  - 3.1 PRA Maintenance and Update
  - 3.2 Plant Changes Not Yet Incorporated into the PRA Model
  - 3.3 Applicability of Peer Review Findings and Findings and Observations (F&Os)
    - 3.3.1 Evaluation of Open F&Os
  - 3.4 Consistency of Applicable PRA Standards
- 4.0 Identification of Key Assumptions
- 5.0 External Events Considerations
- 6.0 Shutdown Events Considerations
- 7.0 Conclusions
- 8.0 References

## 1.0 <u>Purpose</u>

The purpose of this report is to document the technical adequacy of the Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS) Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) to support the implementation of the Surveillance Frequency Control Program (SFCP), also referred to as Technical Specifications Initiative 5b (Reference 1). Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (WCNOC) will follow the guidance provided by Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) in NEI 04-10, Revision 1 (Reference 2) in evaluating proposed surveillance test interval (STI; also referred to as "surveillance frequency") changes.

## 2.0 <u>Scope</u>

As explained in NEI 04-10, the Technical Specifications Initiative 5b uses a risk-informed, performance-based approach for establishment of the surveillance frequencies, where PRA methods are used to determine the risk impact of the revised intervals. The PRA technical adequacy is addressed through Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.200, Revision 2 (Reference 3), which references the ASME/ANS PRA standard, RA-Sa-2009 (Reference 4), for internal events at-power. Risk impacts associated with fire, seismic, external events and shutdown activities may be considered quantitatively or qualitatively. NEI 04-10 guidance includes the five (5) key safety principles described in RG 1.174 (Reference 5), which are followed as part of this risk-informed Technical Specification Interval change program. The five key safety principles are:

- Change meets current regulations unless it is explicitly related to a requested exemption or rule change.
- Change is consistent with defense-in-depth philosophy.
- Maintain sufficient safety margins.
- Proposed increases in core damage frequency (CDF) or risk are small and consistent with the Commission's Safety Goal Policy Statement.
- Use performance-measurement strategies to monitor the change.

The NEI 04-10 methodology utilizes the guidance provided in RG 1.200. The guidance in RG 1.200 indicates that the following steps should be followed when performing PRA assessments:

- 1. Identify the pieces of the PRA to be used to support the application:
  - Identify structures, systems and components (SSCs), operational characteristics affected by the application and how these are implemented in the PRA model.
  - Definition of acceptance criteria used for the application.

- 2. Identify the scope of risk contributors addressed by the PRA:
  - If not full scope (i.e., internal events, external events, all modes), identify appropriate compensatory measures or provide bounding arguments to address the risk contributors not addressed by the PRA model.
- 3. Summarize the risk assessment methodology used to assess the risk of the application:
  - Include how the PRA model was modified to appropriately model the risk impact of the change request.
- 4. Demonstrate the Technical Adequacy of the PRA:
  - Identify plant changes (design or operational practices) that have been incorporated at the site but are not yet in the PRA model and justify why the change does not impact the PRA results used to support the application.
  - Document peer review findings and observations that are applicable to the parts of the PRA required for the application, and for those that have not yet been addressed justify why the significant contributors would not be impacted.
  - Document that the parts of the PRA used in the decision are consistent with applicable standards endorsed by the Regulatory Guide (currently, RG 1.200, Revision 2). Provide justification to show that where specific requirements in the standard are not adequately met, it will not unduly impact the results.
  - Identify key assumptions and approximations relevant to the results used in the decision-making process.

Item 1 satisfies the requirements of RG 1.200, Revision 2, Section 3.2: "Identification of Pieces of a PRA Used to Support the Application." Item 2 satisfies the requirements of RG 1.200, Revision 2, Section 3.1: "Scope of Risk Contributors Addressed by the PRA Model." Item 3 satisfies one of the requirements of RG 1.200, Revision 2, Section 4.2: "Licensee Submittal Documentation." Item 4 satisfies the requirements of RG 1.200, Revision 2, Section 3.3: "Demonstration of Technical Adequacy of the PRA," and the remaining requirements of RG 1.200, Revision 2, Section 4.2.

Because of the broad scope of potential Technical Specifications Initiative 5b applications and the fact that the risk assessment details will differ from application to application, each of the issues encompassed in Items 1 through 3 above will be addressed during the preparation of each individual PRA assessment made in support of the individual STI change requests. The purpose of the remaining portion of this assessment is to address the requirements identified in Item 4 above for technical adequacy of the PRA.

## 3.0 Technical Adequacy of the WCGS PRA

The current version of the WCGS Internal Events and Internal Flooding model is the Revision 9 Model of Record (MOR). The PRA model is highly detailed and includes a wide variety of initiating events, mitigation and support systems as well as fully developed common cause events. The PRA Quantification process used is based upon the large linked fault tree methodology, which is a well-known and accepted methodology in the industry. The model is maintained and quantified using the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Risk and Reliability suite of software programs.

WCNOC employs a multi-faceted, structured approach in establishing and maintaining the technical adequacy and plant fidelity of the PRA models. This approach includes a robust and proceduralized PRA maintenance and update process, as well as the use of independent peer reviews. The following information describes this approach as it applies to the WCGS PRA.

#### 3.1 PRA Maintenance and Update

The WCGS PRA models are controlled and maintained in accordance with a series of Desktop Guidance documents in compliance with the requirements provided in Section 1-5 of the ASME/ANS PRA Standard RA-Sa-2009 (Reference 4). While a wide array of desktop guidance documents have been developed to govern all aspects of the WCGS PRA program, the primary desktop guidance documents used to facilitate this Maintenance and Update process are PRA-DG-01, PRA-DG-02, PRA-DG-03 and PRA-DG-07 (References 6 through 9). These documents were reviewed during the Internal Events and Internal Flooding Peer Review (Reference 10) as part of a review of the WCGS compliance with the ASME/ANS PRA Standard requirements for PRA configuration and control (Section 1-5 of Reference 4). The program was determined to meet the intent of the ASME/ANS PRA Standard confirming that a robust and detailed process is in place to identify and track pending changes.

## PRA-DG-01: Probabilistic Risk Assessment Program

- This desktop guidance establishes the structure under which the WCGS PRA program is developed and maintained.
- The PRA program develops and maintains the WCGS PRA MOR and, as deemed appropriate, an interim model. Additionally, the PRA program provides input to various risk-informed applications.

## PRA-DG-02: Maintenance and Update of PRA Models

- This desktop guidance establishes the maintenance and update process for the WCGS PRA model in support of PRA-DG-01 (Reference 6). Through systematic reviews of plant changes, PRA analysts identify impacts, determine significance and schedule timely implementation.
- Model updates occur on a periodic basis. Maintenance of the PRA model is performed to ensure the PRA model continually matches the as-built, as operated plant. Focused updates are primarily driven by specific plant changes/modifications. Maintenance of the PRA model is performed to ensure model fidelity such that

risk-informed decisions better support safe and reliable plant operation. In addition, planned periodic updates of broader scope also occur as driven by data review, methodology changes, external inputs or other considerations. Less significant changes are tracked for cumulative effect to be implemented during one of the planned or maintenance updates.

## PRA-DG-03: MSPI Basis Document Update

- This desktop guidance documents the process used to ensure that the evaluation of pending changes that impact the PRA under PRA-DG-02 (Reference 7) are in compliance with Industry MSPI Requirements for Technical Adequacy (MSPI FAQ 14-01).
- A living Model is maintained to ensure that the cumulative impact of any pending model changes is well understood so that the MOR represents the as-built as-operated plant.

## PRA-DG-07: Applications Maintenance

- The purpose of this desktop guidance is to assist individuals in applying insights from the WCGS PRA model(s) to risk inform plant activities and implement beneficial risk-informed applications.
- The desktop guidance identifies the means to apply PRA insights to improve the effectiveness of processes and strengthen risk-informed decision-making in a variety of contexts.

As discussed above, these documents define the process to be followed to implement scheduled and interim PRA model updates and to control the PRA model files. In addition, these documents also define a rigorous process for identifying, tracking, and implementing model changes, and for identifying and tracking model improvements or potential issues that may affect the model. Model changes that are identified are tracked via a Configuration and Control Database which is discussed in considerable detail in PRA-DG-02 (Reference 7).

To ensure that the current PRA model remains an accurate reflection of the as-built, as-operated plants, the following activities are routinely performed:

- Design changes and procedure changes are reviewed for their impact on the PRA model.
- New engineering calculations and revisions to existing calculations are reviewed for their impact on the PRA model.
- Maintenance unavailabilities are captured, and their impact on the PRA is assessed.
- Plant specific initiating event frequencies, failure rates, and maintenance unavailabilities are updated, typically every two (2) refueling cycles.

In accordance with this guidance, regularly scheduled PRA model updates occur typically every two refueling cycles with more frequent updates occurring based on the risk significance of permanent changes, initiating events, and failure data such that the PRA continues to adequately represent the as-built, as-operated plant.

## 3.2 Plant Changes Not Yet Incorporated into the PRA Model

As discussed in Section 3.1, WCNOC thoroughly tracks pending changes in a Configuration and Control Database so any outstanding items can be evaluated in a quarterly MSPI rollup in accordance with PRA-DG-03 (Reference 8). This Configuration and Control Database contains a reporting feature that can be used to quickly return all entries that are pending model changes that need to be considered.

As part of the PRA evaluation for each STI change request, a review of open items in the Configuration and Control Database is performed for applicability and an assessment of the impact on the results of the application is made prior to presenting the results of the risk analysis. If a non-trivial impact is expected, then performance of additional sensitivity studies or PRA model changes to confirm the impact on the risk analysis is included.

## 3.3 Applicability of Peer Review Findings and Findings and Observations (F&Os)

WCNOC conducted a full scope independent Peer Review on the Internal Events and Internal Flooding PRA models in June of 2019 (Reference 10). This Peer Review concluded that 98% of the Supporting Requirements (SRs) satisfied Capability Category (CC) II requirements of the ASME/ANS PRA Standard. During this Peer Review a total of thirty-four (34) findings, thirty (30) suggestions and one (1) best practice were generated. The conclusion of the review was that the WCGS PRA substantially met the ASME/ANS PRA standard at CC-II, as endorsed by RG 1.200, Revision 2, and could be used to support risk-informed applications.

Subsequently an Independent F&O Closure was held in December 2019 to close out findings from the Internal Events and Internal Flooding Peer Review (Reference 11). This review followed the guidance in Appendix X of NEI 05-04 (Reference12). During this review a total of thirty-three (33) of the thirty-four (34) findings from the 2019 peer review were reviewed (F&O 4-10 was not in scope). Of these findings, thirty-one (31) were determined to have been satisfactorily closed by the Independent Assessment Team (IAT) while two (2) remained open. During the F&O closure review, two (2) unique F&Os were judged to be closed with a PRA upgrade, which required a focused scope peer review. This triggered a focused scope peer review of the SRs associated with the upgrade. Two (2) SRs in Part 2 and one (1) SR in Part 3 of the Standard were therefore re-peer reviewed. Following the focused scope peer review, all the involved SRs were judged to be met at CC-II or higher, however one (1) new F&O was assigned. This results in a total of four (4) open F&Os remaining (1 not in scope of the F&O closure, 2 not closed during the F&O closure and 1 new from the F&O closure upgrade reviews).

As part of the PRA evaluation for each STI change request, sensitivity cases would be expected to be explored for areas of uncertainty associated with open items (peer review Findings for ASME/ANS PRA Standard CC-II or plant changes) that would impact the results of the STI change evaluation, prior to presenting the results of the risk analysis to the Independent Decision-making Panel (IDP).

## 3.1.1 Evaluation of Open Finding F&Os

Table 3-1 lists the four (4) remaining open finding-level F&Os for the WCGS PRA models (three (3) F&Os from the original peer review and one (1) F&O from the focused scope peer review conducted during the NEI 05-04 Appendix X closure). The table indicates the F&O number, the relevant SRs from the ASME/ANS PRA Standard that each F&O pertains to, the F&O text, a summary of the actions taken to address each F&O's concern, and an evaluation of what, if any impact, there may be to the assessment of STIs under the NEI 04-10 process.

F&O Number	SR (status)	F&O Description	Resolution	Impact on Application
3-8	AS-C3 (Met) HR-I3 (Met) IE-D3 (Met) SC-C3 (Met) SY-C3 (Met) QU-F4 (Not Met)	<u>Description:</u> Identify plant specific sources of uncertainty. This identification can be documented in a manner similar to the tables that characterize the generic sources of model uncertainty and related assumptions. <u>Basis:</u> Sources of uncertainty are required to be identified. <u>Possible Resolution:</u> Identify plant specific sources of uncertainty. This identification can be documented in a manner similar to the tables that characterize the generic sources of model uncertainty and related assumptions.	The F&O was originally generated due to a lack of a clear method for identification and characterization of key plant-specific assumptions and sources of uncertainty. To resolve this F&O, WCNOC collected and characterized plant- specific sources of uncertainty in the individual PRA notebooks. However, the F&O review team (Reference 11) did not agree that this resolution was sufficient to fully close this F&O. The IAT indicated that there was a gap in the quantitative assessment of uncertainty in the quantification notebook; especially for assumptions marked as "non- conservative," which needed a statement on the importance on the results to ensure that risk insights are not masked. Specifically, assumptions marked as "non- conservative" need to have a clear characterization of the impact on results to ensure that risk insights are not masked.	Per the stated resolution, improvements to the documentation and characterization of plant-specific assumptions and sources of uncertainty will facilitate review during STI change considerations per NEI 04-10 (Reference 2). Any identified sensitivities are performed as part of the evaluation of the STI extension. Therefore, this open F&O does not adversely impact the risk- informed STI application.

# Table 3-1: WCGS Open PRA Peer Review Findings

Attachment II to ET 20-0004 Page 10 of 17

F&O Number	SR (status)	F&O Description	Resolution	Impact on Application
			evaluate the impact of the assumptions and sources of uncertainty in the quantification notebook. As a result, this F&O remains open. WCNOC's position is that the main issue of identification and characterization has been resolved through the identification and qualitative characterization in each PRA notebook. The quantitative characterization process is now being consolidated and more clearly documented.	
4-10 (F&O was not in scope for the F&O closure)	LE-C13 (Met CCII/III)	Description: The approach to scrubbing of SGTR releases is consistent with the CC-II requirements and, therefore, allows the SR to be considered MET at CC-II. However, the current SGTR documentation does not provide sufficient technical basis to justify the credit taken. Additionally, the simplified approach for ISLOCA releases does not discuss any consideration of potential scrubbing credit. <u>Basis:</u> Additional documentation of SGTR scrubbing is needed. The approach taken for ISLOCA releases does not credit scrubbing. While CC-II/III does not require credit for ISLOCA scrubbing, some consideration for significant ISLOCA sequences is needed to meet more than CC-I. <u>Possible Resolution:</u> For ISLOCA events: Identify significant ISLOCA sequences and document some consideration of scrubbing for significant release locations based on the general configuration and location of subject piping systems. If credit is	In general, the position taken on scrubbing for SGTRs and ISLOCAs is conservative. The WCGS LERF PRA model only treats those SGTRs where SG isolation has failed as generating a large early release. With successful SG isolation, the containment is not completely bypassed and therefore these SGTRs do not result in a Large Early Release. In the case of failed SG isolation, fission product scrubbing via secondary side inventory is not realistic for most scenarios due to the uncertainty of the leak location and the availability of a sufficient water pool above the leak to scrub fission products from a potential release.	The impact of these conservative assumptions is expected to be minimal and will be treated as areas of uncertainty in the LERF model. Similar to other assumptions, the modeling uncertainty associated with these assumptions will be assessed during STI change considerations per NEI 04-10 (Reference 2). Any identified sensitivities that may be needed will be performed as part of the evaluation of the STI extension.

Attachment II to ET 20-0004 Page 11 of 17

F&O Number	SR (status)	F&O Description	Resolution	Impact on Application
		justifiable, document credit of radionuclide scrubbing. If scrubbing is not justifiable, document the consideration given. For SGTR events: Provide additional documentation of the engineering basis by citing appropriate plant-specific or generic analyses.	scrubbing for ISLOCA sequences requires complex modeling of the release pathway through the Auxiliary building in order to track fission product plate out prior to offsite release. This is considered to be beyond state of practice in the industry.	
6-8	SY-C2 (Met)	Description:The notebook states that walkdowns and interviews were performed but not documented.Without the documentation there is no evidence that these tasks were performed and that the walkdown was included the present as built plant.Basis:There is no evidence that a walkdown or operator interview was performed and when these tasks were performed.Possible Resolution:The results of the walkdowns and interviews should be included in the system analysis documentation.	The majority of the system engineer interviews have been completed and documented in the corresponding systems analyses notebooks. The one exception that prevented this F&O from being formally closed was that the Electric Power System Engineer Interview had not yet taken place. It is not expected that this F&O will have any impact on the PRA model given that the system engineer reviews that have been completed were found to be largely confirmatory and nothing of substance that resulted in changes to the PRA model was identified.	Given that this F&O is not expected to have any impact on the PRA model, it is also not expected to have any adverse impact on the application.
AS-B3- 01	AS-B3 (Met)	Description: Feed and Bleed scenarios involving open PORVs did not consider the potential for sump strainer blockage. The review identified no model logic or a documented basis that would address open PORV transients including considerations of the complications associated with containment sump blockage with the actuation of containment spray. Basis: A review of plant documentation and event tree models did not result in evidence of treatment	The Revision 9 MOR explicitly accounts for sump blockage for LOCA events, including consequential pressurizer PORV and RCP seal leak events. This F&O was generated because other non-LOCA type events that credit Feed and Bleed through the pressurizer PORV may also experience sump blockage that is not accounted for. A sensitivity was	Given that this F&O has a negligible impact on the PRA model, is it not expected to have an impact on the STI application.

Attachment II to ET 20-0004 Page 12 of 17

F&O Number	SR (status)	F&O Description	Resolution	Impact on Application
		of Feed and Bleed scenarios where sump plugging cases with the possibility of spray actuation were considered. As an example, the application or disposition of SUMP-NPSH-NONLOCA to Feed and Bleed sequences with open PORVs is not addressed. <u>Possible Resolution:</u> Add to the model or document the basis for not modeling containment sump	conducted on the Revision 9 MOR to determine the impact of not limiting sump blockage to LOCA type events. The results revealed only a slight increase in CDF (0.025%) and no change at all to LERF.	
		disposition of SUMP-NPSH-NONLOCA to Feed and Bleed sequences with open PORVs is not addressed. <u>Possible Resolution:</u> Add to the model or document the basis for not modeling containment sump blockage for Feed and Bleed scenarios.	type events. The results revealed only a slight increase in CDF (0.025%) and no change at all to LERF.	

#### 3.4 Consistency with Applicable PRA Standards

As indicated above, there has been a recent full-scope peer review conducted on the current Internal Events and Internal Flooding PRA model (Reference 10) against the RG 1.200 endorsed version of the ASME/ANS PRA Standard (Reference 4) followed by an Independent Assessment F&O Closure (Reference 11) to address the findings. Following these reviews there are only four (4) open F&Os applicable to the Internal Events and Internal Flooding PRA models.

Each open PRA F&O will be reviewed as part of each STI change assessment that is performed and an assessment of the impact on the results of the application will be made prior to presenting the results of the risk analysis to the IDP. If a non-trivial impact is expected, then this may include the performance of additional sensitivity studies or PRA model changes to confirm the impact on the risk analysis.

Following the Peer Reviews and F&O closure processes, the WCGS Internal Events and Internal Flooding PRA models are considered to be compliant with RG 1.200, Revision 2, for the scope of this application, and meet CC-II or above in the ASME/ANS PRA Standard (RA-Sa-2009). Overall, the WCGS Internal Events PRA model is capable of supporting all risk-informed applications requiring Capability Category I or II.

#### 4.0 Identification of Key Assumptions

The overall Technical Specifications Initiative 5b process is a risk-informed process with the PRA model results providing one of the inputs to determine if an STI change is acceptable. The NEI 04-10 methodology recognizes that a key area of uncertainty for this application is the standby failure rate utilized in the determination of the STI extension impact. Therefore, the methodology requires the performance of selected sensitivity studies on the standby failure rate of the component(s) of interest for the STI assessment.

In addition, all assumptions and sources of model uncertainty have been identified and characterized in the PRA notebooks per the initial resolution of F&O 3-8 in Reference 11. As stated in Table 3-1, further effort is currently underway to consolidate this documentation to support risk-informed applications. These characterizations and the updated documentation will facilitate the assessment of impact of assumptions and sources of uncertainty on potential STI changes.

The results of the standby failure rate sensitivity study plus the results of any additional sensitivity studies identified during the performance of the reviews as outlined in Section 3.2 above for each STI change assessment will be documented and included in the results of the risk analysis that are presented to the IDP. This will include, for each STI change assessment, additional sensitivity studies that are identified through a review of identified gaps to CC-II and a review of identified sources of uncertainty as required by NEI 04-10, Steps 5 and 14.

#### 5.0 External Events Considerations

The NEI 04-10 methodology allows for STI change evaluations to be performed in the absence of quantifiable PRA models for all external hazards. For those cases where the STI cannot be modeled in the plant PRA (or where a particular PRA model does not exist for a given hazard group), a qualitative or bounding analysis is performed to provide justification for the acceptability of the proposed test interval change. WCNOC is currently developing a fire PRA (FPRA), however, the model is still under development and has not yet been peer reviewed. Therefore, this FPRA model cannot yet be used as the primary method of evaluating the fire risk impacts of STI extensions (i.e., the Individual Plant Examination of External Events (IPEEE) fire model, which is discussed below, will be used for evaluating fire risk impacts).

External hazards were evaluated in the WCGS IPEEE submittal in response to the NRC IPEEE Program (Generic Letter 88-20, Supplement 4) (Reference 13). The IPEEE Program was a onetime review of external hazard risk and was limited in its purpose to the identification of potential plant vulnerabilities and the understanding of associated severe accident risks. Internal fires were evaluated as part of the IPEEE based on EPRI's Fire Induced Vulnerability Evaluation (FIVE) methodology. While the fire analysis did yield a CDF, the intent of the analysis was to identify the most risk significant fire areas in the plant using a screening process and by calculating conservative core damage frequencies for fire scenarios. Additionally, the analysis at the time was based on PRA modeling techniques that have since been upgraded to meet current standards. As such, the accident sequence frequencies calculated for the WCGS IPEEE are not appropriate for integration with the RG 1.200-compliant WCGS internal events PRA results. However, the NEI 04-10 methodology allows a qualitative screening or bounding analysis using IPEEE results to provide justification for acceptability of proposed surveillance test interval changes. Therefore, the IPEEE FIVE methodology will be used consistent with NEI 04-10. Step 10b, and consistent with the Technical Specifications Task Force Letter, "Clarification of Information Needed from Licensees Adopting TSTF-425, Revision 3, 'Relocate Surveillance Frequencies to Licensee Control - RITSTF Initiative 5b'," (Reference 14), Resolution 1. In addition, the working WCGS FPRA model may be exercised to obtain fire risk insights that will be used to supplement the bounding analyses based on the fire IPEEE results.

Similarly, WCNOC is also currently developing a seismic PRA (SPRA), but it has not yet been completed or peer reviewed. A Seismic Margins Assessment (SMA) was performed for WCGS with screening of Structures Systems and Components (SSC) capacity at 0.3g. SSCs impacted by frequency changes under the SFCP, therefore, will be assessed against the SMA and evaluated in accordance with NEI 04-10 bounding or qualitative analysis guidance, as appropriate.

The IPEEE assessment for WCGS shows there are no significant vulnerabilities to severe accidents that exist that would be attributable to other external events (external flooding, transportation hazards, high winds, etc.). These other hazards were determined in the IPEEE to be negligible contributors to overall plant risk.

In addition to the IPEEE, WCNOC has developed a High Winds PRA Model and conducted an External Events Screening Assessment in accordance with Parts 6 and 7 of the ASME/ANS PRA Standard. A peer review was performed in 2015 as documented in Reference 15 which determined that 95% of the SRs were considered MET at CC-II or higher. However, given that the High Winds PRA model still has outstanding F&Os that need to be addressed, WCNOC will utilize the IPEEE analysis for the STI change evaluations.

As stated earlier, the NEI 04-10 methodology allows for STI change evaluations to be performed in the absence of quantifiable PRA models for all external hazards (seismic, high winds or tornados, external flooding, and other external hazards). Therefore, in performing the assessments for these other hazard groups, a qualitative or a bounding approach will be utilized where a PRA model is not available. For the STI change evaluations, the intent is not to directly use any numerical results from the IPEEE other external events, but to qualitatively assess any available information to determine the impact on the proposed surveillance interval changes, consistent with Step 10a of the NEI 04-10 (Reference 3) methodology. This qualitative assessment of other external event risks will include a review of applicability to the current plant configuration and operating experience. Additionally, for some STI change evaluations, per Step 10b of the NEI 04-10 methodology, qualitative reasoning and very low changes to core damage frequency ( $\Delta$ CDF) and large early release frequency ( $\Delta$ LERF) results from the internal events analysis may be sufficient to support the STI change evaluation where Step 10b reads in part:

"Alternative evaluations for the impact from external events and shutdown events are also deemed acceptable at this point. For example, if the  $\Delta$ CDF and  $\Delta$ LERF values have been demonstrated to be very small from an internal events perspective based on detailed analysis of the impact of the SSC being evaluated for the STI change, and if it is known that the CDF or LERF impact from external events (or shutdown events as applicable) is not specifically sensitive to the SSC being evaluated (by qualitative reasoning), then the detailed internal events evaluations and associated required sensitivity cases (as described in Step 14) can be used to bound the potential impact from external events and shutdown PRA model contributors."

Qualitative evaluation of external events risk in support of Step 10b would also include consideration of applicability to the current plant configuration and operating experience. Therefore, by following Steps 10a and 10b of the NEI 04-10 guidance, the evaluation of other external events will reflect and consider the current plant configuration and operating experience. This approach is consistent with the accepted NEI 04-10 methodology.

## 6.0 Shutdown Events Considerations

WCNOC does not maintain a shutdown PRA model. Consistent with the NEI 04-10, Revision 1 guidance, qualitative information must be developed that supports the acceptability of the STI change with respect to the shutdown risk or it must be screened as not having an impact on the CDF and large early release frequency (LERF) metrics.

WCGS operates under a shutdown risk management program to support implementation of NUMARC 91-06 (Reference 16). The shutdown risk management implementing procedure provides guidelines for outage risk management which focuses on proper planning, conservative decision-making, maintaining defense in depth, and controlling key safety functions. WCNOC will use the Outage Risk Management procedure (Reference 17) to assess shutdown risk for proposed STI changes.

#### 7.0 <u>Conclusions</u>

The WCGS PRA models are sufficiently robust and suitable for use in risk-informed processes such as the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. The peer reviews that have been conducted and the resolution of findings from those reviews demonstrate that the PRA has been developed in a technically correct manner. PRA program procedures (desktop guidance documents) are in place for controlling and updating the models, when appropriate, and for assuring that the model represents the as-built, as-operated plant. Also, in addition to the standard set of sensitivity studies required per the NEI 04-10 methodology, open items for changes at the site and remaining gaps to specific requirements in the ASME/ANS PRA standard will be reviewed to determine which, if any, would merit application-specific sensitivity studies in the presentation of the application results. The conclusion, therefore, is that the WCGS PRA model is acceptable to be used as the basis for risk-informed applications including Risk-Informed Technical Specifications (RITS) Initiative 5b.

#### 8.0 <u>References</u>

- 1. Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF)-425, Revision 3, "Relocate Surveillance Frequencies to Licensee Control RITSTF Initiative 5b."
- 2. NEI 04-10, Revision 1, "Risk-Informed Technical Specifications Initiative 5b, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies."
- 3. Regulatory Guide 1.200, Revision 2, "An Approach for Determining the Technical Adequacy of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Results for Risk-Informed Activities."
- 4. ASME/ANS RA-Sa-2009, "Standard for Level 1/Large Early Release Frequency Probabilistic Risk Assessment for Nuclear Power Plant Applications," February 2009.
- 5. Regulatory Guide 1.174, Revision 2, "An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis."
- 6. PRA-DG-01, Revision 0, "WCNOC PRA Desktop Guidance, Probabilistic Risk Assessment Program."
- 7. PRA-DG-02, Revision 1, "WCNOC PRA Desktop Guidance, Maintenance and Update of PRA Models."
- 8. PRA-DG-03, Revision 0, "WCNOC PRA Desktop Guidance, MSPI Basis Document Update."
- 9. PRA-DG-07, Revision 0, "WCNOC-PRA Desktop Guidance, Applications Maintenance."
- 10. WCNOCPES029-REPT-001, Revision 0, "Wolf Creek Internal Events Probabilistic Risk Assessment Peer Review."
- 11. PWROG-19038-P, Revision 0, "Independent Assessment of Facts and Observations Closure of the Wolf Creek Probabilistic Risk Assessment."

- 12. NEI 05-04, Revision 3, "Process for Performing Internal Events PRA Peer Reviews Using the ASME/ANS PRA Standard," Nuclear Energy Institute, November 2009.
- 13. Wolf Creek Generating Station, "Individual Plant Examination of External Events (IPEEE), June 1995".
- TSTF-14-09, Technical Specifications Task Force Letter to Members of the PWROG and BWROG Licensing Committees, "Clarification of Information Needed from Licensees Adopting TSTF-425, Revision 3, 'Relocate Surveillance Frequencies to Licensee Control – RITSTF Initiative 5b'," April 21, 2016.
- 15. PWROG-15082-P, Revision 0, "Peer Review of the Wolf Creek Generating Station External Events Screening and High Winds Probabilistic Risk Assessment."
- 16. NUMARC 91-06, "Guidelines for Industry Actions to Assess Shutdown Management," December 1991.
- 17. AP 22B-001, Revision 20, Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation, "Outage Risk Management."

Attachment III to ET 20-0004 Page 1 of 121

# ATTACHMENT III

# PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGES (MARK-UP)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	USE AND APPLICATION Definitions Logical Connectors Completion Times Frequency	1.1-1 1.1-1 1.2-1 1.3-1 1.4-1
2.0	SAFETY LIMITS (SLs)	2.0-1 2 0-1
2.2	SL Violations	2.0-1
3.0	LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (LCO) APPLICABILITY	3.0-1
3.0	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT (SR) APPLICABILITY	3.0-4
3.1	REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS	3.1-1
3.1.1	SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM)	3.1-1
3.1.2	Core Reactivity	3.1-2
3.1.3	Moderator Temperature Coefficient (MTC)	3.1-4
3.1.4	Rod Group Alignment Limits	3.1-7
315	Shutdown Bank Insertion Limits	3 1-11
316	Control Bank Insertion Limits	3 1-13
217	Pod Position Indication	3.1-16
0.1.7		2.1-10
3.1.0	PRISICS LESTS Exceptions - MODE 2	3.1-19
3.1.9	RUS Boron Limitations < 500°F	3.1-21
3.2	POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS	3.2-1
3.2.1	Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor ( $F_{0}(Z)$ )	
	(Fo Methodology)	3.2-1
3.2.2	Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor (FNu)	3.2-6
3 7 3	AXIAL FULLY DIFFERENCE (AED) (Polovod Avial Offsot	0.2 0
5.2.5	Control (PAOC) Methodology)	220
2 2 4		3.2-9
3.2.4	QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO (QPTR)	3.2-10
3.3	INSTRUMENTATION	3.3-1
3.3.1	Reactor Trip System (RTS) Instrumentation	3.3-1
3.3.2	Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS)	, from
	Instrumentation	3.3-24 22 2
3.3.3	Post Accident Monitoring (PAM) Instrumentation	3.3-36 / 38 /
334	Remote Shutdown System	33-40 \$42 \$
335	Loss of Power (LOP) Diesel Congrator (DC) Start	
0.0.0	Instrumentation	3.3-43 45

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

33.6       Containment Purge Isolation Instrumentation       3.3-45       47         33.7       Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS)       3.3-49       51         3.8       Emergency Exhaust System (EES) Actuation       3.3-49       51         3.8       Emergency Exhaust System (EES) Actuation       3.3-49       56         3.4       REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)       3.4-1       66         3.4.1       RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from       3.4-5         3.4.2       RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality       3.4-5         3.4.3       RCS Pressure and Temperature for Criticality       3.4-6         3.4.4       RCS Loops - MODE 1 and 2       3.4-9         3.4.6       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-11         3.4.7       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-12         3.4.8       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-22         3.4.1       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-24         3.4.1       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-24         3.4	33	INSTRUMENTATION (continued)		
3.3.7       Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS)       Actuation Instrumentation       3.3-49         3.8       Emergency Exhaust System (EES) Actuation       3.3-49         3.4       REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)       3.4-1         3.4.1       RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from       3.4-1         3.4.2       RCS Pressure, Temperature for Criticality       3.4-5         3.4.3       RCS Pressure and Temperature (PT) Limits       3.4-6         3.4.4       RCS Loops - MODE 3       3.4-9         3.4.5       RCS Loops - MODE 4       3.4-12         3.4.6       RCS Loops - MODE 5       3.4-9         3.4.7       RCS Loops - MODE 5       3.4-9         3.4.8       RCS Loops - MODE 5       3.4-12         3.4.9       Pressurizer       3.4-12         3.4.7       RCS Loops - MODE 5       3.4-9         3.4.8       RCS Loops - MODE 5       3.4-12         3.4.9       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-24         3.4.11       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-34	3.3.6	Containment Purge Isolation Instrumentation	3.3-45	\$47
Actuation Instrumentation       3.3-49       51         3.3.8       Emergency Exhaust System (EES) Actuation       3.3-49       56         3.4       REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)       3.4-1         3.4.1       RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from       3.4-1         3.4.2       RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality       3.4-5         3.4.3       RCS Pressure and Temperature for Criticality       3.4-6         3.4.4       RCS Loops - MODE 1 and 2       3.4-8         3.4.5       RCS Loops - MODE 3       3.4-9         3.4.6       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-15         3.4.7       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-15         3.4.8       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-15         3.4.9       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-22         3.4.10       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-24         3.4.11       Pressurizer Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage       3.4-34         3.4.15       RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation       3.4-38         3.4.16       RCS Specific Activity       3.4-44         3.5.1       Accumulators       3.5-1         3.5.2       EC	3.3.7	Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS)		: ک
3.3.8       Emergency Exhaust System (EES) Actuation Instrumentation       3.3-54       56         3.4       REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)       3.4-1         3.4.1       RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from Nucleate Boiling (DNB) Limits       3.4-1         3.4.2       RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality       3.4-5         3.4.3       RCS Pressure and Temperature (P/T) Limits       3.4-6         3.4.4       RCS Loops - MODE 1 and 2       3.4-8         3.4.5       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-11         3.4.6       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-12         3.4.7       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-13         3.4.9       Pressurizer NODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-12         3.4.10       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-24         3.4.11       RCS Operational LEAKAGE       3.4-33         3.4.13       RCS Specific Activity.       3.4-34         3.4.14       RCS Specific Activity.       3.4-44         3.5.1       Accumulators       3.5-1         3.5.2       ECCS - Operating       3.5-1         3.5.4       RCS Locose Toperating       3.5-6 <td>0.011</td> <td>Actuation Instrumentation</td> <td>3.3-49</td> <td><b>5</b>1</td>	0.011	Actuation Instrumentation	3.3-49	<b>5</b> 1
3.4       REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)	3.3.8	Emergency Exhaust System (EES) Actuation	010 10	8
3.4         REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)         3.4-1           3.4.1         RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from Nucleate Boiling (DNB) Limits         3.4-1           3.4.2         RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality         3.4-5           3.4.3         RCS Pressure and Temperature (P/T) Limits         3.4-6           3.4.4         RCS Loops - MODE 1 and 2         3.4-8           3.4.5         RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled         3.4-11           3.4.6         RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled         3.4-12           3.4.7         RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled         3.4-13           3.4.9         Pressurizer         3.4-20           3.4.11         Pressurizer Safety Valves         3.4-22           3.4.10         Pressurizer Ower Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)         3.4-27           3.4.11         Pressurizer Ower Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)         3.4-27           3.4.11         Pressurizer Safety Valves         3.4-27           3.4.12         Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System         3.4-27           3.4.13         RCS Operational LEAKAGE         3.4-33           3.4.15         RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation         3.4-38           3.4.16         RCS Specific Activity         3.4-42	0.010	Instrumentation	3.3-54	ζ 56
3.4       REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)       3.4-1         3.4.1       RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from       3.4-1         3.4.2       RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality       3.4-5         3.4.3       RCS Pressure and Temperature (PT) Limits       3.4-6         3.4.4       RCS Loops - MODE S1 and 2       3.4-8         3.4.5       RCS Loops - MODE 1       3.4-9         3.4.6       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-15         3.4.7       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-16         3.4.9       Pressurizer       3.4-20         3.4.10       Pressurizer fower Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-22         3.4.12       Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System       3.4-23         3.4.13       RCS Operational LEAKAGE       3.4-33         3.4.14       RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage       3.4-34         3.4.15       RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation       3.4-34         3.4.16       RCS Specific Activity       3.4-44         3.5.1       Accumulators       3.5-6         3.5.2       ECCS - Operating       3.5-6         3.5.4       Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-Cur</td>				-Cur
3.4       REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS).       3.4-1         3.4.1       RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from       3.4-1         3.4.2       RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality.       3.4-5         3.4.3       RCS Pressure and Temperature (P/T) Limits       3.4-6         3.4.4       RCS Loops - MODE 1 and 2       3.4-8         3.4.5       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-15         3.4.6       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-16         3.4.7       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-16         3.4.8       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-16         3.4.9       Pressurizer       3.4-20         3.4.1       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-22         3.4.1       Pressurizer Cover Operated Relief Valves (PORVs).       3.4-22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Prower Operated Relief Valves (PORVs).       3.4-24         3.4.12       Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System       3.4-24         3.4.13       RCS Specific Activity.       3.4-32         3.4.14       RCS specific Activity.       3.4-44         3.4.15       RCS Loege Tecton Instrumentation       3.4-38         3.5.1       Accumulators       3.5-1         3.5.2       <				
3.4.1         RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from           3.4.2         RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality         3.4-1           3.4.2         RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality         3.4-5           3.4.3         RCS Pressure and Temperature (P(T) Limits         3.4-6           3.4.4         RCS Loops - MODE 1 and 2         3.4-8           3.4.5         RCS Loops - MODE 3         3.4-9           3.4.6         RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled         3.4-18           3.4.7         RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled         3.4-18           3.4.9         Pressurizer         3.4-20           3.4.10         Pressurizer Safety Valves         3.4-22           3.4.11         Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)         3.4-24           3.4.11         Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)         3.4-24           3.4.11         Pressurizer Safety Valves         3.4-32           3.4.12         Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System         3.4-24           3.4.13         RCS Operational LEAKAGE         3.4-34           3.4.14         RCS Eakage Detection Instrumentation         3.4-34           3.4.15         RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation         3.4-34           3.5.1         Accumula	3.4	REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)	3.4-1	
Nucleate Bolling (DNB) Limits3.4-13.4.2RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality3.4-53.4.3RCS Pressure and Temperature (P/T) Limits3.4-63.4.4RCS Loops - MODE 1 and 23.4-83.4.5RCS Loops - MODE 13.4-123.4.6RCS Loops - MODE 43.4-123.4.7RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Rilled3.4-153.4.8RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled3.4-163.4.9Pressurizer3.4-203.4.10Pressurizer Safety Valves3.4-223.4.11Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)3.4-243.4.12Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System3.4-273.4.13RCS Operational LEAKAGE3.4-323.4.14RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage3.4-343.4.15RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation3.4-383.4.16RCS Specific Activity3.4-443.5EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)3.5-13.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.6-13.6.1Containment Locks3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-163.6.4Containment Air Temperature3.6-163.6.7Sorav Additive Systems3.6-163.6.6Containment Air Temperature3.6-16	3.4.1	RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from		
3.4.2       RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality       3.4-5         3.4.3       RCS Pressure and Temperature (P/T) Limits       3.4-6         3.4.4       RCS Loops - MODE 5 1 and 2       3.4-8         3.4.5       RCS Loops - MODE 4       3.4-12         3.4.6       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-12         3.4.7       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-13         3.4.8       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-18         3.4.9       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-20         3.4.10       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-24         3.4.11       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-24         3.4.12       Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System       3.4-27         3.4.13       RCS Operational LEAKAGE       3.4-34         3.4.14       RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage       3.4-34         3.4.15       RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation       3.4-38         3.4.16       RCS Specific Activity       3.4-42         3.5.1       Accumulators       3.5-1         3.5.2       ECCS - Operating       3.5-3         3.5.4       Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)       3.5-8         3.5.5       Seal		Nucleate Boiling (DNB) Limits	3.4-1	
3.4.3       RCS Pressure and Temperature (P/T) Limits       3.4.6         3.4.4       RCS Loops - MODE 3       3.4.8         3.4.5       RCS Loops - MODE 4       3.4.12         3.4.6       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4.15         3.4.8       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4.15         3.4.9       Pressurizer       3.4.20         3.4.10       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4.22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4.21         3.4.11       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4.22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Solution Valves       3.4.22         3.4.13       RCS Operational LEAKAGE       3.4.34         3.4.14       RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage       3.4.34         3.4.15       RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation       3.4.34         3.4.16       RCS Specific Activity       3.4.42         3.5.1       Accumulators       3.5.1         3.5.2       ECCS - Operating       3.5.9         3.5.3       ECCS - Shutdown       3.5.9         3.5.4       Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)       3.5.1         3.6.1       Containment incoks       3.6-1         3.6.2 <td>3.4.2</td> <td>RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality</td> <td>3.4-5</td> <td></td>	3.4.2	RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality	3.4-5	
3.4.4       RCS Loops - MODES 1 and 2       3.4.8         3.4.5       RCS Loops - MODE 3       3.4-9         3.4.6       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-15         3.4.7       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled       3.4-15         3.4.8       RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled       3.4-12         3.4.9       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-20         3.4.11       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-22         3.4.12       Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System       3.4-24         3.4.12       Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System       3.4-34         3.4.14       RCS Operational LEAKAGE       3.4-34         3.4.15       RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation       3.4-34         3.4.16       RCS Specific Activity.       3.4-42         3.5.1       Accumulators       3.5-1         3.5.2       ECCS - Operating       3.5-1         3.5.4       Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)       3.5-8         3.5.5       Seal Injection Flow       3.6-1         3.6.1       Containment Air Locks       3.6-1         3.6.2       Containment Air Locks       3.6-7         3.6.3       Containment Pressure       3.6-14         3.6.4	3.4.3	RCS Pressure and Temperature (P/T) Limits	3.4-6	
34.5       RCS Loops - MODE 3	3.4.4	RCS Loops - MODES 1 and 2	3.4-8	
34.6       RCS Loops - MODE 4	3.4.5	RCS Loops - MODE 3	3.4-9	
3.4.7RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled3.4-153.4.8RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled3.4-183.4.9Pressurizer3.4-203.4.10Pressurizer Safety Valves3.4-223.4.11Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)3.4-223.4.12Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System3.4-273.4.13RCS Operational LEAKAGE3.4-343.4.14RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage3.4-343.4.15RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation3.4-383.4.16RCS Specific Activity3.4-423.4.17Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity3.4-443.5EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)3.5-13.5.1Accumulators3.5-33.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-63.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.6-13.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment Air Locks3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-153.6.5Containment Size and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.6Containment Size and Cooling Systems3.6-16	3.4.6	RCS Loops - MODE 4	3.4-12	
3.4.8RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled3.4-183.4.9Pressurizer3.4-203.4.10Pressurizer Safety Valves3.4-203.4.11Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)3.4-243.4.12Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System3.4-273.4.13RCS Operational LEAKAGE3.4-323.4.14RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage3.4-343.4.15RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation3.4-383.4.16RCS Specific Activity3.4-423.4.17Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity3.4-443.5EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)3.5-13.5.1Accumulators3.5-33.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-33.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Solation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Size and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Soray Additive System3.6-163.6.7Soray Additive System3.6-16	3.4.7	RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled	3.4-15	
3.4.9       Pressurizer       3.4-20         3.4.10       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-20         3.4.11       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-24         3.4.12       Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System       3.4-27         3.4.13       RCS Operational LEAKAGE       3.4-32         3.4.14       RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage       3.4-34         3.4.15       RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation       3.4-34         3.4.16       RCS Specific Activity       3.4-44         3.5       EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)       3.5-1         3.5.1       Accumulators       3.5-1         3.5.2       ECCS - Operating       3.5-6         3.5.3       ECCS - Shutdown       3.5-6         3.5.4       Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)       3.5-8         3.5.5       Seal Injection Flow       3.6-1         3.6       CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS       3.6-1         3.6.1       Containment Air Locks       3.6-7         3.6.4       Containment Pressure       3.6-14         3.6.5       Containment Pressure       3.6-16         3.6.6	3.4.8	RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled	3.4-18	
3.4.10       Pressurizer Safety Valves       3.4-22         3.4.11       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)       3.4-24         3.4.12       Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System       3.4-27         3.4.13       RCS Operational LEAKAGE       3.4-32         3.4.14       RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage       3.4-34         3.4.15       RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation       3.4-38         3.4.16       RCS Specific Activity       3.4-42         3.4.17       Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity       3.4-44         3.5       EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)       3.5-1         3.5.1       Accumulators       3.5-3         3.5.2       ECCS - Operating       3.5-6         3.5.3       ECCS - Shutdown       3.5-7         3.5.4       Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)       3.5-8         3.5.5       Seal Injection Flow       3.6-1         3.6.1       Containment Air Locks       3.6-1         3.6.2       Containment Mir Locks       3.6-7         3.6.3       Containment Pressure       3.6-14         3.6.4       Containment Pressure       3.6-16         3.6.7       Softail Containment Spray and Cooling Systems       3.6-16	3.4.9	Pressurizer	3.4-20	
3.4.11       Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)	3.4.10	Pressurizer Safety Valves	3.4-22	
3.4.12Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System3.4-273.4.13RCS Operational LEAKAGE3.4-323.4.14RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage3.4-343.4.15RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation3.4-383.4.16RCS Specific Activity3.4-423.4.17Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity3.4-443.5EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)3.5-13.5.1Accumulators3.5-33.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-33.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment Air Locks3.6-13.6.2Containment Nerve3.6-13.6.3Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-153.6.7Soray Additive System3.6-163.6.7Soray Additive System3.6-16	3.4.11	Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)	3.4-24	
3.4.13RCS Operational LEAKAGE3.4-323.4.14RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage3.4-343.4.15RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation3.4-383.4.16RCS Specific Activity3.4-423.4.17Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity3.4-443.5EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)3.5-13.5.1Accumulators3.5-33.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-33.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-6-3.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Air Temperature3.6-163.6.7Soray Additive Systems3.6-163.6.7Soray Additive System3.6-16	3.4.12	Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System	3.4-27	
3.4.14RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage3.4-343.4.15RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation3.4-383.4.16RCS Specific Activity3.4-423.4.17Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity3.4-443.5EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)3.5-13.5.1Accumulators3.5-33.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-33.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.6-13.6.1Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-153.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-163.6.6Containment Air Temperature3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-16	3.4.13	RCS Operational LEAKAGE	3.4-32	
3.4.15RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation3.4-383.4.16RCS Specific Activity.3.4-423.4.17Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity.3.4-443.5EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)3.5-13.5.1Accumulators3.5-33.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-33.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-153.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-163.6.6Containment Air Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Soray Additive System3.6-20	3.4.14	RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage	3.4-34	
3.4.16RCS Specific Activity	3.4.15	RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation	3.4-38	
3.4.17Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity	3.4.16	RCS Specific Activity	3.4-42	
3.5EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)3.5-13.5.1Accumulators3.5-13.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-33.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Syray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.4.17	Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity	. 3.4-44	
3.5EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)3.5-13.5.1Accumulators3.5-13.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-33.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20				
3.5.1Accumulators3.5-13.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-33.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.5	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)	3.5-1	
3.5.2ECCS - Operating3.5-343.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.5.1	Accumulators	3.5-1	m
3.5.3ECCS - Shutdown3.5-63.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-8-3.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.5.2	ECCS - Operating	3.5-3	<u></u> {4 ]
3.5.4Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)3.5-83.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-103.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.5.3	ECCS - Shutdown	3.5-6	ζ7 <u>1</u>
3.5.5Seal Injection Flow3.5-10113.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.5.4	Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)	3.5-8	_ 9 J
3.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.5.5	Seal Injection Flow	3.5-10	_ {11 }
3.6CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS3.6-13.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20				-tuí
3.6.1Containment3.6-13.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.6	CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS	3.6-1	
3.6.2Containment Air Locks3.6-23.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.6.1	Containment	3.6-1	
3.6.3Containment Isolation Valves3.6-73.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.6.2	Containment Air Locks	3.6-2	
3.6.4Containment Pressure3.6-143.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.6.3	Containment Isolation Valves	3.6-7	
3.6.5Containment Air Temperature3.6-153.6.6Containment Spray and Cooling Systems3.6-163.6.7Spray Additive System3.6-20	3.6.4	Containment Pressure	3.6-14	
3.6.6       Containment Spray and Cooling Systems       3.6-16         3.6.7       Spray Additive System       3.6-20	3.6.5	Containment Air Temperature	3.6-15	
3.6.7 Spray Additive System	3.6.6	Containment Spray and Cooling Systems	3.6-16	
	3.6.7	Sprav Additive System	. 3.6-20	

## 1.1 Definitions (continued)

SLAVE RELAY TEST	A SLAVE RELAY TEST shall consist of energizing all slave relays in the channel required for channel OPERABILITY and verifying the OPERABILITY of each required slave relay. The SLAVE RELAY TEST shall include, a continuity check of associated required testable actuation devices. The SLAVE RELAY TEST may be performed by means of any series of sequential, overlapping, or total steps.
STAGGERED TEST BASIS	A STAGGERED TEST BASIS shall consist of the testing of one of the systems, subsystems, channels, or other designated components during the interval specified by the Surveillance Frequency, so that all systems, subsystems, channels, or other designated components are tested during <i>n</i> Surveillance Frequency intervals, where <i>n</i> is the total number of systems, subsystems, channels, or other designated components in the associated function.
THERMAL POWER	THERMAL POWER shall be the total reactor core heat transfer rate to the reactor coolant.
TRIP ACTUATING DEVICE OPERATIONAL TEST (TADOT)	A TADOT shall consist of operating the trip actuating device and verifying the OPERABILITY of all devices in the channel required for trip actuating device OPERABILITY. The TADOT shall include adjustment, as necessary, of the trip actuating device so that it actuates at the required setpoint within the necessary accuracy. The TADOT may be performed by means of any series of sequential, overlapping, or total channel steps.

## 3.1 REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

## 3.1.1 SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM)

LCO 3.1.1 SDM shall be within the limit provided in the COLR.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	SDM not within limit.	A.1	Initiate boration to restore SDM to within limit.	15 minutes

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.1.1	Verify SDM to be within limit.	24 hours
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.2.1	NOTE The predicted reactivity values may be adjusted (normalized) to correspond to the measured core reactivity prior to exceeding a fuel burnup of 60 effective full power days (EFPD) after each fuel loading.	
	Verify measured core reactivity is within $\pm$ 1% $\Delta k/k$ of predicted values.	Once prior to entering MODE 1 after each refueling
		AND
		NOTE Only required after 60 EFPD 
		<del>31 EFPD</del> thereafter
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.4.1	Verify individual rod positions within alignment limit.	12 hours In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.1.4.2	Verify rod freedom of movement (trippability) by moving each rod not fully inserted in the core $\geq$ 10 steps in either direction.	92 days
SR 3.1.4.3	Verify rod drop time of each rod, from the fully withdrawn position, is $\leq 2.7$ seconds from the beginning of decay of stationary gripper coil voltage to dashpot entry, with: a. $T_{avg} \geq 500^{\circ}$ F; and b. All reactor coolant pumps operating.	Prior to reactor criticality after each removal of the reactor head

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.5.1	Verify each shutdown bank is within the limits specified in the COLR.	12 hours
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.6.2	Verify each control bank insertion is within the limits specified in the COLR.	1 <del>2 hours</del>
SR 3.1.6.3	Verify sequence and overlap limits specified in the COLR are met for control banks not fully withdrawn from the core.	<del>12 hours</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
C.	RCS lowest operating loop average temperature not within limit.	C.1	Restore RCS lowest operating loop average temperature to within limit.	15 minutes
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition C not met.	D.1	Be in MODE 3.	15 minutes

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.8.1	Perform a CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST on power range and intermediate range channels per SR 3.3.1.8 and Table 3.3.1-1.	Prior to initiation of PHYSICS TESTS
SR 3.1.8.2	Verify the RCS lowest operating loop average temperature is $\ge$ 541°F.	<del>30 minutes</del>
SR 3.1.8.3	Verify THERMAL POWER is $\leq$ 5% RTP.	1-hour
SR 3.1.8.4	Verify SDM is within limits provided in the COLR.	24 hours
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SURVEILLANC	E REQUIREMENTS	
-------------	--	---
	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.9.1	Verify RCS boron concentration is greater than the ARO critical boron concentration.	-24 hours
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

-----NOTE-----NOTE------

During power escalation following shutdown, THERMAL POWER may be increased until an equilibrium power level has been achieved, at which a power distribution measurement is obtained.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.1.1	Verify $F_Q^C(Z)$ is within limit.	Once after each refueling prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP
		AND
		Once within 24 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions after exceeding, by $\geq$ 10% RTP, the THERMAL POWER at which $F_Q^C(Z)$ was last verified <u>AND</u>
		<del>31 EFPD</del>
		thereafter
		(continued
		In accordance with Surveillance Frequ Control Program

# No changes this page. For information only.

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.2.1.2	NOTE If $F_Q^C(Z)$ measurements indicate maximum over z $\left[ \frac{F_Q^C(Z)}{K(Z)} \right]$	
	has increased since the previous evaluation of $F_Q^C(Z)$ :	
	a. Increase $F_Q^W(Z)$ by the appropriate factor specified in the COLR and reverify $F_Q^W(Z)$ is within limits; or	
	b. Repeat SR 3.2.1.2 once per 7 EFPD until two successive power distribution measurements indicate	
	maximum over z $\begin{bmatrix} F_Q^C(Z) \\ K(Z) \end{bmatrix}$	
	has not increased.	
	Verify $F_Q^W(Z)$ is within limit.	Once after each refueling prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP
		AND (continued)

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.1.2 (continued)	Once within 24 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions after exceeding, by $\geq$ 10% RTP, the THERMAL POWER at which $F_Q^W(Z)$ was last verified <u>AND</u> 31 EFPD thereafter
	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.2.1	Verify $F^N_{\Delta H}$ is within limits specified in the COLR.	Once after each refueling prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP <u>AND</u> <del>31 EFPD thereafter</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 3.2 POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

- 3.2.3 AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE (AFD) (Relaxed Axial Offset Control (RAOC) Methodology)
- LCO 3.2.3 The AFD in % flux difference units shall be maintained within the limits specified in the COLR.

### APPLICABILITY: MODE 1 with THERMAL POWER $\ge$ 50% RTP.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	AFD not within limits.	A.1	Reduce THERMAL POWER to < 50% RTP.	30 minutes

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.3.1	Verify AFD within limits for each OPERABLE excore channel.	<del>-7 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.4.1	<ul> <li>NOTESNOTES</li> <li>1. With input from one Power Range Neutron Flux channel inoperable and THERMAL POWER ≤ 75% RTP, the remaining three power range channels can be used for calculating QPTR.</li> <li>2. SR 3.2.4.2 may be performed in lieu of this Surveillance.</li> <li>Verify QPTR is within limit by calculation.</li> </ul>	7 days
SR 3.2.4.2	NOTENOTE Not required to be performed until 12 hours after input from one Power Range Neutron Flux channel is inoperable with THERMAL POWER > 75% RTP. 	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
X.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition W not met.	X.1.1 <u>ANE</u>	Initiate action to fully insert all rods.	Immediately
	<u>OR</u> Two or more channels inoperable.	X.1.2	Initiate action to place the Rod Control System in a condition incapable of rod withdrawal.	Immediately
		<u>OR</u>		
		X.2	Initiate action to borate the RCS to greater than all rods out (ARO) critical boron concentration.	Immediately

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

-----NOTE-----NOTE Refer to Table 3.3.1-1 to determine which SRs apply for each RTS Function.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours
SR 3.3.1.2	NOTESNOTESNOTES Not required to be performed until 24 hours after THERMAL POWER is $\geq$ 15% RTP.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Compare results of calorimetric heat balance calculation to power range channel output. Adjust power range channel output if calorimetric heat balance calculation results exceed power range channel output by more than + 2% RTP.	-24 hours
	· ·	(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.3	NOTESNOTES Not required to be performed until 24 hours after THERMAL POWER is $\geq$ 50% RTP.	
	Compare results of the core power distribution measurements to Nuclear Instrumentation System (NIS) AFD. Adjust NIS channel if absolute difference is $\geq$ 3%.	31 effective full power days (EFPD)
SR 3.3.1.4	NOTENOTE This Surveillance must be performed on the reactor trip bypass breaker for the local manual shunt trip only prior to placing the bypass breaker in service.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Perform TADOT.	62 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS
SR 3.3.1.5	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS
SR 3.3.1.6	Not required to be performed until 72 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions with THERMAL POWER $\geq$ 75 % RTP.	
	Calibrate excore channels to agree with core power distribution measurements.	92 EFPD
SR 3.3.1.7	<ul> <li>Not required to be performed for source range instrumentation prior to entering MODE 3 from MODE 2 until 4 hours after entry into MODE 3.</li> </ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	<ol> <li>Source range instrumentation shall include verification that interlocks P-6 and P-10 are in their required state for existing unit conditions.</li> </ol>	
	Perform COT.	184 days



	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.9	NOTENOTEVerification of setpoint is not required.	
	Perform TADOT.	-92 days
SR 3.3.1.10	NOTENOTE This Surveillance shall include verification that the time constants are adjusted to the prescribed values.	
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months
SR 3.3.1.11	<ul> <li>NOTESNOTES</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.12	Not Used.	
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.13	Perform COT.	18 months
SR 3.3.1.14	NOTENOTENOTENOTE	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Perform TADOT.	18 months
SR 3.3.1.15	NOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	Prior to exceeding the P-9 interlock whenever the unit has been in MODE 3, if not performed in the previous 31 days
SR 3.3.1.16	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Verify RTS RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	18 months on al STAGGERED TEST BASIS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
0.	One or more channels inoperable.	0.1	Declare associated auxiliary feedwater pump(s) inoperable.	Immediately
P.	One or both train(s) inoperable.	P.1	Restore train(s) to OPERABLE status.	48 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		P.2.1	Be in MODE 3.	54 hours
		<u>ANI</u>	<u>D</u>	
		P.2.2	Be in MODE 4.	60 hours

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.2.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours-
SR 3.3.2.2	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.2.3	NOTENOTE The continuity check may be excluded.	
	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS
SR 3.3.2.4	Perform MASTER RELAY TEST. In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS
SR 3.3.2.5	Perform COT.	184 days
SR 3.3.2.6	Perform SLAVE RELAY TEST.	18 months
SR 3.3.2.7	NOTENOTEVerification of relay setpoints not required.	
	Perform TADOT.	48 months
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.2.8	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	18 months
SR 3.3.2.9	NOTENOTE This Surveillance shall include verification that the time constants are adjusted to the prescribed values.	
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months
SR 3.3.2.10	Not required to be performed for the turbine driven AFW pump until 24 hours after SG pressure is $\geq$ 900 psig.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Verify ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	-18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS
SR 3.3.2.11	NOTENOTEVerification of setpoint not required.	
	Perform TADOT.	18 months
SR 3.3.2.12	Perform COT.	-31 days

3.3-30

#### 

# SURVEILLANCE FREQUENCY

-		
SR 3.3.3.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK for each required instrumentation channel that is normally energized.	<del>31 days</del>
SR 3.3.3.2	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.4.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK for each required instrumentation channel that is normally energized.	-31 days
SR 3.3.4.2	Verify each required auxiliary shutdown panel control circuit and transfer switch is capable of performing the intended function.	<del>18 months,</del>
SR 3.3.4.3	<ul> <li>NOTESNOTES</li> <li>Neutron detectors are excluded from CHANNEL CALIBRATION.</li> <li>Reactor Trip Breakers and RCP breakers are excluded from CHANNEL CALIBRATION.</li> <li>Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION for each required instrumentation channel.</li> </ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.3.5.1	Not Used.	
SR 3.3.5.2	NOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	-31 days
SR 3.3.5.3	<ul> <li>Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION with nominal Trip Setpoint and Allowable Value as follows:</li> <li>a. Loss of voltage Allowable Value ≥ 82.5V, 120V bus with a time delay of 1.0 + 0.2, -0.5 sec.</li> <li>Loss of voltage nominal Trip Setpoint 83V, 120V bus with a time delay of 1.0 sec.</li> <li>b. Degraded voltage Allowable Value ≥ 105.9V, 120V bus with a time delay of 119 ± 11.6 sec.</li> <li>Degraded voltage nominal Trip Setpoint 106.9V, 120V bus with a time delay of 119 ± 11.6 sec.</li> </ul>	18 months In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.5.4	Verify LOP DG Start ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
B.	NOTE Only applicable during CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.	В.1 <u>OR</u>	Place and maintain containment purge supply and exhaust valves in closed position.	Immediately
	One or more Functions with one or more channels or trains inoperable.	В.2	Enter applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.9.4, "Containment Penetrations," for containment purge supply and exhaust valves made inoperable by isolation instrumentation.	Immediately

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

-----NOTE-----NOTE Refer to Table 3.3.6-1 to determine which SRs apply for each Containment Purge Isolation Function.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.6.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours
SR 3.3.6.2	NOTE The continuity check may be excluded.  Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program <del>31 days on a</del> <del>STAGGERED</del>
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.6.3	Perform COT.	<del>92 days</del>
SR 3.3.6.4	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Perform TADOT.	18 months
SR 3.3.6.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months
SR 3.3.6.6	Verify Containment Purge Isolation ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A	D .1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
	or C not met in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4.	D .2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A, B	E.1	Suspend CORE ALTERATIONS.	Immediately
	or C not met during movement of irradiated fuel	<u>AND</u>		
	assemblies or during CORE ALTERATIONS.	E .2	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies.	Immediately

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.7.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours
SR 3.3.7.2	Perform COT.	<del>-92 days</del>
		(continued) In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.7.3	NOTENOTE The continuity check may be excluded.	
	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS
SR 3.3.7.4	NOTENOTEVerification of setpoint is not required.	
	Perform TADOT.	18 months
SR 3.3.7.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	<del>18 months</del>
SR 3.3.7.6	NOTENOTE Radiation monitor detectors are excluded from response time testing.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Verify Control Room Ventilation Isolation ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A, B or C not met during movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.	D.1	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.	Immediately

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

-----NOTE------NOTE-------NOTE Refer to Table 3.3.8-1 to determine which SRs apply for each EES Actuation Function.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.8.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours
SR 3.3.8.2	Perform COT.	<del>-92 days</del>
SR 3.3.8.3	NOTENOTENOTEThe continuity check may be excluded.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	-31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.8.4	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	18 months
SR 3.3.8.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
В.	(continued)	В.2	NOTE THERMAL POWER does not have to be reduced to comply with this Required Action.  Perform SR 3.4.1.3.	Prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 50% RTP AND Prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP AND 24 hours after THERMAL POWER reaching ≥ 95% RTP
C.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A or B not met.	C.1	Be in MODE 2.	6 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.1.1	Verify pressurizer pressure is greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	12 hours
	In accordance with Surveillance Freque Control Program	the (continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.1.2	Verify RCS average temperature is less than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	- <del>12 hours</del>
SR 3.4.1.3	Verify RCS total flow rate is $\geq$ 361,200 gpm and greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	- <del>12 hours</del>
SR 3.4.1.4	Not required to be performed until 7 days after $\ge$ 95% RTP. Verify by precision heat balance that RCS total flow rate is $\ge$ 361,200 gpm and greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program 18 months

# 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

# 3.4.2 RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality

#### LCO 3.4.2 Each RCS operating loop average temperature (T<sub>avg</sub>) shall be $\geq$ 551°F.

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1, MODE 2 with  $k_{eff} \ge 1.0$ .

#### **ACTIONS**

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	T <sub>avg</sub> in one or more operating RCS loops not within limit.	A.1	Be in MODE 2 with k <sub>eff</sub> < 1.0.	30 minutes

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.2.1	Verify RCS $T_{avg}$ in each operating loop $\ge 551^{\circ}F$ .	<del>12 hours</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
C.	NOTE Required Action C.2 shall be completed whenever this Condition is entered.	C.1 <u>AND</u>	Initiate action to restore parameter(s) to within limits.	Immediately
	Requirements of LCO not met any time in other than MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4.	C.2	Determine RCS is acceptable for continued operation.	Prior to entering MODE 4

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.3.1	NOTE Only required to be performed during RCS heatup and cooldown operations and RCS inservice leak and hydrostatic testing.  Verify RCS pressure, RCS temperature, and RCS heatup and cooldown rates are within the limits specified in the PTLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

3.4.4 RCS Loops - MODES 1 and 2

LCO 3.4.4 Four RCS loops shall be OPERABLE and in operation.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1 and 2.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	Requirements of LCO not met.	A.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQU	ENCY
SR 3.4.4.1 Verify each RCS loop is in operation.		12 hours	
		In accordar Surveillanc Control Pro	ice with the e Frequency gram

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.5.1	Verify required RCS loops are in operation.	<del>12 hours</del>
SR 3.4.5.2	Verify steam generator secondary side narrow range water levels are $\ge 6\%$ for required RCS loops.	- <del>12 hours</del>
SR 3.4.5.3	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required pump that is not in operation.	- <del>7 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	(continued)	A.2	Only required if one RHR loop is OPERABLE. Be in MODE 5.	24 hours
В.	Required loops inoperable. <u>OR</u> No RCS or RHR loop in operation.	B.1	Suspend operations that would cause introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet SDM of LCO 3.1.1.	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		B.2	Initiate action to restore one loop to OPERABLE status and operation.	Immediately

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.6.1	Verify one RHR or RCS loop is in operation.	12 hours
SR 3.4.6.2	Verify SG secondary side narrow range water levels are $\ge$ 6% for required RCS loops.	12 hours
SR 3.4.6.3	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required pump that is not in operation.	<del>7 days</del>
	In accordance Surveillance F Control Progra	with the requency

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)				
	SURVEILLANCE			
SR 3.4.6.4	Not required to be performed until 12 hours after entering MODE 4. Verify required RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		

ACTIONS	
---------	--

	CONDITION	I	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	One RHR loop inoperable. <u>AND</u>	A.1	Initiate action to restore a second RHR loop to OPERABLE status.	Immediately
	Required SGs secondary	<u>OR</u>		
	limits.	A.2	Initiate action to restore required SG secondary side water levels to within limits.	Immediately
В.	Required RHR loops inoperable. <u>OR</u> No RHR loop in operation.	B.1	Suspend operations that would cause introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet SDM of LCO 3.1.1.	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		B.2	Initiate action to restore one RHR loop to OPERABLE status and operation.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.7.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation.	1 <del>2 hours</del>
	In accordar Surveillanc Control Pro	(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.7.2	Verify SG secondary side wide range water level is $\geq$ 66% in required SGs.	12 hours
SR 3.4.7.3	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	<del>7 days</del>
SR 3.4.7.4	Verify required RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	<del>31 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
В.	Required RHR loops inoperable. <u>OR</u> No RHR loop in operation	B.1	Suspend operations that would cause introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet the SDM of LCO 3.1.1.	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		B.2	Initiate action to restore one RHR loop to OPERABLE status and operation.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.8.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation.	12 hours
SR 3.4.8.2	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	<del>7 dayş</del>
SR 3.4.8.3	Verify RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	<del>31 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
В.	One required group of pressurizer heaters inoperable.	B.1	Restore required group of pressurizer heaters to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
C.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition B not met.	C.1 <u>AND</u> C.2	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		0.2		

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY		
SR 3.4.9.1	Verify pressurizer water level is $\leq$ 92%.	12 hours		
SR 3.4.9.2	Verify capacity of each required group of pressurizer heaters is $\ge 150$ kW.	18 months		
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		
CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
-----------	---	--	---	-----------------
F.	More than one block valve inoperable.	eNOTE Required Actions do not apply when block valve is inoperable solely as a result of complying with Required Actions B.2 or E.2.		
		F.1	Place associated PORVs in manual control.	1 hour
		AND		
		F.2	Restore one block valve to OPERABLE status.	2 hours
G.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition E not	G.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
	met.	G.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.4.11.1	SR 3.4.11.1NOTE	
SR 3.4.11.2	Perform a complete cycle of each PORV.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.12.1	Verify a maximum of zero safety injection pumps are capable of injecting into the RCS.	12 hours
SR 3.4.12.2	Verify a maximum of one ECCS centrifugal charging pump and the normal charging pump capable of injecting into the RCS.	<del>12 hours.</del>
SR 3.4.12.3	Verify each accumulator is isolated when accumulator pressure is greater than or equal to the maximum RCS pressure for the existing RCS cold leg temperature allowed by the P/T limit curves provided in the PTLR.	12 hours In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.12.4	Verify RHR suction isolation valves are open for each required RHR suction relief valve.	-72 hours
SR 3.4.12.5	Verify required RCS vent ≥ 2.0 square inches open.	12 hours for vent         pathway(s) not         locked, sealed or         otherwise secured         in the open         position <u>AND</u> 31 days for vent         valve(s) locked,         sealed or         otherwise secured         in the open         position
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.12.6	Verify PORV block valve is open for each required PORV.	- <del>72 hours</del>
SR 3.4.12.7	Not Used.	
SR 3.4.12.8	NOTE Not required to be performed until 12 hours after decreasing any RCS cold leg temperature to ≤ 368°F. 	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.12.9	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION for each required PORV actuation channel.	18 months

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.4.13.1	<ul> <li>Not required to be performed until 12 hours after establishment of steady state operation.</li> <li>Not applicable to primary to secondary LEAKAGE.</li> </ul>	
	Verify RCS operational LEAKAGE is within limits by performance of RCS water inventory balance.	<del>72 hours</del>
SR 3.4.13.2	Not required to be performed until 12 hours after establishment of steady state operation. Verify primary to secondary LEAKAGE is ≤ 150 gallons per day through any one SG.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program 72 hours

SURVEILLANCE FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.14.1      NOTES

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.14.2	Verify RHR suction isolation valve interlock prevents the valves from being opened with a simulated or actual RCS pressure signal $\geq$ 425 psig except when the valves are open to satisfy LCO 3.4.12.	18 months In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.15.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor.	<del>12 hours</del>
SR 3.4.15.2	Perform COT of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor.	<del>-92 dayş</del>
SR 3.4.15.3	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment sump level and flow monitoring system.	18 months In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.15.4	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor.	18 months
SR 3.4.15.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment cooler condensate monitoring system.	18 months

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.16.1	$eq:spectral_optimal_spectral_op$	7-days
SR 3.4.16.2	NOTE Only required to be performed in MODE 1. 	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program 14 days <u>AND</u> Between 2 and 6 hours after a THERMAL POWER change of $\geq$ 15% RTP within a 1 hour period

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.1.1	Verify each accumulator isolation valve is fully open.	12 hours
SR 3.5.1.2	Verify borated water volume in each accumulator is $\geq$ 6122 gallons and $\leq$ 6594 gallons.	12 hours In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.1.3	Verify nitrogen cover pressure in each accumulator is $\geq$ 585 psig and $\leq$ 665 psig.	<del>12 hours</del>
SR 3.5.1.4	Verify boron concentration in each accumulator is ≥ 2300 ppm and ≤ 2500 ppm. In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	$\frac{AND}{Only required to}$ $\frac{AND}{Only requir$
SR 3.5.1.5	Verify power is removed from each accumulator isolation valve operator when RCS pressure is > 1000 psig.	<del>∛\$1 days −</del>

SURVEILLANCE			FREQUENCY	
SR 3.5.2.1 Verify the following valves are in the listed position with power to the valve operator removed.			12 hours	
	Lumber 3N HV-8813 3M HV-8802A 3M HV-8802B 3M HV-8835 3J HV-8840 3J HV-8809A 3J HV-8809B	Position Open Closed Closed Open Closed Open Open	Eunction Safety Injection to RWST Isolation Valve SI Hot Legs 2 & 3 Isolation Valve SI Hot Legs 1 & 4 Isolation Valve Safety Injection Cold Leg Isolation Valve RHR/SI Hot Leg Recirc Isolation Valve RHR to Accum Inject Loops 1 & 2 Isolation Valve RHR to Accum Inject Loops 3 & 4 Isolation Valve	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.2	2.2 Not re opene  Verify autom sealed correc	equired to be mo ed under admin each ECCS m atic valve in the d, or otherwise t position.	OTE et for system vent flow paths istrative control.  anual, power operated, and e flow path, that is not locked, secured in position, is in the	- <del>31 days</del>
SR 3.5.2	3 Verify accum	ECCS location nulation are suf	is susceptible to gas ficiently filled with water.	<del>92 days</del>
SR 3.5.2	.4 Verify flow p develo	each ECCS pu oint is greater t oped head.	ump's developed head at the test han or equal to the required	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
				(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.2.5	Verify each ECCS automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	<del>18 months</del>
SR 3.5.2.6	Verify each ECCS pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	<del>18 months</del>
SR 3.5.2.7	Verify, for each ECCS throttle valve listed below, each mechanical position stop is in the correct position. <u>Valve Number</u> EM-V0095 EM-V0107 EM-V0089 EM-V0096 EM-V0108 EM-V0090 EM-V0097 EM-V0109 EM-V0091 EM-V0098 EM-V0110 EM-V0092	18 months In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.2.8	Verify, by visual inspection, each ECCS train containment sump suction inlet is not restricted by debris and the suction inlet strainers show no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion.	18 months

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.4.1NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Verify RWST borated water temperature is $\ge 37^{\circ}F$ and $\le 100^{\circ}F$ .	-24 hours
SR 3.5.4.2	Verify RWST borated water volume is ≥ 394,000 gallons.	<del>7 days</del>
SR 3.5.4.3	Verify RWST boron concentration is $\ge$ 2400 ppm and $\le$ 2500 ppm.	- <del>7 days</del>

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.5.1NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.6.2.1	<ol> <li>An inoperable air lock door does not invalidate the previous successful performance of the overall air lock leakage test.</li> <li>Results shall be evaluated against acceptance criteria applicable to SR 3.6.1.1.</li> </ol>	
	Perform required air lock leakage rate testing in accordance with the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program.	In accordance with the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program
SR 3.6.2.2	Verify only one door in the air lock can be opened at a time.	-24 months
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	(continued)	D.3	Perform SR 3.6.3.6 or SR 3.6.3.7 for the resilient seal purge valves closed to comply with Required Action D.1.	Once per 92 days
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	E.1 <u>AND</u> E.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 36 hours

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.6.3.1	Verify each containment shutdown purge valve is sealed closed except for one purge valve in a penetration flow path while in Condition D of this LCO.	Once per 31 days for isolation devices outside containment <u>AND</u> Prior to entering MODE 4 from MODE 5 if not performed within the previous 92 days for isolation devices inside containment

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.3.2	Verify each containment mini-purge valve is closed, except when the containment mini-purge valves are open for pressure control, ALARA or air quality considerations for personnel entry, or for Surveillances that require the valves to be open.	<del>31 days</del>
SR 3.6.3.3	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Verify each containment isolation manual valve and blind flange that is located outside containment and not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured and required to be closed during accident conditions is closed, except for containment isolation valves that are open under administrative controls.	<del>31 days</del>
SR 3.6.3.4	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Verify each containment isolation manual valve and blind flange that is located inside containment and not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured and required to be closed during accident conditions is closed, except for containment isolation valves that are open under administrative controls.	Prior to entering MODE 4 from MODE 5 if not performed within the previous 92 days
SR 3.6.3.5	Verify the isolation time of each automatic power operated containment isolation valve is within limits.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
		(continued)

_	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.6.3.6	NOTENOTE Only required to be performed when containment shutdown purge valve blind flanges are installed.	
	Perform leakage rate testing for containment shutdown purge valves with resilient seals and associated blind flanges.	24 months AND Following each reinstallation of the blind flange
SR 3.6.3.7	<ul> <li>NOTENOTEOnly required to be performed for the containment shutdown purge valves when associated blind flanges are removed.</li> <li>Perform leakage rate testing for containment mini-purge and shutdown purge valves with resilient seals.</li> </ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program 184 days <u>AND</u> Within 92 days after opening the valve
SR 3.6.3.8	Verify each automatic containment isolation valve that is not locked, sealed or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months

### 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

### 3.6.4 Containment Pressure

LCO 3.6.4 Containment pressure shall be  $\geq$  -0.3 psig and  $\leq$  + 1.5 psig.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	Containment pressure not within limits.	A.1	Restore containment pressure to within limits.	1 hour
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.4.1	Verify containment pressure is within limits.	12 hours
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

### 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

### 3.6.5 Containment Air Temperature

LCO 3.6.5 Containment average air temperature shall be  $\leq 120^{\circ}$ F.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	Containment average air temperature not within limit.	A.1	Restore containment average air temperature to within limit.	8 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u> B.2	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		D.2		So nouis

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.5.1	Verify containment average air temperature is within limit.	-24 hours
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
D.	Two containment cooling trains inoperable.	D.1	Restore one containment cooling train to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition C or D not met.	E.1 <u>AND</u> E.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 36 hours
F.	Two containment spray trains inoperable. <u>OR</u> Any combination of three or more trains inoperable.	F.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.6.1	NOTENOTE Not required to be met for system vent flow paths opened under administrative control.	
	Verify each containment spray manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.	31 day In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)				
	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY		
SR 3.6.6.2	Operate each containment cooling train fan unit for $\geq$ 15 minutes.	31 days		
SR 3.6.6.3	Not Used.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		
SR 3.6.6.4	Verify each containment spray pump's developed head at the flow test point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program		
SR 3.6.6.5	Verify each automatic containment spray valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	<del>18 months</del>		
SR 3.6.6.6	Verify each containment spray pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		
SR 3.6.6.7	Verify each containment cooling train starts automatically and minimum cooling water flow rate is established on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months		
SR 3.6.6.8	Verify each spray nozzle is unobstructed.	Following maintenance which could result in nozzle blockage		

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.6.9	Verify containment spray locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	<del>-92 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

3.6.7 Spray Additive System

LCO 3.6.7 The Spray Additive System shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### **ACTIONS**

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	Spray Additive System inoperable.	A.1	Restore Spray Additive System to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u> B.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 84 hours

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.7.1	Verify each spray additive manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.	31 days In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.7.2	Verify spray additive tank solution volume is $\ge$ 4340 gal and $\le$ 4540 gal.	<del>184 days</del>
SR 3.6.7.3	Verify spray additive tank solution concentration is $\ge 28\%$ and $\le 31\%$ by weight.	184 days In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.6.7.4	Verify each spray additive automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.6.7.5	Verify spray additive flow rate from each solution's flow path.	<del>5 years</del>

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME	
I.	NOTE Separate Condition entry is allowed for each MSIV.	I.1 <u>AND</u>	Close MSIV.	8 hours	
	One or more MSIV inoperable in MODE 2 or 3.	l.2	Verify MSIV is closed.	Once per 7 days	
J.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition H or I	J.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours	
	not met.	J.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours	

SR 3.7.2.1      NOTE		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.2.2      NOTE	SR 3.7.2.1	Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2. Verify the isolation time of each MSIV is within limits.	In accordance with the Inservice
signal	SR 3.7.2.2	NOTE Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2. 	I esting Program
signal.		signai.	



	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.3.2	Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2.	
	Verify each actuator train actuates the MFIV to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	<del>18 months</del>
SR 3.7.3.3	Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2. Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2. Verify each MFRV and MFRV bypass valve actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program 18 months

	CONDITION	I	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
D.	With one or more of the ARVs inoperable because of excessive seat leakage.	D.1 <u>AND</u>	Initiate action to close the associated block valve(s).	Immediately
		D.2	Restore ARV(s) to OPERABLE staus.	30 days
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	E.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		E.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.4.1	Verify one complete cycle of each ARV.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR 3.7.4.2	Verify one complete cycle of each ARV block valve.	18 months
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
C.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A or B not met. <u>OR</u>	C.1 <u>AND</u> C.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 4.	6 hours 12 hours
	Two AFW trains inoperable.			
D.	Three AFW trains inoperable.	D.1	NOTE LCO 3.0.3 and all other LCO Required Actions requiring MODE changes are suspended until one AFW train is restored to OPERABLE status.  Initiate action to restore one AFW train to OPERABLE status.	Immediately

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.5.1NOTENOTENOTENot required to be performed for the AFW flow control valves until the system is placed in standby or THERMAL POWER is > 10% RTP	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program 31 days
secured in position, is in the correct position.	

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.5.2	NOTE	
	Verify the developed head of each AFW pump at the flow test point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Test Program
SR 3.7.5.3	Verify each AFW automatic valve that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.7.5.4	<ul> <li>NOTENENOTENOTENENE</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.5.5	Verify proper alignment of the required AFW flow paths by verifying flow from the condensate storage tank to each steam generator.	Prior to entering MODE 2 whenever unit has been in MODE 5 or 6 for > 30 days

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.6.1	Verify the CST contained water volume is $\geq$ 281,000 gal.	<del>12 hours</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.7.1	NOTE Isolation of CCW flow to individual components does not render the CCW System inoperable.	
	Verify each CCW manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path servicing safety related equipment, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	31 days In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.7.2	Verify each CCW automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.7.7.3	Verify each CCW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.8.1	NOTE Isolation of ESW System flow to individual components does not render the ESW System inoperable.	
	Verify each ESW manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path servicing safety related equipment, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	31 days In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.8.2	Verify each ESW automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.7.8.3	Verify each ESW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.9.1	Verify water level of UHS is $\geq$ 1070 ft mean sea level.	<del>24 hours</del>
SR 3.7.9.2	Verify plant inlet water temperature of UHS is $\leq$ 90°F.	<del>24 hours</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

<u>AOI</u>				
	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
F.	Two CREVS trains inoperable in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4 for reasons other than Condition B.	F.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.10.1	Operate each CREVS train pressurization filter unit for $\ge$ 15 continuous minutes with the heaters operating and each CREVS train filtration filter unit for $\ge$ 15 continuous minutes.	31 days In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.10.2	Perform required CREVS filter testing in accordance with the Ventilation Filter Testing Program (VFTP).	In accordance with the VFTP
SR 3.7.10.3	Verify each CREVS train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.7.10.4	Perform required unfiltered air inleakage testing of the CRE and CBE boundaries in accordance with the Control Room Envelope Habitability Program.	In accordance with the Control Room Habitability Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.11.1	Verify each CRACS train has the capability to remove the assumed heat load.	18 months
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
### ACTIONS (continued)

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
E.	Two EES trains inoperable for reasons other than Condition B during movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.	E.1	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.13.1	Operate each EES train for $\ge$ 15 continuous minutes with the heaters operating.	<del>31 days</del>
SR 3.7.13.2	Perform required EES filter testing in accordance with the Ventilation Filter Testing Program (VFTP).	In accordance with the VFTP
SR 3.7.13.3	Verify each EES train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
	E In a Sul Co	(continued) accordance with the veillance Frequency ntrol Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY	-
SR 3.7.13.4	Verify one EES train can maintain a negative pressure $\ge 0.25$ inches water gauge with respect to atmospheric pressure in the auxiliary building during the SIS mode of operation.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	
SR 3.7.13.5	Verify one EES train can maintain a negative pressure $\ge 0.25$ inches water gauge with respect to atmospheric pressure in the fuel building during the FBVIS mode of operation.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	
		In accordance with Surveillance Freque Control Program	the ency

## 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

- 3.7.15 Fuel Storage Pool Water Level
- LCO 3.7.15 The fuel storage pool water level shall be  $\ge$  23 ft over the top of irradiated fuel assemblies seated in the storage racks.
- APPLICABILITY: During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel storage pool.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	Fuel storage pool water level not within limit.	A.1	NOTE LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable.  Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel storage pool.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.15.1	Verify the fuel storage pool water level is $\ge$ 23 ft above the top of the irradiated fuel assemblies seated in the storage racks.	<del>7 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.16.1	Verify the fuel storage pool boron concentration is within limit.	<del>7 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

- 3.7.18 Secondary Specific Activity
- LCO 3.7.18 The specific activity of the secondary coolant shall be  $\leq$  0.10  $\mu$ Ci/gm DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	Specific activity not within limit.	A.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		A.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.18.1	Verify the specific activity of the secondary coolant is $\leq$ 0.10 µCi/gm DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131.	<del>31 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.19.1	Verify each automatic SSIV in the flow path is in the correct position.	<del>31 days</del> -
SR 3.7.19.2	Verify the isolation time of each automatic SSIV is within limit.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR 3.7.19.3	Verify each automatic SSIV in the flow path actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
	In acco Surveil Contro	rdance with the lance Frequency Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.20.1	Verify each Class 1E electrical equipment A/C train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months
SR 3.7.20.2	Verify each Class 1E electrical equipment A/C train has the capability to remove the assumed heat load.	18 months
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

SR 3.8.1.1       Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power availability for each offsite circuit.       7 days         SR 3.8.1.2      NOTES		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
<ul> <li>SR 3.8.1.2NOTES</li></ul>	SR 3.8.1.1	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power availability for each offsite circuit.	<del>7 days</del>
are not used, the time, voltage, and frequency tolerances of SR 3.8.1.7 must be met. Verify each DG starts from standby conditions and achieves steady state voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and $\leq$ 4320 V, and frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz and $\leq$ 60.6 Hz.	SR 3.8.1.2	<ul> <li>NOTES</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program 31 days

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.3	<ul> <li>NOTES</li></ul>	<del>31 days</del>
SR 3.8.1.4	Verify each fuel oil transfer pump starts on low level in the associated day tank standpipe.	-31 days In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency
SR 3.8.1.5	Check for and remove accumulated water from each day tank.	Control Program -31 days
SR 3.8.1.6	Verify each fuel oil transfer system operates to transfer fuel oil from the storage tank to the day tank.	<del>31 days</del>
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.7	NOTENOTE All DG starts may be preceded by an engine prelube period.	
	Verify each DG starts from standby condition and achieves: a. In $\leq$ 12 seconds, voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and frequency	184 daya
	b. Steady state voltage $\ge$ 3950 V and $\le$ 4320 V, and frequency $\ge$ 59.4 Hz and $\le$ 60.6 Hz.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.1.8	Not Used.	
SR 3.8.1.9	Not Used.	
SR 3.8.1.10	If performed with DG synchronized with offsite power, it shall be performed at a power factor $\leq 0.9$ . However, if grid conditions do not permit, the power factor limit is not required to be met. Under this condition, the power factor shall be maintained as close to the limit as practicable. Verify each DG does not trip and voltage is maintained $\leq 4992$ V and frequency is maintained $\leq 65.4$ Hz during	-18 months
	and following a load rejection of $\geq$ 5650 kW and $\leq$ 6201 kW.	(continued)

	FREQUENCY			
SR 3.8.1.11	 1. 2.	All D prelu This in M Surv OPE dete or er	G starts may be preceded by an engine be period. Surveillance shall not normally be performed ODE 1 or 2. However, portions of the eillance may be performed to reestablish RABILITY provided an assessment rmines the safety of the plant is maintained shanced.	
	Verii sign	iy on a al:	n actual or simulated loss of offsite power	18 months
	a.	De-e	energization of emergency buses;	m
	b.	Load	shedding from emergency buses;	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency
	C.	DG a	auto-starts from standby condition and:	
		1.	energizes permanently connected loads in $\leq$ 12 seconds,	
		2.	energizes auto-connected shutdown loads through the shutdown sequencer,	
		3.	maintains steady state voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and $\leq$ 4320 V,	
		4.	maintains steady state frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz and $\leq$ 60.6 Hz, and	
		5.	supplies permanently connected and auto-connected shutdown loads for $\geq 5$ minutes.	
				(continued)

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.12	1. 2. Verif Feat from a. b. c. d. e.	SURVEILLANCE NOTES	FREQUENCY In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program 18 months

(continued)

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.13	Veri actu eme ESF a. b. c. d. e. f.	fy each DG's automatic trips are bypassed on tal or simulated loss of voltage signal on the ergency bus concurrent with an actual or simulated actuation signal except: Engine overspeed; Generator differential current; Low lube oil pressure; High crankcase pressure; Start failure relay; and High jacket coolant temperature.	-18 months In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
			(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE FREQU	ENCY
SR 3.8.1.14	<ul> <li>NOTES</li> <li>Momentary transients outside the load and power factor ranges do not invalidate this test.</li> <li>If performed with DG synchronized with offsite power, it shall be performed at a power factor ≤ 0.9. However, if grid conditions do not permit, the power factor limit is not required to be met. Under this condition, the power factor shall be maintained as close to the limit as practicable.</li> </ul>	
	Verify each DG operates for $\geq$ 24 hours: 18 months	s I
	a. For $\ge$ 2 hours loaded $\ge$ 6300 kW and $\le$ 6821 kW; and	
	b. For the remaining hours of the test loaded $\ge 5650 \text{ kW}$ and $\le 6201 \text{ kW}$ .	
SR 3.8.1.15	<ul> <li>NOTESNOTES</li></ul>	lance with the nce Frequency Program
	Verify each DG starts and achieves:	
	a. In $\leq$ 12 seconds, voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz; and	
	b. Steady state voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and $\leq$ 4320 V, and frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz and $\leq$ 60.6 Hz.	

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.16	NOTE This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4. However, this Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the plant is maintained or enhanced.	
	<ul> <li>Verify each DG:</li> <li>a. Synchronizes with offsite power source while loaded with emergency loads upon a simulated restoration of offsite power;</li> <li>b. Transfers loads to offsite power source; and</li> <li>c. Returns to ready-to-load operation.</li> </ul>	<del>18 months</del>
SR 3.8.1.17	This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1 or 2. However, portions of the Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the plant is maintained or enhanced. 	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	<ul> <li>to its bus, an actual or simulated Safety Injection signal overrides the test mode by:</li> <li>a. Returning DG to ready-to-load operation; and</li> <li>b. Automatically energizing the emergency load from offsite power.</li> </ul>	(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.18 T W p a m  V w s	This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in NODE 1 or 2. However, this Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the plant is maintained or enhanced. Verify interval between each sequenced load block is within ± 10% of design interval for each LOCA and hutdown sequence timer.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

		S	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.8.1.19	1. 2. Verifi signa Injec a. b. c.	All D prelu This in Mu Surv OPE dete or er y on a al in co tion si De-e Loac DG a 1. 2. 3.	SURVEILLANCE NOTES	FREQUENCY In accordance with Surveillance Freque Control Program 18 months	the ency
		5.	supplies permanently connected and auto-connected emergency loads for ≥ 5 minutes.		

(continued)

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.8.1.20	<ul> <li>NOTENOTEAll DG starts may be preceded by an engine prelube period.</li> <li>Verify when started simultaneously from standby condition, each DG achieves:</li> <li>a. In ≤ 12 seconds, voltage ≥ 3950 V and frequency ≥ 59.4 Hz; and</li> <li>b. Steady state voltage ≥ 3950 V and ≤ 4320 V, and frequency ≥ 59.4 Hz and ≤ 60.6 Hz.</li> </ul>	
SR 3.8.1.21	NOTE The continuity check may be excluded from the actuation logic test.  Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST for each train of the load shedder and emergency load sequencer.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program <del>31 days on a</del> <del>STAGGERED</del> <del>TEST BASIS</del>

3.8.3

In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS SURVEILLANCE FREQUENCY 31 days SR 3.8.3.1 Verify each fuel oil storage tank contains  $\geq$  85,300 gal of fuel. 31 days SR 3.8.3.2 Verify lubricating oil inventory is  $\geq$  750 gal. Verify fuel oil properties of new and stored fuel oil are SR 3.8.3.3 In accordance with tested in accordance with, and maintained within the the Diesel Fuel Oil limits of the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program. Testing Program SR 3.8.3.4 Verify pressure in two starting air receivers is  $\geq$  435 31 days psig or pressure in one starting air receiver is  $\geq 610$ psig for each DG starting air subsystem.

SR 3.8.3.5 Check for and remove accumulated water from each fuel oil storage tank.

In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

31 days

### 3.8 ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

3.8.4 DC Sources - Operating

LCO 3.8.4 The Train A and Train B DC electrical power subsystems shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	One DC electrical power subsystem inoperable.	A.1	Restore DC electrical power subsystem to OPERABLE status.	2 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u> B.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.4.1	Verify battery terminal voltage is $\ge$ 128.4 V on float charge.	<del>7 days</del>
		(continued) In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	FREQUENCY			
SR 3.8.4.2	Verify no visible connectors.	<del>92 days</del>		
	<u>OR</u>			
	Verify battery c	connection resistanc	e is:	
Connections	60 cells	59 cells	58 cells	
inter-cell	$\leq$ 33 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 30 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 27 E-6 ohms	
inter-tier,	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	
inter-bank, terminal				In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency
field jumper	NA	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	
SR 3.8.4.3	Verify battery over the visual indication deterioration the the second s	-18 months		
SR 3.8.4.4	Remove visible to cell and term and are coated	18 months		
SR 3.8.4.5	Verify battery c	-18 months		
Connections	60 cells	59 cells	58 cells	
inter-cell	$\leq$ 33 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 30 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 27 E-6 ohms	
inter-tier, inter-bank, terminal	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	
field jumper	NA	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.4.6	Verify each battery charger supplies $\ge 300$ amps at $\ge 128.4$ V for $\ge 1$ hour.	18 months
SR 3.8.4.7	<ul> <li>NOTES</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.4.8	NOTE This Surveillance shall not be performed in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4. 	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program 60 months <u>AND</u> 18 months when battery shows degradation or has reached 85% of expected life with capacity < 100% of manufacturer's rating <u>AND</u> 24 months when battery has reached 85% of the expected life with capacity ≥ 100% of manufacturer's rating

ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1	Declare associated battery inoperable.	Immediately
	<u>OR</u>			
	One or more batteries with average electrolyte temperature of the representative cells < 60°F.			
	<u>OR</u>			
	One or more batteries with one or more battery cell parameters not within Category C values.			

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.6.1	Verify battery cell parameters meet Table 3.8.6-1 Category A limits.	7 days
		(continued) In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.8.6.2	Verify battery cell parameters meet Table 3.8.6-1 Category B limits.	92 days <u>AND</u> Once within 7 days after a battery discharge < 110 V <u>AND</u> Once within 7 days after a battery overcharge > 150 V
SR 3.8.6.3	Verify average electrolyte temperature of representative cells is $\ge 60 ^\circ\text{F}.$	<mark>∖92 day</mark> s

### 3.8 ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

### 3.8.7 Inverters - Operating

LCO 3.8.7 The required Train A and Train B inverters shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	One required inverter inoperable.	A.1	NOTE Enter applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.8.9, "Distribution Systems - Operating" with any vital bus de-energized.  Restore inverter to OPERABLE status.	24 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u> B.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.7.1	Verify correct inverter voltage and alignment to required AC vital buses.	<del>7 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
Wolf Creek - Unit 1	3.8-34	Amendment No. <del>123</del> , <del>163</del>

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.8.1	Verify correct inverter voltage and alignments to required AC vital buses.	<del>7 days</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	One DC electrical power distribution subsystem inoperable.	D.1	Restore DC electrical power distribution subsystem to OPERABLE status.	2 hours <u>AND</u> 16 hours from discovery of failure to meet LCO
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	E.1 <u>AND</u> E.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 36 hours
F.	Two trains with inoperable distribution subsystems that result in a loss of safety function.	F.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.9.1 Verify correct breaker alignments and voltage to AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems.	7 days In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

## ACTIONS (continued)

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	(continued)	A.2.4	Initiate actions to restore required AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems to OPERABLE status.	Immediately
		<u>AN</u>	<u>D</u>	
		A.2.5	Declare associated required residual heat removal subsystem(s) inoperable and not in operation.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.10.1	Verify correct breaker alignments and voltage to required AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems.	7 days In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 3.9 REFUELING OPERATIONS

#### 3.9.1 Boron Concentration

LCO 3.9.1 Boron concentrations of all filled portions of the Reactor Coolant System and the refueling canal, that have direct access to the reactor vessel, shall be maintained within the limit specified in the COLR.

#### APPLICABILITY: MODE 6.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	Boron concentration not within limit.	A.1	Suspend CORE ALTERATIONS.	Immediately
		AND		
		A.2	Suspend positive reactivity additions.	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		A.3	Initiate action to restore boron concentration to within limit.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE			
SR 3.9.1.1 Verify boron concentration is within the limit specified in the COLR.		-72 hours		
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		

### 3.9 REFUELING OPERATIONS

- 3.9.2 Unborated Water Source Isolation Valves
- LCO 3.9.2 Each valve used to isolate unborated water sources, BG-V0178 and BG-V0601, shall be secured in the closed position.

#### APPLICABILITY: MODE 6.

### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME	
A.	NOTE Required Action A.3 must be completed whenever	A.1	Suspend CORE ALTERATIONS.	Immediately	
	Condition A is entered.	<u>AND</u>			
	One or more valves not	A.2	Initiate actions to secure valve in closed position.	Immediately	
		<u>AND</u>			
		A.3	Perform SR 3.9.1.1.	4 hours	

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.2.1	Verify each valve that isolates unborated water sources, BG-V0178 and BG-V0601, is secured in the closed position.	<del>31 dayş</del>
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.3.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	1 <del>2 hours</del>
SR 3.9.3.2	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### ACTIONS

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	One or more containment penetrations not in required status.	A.1 <u>AND</u>	Suspend CORE ALTERATIONS.	Immediately
		A.2	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.4.1	Verify each required containment penetration is in the required status.	<del>-7 days</del>
SR 3.9.4.2	SR 3.9.4.2NOTENOTENOTE	
	Verify the capability to install the equipment hatch.	<del>7 days</del>
SR 3.9.4.3	Verify each required containment purge isolation valve actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	-18 months

# ACTIONS (continued)

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	(continued)	A.4	Close all containment penetrations providing direct access from containment atmosphere to outside atmosphere.	4 hours

	SURVEILLANCE			
SR 3.9.5.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation and circulating reactor coolant at a flow rate of $\geq$ 1000 gpm.	12 hours		
SR 3.9.5.2	Verify required RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	<del>31 days</del>		
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		

## ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
B. (continued)	B.2	Initiate action to restore one RHR loop to operation.	Immediately
	<u>AND</u>		
	В.3	Close all containment penetrations providing direct access from containment atmosphere to outside atmosphere.	4 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.6.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation and circulating reactor coolant at a flow rate of $\ge$ 1000 gpm.	<del>12 hours</del>
SR 3.9.6.2	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	T days In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.9.6.3	Verify RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	-31 days

## 3.9 REFUELING OPERATIONS

- 3.9.7 Refueling Pool Water Level
- LCO 3.9.7 Refueling pool water level shall be maintained  $\geq$  23 ft above the top of reactor vessel flange.

### APPLICABILITY: During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	Refueling pool water level not within limit.	A.1	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.	Immediately

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.7.1	Verify refueling pool water level is $\ge 23$ ft above the top of reactor vessel flange.	-24 hours

Surveillance Frequency Control Program
#### 5.5 Programs and Manuals

#### 5.5.13 <u>Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program</u> (continued)

- a. Acceptability of new fuel oil for use prior to addition to storage tanks by determining that the fuel oil has:
  - 1. an API gravity or an absolute specific gravity within limits,
  - 2. a flash point and kinematic viscosity within limits for ASTM 2D fuel oil, and
  - 3. water and sediment content within the limits for ASTM 2D fuel oil;
- b. Other properties for ASTM 2D fuel oil are analyzed within 31 days following sampling and addition to storage tanks; and
- c. Total particulate concentration of the fuel oil is  $\leq$  10 mg/l when tested every 31 days in accordance with ASTM D-2276, Method A.

The provisions of SR 3.0.2 and SR 3.0.3 are applicable to the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program test frequencies.

#### 5.5.14 Technical Specifications (TS) Bases Control Program

This program provides a means for processing changes to the Bases of these Technical Specifications.

- a. Changes to the Bases of the TS shall be made under appropriate administrative controls and reviews.
- b. Licensees may make changes to Bases without prior NRC approval provided the changes do not require either of the following:
  - 1. a change in the TS incorporated in the license; or
  - 2. a change to the USAR or Bases that requires NRC approval pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59.
- c. The Bases Control Program shall contain provisions to ensure that the Bases are maintained consistent with the USAR.

(continued)

#### 5.5 Programs and Manuals

#### 5.5.18 Control Room Envelope Habitability Program

A Control Room Envelope (CRE) Habitability Program shall be established and implemented to ensure that CRE habitability is maintained such that, with an OPERABLE Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS), CRE occupants can control the reactor safely under normal conditions and maintain it in a safe condition following a radiological event, hazardous chemical release, or a smoke challenge. The program shall ensure that adequate radiation protection is provided to permit access and occupancy of the CRE under design basis accident (DBA) conditions without personnel receiving radiation exposures in excess of 5 rem TEDE for the duration of the accident. The program shall include the following elements:

- a. The definition of the CRE, CRE boundary, control building envelope (CBE), and CBE boundary.
- b. Requirements for maintaining the CRE and CBE boundary in their design condition including configuration control and preventive maintenance.
- c. Requirements for (i) determining the unfiltered air inleakage past the CRE and CBE boundaries in accordance with the testing methods and at the Frequencies specified in Sections C.1 and C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.197, "Demonstrating Control Room Envelope Integrity at Nuclear Power Reactors," Revision 0, May 2003, and (ii) assessing CRE habitability at the Frequencies specified in Sections C.1 and C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.197, Revision 0.

The following are exceptions to Section C.1 and C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.197, Revision 0:

- The Tracer Gas Test based on the Brookhaven National Laboratory Atmospheric Tracer Depletion (ATD) Method is used to determine the unfiltered air inleakage past the CRE and CBE boundaries. The ATD Method is described in WCNOC letters dated February 21, 2005 (WO 05-0003), June 29, 2007 (WM 07-0057), and September 28, 2007 (ET 07-0045).
- d. Measurement, at designated locations, of the CRE pressure relative to the outside atmosphere during the pressurization mode of operation by one train of the CREVS, operating at the flow rate required by the VFTP, at a Frequency of 18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. The results shall be trended and used as part of the periodic assessment of the CRE boundary.

( in accordance with the Surveillance Frequency ) Control Program.

(continued)

#### 5.5 Programs and Manuals

#### 5.5.18 <u>Control Room Envelope Habitability Program</u> (continued)

- e. The quantitative limits on unfiltered air inleakage into the CRE and CBE. These limits shall be stated in a manner to allow direct comparison to the unfiltered air inleakage measured by the testing described in paragraph c. The unfiltered air inleakage limit for radiological challenges is the inleakage flow rate assumed in the licensing basis analyses of DBA consequences. Unfiltered air inleakage limits for hazardous chemicals must ensure that exposure of CRE occupants to these hazards will be within the assumptions in the licensing basis.
- f. The provisions of SR 3.0.2 are applicable to the Frequencies for assessing CRE habitability, determining CRE and CBE unfiltered inleakage, and measuring CRE pressure and assessing the CRE and CBE as required by paragraphs c and d, respectively.

1		
65.5.19	Survei	Ilance Frequency Control Program
	This pr ensure perforr Operat	rogram provides controls for Surveillance Frequencies. The program shall that Surveillance Requirements specified in the Technical Specifications are ned at intervals sufficient to assure the associated Limiting Conditions for tion are met.
	a.	The Surveillance Frequency Control Program shall contain a list of Frequencies of those Surveillance Requirements for which the Frequency is controlled by the program.
	b.	Changes to the Frequencies listed in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program shall be made in accordance with NEI 04-10, "Risk-Informed Technical Specifications Initiative 5b, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies," Revision 1.
	с.	The provisions of Surveillance Requirements 3.0.2 and 3.0.3 are applicable to the Frequencies established in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

Attachment IV to ET 20-0004 Page 1 of 161

# ATTACHMENT IV

# **REVISED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION PAGES**

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	USE AND APPLICATION Definitions Logical Connectors Completion Times Frequency.	1.1-1 1.1-1 1.2-1 1.3-1 1.4-1
2.0 2.1 2.2	SAFETY LIMITS (SLs) SLs SL Violations	2.0-1 2.0-1 2.0-1
3.0 3.0	LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (LCO) APPLICABILITY SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT (SR) APPLICABILITY	3.0-1 3.0-4
3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6 3.1.7 3.1.8 3.1.9	REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) Core Reactivity Moderator Temperature Coefficient (MTC) Rod Group Alignment Limits Shutdown Bank Insertion Limits Control Bank Insertion Limits Rod Position Indication PHYSICS TESTS Exceptions - MODE 2 RCS Boron Limitations < 500°F	3.1-1 3.1-2 3.1-4 3.1-7 3.1-11 3.1-13 3.1-16 3.1-19 3.1-21
3.2 3.2.1	POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor ( $F_Q(Z)$ ) ( $F_Q$ Methodology)	3.2-1 3.2-1
3.2.2	Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor (FÅ <sub>H</sub> )	3.2-6
3.2.3	Control (RAOC) Methodology)	3.2-9
3.2.4	QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO (QPTR)	3.2-10
3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2	INSTRUMENTATION Reactor Trip System (RTS) Instrumentation Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS)	3.3-1 3.3-1 3.3-22
3.3.3	Post Accident Monitoring (PAM) Instrumentation	3.3-38
3.3.4	Remote Shutdown System	3.3-42
3.3.5	Loss of Power (LOP) Diesei Generator (DG) Start Instrumentation	3.3-45

3.3	INSTRUMENTATION (continued)	
3.3.6	Containment Purge Isolation Instrumentation	3.3-47
3.3.7	Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS)	
	Actuation Instrumentation	3.3-51
3.3.8	Emergency Exhaust System (EES) Actuation	
	Instrumentation	3.3-56
3.4	REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)	3.4-1
3.4.1	RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow Departure from	
	Nucleate Boiling (DNB) Limits	3.4-1
3.4.2	RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality	3.4-5
3.4.3	RCS Pressure and Temperature (P/T) Limits	3.4-6
3.4.4	RCS Loops - MODES 1 and 2	3.4-8
3.4.5	RCS Loops - MODE 3	3.4-9
3.4.6	RCS Loops - MODE 4	3.4-12
3.4.7	RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled	3.4-15
3.4.8	RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled	3.4-18
3.4.9	Pressurizer	3.4-20
3.4.10	Pressurizer Safety Valves	3.4-22
3.4.11	Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves (PORVs)	3.4-24
3.4.12	Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System	3.4-27
3.4.13	RCS Operational LEAKAGE	3.4-32
3.4.14	RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage	3.4-34
3.4.15	RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation	3.4-38
3.4.16	RCS Specific Activity	3.4-42
3.4.17	Steam Generator (SG) Tube Integrity	3.4-44
3.5	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)	3 5-1
351	Accumulators	3 5-1
352	FCCS - Operating	3.5-4
353	ECCS - Shutdown	3 5-7
354	Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)	3.5-9
355	Seal Injection Flow	3 5-11
0.0.0		0.0-11
3.6	CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS	3 6-1
3.0	Containmont	3.0-1
3.0.1 3.6.2	Containment Air Locks	3.0-1 2.6.0
J.0.∠ 2.6.2	Containment Isolation Valvas	3.0-2 2.6.7
3.0.3 2.6.1	Containment Isolation valves	3.0-1 2.6.14
3.0.4		3.0-14
3.0.5	Containment Air Temperature	3.6-15
3.0.0	Containment Spray and Cooling Systems	3.6-16
3.6.7	Spray Additive System	3.6-20

# 1.1 Definitions (continued)

SLAVE RELAY TEST	A SLAVE RELAY TEST shall consist of energizing all slave relays in the channel required for channel OPERABILITY and verifying the OPERABILITY of each required slave relay. The SLAVE RELAY TEST shall include, a continuity check of associated required testable actuation devices. The SLAVE RELAY TEST may be performed by means of any series of sequential, overlapping, or total steps.	
THERMAL POWER	THERMAL POWER shall be the total reactor core heat transfer rate to the reactor coolant.	
TRIP ACTUATING DEVICE OPERATIONAL TEST (TADOT)	A TADOT shall consist of operating the trip actuating device and verifying the OPERABILITY of all devices in the channel required for trip actuating device OPERABILITY. The TADOT shall include adjustment, as necessary, of the trip actuating device so that it actuates at the required setpoint within the necessary accuracy. The TADOT may be performed by means of any series of sequential, overlapping, or total channel steps.	

# 3.1 REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

# 3.1.1 SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM)

LCO 3.1.1 SDM shall be within the limit provided in the COLR.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	SDM not within limit.	A.1	Initiate boration to restore SDM to within limit.	15 minutes

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.1.1	Verify SDM to be within limit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.2.1	NOTE The predicted reactivity values may be adjusted (normalized) to correspond to the measured core reactivity prior to exceeding a fuel burnup of 60 effective full power days (EFPD) after each fuel loading.	
	Verify measured core reactivity is within $\pm$ 1% $\Delta$ k/k of predicted values.	Once prior to entering MODE 1 after each refueling
		AND NOTE Only required after 60 EFPD  In accordance with the Surveillance
		Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.4.1	Verify individual rod positions within alignment limit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.1.4.2	Verify rod freedom of movement (trippability) by moving each rod not fully inserted in the core $\geq$ 10 steps in either direction.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.1.4.3	Verify rod drop time of each rod, from the fully withdrawn position, is $\leq 2.7$ seconds from the beginning of decay of stationary gripper coil voltage to dashpot entry, with: a. $T_{avg} \geq 500^{\circ}F$ ; and b. All reactor coolant pumps operating.	Prior to reactor criticality after each removal of the reactor head

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.5.1	Verify each shutdown bank is within the limits specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)				
	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY		
SR 3.1.6.2	Verify each control bank insertion is within the limits specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		
SR 3.1.6.3	Verify sequence and overlap limits specified in the COLR are met for control banks not fully withdrawn from the core.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
C.	RCS lowest operating loop average temperature not within limit.	C.1	Restore RCS lowest operating loop average temperature to within limit.	15 minutes
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition C not met.	D.1	Be in MODE 3.	15 minutes

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.8.1	Perform a CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST on power range and intermediate range channels per SR 3.3.1.8 and Table 3.3.1-1.	Prior to initiation of PHYSICS TESTS
SR 3.1.8.2	Verify the RCS lowest operating loop average temperature is $\ge$ 541°F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.1.8.3	Verify THERMAL POWER is $\leq$ 5% RTP.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.1.8.4	Verify SDM is within limits provided in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.9.1	Verify RCS boron concentration is greater than the ARO critical boron concentration.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

-----NOTE----During power escalation following shutdown, THERMAL POWER may be increased until an

\_\_\_\_\_

equilibrium power level has been achieved, at which a power distribution measurement is obtained.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.1.1	SURVEILLANCE Verify $F_Q^C(Z)$ is within limit.	FREQUENCYOnce after each refueling prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTPANDOnce within 24 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions after exceeding, by $\geq$ 10% RTP, the THERMAL POWER at which $F_Q^C(Z)$ was last verifiedAND
		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.1.2 (continued)	Once within 24 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions after exceeding, by $\geq$ 10% RTP, the THERMAL POWER at which $F_Q^W(Z)$ was last verified
	AND In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.2.2.1	Verify F <sup>1</sup> ∆ <sub>H</sub> is within limits specified in the COLR.	Once after each refueling prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP <u>AND</u> In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 3.2 POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

- 3.2.3 AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE (AFD) (Relaxed Axial Offset Control (RAOC) Methodology)
- LCO 3.2.3 The AFD in % flux difference units shall be maintained within the limits specified in the COLR.

-----NOTE-----NOTE The AFD shall be considered outside limits when two or more OPERABLE excore channels indicate AFD to be outside limits.

# APPLICABILITY: MODE 1 with THERMAL POWER $\geq$ 50% RTP.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	AFD not within limits.	A.1	Reduce THERMAL POWER to < 50% RTP.	30 minutes

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.3.1	Verify AFD within limits for each OPERABLE excore channel.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.2.4.1	<ul> <li>NOTESNOTES</li> <li>1. With input from one Power Range Neutron Flux channel inoperable and THERMAL POWER ≤ 75% RTP, the remaining three power range channels can be used for calculating QPTR.</li> <li>2. SR 3.2.4.2 may be performed in lieu of this Surveillance.</li> <li>Verify QPTR is within limit by calculation.</li> </ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.2.4.2	NOTENOTENOTE vot required to be performed until 12 hours after input from one Power Range Neutron Flux channel is inoperable with THERMAL POWER > 75% RTP. 	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
X.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition W not met.	X.1.1 <u>ANI</u>	Initiate action to fully insert all rods.	Immediately
	<u>OR</u> Two or more channels inoperable.	X.1.2	Initiate action to place the Rod Control System in a condition incapable of rod withdrawal.	Immediately
		<u>OR</u>		
		X.2	Initiate action to borate the RCS to greater than all rods out (ARO) critical boron concentration.	Immediately

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

Refer to Table 3.3.1-1 to determine which SRs apply for each RTS Function.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.2NOTESNOTESNOTESNOTESNOTESNOTESNOTESNOTESNOTESNOTESNOTES	
Compare results of calorimetric heat balance calculation to power range channel output. Adjust power range channel output if calorimetric heat balance calculation results exceed power range channel output by more than + 2% RTP.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.3NOTES	
Compare results of the core power distribution measurements to Nuclear Instrumentation System (NIS) AFD. Adjust NIS channel if absolute difference is $\ge$ 3%.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.4NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	
Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.5 Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.6	Not required to be performed until 72 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions with THERMAL POWER $\geq$ 75 % RTP.	
	Calibrate excore channels to agree with core power distribution measurements.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.7	<ol> <li>Not required to be performed for source range instrumentation prior to entering MODE 3 from MODE 2 until 4 hours after entry into MODE 3.</li> <li>Source range instrumentation shall include verification that interlocks P-6 and P-10 are in their required state for existing unit conditions.</li> </ol>	
	Perform COT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.8	NOTE This Surveillance shall include verification that interlocks P-6 and P-10 are in their required state for existing unit conditions.  Perform COT.	NOTE Only required when not performed within the Frequency specified in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	(continued)
	(containada)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.8 (co	ontinued	Prior to reactor startup <u>AND</u> Twelve hours after reducing power below P-10 for power and intermediate instrumentation <u>AND</u> Four hours after reducing power below P-6 for source range instrumentation <u>AND</u> In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.9	NOTENOTEVerification of setpoint is not required.	
	Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

	SURVEILLANCE						
SR 3.3.1.10	NOTENOTE This Surveillance shall include verification that the time constants are adjusted to the prescribed values.						
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program					
SR 3.3.1.11	<ul> <li>NOTES</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program					
SR 3.3.1.12	Not Used.						
SR 3.3.1.13	Perform COT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program					

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.14	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.15	NOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	Prior to exceeding the P-9 interlock whenever the unit has been in MODE 3, if not performed in the previous 31 days
SR 3.3.1.16	NOTENOTE Neutron detectors are excluded from response time testing.	
	Verify RTS RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

Table 3.3.1-1 (page 1 of 6)
Reactor Trip System Instrumentation

	FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	ALLOWABLE VALUE <sup>(a)</sup>
1.	Manual Reactor Trip	1,2	2	В	SR 3.3.1.14	NA
		3(b), 4(b), 5(b)	2	С	SR 3.3.1.14	NA
2.	Power Range Neutron Flux					
	a. High	1,2	4	D	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.2 SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.11 SR 3.3.1.16	≤ 112.3% RTP
	b. Low	1 <sup>(c)</sup> , 2 <sup>(f)</sup>	4	V	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.8 SR 3.3.1.11 SR 3.3.1.16	≤ 28.3% RTP
		2 <sup>(h)</sup> , 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	4	W, X	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.8 SR 3.3.1.11 SR 3.3.1.16	≤ 28.3% RTP
3.	Power Range Neutron Flux Rate					
	a. High Positive Rate	1,2	4	E	SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.11 SR 3.3.1.16	≤ 6.3% RTP with time constant ≥ 2 sec
	b. High Negative Rate	1,2	4	E	SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.11 SR 3.3.1.16	$\leq$ 6.3% RTP with time constant $\geq$ 2 sec
4.	Intermediate Range Neutron Flux	1 <sup>(c)</sup> , 2 <sup>(d)</sup>	2	F,G	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.8 SR 3.3.1.11	≤ 35.3% RTP

(continued)

(a) The Allowable Value defines the Limiting Safety System Setting. See the Bases for the Trip Setpoints.

(b) With Rod Control System capable of rod withdrawal or one or more rods not fully inserted.

(c) Below the P-10 (Power Range Neutron Flux) interlock.

(d) Above the P-6 (Intermediate Range Neutron Flux) interlock.

(h) With k<sub>e</sub>ff < 1.0, and all RCS cold leg temperatures ≥ 500° F, and RCS boron concentration ≤ the rods out (ARO) critical boron concentration, and Rod Control System capable of rod withdrawal or one or more rods not fully inserted.

(i) With all RCS cold leg temperatures ≥ 500° F, and RCS boron concentration ≤ the ARO critical boron concentration, and Rod Control System capable of rod withdrawal or one or more rods not fully inserted.

<sup>(</sup>f) With  $k_e ff \ge 1.0$ .

# Table 3.3.1-1 (page 2 of 6) Reactor Trip System Instrumentation

	FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	ALLOWABLE VALUE <sup>(a)</sup>
5.	Source Range Neutron Flux	<sub>2</sub> (e)	2	I,J	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.8 SR 3.3.1.11	≤ 1.6 E5 cps
		3(b), 4(b), 5(b)	2	J,K	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.11	$\leq$ 1.6 E5 cps
6.	Overtemperature ∆T	1,2	4	E	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.3 SR 3.3.1.6 SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.16	Refer to Note 1 (Page 3.3-19)
7.	Overpower ∆T	1,2	4	E	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.16	Refer to Note 2 (Page 3.3-20)
8.	Pressurizer Pressure					
	a. Low	1(g)	4	М	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.16	≥ 1930 psig
	b. High	1,2	4	E	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.16	≤ 2395 psig
9.	Pressurizer Water Level - High	1(g)	3	М	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.10	$\leq$ 93.9% of instrument span
10.	Reactor Coolant Flow - Low	1(g)	3 per loop	М	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.16	≥ 88.9% of normalized flow

(continued)

The Allowable Value defines the Limiting Safety System Setting. See the Bases for the Trip Setpoints. With Rod Control System capable of rod withdrawal or one or more rods not fully inserted. Below the P-6 (Intermediate Range Neutron Flux) interlock. Above the P-7 (Low Power Reactor Trips Block) interlock. (a) (b)

(e)

(g)

#### Table 3.3.1-1 (page 3 of 6) Reactor Trip System Instrumentation

	FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	ALLOWABLE VALUE <sup>(a)</sup>
11.	Not Used.					
12.	Undervoltage RCPs	1(g)	2/bus	Μ	SR 3.3.1.9 SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.16	≥ 10355 Vac
13.	Underfrequency RCPs	1(g)	2/bus	М	SR 3.3.1.9 SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.16	≥ 57.1 Hz
14.	Steam Generator (SG) Water Level Low-Low <sup>(I)</sup>	1,2	4 per gen	E	SR 3.3.1.1 SR 3.3.1.7 SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.16	≥ 22.3% of Narrow Range Instrument Span
15.	Not Used.					
16.	Turbine Trip					
	a. Low Fluid Oil Pressure	1 <sup>(j)</sup>	3	0	SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.15	$\ge$ 534.20 psig
	b. Turbine Stop Valve Closure	1(j)	4	Р	SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.15	≥ 1% open
17.	Safety Injection (SI) Input from Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS)	1,2	2 trains	Q	SR 3.3.1.14	NA
18.	Reactor Trip System Interlocks					
	a. Intermediate Range Neutron Flux, P-6	2 <sup>(e)</sup>	2	S	SR 3.3.1.11 SR 3.3.1.13	≥ 6E-11 amp
	b. Low Power Reactor Trips Block, P-7	1	1 per train	т	SR 3.3.1.5	NA
	c. Power Range Neutron Flux, P-8	1	4	т	SR 3.3.1.11 SR 3.3.1.13	≤ 51.3% RTP
						(continued)

The Allowable Value defines the Limiting Safety System Setting. See the Bases for the Trip Setpoints. Below the P-6 (Intermediate Range Neutron Flux) interlocks. Above the P-7 (Low Power Reactor Trips Block) interlock. (a)

(e)

(g)

The applicable MODES for these channels are more restrictive in Table 3.3.2-1. (See Function 6.d.) Above the P-9 (Power Range Neutron Flux) interlock.

(l) (j)

# Table 3.3.1-1 (page 4 of 6) Reactor Trip System Instrumentation

	I	FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	ALLOWABLE VALUE <sup>(a)</sup>
18.	(co	ntinued)					
	d.	Power Range Neutron Flux, P-9	1	4	т	SR 3.3.1.11 SR 3.3.1.13	≤ 53.3% RTP
	e.	Power Range Neutron Flux, P-10	1,2	4	S	SR 3.3.1.11 SR 3.3.1.13	≥ 6.7% RTP and ≤ 13.3% RTP
	f.	Turbine Impulse Pressure, P-13	1	2	т	SR 3.3.1.10 SR 3.3.1.13	$\leq$ 12.4% turbine power
19.	Rea	actor Trip	1,2	2 trains	R	SR 3.3.1.4	NA
	Bre	akers (RTB) <sup>(K)</sup>	3(b), 4(b), 5(b)	2 trains	С	SR 3.3.1.4	NA
			1,2	1 each per RTB	U	SR 3.3.1.4	NA
	20. Bre and Me	Reactor Trip eaker Undervoltage d Shunt Trip chanisms <sup>(k)</sup>	3(b), 4(b), 5(b)	1 each per RTB	С	SR 3.3.1.4	NA
21.	Aut	omatic Trip Logic	1,2	2 trains	Q	SR 3.3.1.5	NA
			3(b), 4(b), 5(b)	2 trains	С	SR 3.3.1.5	NA

The Allowable Value defines the Limiting Safety System Setting. See the Bases for the Trip Setpoints. With Rod Control System capable of rod withdrawal or one or more rods not fully inserted. Including any reactor trip bypass breakers that are racked in and closed for bypassing an RTB. (a)

(b) (k)

Table 3.3.1-1 (page 5 of 6) Reactor Trip System Instrumentation

#### Note 1: Overtemperature $\Delta T$

The Overtemperature  $\Delta T$  Function Allowable Value shall not exceed the following Trip Setpoint by more than 1.3% of  $\Delta T$  span.

$$\Delta T \frac{(1+\tau_1 s)}{(1+\tau_2 s)} \left(\frac{1}{1+\tau_3 s}\right) \leq \Delta T_o \left\{ K_1 - K_2 \frac{(1+\tau_4 s)}{(1+\tau_5 s)} \left[ T \left(\frac{1}{(1+\tau_6 s)}\right) - T' \right] + K_3 (P - P') - f_1 (\Delta I) \right\}$$

Where:  $\Delta T$  is measured RCS  $\Delta T$ , °F.  $\Delta T_0$  is the indicated  $\Delta T$  at RTP, °F. s is the Laplace transform operator, sec<sup>-1</sup>. T is the measured RCS average temperature, °F. T' is the nominal T<sub>avg</sub> at RTP,  $\leq *$ .

> P is the measured pressurizer pressure, psig. P' is the nominal RCS operating pressure  $\geq$  \* psig.

K <sub>1</sub> = *	$K_2 = * /^{\circ}F$	$K_3 = * /psig$
$\tau_1 = * \text{Sec}$	$\tau_2 = * \text{Sec}$	$\tau_3 = * SeC$
$\tau_4 = * \text{Sec}$	$\tau_5 = * \text{Sec}$	$\tau_6 = * \sec$
$f_1(\Delta I) =$	* { * % + (q <sub>t</sub> - q <sub>b</sub> )} 0% of RTP * {(q <sub>t</sub> - q <sub>b</sub> ) - * % }	when $q_t$ - $q_b <~*$ % RTP when $*$ % RTP $\leq q_t$ - $q_b \leq *$ % RTP when $q_t$ - $q_b > *$ % RTP

where  $q_t$  and  $q_b$  are percent RTP in the upper and lower halves of the core, respectively, and  $q_t + q_b$  is the total THERMAL POWER in percent RTP.

The values denoted with \* are specified in the COLR.

#### Table 3.3.1-1 (page 6 of 6) Reactor Trip System Instrumentation

Note 2: Overpower  $\Delta T$ 

The Overpower  $\Delta T$  Function Allowable Value shall not exceed the following Trip Setpoint by more than 2.6% of  $\Delta T$  span.

$$\Delta T \frac{(l+\tau_1 s)}{(l+\tau_2 s)} \left(\frac{l}{l+\tau_3 s}\right) \leq \Delta T_o \left\{ K_4 - K_5 \frac{(\tau_7 s)}{(l+\tau_7 s)} \left(\frac{l}{l+\tau_6 s}\right) T - K_6 \left[ T \frac{l}{(l+\tau_6 s)} - T'' \right] - f_2(\Delta I) \right\}$$

- $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Where:} & \Delta T \mbox{ is measured RCS } \Delta T, \ {}^\circ F. \\ & \Delta T_0 \mbox{ is the indicated } \Delta T \mbox{ at RTP, } \ {}^\circ F. \\ & s \mbox{ is the Laplace transform operator, sec}^{-1}. \\ & T \mbox{ is the measured RCS average temperature, } \ {}^\circ F. \\ & T'' \mbox{ is the indicated } T_{avg} \mbox{ at RTP (Calibration temperature for } \Delta T \mbox{ instrumentation), } \leq * \ {}^\circ F. \\ \end{array}$ 
  - $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{K}_4 = {}^{*} & \mathsf{K}_5 = {}^{*}/{}^{\circ}\mathsf{F} \text{ for increasing } \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{avg}} & \mathsf{K}_6 = {}^{*}/{}^{\circ}\mathsf{F} \text{ when } \mathsf{T} > \mathsf{T}'' \\ {}^{*}/{}^{\circ}\mathsf{F} \text{ for decreasing } \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{avg}} & {}^{*}/{}^{\circ}\mathsf{F} \text{ when } \mathsf{T} \leq \mathsf{T}'' \\ \\ \tau_1 = {}^{*}\operatorname{sec} & \tau_2 = {}^{*}\operatorname{sec} & \\ \tau_6 = {}^{*}\operatorname{sec} & \tau_7 = {}^{*}\operatorname{sec} \\ \end{array}$

The values denoted with \* are specified in the COLR.

### 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

- 3.3.2 Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) Instrumentation
- LCO 3.3.2 The ESFAS instrumentation for each Function in Table 3.3.2-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: According to Table 3.3.2-1.

# ACTIONS

CONDITION		F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	One or more Functions with one or more required channels or trains inoperable.	A.1	Enter the Condition referenced in Table 3.3.2-1 for the channel(s) or train(s).	Immediately
В.	One channel or train inoperable.	B.1	Restore channel or train to OPERABLE status.	48 hours
		B.2.1	Be in MODE 3.	54 hours
		<u>AN[</u>	<u>0</u>	
		B.2.2	Be in MODE 5.	84 hours

(continued)

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
C.	One train inoperable.	NOTE One train may be bypassed for up to 4 hours for surveillance testing provided the other train is OPERABLE.		
		C.1	NOTE Only required if Function 3.a.(2) is inoperable.	
			Place and maintain containment purge supply and exhaust valves in closed position.	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		C.2	Restore train to OPERABLE status.	24 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		C.3.1	Be in MODE 3.	30 hours
		<u>ANI</u>	<u>2</u>	
		C.3.2	Be in MODE 5.	60 hours

(continued)

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
D.	One channel inoperable.	NOTE The inoperable channel may be bypassed for up to 4 hours for surveillance testing of other channels.		
		D.1	Place channel in trip.	72 hours
		D.2.1	Be in MODE 3.	78 hours
		AND		
		D.2.2	Be in MODE 4.	84 hours
E.	One Containment Pressure channel inoperable.	One additional channel may be bypassed for up to 4 hours for surveillance testing.		
		E.1	Place channel in bypass.	72 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		E.2.1	Be in MODE 3.	78 hours
		AN	<u>D</u>	
		E.2.2	Be in MODE 4.	84 hours

(continued)

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
F.	One channel or train inoperable.	F.1	Restore channel or train to OPERABLE status.	48 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		F.2.1	Be in MODE 3.	54 hours
		AND		
		F.2.2	Be in MODE 4.	60 hours
G.	One train inoperable.	NOTE One train may be bypassed for up to 4 hours for surveillance testing provided the other train is OPERABLE.		
		G.1	Restore train to OPERABLE status	24 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		G.2.1	Be in MODE 3.	30 hours
		AND		
		G.2.2	Be in MODE 4.	36 hours

(continued)

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Н.	Not Used.			
I.	One channel inoperable.	NOTE The inoperable channel may be bypassed for up to 12 hours for surveillance testing of other channels.		
		I.1	Place channel in trip.	72 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		1.2	Be in MODE 3.	78 hours

(continued)
CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
J.	One or more Main Feedwater Pump trip channel(s) inoperable.	One inop bypasse surveilla channels	berable channel may be d for up to 2 hours for nce testing of other s.	
		J.1	Place channel(s) in trip.	1 hour
		<u>UR</u> J.2	Be in MODE 3.	7 hours
K.	One channel inoperable.	One add tripped fo surveilla	NOTE litional channel may be or up to 12 hours for nce testing.	
		K.1 <u>OR</u>	Place channel in bypass.	72 hours
		K.2.1	Be in MODE 3.	78 hours
		AND		
		K.2.2	Be in MODE 5.	108 hours

(continued)

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
L.	One or more required channel(s) inoperable.	L.1 Verify interlock is in required state for existing unit condition.		1 hour
		<u>OR</u>		
		L.2.1	Be in MODE 3.	7 hours
		AN	<u>D</u>	
		L.2.2	Be in MODE 4.	13 hours
M.	One channel inoperable.	M.1 <u>AND</u>	Place channel in trip.	1 hour
		M.2	Restore channel to OPERABLE status.	During performance of next COT
N.	One train inoperable.	One train to 2 hour provided OPERAL	NOTE n may be bypassed for up rs for surveillance testing I the other train is BLE.	
		N.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		AND		
		N.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours

(continued)

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Ο.	One or more channels inoperable.	O.1	Declare associated auxiliary feedwater pump(s) inoperable.	Immediately
P.	One or both train(s) inoperable.	P.1 Restore train(s) to OPERABLE status.		48 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		P.2.1	Be in MODE 3.	54 hours
		<u>ANE</u>	<u>)</u>	
		P.2.2	Be in MODE 4.	60 hours

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

-----NOTE-----Refer to Table 3.3.2-1 to determine which SRs apply for each ESFAS Function. \_\_\_\_\_

SR 3.3.2.1 Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.2 Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.3.2.3	NOTENOTE The continuity check may be excluded.	
	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.4	Perform MASTER RELAY TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.5	Perform COT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.6	Perform SLAVE RELAY TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.7	NOTE Verification of relay setpoints not required.  Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.2.8	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.9	NOTENOTE This Surveillance shall include verification that the time constants are adjusted to the prescribed values.	
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.10	Not required to be performed for the turbine driven AFW pump until 24 hours after SG pressure is $\geq$ 900 psig.	
	Verify ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.3.2.11	NOTENOTEVerification of setpoint not required.	
	Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.12	Perform COT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

		FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	ALLOWABLE VALUE <sup>(a)</sup>
1.	Sa	fety Injection		·		·	
	a.	Manual Initiation	1,2,3,4	2	В	SR 3.3.2.8	NA
	b.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays	1,2,3,4	2 trains	С	SR 3.3.2.2 SR 3.3.2.4 SR 3.3.2.6	NA
	C.	Containment Pressure - High 1	1,2,3	3	D	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	≤ 4.5 psig
	d.	Pressurizer Pressure - Low	1,2,3 <sup>(b)</sup>	4	D	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	≥ 1820 psig
	e.	Steam Line Pressure Low	1,2,3 <sup>(b)</sup>	3 per steam line	D	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	≥ 571 psig <sup>(c)</sup>
2.	Co	ntainment Spray					
	a.	Manual Initiation	1,2,3,4	2 per train, 2 trains	В	SR 3.3.2.8	NA
	b.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays	1,2,3,4	2 trains	С	SR 3.3.2.2 SR 3.3.2.4 SR 3.3.2.6	NA
	C.	Containment Pressure High - 3	1,2,3	4	E	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	≤ 28.3 psig

#### Table 3.3.2-1 (page 1 of 5) Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Instrumentation

(continued)

(a) The Allowable Value defines the Limiting Safety System Setting. See the Bases for the Trip Setpoints.

(b) Above the P-11 (Pressurizer Pressure) interlock and below P-11 unless the Function is blocked.

(c) Time constants used in the lead/lag controller are  $t_1 \ge 50$  seconds and  $t_2 \le 5$  seconds.

		FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	ALLOWABLE VALUE <sup>(a)</sup>
3.	Co	ontainment Isolation					
	a.	Phase A Isolation					
		(1) Manual Initiation	1,2,3,4	2	В	SR 3.3.2.8	NA
		(2) Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays	1,2,3,4	2 trains	С	SR 3.3.2.2 SR 3.3.2.4 SR 3.3.2.6	NA
		(3) Safety Injection	Refer to Function	1 (Safety Injection	on) for all initiation	functions and requirem	ents.
	b.	Phase B Isolation					
		(1) Manual Initiation	1,2,3,4	2 per train, 2 trains	В	SR 3.3.2.8	NA
		(2) Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays	1,2,3,4	2 trains	С	SR 3.3.2.2 SR 3.3.2.4 SR 3.3.2.6	NA
		(3) Containment Pressure - High 3	1,2,3	4	E	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	$\leq$ 28.3 psig
4.	Ste	eam Line Isolation					
	a.	Manual Initiation	1,2 <sup>(i)</sup> , 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	2	F	SR 3.3.2.8	NA
	b.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays (SSPS)	1,2 <sup>(i)</sup> , 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	2 trains	G	SR 3.3.2.2 SR 3.3.2.4 SR 3.3.2.6	NA
	c.	Automatic Actuation Logic (MSFIS)	1,2 <sup>(I)</sup> , 3 <sup>(I)</sup>	2 trains	G	SR 3.3.2.6	NA
	d.	Containment Pressure - High 2	1,2 <sup>(i)</sup> , 3 <sup>(i)</sup>	3	D	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	≤ 18.3 psig
							(continued)

#### Table 3.3.2-1 (page 2 of 5) Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Instrumentation

(a) The Allowable Value defines the Limiting Safety System Setting. See the Bases for the Trip Setpoints.

(i) Except when all MSIVs are closed and de-activated; and all MSIV bypass valves are closed and de-activated, or closed and isolated by a closed manual valve, or isolated by two closed manual valves.

(I) Except when all MSIVs are closed and de-activated.

Table 3.3.2-1 (page 3 of 5)
Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Instrumentation

		FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	ALLOWABLE VALUE <sup>(a)</sup>
4.	Ste (c	am Line Isolation ontinued)					
	e.	Steam Line Pressure					
		(1) Low	1,2 <sup>(i)</sup> ,3 <sup>(b)(i)</sup>	3 per steam line	D	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	≥ 571 psig <sup>(C)</sup>
		(2) Negative Rate - High	3(g)(i)	3 per steam line	D	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	≤ 125 <sup>(h)</sup> psi
5.	Tur Fee	bine Trip and edwater Isolation					
	a.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays (SSPS)	1,2 <sup>(j)</sup> ,3 <sup>(j)</sup>	2 trains	G	SR 3.3.2.2 SR 3.3.2.4 SR 3.3.2.6	NA
	b.	Automatic Actuation Logic (MSFIS)	1,2 <sup>(k)</sup> ,3 <sup>(k)</sup>	2 trains	G	SR 3.3.2.6	NA
	C.	SG Water Level -High High (P-14)	1,2 <sup>(j)</sup>	4 per SG	I	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	≤ 79.7% of Narrow Range Instrument Span
	d.	Safety Injection	Refer to Function 1	(Safety Injectio	n) for all initiation f	unctions and requireme	ents.

(continued)

(a) The Allowable Value defines the Limiting Safety System Setting. See the Bases for the Trip Setpoints.

(b) Above the P-11 (Pressurizer Pressure) Interlock and below P-11 unless the Function is blocked.

(c) Time constants used in the lead/lag controller are  $t_1 \geq 50$  seconds and  $t_2 \leq 5$  seconds.

(g) Below the P-11 (Pressurizer Pressure) Interlock; however, may be blocked below P-11 when safety injection on low steam line pressure is not blocked.

(h) Time constant utilized in the rate/lag controller is  $\geq$  50 seconds.

(i) Except when all MSIVs are closed and de-activated; and all MSIV bypass valves are closed and de-activated, or closed and isolated by a closed manual valve, or isolated by two closed manual valves.

(j) Except when all MFIVs are closed and de-activated; and all MFRVs are closed and de-activated or closed and isolated by a closed manual valve; and all MFRV bypass valves are closed and de-activated, or closed and isolated by a closed manual valve, or isolated by two closed manual valves.

(k) Except when all MFIVs are closed and de-activated.

Table 3.3.2-1 (page 4 of 5)
Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Instrumentation

		FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	ALLOWABLE VALUE <sup>(a)</sup>
6.	Aux	iliary Feedwater					
	a.	Manual Initiation	1,2,3	1 per pump	0	SR 3.3.2.8	NA
	b.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays (Solid State Protection System)	1,2,3	2 trains	G	SR 3.3.2.2 SR 3.3.2.4 SR 3.3.2.6	NA
	c.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays (Balance of Plant ESFAS)	1,2,3	2 trains	Ν	SR 3.3.2.3	NA
	d.	SG Water Level Low - Low	1,2,3	4 per SG	D	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	≥ 22.3% of Narrow Range Instrument Span
	e.	Safety Injection	Refer to Function 1 (	Safety Injection)	for all initiation fur	ctions and requireme	nts.
	f.	Loss of Offsite Power	1,2,3	2 trains	Ρ	SR 3.3.2.7 SR 3.3.2.10	NA
	g.	Trip of all Main Feedwater Pumps	1	2 per pump	J	SR 3.3.2.8	NA
	h.	Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Suction Transfer on Suction Pressure - Low	1,2,3	3	Μ	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10 SR 3.3.2.12	≥ 20.53 psia

(continued)

(a) The Allowable Value defines the Limiting Safety System Setting. See the Bases for the Trip Setpoints.

3.3-36

		FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	ALLOWABLE VALUE <sup>(a)</sup>
7.	Au Co	tomatic Switchover to ntainment Sump					
	a.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays	1,2,3,4	2 trains	С	SR 3.3.2.2 SR 3.3.2.4 SR 3.3.2.6	NA
	b.	Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) Level - Low Low	1,2,3,4	4	К	SR 3.3.2.1 SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9 SR 3.3.2.10	$\ge$ 35.5% of instrument span
		Coincident with Safety Injection	Refer to Function 1	I (Safety Injection	n) for all initiation fu	inctions and requireme	ents.
8.	ES	FAS Interlocks					
	a.	Reactor Trip, P-4 <sup>(m)</sup>	1,2,3	2 per train, 2 trains	F	SR 3.3.2.11	NA
	b.	Pressurizer Pressure, P-11	1,2,3	3	L	SR 3.3.2.5 SR 3.3.2.9	≤ 1979 psig

#### Table 3.3.2-1 (page 5 of 5) Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Instrumentation

(a) The Allowable Value defines the Limiting Safety System Settings. See the Bases for the Trip Setpoints.

(m) The functions of the Reactor Trip, P-4 interlock required to meet the LCO are:

- Trips the main turbine MODES 1 and 2
- Isolates MFW with coincident low  $T_{\text{avg}}$  MODES 1 and 2
- Allows manual block of the automatic reactuation of SI after a manual reset of SI MODES 1, 2, and 3
- Prevents opening of MFIVs if closed on SI or SG Water Level High High MODES 1, 2, and 3

### 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

### 3.3.3 Post Accident Monitoring (PAM) Instrumentation

LCO 3.3.3 The PAM instrumentation for each Function in Table 3.3.3-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2 and 3.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
А.	One or more Functions with one required channel inoperable.	A.1	Restore required channel to OPERABLE status.	30 days
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1	Initiate action in accordance with Specification 5.6.8.	Immediately

(continued)

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
C.	One or more Functions with two or more required channels inoperable.	C.1	Restore all but one channel to OPERABLE status.	7 days
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition C not met.	D.1	Enter the Condition referenced in Table 3.3.3-1 for the channel.	Immediately
E.	As required by Required Action D.1 and referenced in Table 3.3.3-1.	E.1 <u>AND</u> E.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 4.	6 hours 12 hours
F.	As required by Required Action D.1 and referenced in Table 3.3.3-1.	F.1	Initiate action in accordance with Specification 5.6.8.	Immediately

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

## 

-----

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.3.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK for each required instrumentation channel that is normally energized.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.3.2	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

			CONDITION REFERENCED FROM REQUIRED
	FUNCTION	REQUIRED CHANNELS	ACTION D.1
1.	Neutron Flux	2	E
2.	Reactor Coolant System (RCS) Hot Leg Temperature (Wide Range)	2	Е
3.	RCS Cold Leg Temperature (Wide Range)	2	E
4.	RCS Pressure (Wide Range)	2	Е
5.	Reactor Vessel Water Level	2	F
6.	Containment Normal Sump Water Level	2	E
7.	Containment Pressure (Normal Range)	2	E
8.	Steam Line Pressure	2 per steam generator	E
9.	Containment Radiation Level (High Range)	2	F
10.	Not Used		
11.	Pressurizer Water Level	2	E
12.	Steam Generator Water Level (Wide Range)	4	E
13.	Steam Generator Water Level (Narrow Range)	2 per steam generator	E
14.	Core Exit Temperature - Quadrant 1	<sub>2</sub> (a)	E
15.	Core Exit Temperature - Quadrant 2	<sub>2</sub> (a)	E
16.	Core Exit Temperature - Quadrant 3	<sub>2</sub> (a)	E
17.	Core Exit Temperature - Quadrant 4	<sub>2</sub> (a)	E
18.	Auxiliary Feedwater Flow Rate	4	Е
19.	Refueling Water Storage Tank Level	2	Е

#### Table 3.3.3-1 (page 1 of 1) Post Accident Monitoring Instrumentation

(a) A channel consists of two core exit thermocouples (CETs).

#### 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

## 3.3.4 Remote Shutdown System

LCO 3.3.4 The Remote Shutdown System Functions in Table 3.3.4-1 and the required auxiliary shutdown panel (ASP) controls shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, and 3.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
А.	One or more required Functions inoperable. OR One or more required ASP controls inoperable.	A.1	Restore required Function and required ASP controls to OPERABLE status.	30 days
B.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u> B.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 4.	6 hours 12 hours

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.4.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK for each required instrumentation channel that is normally energized.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.4.2	Verify each required auxiliary shutdown panel control circuit and transfer switch is capable of performing the intended function.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.4.3	<ul> <li>NOTESNOTES</li> <li>Neutron detectors are excluded from CHANNEL CALIBRATION.</li> <li>Reactor Trip Breakers and RCP breakers are excluded from CHANNEL CALIBRATION.</li> <li>Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION for each required instrumentation channel.</li> </ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

#### Table 3.3.4-1 (page 1 of 1) Remote Shutdown System Functions

	FUNCTION	REQUIRED CHANNELS
1.	Source Range Neutron Flux <sup>a</sup>	1
2.	Reactor Trip Breaker Position	1 per trip breaker
3.	Pressurizer Pressure	1
4.	RCS Wide Range Pressure	1
5.	RCS Hot Leg Temperature	1
6.	RCS Cold Leg Temperature	1
7.	SG Pressure	1 per SG
8.	SG Level	1 per SG
9.	AFW Flow Rate	1
10.	RCP Breakers	1 per pump
11.	AFW Suction Pressure	1
12.	Pressurizer Level	1

a. Not required OPERABLE in MODE 1 or in MODE 2 above the P-6 setpoint.

#### 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

3.3.5 Loss of Power (LOP) Diesel Generator (DG) Start Instrumentation

LCO 3.3.5 Four channels per 4-kV NB bus of the loss of voltage Function and four channels per 4-kV NB bus of the degraded voltage Function shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4, When associated DG is required to be OPERABLE by LCO 3.8.2, "AC Sources - Shutdown."

## ACTIONS

CONDITION		F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME	
Α.	One or more Functions with one channel per bus inoperable.	NOTE The inoperable channel may be bypassed for up to 4 hours for surveillance testing of other channels.			
		A.1	Place channel in trip.	6 hours	
В.	One or more Functions with two or more channels per bus inoperable. <u>OR</u> Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1	Declare associated load shedder and emergency load sequencer (LSELS) inoperable.	Immediately	

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.5.1	Not Used.	
SR 3.3.5.2	NOTE Verification of time delays is not required.  Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.5.3	<ul> <li>Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION with nominal Trip Setpoint and Allowable Value as follows:</li> <li>a. Loss of voltage Allowable Value ≥ 82.5V, 120V bus with a time delay of 1.0 + 0.2, -0.5 sec.</li> <li>Loss of voltage nominal Trip Setpoint 83V, 120V bus with a time delay of 1.0 sec.</li> <li>b. Degraded voltage Allowable Value ≥ 105.9V, 120V bus with a time delay of 119 ± 11.6 sec.</li> <li>Degraded voltage nominal Trip Setpoint 106.9V, 120V bus with a time delay of 119 sec.</li> </ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.5.4	Verify LOP DG Start ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

#### 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

- 3.3.6 Containment Purge Isolation Instrumentation
- LCO 3.3.6 The Containment Purge Isolation instrumentation for each Function in Table 3.3.6-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: According to Table 3.3.6-1.

#### ACTIONS


	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
А.	Only applicable in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4. One or more Functions with one or more channels or trains inoperable.	A.1	Place and maintain containment purge supply and exhaust valves in closed position.	Immediately

(continued)

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
B.	NOTE Only applicable during CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.	В.1 <u>OR</u>	Place and maintain containment purge supply and exhaust valves in closed position.	Immediately
	One or more Functions with one or more channels or trains inoperable.	В.2	Enter applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.9.4, "Containment Penetrations," for containment purge supply and exhaust valves made inoperable by isolation instrumentation.	Immediately

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

-----NOTE-----NOTE Refer to Table 3.3.6-1 to determine which SRs apply for each Containment Purge Isolation Function.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.6.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.6.2	NOTENOTE The continuity check may be excluded.	
	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.6.3	Perform COT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.6.4	NOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.6.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.6.6	Verify Containment Purge Isolation ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# Table 3.3.6-1 (page 1 of 1) Containment Purge Isolation Instrumentation

	FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	TRIP SETPOINT
1.	Manual Initiation	1,2,3,4, (a),(b)	2	SR 3.3.6.4	NA
2.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays (BOP ESFAS)	1,2,3,4, (a),(b)	2 trains	SR 3.3.6.2 SR 3.3.6.6	NA
3.	Containment Atmosphere - Gaseous Radioactivity	1,2,3,4, (a),(b)	1	SR 3.3.6.1 SR 3.3.6.3 SR 3.3.6.5	(c)
4.	Containment Isolation - Phase A	Refer to LCO 3.3.2	e, "ESFAS Instrumentation," Fu	Inction 3.a, for all initiation fu	unctions and requirements.

During CORE ALTERATIONS. (a) (b)

During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment. Trip setpoint concentration value ( $\mu$ Ci/cm<sup>3</sup>) is to be established such that the actual submersion rate would not exceed (c) 9 mR/h in the containment building.

## 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

3.3.7 Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS) Actuation Instrumentation

LCO 3.3.7 The CREVS actuation instrumentation for each Function in Table 3.3.7-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: According to Table 3.3.7-1.

#### ACTIONS

A. One or more Functions with A.1 Place one CREVS train in 7 days		CONDITION	I	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
one channel or train inoperable.	A. O oi in	One or more Functions with ne channel or train noperable.	A.1	Place one CREVS train in Control Room Ventilation Isolation Signal (CRVIS) mode.	7 days

(continued)

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
В.	NOTENOTE Not applicable to Function 3.	B.1.1 <u>ANI</u>	Place one CREVS train in the CRVIS mode.	Immediately
	One or more Functions with two channels or two trains inoperable.	B.1.2	Enter applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.7.10, "Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS)," for one CREVS train made inoperable by inoperable CREVS actuation instrumentation.	Immediately
		<u>OR</u>		
		B.2	Place both trains in CRVIS mode.	Immediately
C.	Both radiation monitoring channels inoperable.	C.1.1	Enter applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.7.10, "Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS)," for one CREVS train made inoperable by inoperable CREVS actuation instrumentation.	Immediately
		AND		
		C.1.2	Place one CREVS train in CRVIS mode.	1 hour
		<u>OR</u>		
		C.2	Place both trains in CRVIS mode.	1 hour

(continued)

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A, B	D .1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
	or C not met in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4.	D .2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A, B or C not met during	E.1 <u>AND</u>	Suspend CORE ALTERATIONS.	Immediately
	movement of irradiated fuel assemblies or during CORE ALTERATIONS.	E .2	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies.	Immediately

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

Peter to Table 2.2.7.1 to determine which SPa apply for each CPEVS Actuation Eurotion

Refer to Table 3.3.7-1 to determine which SRs apply for each CREVS Actuation Function.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.7.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.7.2	Perform COT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.7.3	NOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.7.4	NOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.7.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.7.6	NOTE Radiation monitor detectors are excluded from response time testing.	
	Verify Control Room Ventilation Isolation ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

#### Table 3.3.7-1 (page 1 of 1) CREVS Actuation Instrumentation

	FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR OTHER SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	TRIP SETPOINT
1.	Manual Initiation	1, 2, 3, 4, (a) and (c)	2	SR 3.3.7.4	NA
2.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays (BOP ESFAS)	1, 2, 3, 4, (a) and (c)	2 trains	SR 3.3.7.3 SR 3.3.7.6	NA
3.	Control Room Radiation- Control Room Air Intakes	1, 2, 3, 4, (a) and (c)	2	SR 3.3.7.1 SR 3.3.7.2 SR 3.3.7.5 SR 3.3.7.6	(b)
4.	Containment Isolation - Phase A	Refer to LCO 3.3.2, "E requirements.	SFAS Instrumentat	tion," Function 3.a, for all initia	ation functions and

(a) During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies.

(b) Trip Setpoint concentration value ( $\mu$ Ci/cm<sup>3</sup>) is to be established such that the actual submersion dose rate would not exceed 2 mR/hr in the control room.

(c) During CORE ALTERATIONS.

#### 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

- 3.3.8 Emergency Exhaust System (EES) Actuation Instrumentation
- LCO 3.3.8 The EES actuation instrumentation for each Function in Table 3.3.8-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: According to Table 3.3.8-1.

ACTIONS

-----NOTES------

- 1. LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable.
- 2. Separate Condition entry is allowed for each Function. ------

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	One or more Functions with one channel or train inoperable.	A.1	Place one EES train in the Fuel Building Ventilation Isolation Signal (FBVIS) mode.	7 days
				(continuoc

(continued)

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
В.	NOTE Not applicable to Function 3.	B.1.1 <u>ANI</u>	Place one EES train in the FBVIS mode.	Immediately
	One or more Functions with two channels or two trains inoperable.	B.1.2	Enter applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.7.13, "Emergency Exhaust System (EES)," for one EES train made inoperable by inoperable EES actuation instrumentation.	Immediately
		<u>OR</u>		
		B.2	Place both trains in the FBVIS mode.	Immediately
C.	Both radiation monitoring channels inoperable.	C.1.1	Enter the applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.7.13, "Emergency Exhaust System (EES)," for one EES train made inoperable by inoperable EES actuation instrumentation.	Immediately
		AND		
		C.1.2	Place one EES train in the FBVIS mode.	1 hour
		<u>OR</u>		
		C.2	Place both EES trains in the FBVIS mode.	1 hour

(continued)

	CONDITION	TON REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A, B or C not met during movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.	D.1	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.	Immediately

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.3.8.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.8.2	Perform COT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.8.3	NOTE The continuity check may be excluded.  Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.3.8.4	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.8.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# Table 3.3.8-1 (page 1 of 1) EES Actuation Instrumentation

	FUNCTION	APPLICABLE MODES OR SPECIFIED CONDITIONS	REQUIRED CHANNELS	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	TRIP SETPOINT
1.	Manual Initiation	(a)	2	SR 3.3.8.4	NA
2.	Automatic Actuation Logic and Actuation Relays (BOP ESFAS)	(a)	2 trains	SR 3.3.8.3	NA
3.	Fuel Building Exhaust Radiation - Gaseous	(a)	2	SR 3.3.8.1 SR 3.3.8.2 SR 3.3.8.5	(b)

(a) (b) During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building. Trip Setpoint concentration value ( $\mu$ Ci/cm<sup>3</sup>) is to be established such that the actual submersion dose rate would not exceed 4 mR/hr in the fuel building.

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
В.	(continued)	B.2	NOTE THERMAL POWER does not have to be reduced to comply with this Required Action.  Perform SR 3.4.1.3.	Prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 50% RTP <u>AND</u> Prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP <u>AND</u> 24 hours after THERMAL POWER reaching ≥ 95% RTP
C.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A or B not met.	C.1	Be in MODE 2.	6 hours

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.1.1	Verify pressurizer pressure is greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.1.2	Verify RCS average temperature is less than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.1.3	Verify RCS total flow rate is $\geq$ 361,200 gpm and greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.1.4	Not required to be performed until 7 days after $\geq$ 95% RTP. Verify by precision heat balance that RCS total flow rate is $\geq$ 361,200 gpm and greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
### 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

## 3.4.2 RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality

# LCO 3.4.2 Each RCS operating loop average temperature $(T_{avg})$ shall be $\geq 551^{\circ}F$ .

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	T <sub>avg</sub> in one or more operating RCS loops not within limit.	A.1	Be in MODE 2 with k <sub>eff</sub> < 1.0.	30 minutes

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.2.1	Verify RCS $T_{avg}$ in each operating loop $\ge 551^{\circ}F$ .	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
C.	NOTE Required Action C.2 shall be completed whenever this Condition is entered.	C.1 <u>AND</u>	Initiate action to restore parameter(s) to within limits.	Immediately
	Requirements of LCO not met any time in other than MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4.	C.2	Determine RCS is acceptable for continued operation.	Prior to entering MODE 4

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.3.1NOTENOTE Only required to be performed during RC and cooldown operations and RCS inser hydrostatic testing. 	and RCS e limits In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

## 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

3.4.4 RCS Loops - MODES 1 and 2

LCO 3.4.4 Four RCS loops shall be OPERABLE and in operation.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1 and 2.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	Requirements of LCO not met.	A.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.4.1	Verify each RCS loop is in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.5.1	Verify required RCS loops are in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.5.2	Verify steam generator secondary side narrow range water levels are $\geq$ 6% for required RCS loops.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.5.3	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required pump that is not in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	(continued)	A.2	NOTE Only required if one RHR loop is OPERABLE.	
			Be in MODE 5.	24 hours
В.	3. Required loops inoperable. B.1 Suspend op would cause	Suspend operations that would cause introduction	that Immediately ction	
	<u>OR</u>		into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less	
	No RCS or RHR loop in operation.		than required to meet SDM of LCO 3.1.1.	
		<u>AND</u>		
		B.2	Initiate action to restore one loop to OPERABLE status and operation.	Immediately

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.6.1	Verify one RHR or RCS loop is in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.6.2	Verify SG secondary side narrow range water levels are $\ge$ 6% for required RCS loops.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.4.6.3	SR 3.4.6.3 Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required pump that is not in operation.		
SR 3.4.6.4	NOTENOTE Not required to be performed until 12 hours after entering MODE 4.		
	Verify required RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	

CONDITION		F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	One RHR loop inoperable. <u>AND</u>	A.1	Initiate action to restore a second RHR loop to OPERABLE status.	Immediately
	Required SGs secondary side water levels not within limits.	<u>OR</u> A.2	Initiate action to restore required SG secondary side water levels to within limits.	Immediately
B.	Required RHR loops inoperable. <u>OR</u> No RHR loop in operation.	B.1	Suspend operations that would cause introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet SDM of LCO 3.1.1.	Immediately
		B.2	Initiate action to restore one RHR loop to OPERABLE status and operation.	Immediately

### ACTIONS

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.7.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.7.2	Verify SG secondary side wide range water level is $\ge 66\%$ in required SGs.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.7.3	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.7.4	Verify required RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
В.	Required RHR loops inoperable. <u>OR</u> No RHR loop in operation	B.1	Suspend operations that would cause introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet the SDM of LCO 3.1.1.	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		B.2	Initiate action to restore one RHR loop to OPERABLE status and operation.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.8.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.8.2	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.8.3	Verify RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
В.	One required group of pressurizer heaters inoperable.	B.1	Restore required group of pressurizer heaters to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
C.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition B not met.	C.1 <u>AND</u> C.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 4.	6 hours 12 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.9.1	Verify pressurizer water level is $\leq$ 92%.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.9.2	Verify capacity of each required group of pressurizer heaters is $\ge$ 150 kW.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
F.	More than one block valve inoperable.	NOTE Required Actions do not apply when block valve is inoperable solely as a result of complying with Required Actions B.2 or E.2.		
		F.1	Place associated PORVs in manual control.	1 hour
		AND		
		F.2	Restore one block valve to OPERABLE status.	2 hours
G.	Required Action and associated Completion	G.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
	met.	G.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.11.1	Not required to be performed with block valve closed in accordance with the Required Actions of this LCO.	In accordance with
	Penorm a complete cycle of each block valve.	the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.11.2	Perform a complete cycle of each PORV.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.12.1	Verify a maximum of zero safety injection pumps are capable of injecting into the RCS.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.12.2	Verify a maximum of one ECCS centrifugal charging pump and the normal charging pump capable of injecting into the RCS.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.12.3	Verify each accumulator is isolated when accumulator pressure is greater than or equal to the maximum RCS pressure for the existing RCS cold leg temperature allowed by the P/T limit curves provided in the PTLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.12.4	Verify RHR suction isolation valves are open for each required RHR suction relief valve.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.12.5	Verify required RCS vent $\geq$ 2.0 square inches open.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.12.6	Verify PORV block valve is open for each required PORV.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.12.7	Not Used.	
SR 3.4.12.8	NOTE Not required to be performed until 12 hours after decreasing any RCS cold leg temperature to ≤ 368°F.  Perform a COT on each required PORV, excluding actuation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.12.9	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION for each required PORV actuation channel.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.13.1	<ul> <li>NOTESNOTES</li> <li>Not required to be performed until 12 hours after establishment of steady state operation.</li> <li>Not applicable to primary to secondary LEAKAGE.</li> <li>Verify RCS operational LEAKAGE is within limits by performance of RCS water inventory balance.</li> </ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.13.2	Not required to be performed until 12 hours after establishment of steady state operation. 	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.14.1	<ol> <li>Not required to be performed in MODES 3 and 4.</li> <li>Not required to be performed on the RCS PIVs located in the RHR flow path when in the shutdown cooling mode of operation.</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>RCS PIVs actuated during the performance of this Surveillance are not required to be tested more than once if a repetitive testing loop cannot be avoided.</li> </ol>	
	Verify leakage from each RCS PIV is equivalent to $\leq 0.5$ gpm per nominal inch of valve size up to a maximum of 5 gpm at an RCS pressure $\geq 2215$ psig and $\leq 2255$ psig.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		AND
		Prior to entering MODE 2 whenever the unit has been in MODE 5 for 7 days or more, and if leakage testing has not been performed in the previous 9 months
		AND
		Within 24 hours following check valve actuation due to flow through the valve
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.14.2 Ve	erify RHR suction isolation valve interlock prevents	In accordance with
the	e valves from being opened with a simulated or	the Surveillance
ac	tual RCS pressure signal $\geq$ 425 psig except when	Frequency Control
the	e valves are open to satisfy LCO 3.4.12.	Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.4.15.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.15.2	Perform COT of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.15.3	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment sump level and flow monitoring system.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.15.4	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.15.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment cooler condensate monitoring system.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.16.1	NOTENOTE Only required to be performed in MODE 1.	
	Verify reactor coolant DOSE EQUIVALENT XE-133 specific activity $\leq$ 500 $\mu Ci/gm.$	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.16.2	NOTENOTE Only required to be performed in MODE 1.	
	Verify reactor coolant DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 specific activity $\leq$ 1.0 $\mu$ Ci/gm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		AND
		Between 2 and 6 hours after a THERMAL POWER change of ≥ 15% RTP within a 1 hour period

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.1.1	Verify each accumulator isolation valve is fully open.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.1.2	Verify borated water volume in each accumulator is $\geq$ 6122 gallons and $\leq$ 6594 gallons.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.1.3	Verify nitrogen cover pressure in each accumulator is $\geq$ 585 psig and $\leq$ 665 psig.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.1.4	Verify boron concentration in each accumulator is $\geq$ 2300 ppm and $\leq$ 2500 ppm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program <u>AND</u> NOTE Only required to be performed for affected accumulators  Once within 6 hours after each solution volume increase of $\geq$ 70 gallons that is not the result of addition from the refueling water storage tank

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE			
SR 3.5.1.5	Verify power is removed from each accumulator isolation valve operator when RCS pressure is > 1000 psig.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		

### 3.5 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)

#### 3.5.2 ECCS - Operating

LCO 3.5.2 Two ECCS trains shall be OPERABLE.

-----NOTES-----

- 1. In MODE 3, both safety injection (SI) pump flow paths may be isolated by closing the isolation valves for up to 2 hours to perform pressure isolation valve testing per SR 3.4.14.1.
- Operation in MODE 3 with ECCS pumps made incapable of injecting pursuant to LCO 3.4.12, "Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System," is allowed for up to 4 hours or until the temperature of all RCS cold legs exceeds 375°F, whichever comes first.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, and 3.

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	One or more trains inoperable.	A.1	Restore train(s) to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
B.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u> B.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 4.	6 hours 12 hours
C.	Less than 100% of the ECCS flow equivalent to a single OPERABLE ECCS train available.	C.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

#### ACTIONS

	FREQUENCY			
SR 3.5.2.1 Verify the following valves are in the listed position with power to the valve operator removed.				In accordance with the Surveillance
<u>Number</u> BN HV-8	813	<u>Position</u> Open	Function Safety Injection to RWST	Program
EM HV-8	3802A	Closed	Isolation Valve SI Hot Legs 2 & 3 Isolation	
EM HV-8	3802B	Closed	SI Hot Legs 1 & 4 Isolation	
EM HV-8	3835	Open	Safety Injection Cold Leg Isolation Valve	
EJ HV-8	840	Closed	RHR/SI Hot Leg Recirc Isolation Valve	
EJ HV-8	809A	Open	RHR to Accum Inject Loops 1 & 2 Isolation Valve	
EJ HV-0	0090	Open	3 & 4 Isolation Valve	
SR 3.5.2.2	SR 3.5.2.2NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE		DTE et for system vent flow paths strative control.	
	Verify e automa sealed, correct	each ECCS ma atic valve in the or otherwise s position.	anual, power operated, and e flow path, that is not locked, secured in position, is in the	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.2.3	.3 Verify ECCS locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.			In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.2.4	Verify e flow po develop	each ECCS pu int is greater tl ped head.	mp's developed head at the test han or equal to the required	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.5.2.5	Verify each ECCS automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.2.6	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	
SR 3.5.2.7	Verify, for each ECCS throttle valve listed below, each mechanical position stop is in the correct position. <u>Valve Number</u>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	EM-V0095EM-V0107EM-V0089EM-V0096EM-V0108EM-V0090EM-V0097EM-V0109EM-V0091EM-V0098EM-V0110EM-V0092	
SR 3.5.2.8	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	

#### 3.5 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)

#### 3.5.3 ECCS-Shutdown

#### LCO 3.5.3 One ECCS train shall be OPERABLE.

An RHR subsystem may be considered OPERABLE during alignment and operation for decay heat removal, if capable of being manually realigned to the ECCS mode of operation.

#### APPLICABILITY: MODE 4.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	Required ECCS residual heat removal (RHR) subsystem inoperable.	A.1	Initiate action to restore required ECCS RHR subsystem to OPERABLE status.	Immediately
В.	Required ECCS centrifugal charging pump (CCP) subsystem inoperable.	B.1	Restore required ECCS CCP subsystem to OPERABLE status.	1 hour
C.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition B not met.	C.1	Be in MODE 5.	24 hours

	FREQUENCY		
SR 3.5.3.1	The following SRs are applicable for all equipment required to be OPERABLE:		In accordance with applicable SRs
	SR 3.5.2.1 SR 3.5.2.3 SR 3.5.2.4	SR 3.5.2.7 SR 3.5.2.8	

# 3.5 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)

3.5.4 Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST)

LCO 3.5.4 The RWST shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	RWST boron concentration not within limits. <u>OR</u> RWST borated water	A.1	Restore RWST to OPERABLE status.	8 hours
	temperature not within limits.			
В.	RWST inoperable for reasons other than Condition A.	B.1	Restore RWST to OPERABLE status.	1 hour
C.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	C.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		C.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.5.4.1	NOTE Only required to be performed when ambient air temperature is < 37°F or > 100°F.	
	Verify RWST borated water temperature is $\ge 37^{\circ}F$ and $\le 100^{\circ}F$ .	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.4.2	Verify RWST borated water volume is $\ge$ 394,000 gallons.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.4.3	Verify RWST boron concentration is $\ge$ 2400 ppm and $\le$ 2500 ppm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 3.5 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS (ECCS)

### 3.5.5 Seal Injection Flow

LCO 3.5.5 Reactor coolant pump seal injection flow to each RCP seal shall be within the limits of Figure 3.5.5-1.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, and 3.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	Seal injection flow not within limit.	A.1	Adjust manual seal injection throttle valves to give a flow within the limits of Figure 3.5.5-1.	4 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.5.1	Not required to be performed until 4 hours after the Reactor Coolant System pressure stabilizes at ≥ 2215 psig and ≤ 2255 psig. Verify manual seal injection throttle valves are adjusted to give a flow within the limits of Figure 3.5.5-1.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program





	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.6.2.1	<ul> <li>NOTES</li></ul>	In accordance with the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program
SR 3.6.2.2	Verify only one door in the air lock can be opened at a time.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	(continued)	D.3	Perform SR 3.6.3.6 or SR 3.6.3.7 for the resilient seal purge valves closed to comply with Required Action D.1.	Once per 92 days
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	E.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		E.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.3.1	Verify each containment shutdown purge valve is sealed closed except for one purge valve in a penetration flow path while in Condition D of this LCO.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program <u>AND</u> Prior to entering MODE 4 from MODE 5 if not performed within the previous 92 days for isolation devices inside containment

(continued)

	FREQUENCT
Verify each containment mini-purge valve is closed, except when the containment mini-purge valves are open for pressure control, ALARA or air quality considerations for personnel entry, or for Surveillances that require the valves to be open.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
NOTENOTE Valves and blind flanges in high radiation areas may be verified by use of administrative controls.	
Verify each containment isolation manual valve and blind flange that is located outside containment and not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured and required to be closed during accident conditions is closed, except for containment isolation valves that are open under administrative controls.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
NOTENOTE Valves and blind flanges in high radiation areas may be verified by use of administrative means.	
Verify each containment isolation manual valve and blind flange that is located inside containment and not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured and required to be closed during accident conditions is closed, except for containment isolation valves that are open under administrative controls.	Prior to entering MODE 4 from MODE 5 if not performed within the previous 92 days
Verify the isolation time of each automatic power operated containment isolation valve is within limits.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
	Verify each containment mini-purge valve is closed, except when the containment mini-purge valves are open for pressure control, ALARA or air quality considerations for personnel entry, or for Surveillances that require the valves to be open. 

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.3.6	NOTE Only required to be performed when containment shutdown purge valve blind flanges are installed.	
	Perform leakage rate testing for containment shutdown purge valves with resilient seals and associated blind flanges.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		AND
		Following each reinstallation of the blind flange
SR 3.6.3.7	NOTE Only required to be performed for the containment shutdown purge valves when associated blind flanges are removed.	
	Perform leakage rate testing for containment mini-purge and shutdown purge valves with resilient seals.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program <u>AND</u> Within 92 days after opening the valve
SR 3.6.3.8	Verify each automatic containment isolation valve that is not locked, sealed or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

#### 3.6.4 Containment Pressure

LCO 3.6.4 Containment pressure shall be  $\geq$  -0.3 psig and  $\leq$  + 1.5 psig.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	Containment pressure not within limits.	A.1	Restore containment pressure to within limits.	1 hour
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.4.1	Verify containment pressure is within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

#### 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

## 3.6.5 Containment Air Temperature

LCO 3.6.5 Containment average air temperature shall be  $\leq 120^{\circ}$ F.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	Containment average air temperature not within limit.	A.1	Restore containment average air temperature to within limit.	8 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.5.1	Verify containment average air temperature is within limit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
D.	Two containment cooling trains inoperable.	D.1	Restore one containment cooling train to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition C or D not met.	E.1 <u>AND</u> E.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 36 hours
F.	Two containment spray trains inoperable. <u>OR</u> Any combination of three or more trains inoperable.	F.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.6.1	Not required to be met for system vent flow paths opened under administrative control. Verify each containment spray manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.6.2	Operate each containment cooling train fan unit for $\ge$ 15 minutes.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.6.6.3	Not Used.	
SR 3.6.6.4	Verify each containment spray pump's developed head at the flow test point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR 3.6.6.5	Verify each automatic containment spray valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.6.6.6	Verify each containment spray pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.6.6.7	Verify each containment cooling train starts automatically and minimum cooling water flow rate is established on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.6.6.8	Verify each spray nozzle is unobstructed.	Following maintenance which could result in nozzle blockage

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.6.9	Verify containment spray locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

#### 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

3.6.7 Spray Additive System

LCO 3.6.7 The Spray Additive System shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	Spray Additive System inoperable.	A.1	Restore Spray Additive System to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u> B.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 84 hours

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.7.1	Verify each spray additive manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.6.7.2	Verify spray additive tank solution volume is $\ge$ 4340 gal and $\le$ 4540 gal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.6.7.3	Verify spray additive tank solution concentration is $\ge 28\%$ and $\le 31\%$ by weight.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.6.7.4	Verify each spray additive automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.6.7.5	Verify spray additive flow rate from each solution's flow path.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
I.	NOTE Separate Condition entry is allowed for each MSIV.	l.1 <u>AND</u>	Close MSIV.	8 hours
	One or more MSIV inoperable in MODE 2 or 3.	1.2	Verify MSIV is closed.	Once per 7 days
J.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition H or I not met	J.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		J.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.2.1NOTE		
	Verify the isolation time of each MSIV is within limits.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR 3.7.2.2	Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2.	
	Verify each actuator train actuates the MSIV to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.7.2.3	Verify each MSIV bypass valve actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.2.4	Verify isolation time of each MSIV bypass valve is within limit.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.3.2	SR 3.7.3.2NOTENOTE Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2. 	
	signal.	Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.3.3	NOTENOTE Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2.	
	Verify each MFRV and MFRV bypass valve actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	With one or more of the ARVs inoperable because of excessive seat leakage.	D.1 <u>AND</u>	Initiate action to close the associated block valve(s).	Immediately
		D.2	Restore ARV(s) to OPERABLE staus.	30 days
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	E.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		E.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.4.1	Verify one complete cycle of each ARV.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR 3.7.4.2	Verify one complete cycle of each ARV block valve.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION		I	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
C.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A or B not met. OR	C.1 <u>AND</u> C.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 4.	6 hours 12 hours
	Two AFW trains inoperable.			
D.	Three AFW trains inoperable.	D.1	NOTE LCO 3.0.3 and all other LCO Required Actions requiring MODE changes are suspended until one AFW train is restored to OPERABLE status.  Initiate action to restore one AFW train to OPERABLE status.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.5.1	Not required to be performed for the AFW flow control valves until the system is placed in standby or THERMAL POWER is > 10% RTP. 	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.7.5.2	NOTENOTE Not required to be performed for the turbine driven AFW pump until 24 hours after $\geq$ 900 psig in the steam generator.	
	Verify the developed head of each AFW pump at the flow test point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Test Program
SR 3.7.5.3	Verify each AFW automatic valve that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.5.4	NOTENOTE Not required to be performed for the turbine driven AFW pump until 24 hours after $\geq$ 900 psig in the steam generator.	
	Verify each AFW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.5.5	Verify proper alignment of the required AFW flow paths by verifying flow from the condensate storage tank to each steam generator.	Prior to entering MODE 2 whenever unit has been in MODE 5 or 6 for > 30 days

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.6.1	Verify the CST contained water volume is $\geq$ 281,000 gal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.7.7.1	NOTE	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.7.2	Verify each CCW automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.7.3	Verify each CCW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
B.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.7.8.1	NOTE Isolation of ESW System flow to individual components does not render the ESW System inoperable.	
	Verify each ESW manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path servicing safety related equipment, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.8.2	Verify each ESW automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.8.3	Verify each ESW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.9.1	Verify water level of UHS is $\ge$ 1070 ft mean sea level.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.9.2	Verify plant inlet water temperature of UHS is $\leq$ 90°F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

701				
	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
F.	Two CREVS trains inoperable in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4 for reasons other than Condition B.	F.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.10.1	Operate each CREVS train pressurization filter unit for $\ge$ 15 continuous minutes with the heaters operating and each CREVS train filtration filter unit for $\ge$ 15 continuous minutes.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.10.2	Perform required CREVS filter testing in accordance with the Ventilation Filter Testing Program (VFTP).	In accordance with the VFTP
SR 3.7.10.3	Verify each CREVS train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.10.4	Perform required unfiltered air inleakage testing of the CRE and CBE boundaries in accordance with the Control Room Envelope Habitability Program.	In accordance with the Control Room Habitability Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.11.1	Verify each CRACS train has the capability to remove the assumed heat load.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
E.	Two EES trains inoperable for reasons other than Condition B during movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.	E.1	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.13.1	Operate each EES train for $\ge$ 15 continuous minutes with the heaters operating.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.13.2	Perform required EES filter testing in accordance with the Ventilation Filter Testing Program (VFTP).	In accordance with the VFTP
SR 3.7.13.3	Verify each EES train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.13.4	Verify one EES train can maintain a negative pressure $\ge 0.25$ inches water gauge with respect to atmospheric pressure in the auxiliary building during the SIS mode of operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.13.5	Verify one EES train can maintain a negative pressure $\geq$ 0.25 inches water gauge with respect to atmospheric pressure in the fuel building during the FBVIS mode of operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

## 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

- 3.7.15 Fuel Storage Pool Water Level
- LCO 3.7.15 The fuel storage pool water level shall be  $\ge$  23 ft over the top of irradiated fuel assemblies seated in the storage racks.
- APPLICABILITY: During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel storage pool.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	Fuel storage pool water level not within limit.	A.1	NOTE LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable.  Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel storage pool.	Immediately

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.15.1 Verify the fuel storage pool water level is $\ge$ 23 ft above the top of the irradiated fuel assemblies seated in the storage racks.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.16.1	Verify the fuel storage pool boron concentration is within limit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

## 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

### 3.7.18 Secondary Specific Activity

LCO 3.7.18 The specific activity of the secondary coolant shall be  $\leq$  0.10  $\mu$ Ci/gm DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	Specific activity not within limit.	A.1 AND	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		A.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.18.1	Verify the specific activity of the secondary coolant is $\leq$ 0.10 $\mu$ Ci/gm DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.19.1	Verify each automatic SSIV in the flow path is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.19.2	Verify the isolation time of each automatic SSIV is within limit.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR 3.7.19.3	Verify each automatic SSIV in the flow path actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.20.1	Verify each Class 1E electrical equipment A/C train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.7.20.2	Verify each Class 1E electrical equipment A/C train has the capability to remove the assumed heat load.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.1	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power availability for each offsite circuit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.1.2	<ul> <li>NOTES</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.3	<ol> <li>DG loadings may include gradual loading as recommended by the manufacturer.</li> <li>Momentary transients outside the load range do not invalidate this test.</li> <li>This Surveillance shall be conducted on only one DG at a time.</li> <li>This SR shall be preceded by and immediately follow without shutdown a successful performance of SP 3.8.1.2 or SP 3.8.1.7</li> </ol>	
	Verify each DG is synchronized and loaded and operates for $\ge$ 60 minutes at a load $\ge$ 5650 kW and $\le$ 6201 kW.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.1.4	Verify each fuel oil transfer pump starts on low level in the associated day tank standpipe.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.1.5	Check for and remove accumulated water from each day tank.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.1.6	Verify each fuel oil transfer system operates to transfer fuel oil from the storage tank to the day tank.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.7	NOTE All DG starts may be preceded by an engine prelube period. 	In accordance with
	achieves: a. In $\leq$ 12 seconds, voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz; and	the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	b. Steady state voltage $\ge$ 3950 V and $\le$ 4320 V, and frequency $\ge$ 59.4 Hz and $\le$ 60.6 Hz.	
SR 3.8.1.8	Not Used.	
SR 3.8.1.9	Not Used.	
SR 3.8.1.10	If performed with DG synchronized with offsite power, it shall be performed at a power factor $\leq 0.9$ . However, if grid conditions do not permit, the power factor limit is not required to be met. Under this condition, the power factor shall be maintained as close to the limit as practicable.	
	Verify each DG does not trip and voltage is maintained $\leq$ 4992 V and frequency is maintained $\leq$ 65.4 Hz during and following a load rejection of $\geq$ 5650 kW and $\leq$ 6201 kW.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

		S	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.11	1. 2. Verifi signa a. b. c.	S All D prelu This in MC Surve OPE deter or en y on al al: De-e Load DG a 1.	SURVEILLANCE 	FREQUENCY In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		2.	energizes auto-connected shutdown loads through the shutdown sequencer,	
		3.	maintains steady state voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and $\leq$ 4320 V,	
		4.	maintains steady state frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz and $\leq$ 60.6 Hz, and	
		5.	supplies permanently connected and auto-connected shutdown loads for $\geq 5$ minutes.	

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.12	1. 2.	All DG starts may be preceded by a prelube period. This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1 or 2. However, portions of the Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the plant is maintained or enhanced.	
	Verif Feat from	y on an actual or simulated Engineered Safety ure (ESF) actuation signal each DG auto-starts standby condition and:	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	a.	In $\leq$ 12 seconds after auto-start and during tests, achieves voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz;	
	b.	Achieves steady state voltage $\ge$ 3950 V and $\le$ 4320 V, and frequency $\ge$ 59.4 Hz and $\le$ 60.6 Hz;	
	C.	Operates for $\geq$ 5 minutes;	
	d.	Permanently connected loads remain energized from the offsite power system; and	
	e.	Emergency loads are auto-connected and energized through the LOCA sequencer from the offsite power system.	

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.13	Verif actua emei ESF	y each DG's automatic trips are bypassed on al or simulated loss of voltage signal on the rgency bus concurrent with an actual or simulated actuation signal except:	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	a.	Engine overspeed;	
	b.	Generator differential current;	
	C.	Low lube oil pressure;	
	d.	High crankcase pressure;	
	e.	Start failure relay; and	
	f.	High jacket coolant temperature.	

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.14	<ul> <li>Momentary transients outside the load and power factor ranges do not invalidate this test.</li> </ul>	
	2. If performed with DG synchronized with onsite power, it shall be performed at a power factor ≤ 0.9. However, if grid conditions do not permit, the power factor limit is not required to be met. Under this condition, the power factor shall be maintained as close to the limit as practicable.	
	Verify each DG operates for $\ge 24$ hours:	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control
	a. For $\geq 2$ from s loaded $\geq 0.500$ kW and $\leq 0.521$ kW, and	Program
	b. For the remaining hours of the test loaded $\geq$ 5650 kW and $\leq$ 6201 kW.	
SR 3.8.1.15	NOTES	
	<ol> <li>This Surveillance shall be performed within 5 minutes of shutting down the DG after the DG has operated ≥ 2 hours loaded ≥ 5650 kW and ≤ 6201 kW. Momentary transients outside of load range do not invalidate this test.</li> </ol>	
	2. All DG starts may be preceded by an engine prelube period.	
	Verify each DG starts and achieves:	In accordance with the Surveillance
	a. In $\leq$ 12 seconds, voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz; and	Frequency Control Program
	b. Steady state voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and $\leq$ 4320 V, and frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz and $\leq$ 60.6 Hz.	

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.16	<ul> <li>NOTE</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.1.17	<ul> <li>NOTE</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.18	This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1 or 2. However, this Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the plant is maintained or enhanced. Verify interval between each sequenced load block is within $\pm$ 10% of design interval for each LOCA and shutdown sequence timer.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

		S	URVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.19	 1. 2.	All D prelu This in MC Surve OPE deter or en	G starts may be preceded by an engine be period. Surveillance shall not normally be performed DDE 1 or 2. However, portions of the eillance may be performed to reestablish RABILITY provided an assessment mines the safety of the plant is maintained hanced	
	Veri sign Injed	ify on ai ial in co ction się	n actual or simulated loss of offsite power njunction with an actual or simulated Safety gnal:	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	a.	De-e	nergization of emergency buses;	
	b.	Load	shedding from emergency buses; and	
	C.	DG a	uto-starts from standby condition and:	
		1.	energizes permanently connected loads in $\leq$ 12 seconds,	
		2.	energizes auto-connected emergency loads through load sequencer,	
		3.	achieves steady state voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and $\leq$ 4320 V,	
		4.	achieves steady state frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz and $\leq$ 60.6 Hz, and	
		5.	supplies permanently connected and auto-connected emergency loads for ≥ 5 minutes.	

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.8.1.20	<ul> <li>NOTENOTEAll DG starts may be preceded by an engine prelube period.</li> <li>Verify when started simultaneously from standby condition, each DG achieves:</li> <li>a. In ≤ 12 seconds, voltage ≥ 3950 V and frequency ≥ 59.4 Hz; and</li> <li>b. Steady state voltage ≥ 3950 V and ≤ 4320 V, and frequency ≥ 59.4 Hz and ≤ 60.6 Hz.</li> </ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.1.21	NOTE The continuity check may be excluded from the actuation logic test.  Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST for each train of the load shedder and emergency load sequencer.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.3.1	Verify each fuel oil storage tank contains $\ge$ 85,300 gal of fuel.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.3.2	Verify lubricating oil inventory is $\geq$ 750 gal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.3.3	Verify fuel oil properties of new and stored fuel oil are tested in accordance with, and maintained within the limits of the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program.	In accordance with the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program
SR 3.8.3.4	Verify pressure in two starting air receivers is $\ge 435$ psig or pressure in one starting air receiver is $\ge 610$ psig for each DG starting air subsystem.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.3.5	Check for and remove accumulated water from each fuel oil storage tank.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
## 3.8 ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

3.8.4 DC Sources - Operating

LCO 3.8.4 The Train A and Train B DC electrical power subsystems shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	One DC electrical power subsystem inoperable.	A.1	Restore DC electrical power subsystem to OPERABLE status.	2 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.8.4.1	Verify battery terminal voltage is $\ge$ 128.4 V on float charge.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

(continued)

	SURV		FREQUENCY	
SR 3.8.4.2	Verify no visible corrosion at battery terminals and connectors.			In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	Verify battery c	onnection resistance	e is:	
<u>Connections</u>	60 cells	59 cells	58 cells	
inter-cell	$\leq$ 33 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 30 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 27 E-6 ohms	
inter-tier, inter-bank, terminal	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	
field jumper	NA	≤ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	
SR 3.8.4.3	Verify battery cells, cell plates, and racks show no visual indication of physical damage or abnormal deterioration that could degrade battery performance.			In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.4.4	Remove visible terminal corrosion, verify battery cell to cell and terminal connections are clean and tight, and are coated with anti-corrosion material.			In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.4.5	Verify battery connection resistance is:			In accordance with the Surveillance
Connections	60 cells	59 cells	58 cells	Frequency Control
inter-cell	$\leq$ 33 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 30 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 27 E-6 ohms	riogram
inter-tier, inter-bank, terminal	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	$\leq$ 150 E-6 ohms	
field jumper	NA ≤ 150 E-0	$6 \text{ ohms} \leq 150 \text{ E-}6$	3 ohms	

(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.4.6	Verify each battery charger supplies $\ge 300$ amps at $\ge 128.4$ V for $\ge 1$ hour.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.8.4.7	<ul> <li>SR 3.8.4.7</li> <li>The modified performance discharge test in SR 3.8.4.8 may be performed in lieu of the service test in SR 3.8.4.7.</li> <li>This Surveillance shall not be performed in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4.</li> <li>Verify battery capacity is adequate to supply, and maintain in OPERABLE status, the required emergency loads for the design duty cycle when subjected to a battery service test.</li> </ul>	
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.4.8	SURVEILLANCE        NOTE         This Surveillance shall not be performed in         MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		18 months when battery shows degradation or has reached 85% of expected life with capacity < 100% of manufacturer's rating 24 months when battery has reached 85% of the expected life with capacity ≥ 100% of manufacturer's rating

ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1	Declare associated battery inoperable.	Immediately
	<u>OR</u>			
	One or more batteries with average electrolyte temperature of the representative cells < 60°F.			
	<u>OR</u>			
	One or more batteries with one or more battery cell parameters not within Category C values.			

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.8.6.1	Verify battery cell parameters meet Table 3.8.6-1 Category A limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		(continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.6.2 Verify battery cell parameters meet Table 3.8.6-1 Category B limits.		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		Once within 7
		days after a battery discharge < 110 V
		AND
		Once within 7 days after a battery overcharge > 150 V
SR 3.8.6.3	Verify average electrolyte temperature of representative cells is $\geq$ 60 °F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# 3.8 ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

# 3.8.7 Inverters - Operating

## LCO 3.8.7 The required Train A and Train B inverters shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
А.	One required inverter inoperable.	A.1	NOTE Enter applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.8.9, "Distribution Systems - Operating" with any vital bus de-energized.  Restore inverter to OPERABLE status.	24 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u> B.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.7.1	Verify correct inverter voltage and alignment to required AC vital buses.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.8.1	Verify correct inverter voltage and alignments to required AC vital buses.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	One DC electrical power distribution subsystem inoperable.	D.1	Restore DC electrical power distribution subsystem to OPERABLE status.	2 hours <u>AND</u> 16 hours from discovery of failure to meet LCO
E.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	E.1 <u>AND</u> E.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 36 hours
F.	Two trains with inoperable distribution subsystems that result in a loss of safety function.	F.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.9.1	Verify correct breaker alignments and voltage to AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A. (continued)	A.2.4	Initiate actions to restore required AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems to OPERABLE status.	Immediately
	<u>AN</u>	<u>D</u>	
	A.2.5	Declare associated required residual heat removal subsystem(s) inoperable and not in operation.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.10.1	Verify correct breaker alignments and voltage to required AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 3.9 REFUELING OPERATIONS

### 3.9.1 Boron Concentration

LCO 3.9.1 Boron concentrations of all filled portions of the Reactor Coolant System and the refueling canal, that have direct access to the reactor vessel, shall be maintained within the limit specified in the COLR.

### APPLICABILITY: MODE 6.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	Boron concentration not within limit.	A.1	Suspend CORE ALTERATIONS.	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		A.2	Suspend positive reactivity additions.	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		A.3	Initiate action to restore boron concentration to within limit.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.1.1	Verify boron concentration is within the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 3.9 REFUELING OPERATIONS

- 3.9.2 Unborated Water Source Isolation Valves
- LCO 3.9.2 Each valve used to isolate unborated water sources, BG-V0178 and BG-V0601, shall be secured in the closed position.

#### APPLICABILITY: MODE 6.

### ACTIONS

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	NOTE Required Action A.3 must	A.1	Suspend CORE ALTERATIONS.	Immediately
	Condition A is entered.	<u>AND</u>		
One	One or more valves not	A.2	Initiate actions to secure valve in closed position.	Immediately
	secured in closed position.	<u>AND</u>		
		A.3	Perform SR 3.9.1.1.	4 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.2.1	Verify each valve that isolates unborated water sources, BG-V0178 and BG-V0601, is secured in the closed position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.3.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.9.3.2	NOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTENOTE	
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	One or more containment penetrations not in required status.	A.1 <u>AND</u>	Suspend CORE ALTERATIONS.	Immediately
		A.2	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.	Immediately

_	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.4.1	Verify each required containment penetration is in the required status.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.9.4.2	NOTENOTE Only required for an open equipment hatch.	
	Verify the capability to install the equipment hatch.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.9.4.3	Verify each required containment purge isolation valve actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# ACTIONS (continued)

ACTIONS (continued)				
CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME		
A. (continued)	A.4 Close all containment penetrations providing direct access from containment atmosphere to outside atmosphere.	4 hours		

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.5.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation and circulating reactor coolant at a flow rate of $\ge$ 1000 gpm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.9.5.2	Verify required RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS (continued)

	CONDITION	F	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
В.	(continued)	B.2	Initiate action to restore one RHR loop to operation.	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		В.3	Close all containment penetrations providing direct access from containment atmosphere to outside atmosphere.	4 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.6.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation and circulating reactor coolant at a flow rate of $\ge$ 1000 gpm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.9.6.2	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.9.6.3	Verify RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

## 3.9 REFUELING OPERATIONS

# 3.9.7 Refueling Pool Water Level

LCO 3.9.7 Refueling pool water level shall be maintained  $\ge$  23 ft above the top of reactor vessel flange.

### APPLICABILITY: During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	Refueling pool water level not within limit.	A.1	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.	Immediately

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.7.1	Verify refueling pool water level is $\ge$ 23 ft above the top of reactor vessel flange.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### 5.5 Programs and Manuals

### 5.5.13 <u>Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program</u> (continued)

- a. Acceptability of new fuel oil for use prior to addition to storage tanks by determining that the fuel oil has:
  - 1. an API gravity or an absolute specific gravity within limits,
  - 2. a flash point and kinematic viscosity within limits for ASTM 2D fuel oil, and
  - 3. water and sediment content within the limits for ASTM 2D fuel oil;
- b. Other properties for ASTM 2D fuel oil are analyzed within 31 days following sampling and addition to storage tanks; and
- c. Total particulate concentration of the fuel oil is  $\leq$  10 mg/l when tested in accordance with ASTM D-2276, Method A, at a Frequency in accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

The provisions of SR 3.0.2 and SR 3.0.3 are applicable to the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program test frequencies.

### 5.5.14 <u>Technical Specifications (TS) Bases Control Program</u>

This program provides a means for processing changes to the Bases of these Technical Specifications.

- a. Changes to the Bases of the TS shall be made under appropriate administrative controls and reviews.
- b. Licensees may make changes to Bases without prior NRC approval provided the changes do not require either of the following:
  - 1. a change in the TS incorporated in the license; or
  - 2. a change to the USAR or Bases that requires NRC approval pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59.
- c. The Bases Control Program shall contain provisions to ensure that the Bases are maintained consistent with the USAR.

(continued)

#### 5.5 Programs and Manuals

#### 5.5.18 Control Room Envelope Habitability Program

A Control Room Envelope (CRE) Habitability Program shall be established and implemented to ensure that CRE habitability is maintained such that, with an OPERABLE Control Room Emergency Ventilation System (CREVS), CRE occupants can control the reactor safely under normal conditions and maintain it in a safe condition following a radiological event, hazardous chemical release, or a smoke challenge. The program shall ensure that adequate radiation protection is provided to permit access and occupancy of the CRE under design basis accident (DBA) conditions without personnel receiving radiation exposures in excess of 5 rem TEDE for the duration of the accident. The program shall include the following elements:

- a. The definition of the CRE, CRE boundary, control building envelope (CBE), and CBE boundary.
- b. Requirements for maintaining the CRE and CBE boundary in their design condition including configuration control and preventive maintenance.
- c. Requirements for (i) determining the unfiltered air inleakage past the CRE and CBE boundaries in accordance with the testing methods and at the Frequencies specified in Sections C.1 and C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.197, "Demonstrating Control Room Envelope Integrity at Nuclear Power Reactors," Revision 0, May 2003, and (ii) assessing CRE habitability at the Frequencies specified in Sections C.1 and C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.197, Revision 0.

The following are exceptions to Section C.1 and C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.197, Revision 0:

- The Tracer Gas Test based on the Brookhaven National Laboratory Atmospheric Tracer Depletion (ATD) Method is used to determine the unfiltered air inleakage past the CRE and CBE boundaries. The ATD Method is described in WCNOC letters dated February 21, 2005 (WO 05-0003), June 29, 2007 (WM 07-0057), and September 28, 2007 (ET 07-0045).
- d. Measurement, at designated locations, of the CRE pressure relative to the outside atmosphere during the pressurization mode of operation by one train of the CREVS, operating at the flow rate required by the VFTP, at a Frequency in accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. The results shall be trended and used as part of the periodic assessment of the CRE boundary.

(continued)

### 5.5 Programs and Manuals

## 5.5.18 <u>Control Room Envelope Habitability Program</u> (continued)

- e. The quantitative limits on unfiltered air inleakage into the CRE and CBE. These limits shall be stated in a manner to allow direct comparison to the unfiltered air inleakage measured by the testing described in paragraph c. The unfiltered air inleakage limit for radiological challenges is the inleakage flow rate assumed in the licensing basis analyses of DBA consequences. Unfiltered air inleakage limits for hazardous chemicals must ensure that exposure of CRE occupants to these hazards will be within the assumptions in the licensing basis.
- f. The provisions of SR 3.0.2 are applicable to the Frequencies for assessing CRE habitability, determining CRE and CBE unfiltered inleakage, and measuring CRE pressure and assessing the CRE and CBE as required by paragraphs c and d, respectively.

### 5.5.19 Surveillance Frequency Control Program

This program provides controls for Surveillance Frequencies. The program shall ensure that Surveillance Requirements specified in the Technical Specifications are performed at intervals sufficient to assure the associated Limiting Conditions for Operation are met.

- a. The Surveillance Frequency Control Program shall contain a list of Frequencies of those Surveillance Requirements for which the Frequency is controlled by the program.
- b. Changes to the Frequencies listed in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program shall be made in accordance with NEI 04-10, "Risk-Informed Technical Specifications Initiative 5b, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies," Revision 1.
- c. The provisions of Surveillance Requirements 3.0.2 and 3.0.3 are applicable to the Frequencies established in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

Attachment V to ET 20-0004 Page 1 of 175

> ATTACHMENT V PROPOSED TS BASES CHANGES (for information only)

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTSSR 3.1.1.1In MODES 1 and 2, SDM is verified by observing that the requirements of LCO 3.1.5 and LCO 3.1.6 are met. In the event that a rod is known to be untrippable, however, SDM verification must account for the worth of the untrippable rod as well as another rod of maximum worth.In MODES 2 (with keff < 1.0), 3, 4, and 5, the SDM is verified by performing a reactivity balance calculation, considering the listed reactivity effects:a.RCS boron concentration;b.Control and shutdown rod position;c.RCS average temperature;	_				
In MODES 1 and 2, SDM is verified by observing that the requirements of LCO 3.1.5 and LCO 3.1.6 are met. In the event that a rod is known to be untrippable, however, SDM verification must account for the worth of the untrippable rod as well as another rod of maximum worth. In MODES 2 (with k <sub>eff</sub> < 1.0), 3, 4, and 5, the SDM is verified by performing a reactivity balance calculation, considering the listed reactivit effects: a. RCS boron concentration; b. Control and shutdown rod position; c. RCS average temperature;	<u>SR 3.1.1.1</u>				
<ul> <li>In MODES 2 (with k<sub>eff</sub> &lt; 1.0), 3, 4, and 5, the SDM is verified by performing a reactivity balance calculation, considering the listed reactivity effects:</li> <li>a. RCS boron concentration;</li> <li>b. Control and shutdown rod position;</li> <li>c. RCS average temperature;</li> </ul>	In MODES 1 and 2, SDM is verified by observing that the requirements of LCO 3.1.5 and LCO 3.1.6 are met. In the event that a rod is known to be untrippable, however, SDM verification must account for the worth of the untrippable rod as well as another rod of maximum worth.				
<ul> <li>a. RCS boron concentration;</li> <li>b. Control and shutdown rod position;</li> <li>c. RCS average temperature;</li> </ul>	In MODES 2 (with $k_{eff}$ < 1.0), 3, 4, and 5, the SDM is verified by performing a reactivity balance calculation, considering the listed reactivity effects:				
<ul><li>b. Control and shutdown rod position;</li><li>c. RCS average temperature;</li></ul>					
c. RCS average temperature;					
d. Fuel burnup based on gross thermal energy generation;					
e. Xenon concentration;					
f. Samarium concentration; and					
g. Isothermal temperature coefficient (ITC).					
Using the ITC accounts for Doppler reactivity in this calculation; when the reactor is subcritical, the fuel temperature will be changing at the same rate as the RCS.	Using the ITC accounts for Doppler reactivity in this calculation; when the reactor is subcritical, the fuel temperature will be changing at the same rate as the RCS.				
The Frequency of 24 hours is based on the generally slow change in required boron concentration and the low probability of an accident occurring without the required SDM. This allows time for the operator to collect the required data, which includes performing a boron concentration analysis, and complete the calculation.	ə <del>n</del>				
REFERENCES 1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 26.					
2. USAR, Section 15.1.5.	cy				
3. USAR, Section 15.4.6.	uuu				
4. 10 CFR 50.67.	ļ				

BASES	
ACTIONS	<u>B.1</u>
(continued)	If the core reactivity cannot be restored to within the 1% $\Delta$ k/k limit, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours. If the SDM for MODE 3 is not met, then the boration required by LCO 3.1.1 Required Action A.1 would occur. The allowed Completion Time is reasonable, based on operating experience, for reaching MODE 3 from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.1.2.1</u>
	Core reactivity is verified by periodic comparisons of measured and predicted RCS boron concentrations. The comparison is made, considering that other core conditions are fixed or stable, including control and shutdown rod position, moderator temperature, fuel temperature, fuel depletion, xenon concentration, and samarium concentration. The Surveillance is performed prior to entering MODE 1 as an initial check on core conditions and design calculations at BOC. The SR is modified by a Note. The Note indicates that the normalization (if necessary) of predicted core reactivity to the measured value must take place within the first 60 effective full power days (EFPD) after each fuel loading. This allows sufficient time for core conditions to reach steady state, but prevents operation for a large fraction of the fuel cycle without establishing a benchmark for the design calculations. The required subsequent Frequency of 31 EFPD, following the initial 60 EFPD after entering MODE 1, is acceptable, based on the slow rate of core changes- due to fuel depletion and the presence of other indicators (QPTR, AFD, etc.) for prompt indication of an anomaly.
REFERENCES	1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 26, GDC 28, and GDC 29.
	2. USAR, Chapter 15.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

#### BASES

# ACTIONS <u>D.2</u> (continued)

Condition in which the LCO requirements are not applicable. To achieve this status, the unit must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours.

The allowed Completion Time is reasonable, based on operating experience, for reaching MODE 3 from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.1.4.1</u> REQUIREMENTS

Verification that individual rod positions are within alignment limits at a Frequency of 12 hours provides a history that allows the operator to detect a rod that is beginning to deviate from it's expected position. The specified Frequency takes into account other rod position information that is continuously available to the operator in the control room, so that during

actual rod motion, deviations can immediately be detected.

# SR 3.1.4.2

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

Verifying each rod is OPERABLE would require that each rod be tripped. However, in MODES 1 and 2, tripping each control rod would result in radial or axial power tilts, or oscillations. Exercising each individual control rod every 92 days provides confidence that all rods continue to be OPERABLE without exceeding the alignment limit, even if they are not regularly tripped. Moving each control rod by 10 steps will not cause radial or axial power tilts, or oscillations, to occur. Frequencytakes into consideration other information available to the operator in the control room and SR 3.1.4.1, which is performed more frequently and adds to the determination of OPERABILITY of the rods. Between or during required performances of SR 3.1.4.2 (determination of control rod OPERABILITY by movement), if a rod(s) is discovered to be immovable. but remains trippable, the control rod(s) is considered to be OPERABLE. At any time, if a rod(s) is immovable, a determination of the trippability (OPERABILITY) of the control rod(s) must be made, and appropriate action taken.

# <u>SR 3.1.4.3</u>

Verification of rod drop times allows the operator to determine that the maximum rod drop time permitted is consistent with the assumed rod drop time used in the safety analysis. Measuring rod drop times prior to

BASES			
ACTIONS	<u>B.1</u>		
	If the shutdown banks cannot be restored to within their insertion limits within 2 hours, the unit must be brought to a MODE 3 where the LCO is not applicable. The allowed Completion Time of 6 hours is reasonable, based on operating experience, for reaching the required MODE from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.		
	<u>SR 3.1.5.1</u>		
	Verification that the shutdown banks are within their insertion limits prior to an approach to criticality ensures that when the reactor is critical, or being taken critical, the shutdown banks will be available to shut down the reactor, and the required SDM will be maintained following a reactor trip. This SR and Frequency ensure that the shutdown banks are withdrawn before the control banks are withdrawn during a unit startup.		
	Since the shutdown banks are positioned manually by the control room operator, a verification of shutdown bank position at a Frequency of 12 hours, after the reactor is taken critical, is adequate to ensure that they are within their insertion limits. Also, the 12 hour Frequency takes into account other information available in the control room for the purpose of monitoring the status of shutdown rods		
REFERENCES	1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 10, GDC 26, and GDC 28.		
	2. 10 CFR 50.46. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled und		
	3. USAR, Section 4.3.1.5.		

	BASES	
	ACTIONS	<u>C.1</u> (continued) experience, for reaching the required MODE from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.
	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.1.6.1</u> This Surveillance is required to ensure that the reactor does not achieve criticality with the control banks below their insertion limits. The estimated critical position (ECP) depends upon a number of factors, one of which is xenon concentration. If the ECP was calculated long before criticality, xenon concentration could change to make the ECP substantially in error. Conversely, determining the ECP immediately before criticality could be an unnecessary burden. There are a number of unit parameters requiring operator attention at that point.
		<ul> <li>Child parameters requiring operator attention at that point. Performing the ECP calculation within 4 hours prior to criticality avoids a large error from changes in xenon concentration, but allows the operator some flexibility to schedule the ECP calculation with other startup activities.</li> <li><u>SR 3.1.6.2</u></li> <li>Verification of the control bank insertion limits at a Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient to detect control banks that may be approaching the insertion limits since, normally, very little rod motion occurs in 12 hours.</li> </ul>
The Surveilla controlled und Frequency Co	nce Frequency is der the Surveillance ontrol Program.	SR 3.1.6.3 When control banks are maintained within their insertion limits as checked by SR 3.1.6.2 above, it is unlikely that their sequence and overlap will not be in accordance with requirements provided in the COLR. The verification of compliance consists of an observation that the static rod positions of those control banks not fully withdrawn from the core are within the limits specified in the COLR. Bank sequence and overlap must also be maintained during rod movement, implicit within the LCO. A Frequency of 12 hours is consistent with the insertion limit as checked by SR 3.1.6.2 above.

BASES	
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	$\label{eq:starseq} \frac{SR \ 3.1.8.2}{SR \ 3.1.8.2}$ Verification that the RCS lowest operating loop T <sub>avg</sub> is $\geq$ 541°F will ensure that the unit is not operating in a condition that could invalidate the safety analyses. Verification of the RCS temperature at a Frequency of 30 minutes during the performance of the PHYSICS TESTS will ensure that the initial conditions of the safety analyses are not violated. SR \ 3.1.8.3 Verification that the THERMAL POWER is $\leq$ 5% RTP will ensure that the plant is not operating in a condition that could invalidate the safety analyses. Verification of the THERMAL POWER at a Frequency of 1 hour during the performance of the PHYSICS TESTS will ensure that the initial conditions of the THERMAL POWER at a Frequency of 1 hour during the performance of the PHYSICS TESTS will ensure that the initial conditions of the safety analyses are not violated.
	<u>SR 3.1.8.4</u> Verification that the SDM is within limits specified in the COLR ensures that, for the specific RCCA and RCS temperature manipulations performed during PHYSICS TESTS, the plant is not operating in a condition that could invalidate the safety analysis assumptions. The SDM is verified by performing a reactivity balance calculation, considering the following reactivity effects:
	<ul><li>a. RCS boron concentration;</li><li>b. Control bank position;</li></ul>
	c. RCS average temperature;
	d. Fuel burnup based on gross thermal energy generation;
	e. Xenon concentration;
	f. Samarium concentration; and
	g. Isothermal temperature coefficient (ITC).
	Using the ITC accounts for Doppler reactivity in this calculation; when the reactor is subcritical, the fuel temperature will be changing at the same

rate as the RCS.

Attachment V to ET 20-0004 Page 8 of 175 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. BASES		
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3</u> The F require	5.1.8.4 (continued) Frequency of 24 hours is based on the generally slow change in red boron concentration and on the low probability of an accident ring without the required SDM.
REFERENCES	1.	10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Section XI.
	2.	10 CFR 50.59.
	3.	Regulatory Guide 1.68, Revision 2, August, 1978.
	4.	NSAG-007, "Reload Safety Evaluation Methodology for the Wolf Creek Generating Station."

BASES

ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>
	If the RCS boron concentration is not within limit, action must be taken to restore the boron concentration to within limit. Borating the RCS to an ARO boron concentration would provide sufficient SHUTDOWN MARGIN, if an uncontrolled RCCA bank withdrawal accident were to occur.
	<u>A.2</u>
	If the RCS boron concentration is not within limit, action must be taken to make the rods incapable of rod withdrawal. This action would preclude an uncontrolled RCCA bank withdrawal accident from occurring with an inadequate SHUTDOWN MARGIN.
	<u>A.3</u>
	If the RCS boron concentration is not within limit, another alternate action is to restore all RCS cold leg temperatures to $\geq$ 500°F. At this RCS temperature the Power Range Neutron Flux-Low trip Function would be OPERABLE if an uncontrolled RCCA bank withdrawal accident were to occur.
	Required Action A.3 has been modified by a Note that states that it is not applicable in MODES 4 and 5. This action would only be taken during a unit startup when RCS $T_{avg} < 500^{\circ}$ F and ensures that the Power Range Neutron Flux-Low trip Function would be OPERABLE to mitigate an uncontrolled RCCA bank withdrawal accident.
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.1.9.1</u>
REQUIREMENTS	This SR ensures that the RCS boron concentration is within limit. The boron concentration is determined periodically by chemical analysis.
	A Frequency of 24 hours is adequate based on the time required to dilute the RCS, the various alarms available in the control room, and the heightened awareness in the control room when the rods are capable of being withdrawn.

### BASES

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.2.1.1 (continued)
	If THERMAL POWER has been increased by $\geq$ 10% RTP since the last determination of $F_Q^C(Z)$ , another evaluation of this factor is required within 24 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions at this higher power level (to ensure that $F_Q^C(Z)$ values are being reduced sufficiently with power increase to stay within the LCO limits).
	The Frequency of 31 EFPD is adequate to monitor the change of power distribution with core burnup because such changes are slow and well controlled when the plant is operated in accordance with the Technical Specifications (TS). The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

#### SR 3.2.1.2

The nuclear design process includes calculations performed to determine that the core can be operated within the  $F_Q(Z)$  limits. Because power distribution measurements are taken at or near equilibrium conditions, the variations in power distribution resulting from normal operational maneuvers are not present in the measurements. These variations are, however, conservatively calculated by considering a wide range of unit maneuvers in normal operation. The maximum peaking factor increase over steady state values, calculated as a function of core elevation, Z, is called W(Z). Multiplying the measured total peaking factor,  $F_Q^{\ C}(Z)$ , by W(Z) gives the maximum  $F_Q(Z)$  calculated to occur in normal operation,  $F_Q^{\ W}(Z)$ .

The limit with which  $F_Q^W(Z)$  is compared varies inversely with power and directly with the function K(Z) provided in the COLR.

The W(Z) are provided for discrete core elevations. Flux map data are typically taken for 30 to 75 core elevations.  $F_Q^W(Z)$  evaluations are not applicable for the following axial core regions, measured in percent of core height:

- a. Lower core region, from 0 to 15% inclusive; and
- b. Upper core region, from 85 to 100% inclusive.

The amount of the axial core region that can be excluded during the performance of SR 3.2.1.2 shall not exceed 15% of the upper and lower core regions, and may be reduced on a cycle-specific basis as determined during the core reload design process. The amount of the axial core region that can be excluded during the performance of SR 3.2.1.2 is identified in the COLR. The axial core regions are excluded from the evaluation because of the low probability that these regions would be more limiting in the safety analyses and because of the difficulty of making

BASES	
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.2.1.2 (continued)
	a precise measurement in these regions. It should be noted that while the transient $F_Q(Z)$ limits are not measured in these axial core regions, the analytical transient $F_Q(Z)$ limits in these axial core regions are demonstrated to be satisfied during the core reload design process.
	This Surveillance has been modified by a Note that may require more frequent surveillances be performed. When $F_Q^C(Z)$ is measured, an evaluation of the expression below is required to account for any increase to $F_Q(Z)$ that may occur and cause the $F_Q(Z)$ limit to be exceeded before the next required $F_Q(Z)$ evaluation.
	If the two most recent $F_{Q}(Z)$ evaluations show an increase in the expression
	maximum over z $\left[ \frac{F_Q^C(Z)}{K(Z)} \right]$
	it is required to meet the $F_Q(Z)$ limit with the last $F_Q^W(Z)$ increased by the appropriate factor specified in the COLR, or to evaluate $F_Q(Z)$ more frequently, each 7 EFPD. These alternative requirements prevent $F_Q(Z)$ from exceeding its limit for any significant period of time without detection.
	Performing the Surveillance in MODE 1 prior to exceeding 75% RTP ensures that the $F_{Q}(Z)$ limit will be met when RTP is achieved, because peaking factors are generally decreased as power level is increased.
	$F_Q(Z)$ is verified at power levels $\geq$ 10% RTP above the THERMAL POWER of its last verification, within 24 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions to ensure that $F_Q(Z)$ is within its limit at higher power levels.
	The Surveillance Frequency of 31 EFPD is adequate to monitor the change of power distribution with core burnup. The Surveillance may be done more frequently if required by the results of F <sub>Q</sub> (Z) evaluations.
	The Frequency of 31 EFPD is adequate to monitor the change of power distribution because such a change is sufficiently slow, when the plant is operated in accordance with the TS, to preclude adverse peaking factors between 31 day surveillances.

#### BASES

# ACTIONS <u>B.1</u> (continued)

Time of 6 hours is reasonable, based on operating experience regarding the time required to reach MODE 2 from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.2.2.1</u> REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.2.2.1 is modified by a Note. The Note applies during power ascensions following a plant shutdown (leaving MODE 1). The Note allows for power ascensions if the surveillances are not current. It states that THERMAL POWER may be increased until an equilibrium power level has been achieved at which a power distribution measurement can be obtained. Equilibrium conditions are achieved when the core is sufficiently stable at the intended operating conditions to perform the measurement.

The value of  $F^N \Delta_H$  is determined by using either the movable incore detector system or the Power Distribution Monitoring System to obtain a power distribution measurement. A calculation determines the maximum value of  $F^N \Delta_H$  from the measured power distribution. The measured value of  $F^N \Delta_H$  must be increased by 4% (if using the movable incore detector system) or increased by  $U_{\Delta H}$ % (if using the Power Distribution Monitoring System, where  $U_{\Delta H}$  is determined as described in Reference 4, with a minimum value of 4%) to account for measurement uncertainty before making comparisons to the  $F^N \Delta_H$  limit

After each refueling,  $F^{N}\Delta_{H}$  must be determined in MODE 1 prior to exceeding 75% RTP. This requirement ensures that  $F^{N}\Delta_{H}$  limits are met at the beginning of each fuel cycle.

The 31 EFPD Frequency is acceptable because the power distribution changes relatively slowly over this amount of fuel burnup. Accordingly, this Frequency is short enough that the F<sup>N</sup>AH limit cannot be exceeded for any significant period of operation.

#### REFERENCES 1. USAF

1. USAR, Section 15.4.8.

- 2. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 26.
- 3. 10 CFR 50.46.
- 4. WCAP-12472-P-A, "BEACON Core Monitoring and Operations Support System," August 1994 (including Addendum 4, September 2012).

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency

Control Program.

BASES	
APPLICABILITY	The AFD requirements are applicable in MODE 1 greater than or equal to 50% RTP when the combination of THERMAL POWER and core peaking factors are of primary importance in safety analysis.
	For AFD limits developed using RAOC methodology, the value of the AFD does not affect the limiting accident consequences with THERMAL POWER < 50% RTP and for lower operating power MODES.
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>
	As an alternative to restoring the AFD to within its specified limits, Required Action A.1 requires a THERMAL POWER reduction to < 50% RTP. This places the core in a condition for which the value of the AFD is not important in the applicable safety analyses. A Completion Time of 30 minutes is reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach 50% RTP without challenging plant systems.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.2.3.1</u>
	This Surveillance verifies that the AFD is within its specified limits. Indicated AFD is monitored for each OPERABLE NIS excore channel at the specified Frequency. Two or more OPERABLE excore channels outside the AFD limits would constitute failure of this surveillance. The Surveillance Frequency of 7 days is adequate considering that the AFD is monitored by a computer and any deviation from requirements is alarmed.
REFERENCES	1. WCAP-10216-P-A, "Relaxation of Constant Axial Offset Control and $F_Q$ Surveillance Technical Specification," February 1994.
	2. USAR, Chapter 7.
	C The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under

the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

#### BASES

ACTIONS

<u>A.7</u> (continued)

Required Action A.7 is modified by a Note that states that the peaking factor surveillances must be completed when the excore detectors have been normalized to restore QPTR to within limit (i.e., Required Action A.6). The intent of this Note is to have the peaking factor surveillances performed at operating power levels, which can only be accomplished after the excore detectors are normalized to restore QPTR to within limit.

#### <u>B.1</u>

If Required Actions A.1 through A.7 are not completed within their associated Completion Times, the unit must be brought to a MODE or condition in which the requirements do not apply. To achieve this status, THERMAL POWER must be reduced to < 50% RTP within 4 hours. The allowed Completion Time of 4 hours is reasonable, based on operating experience regarding the amount of time required to reach the reduced power level without challenging plant systems.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

# SR 3.2.4.1



SR 3.2.4.1 is modified by two Notes. Note 1 allows QPTR to be calculated with three power range channels if THERMAL POWER is  $\leq$  75% RTP and the input from one Power Range Neutron Flux channel is inoperable. Note 2 allows performance of SR 3.2.4.2 in lieu of SR 3.2.4.1 to confirm the indication of the remaining three excore channels.

This Surveillance verifies that the QPTR, as indicated by the Nuclear Instrumentation System (NIS) excore channels, is within its limits. The Frequency of 7 days takes into account other information and alarms available to the operator in the control room.

For those causes of QPT that occur quickly (e.g., a dropped rod), there typically are other indications of abnormality that prompt a verification of core power tilt.

### SR 3.2.4.2

This Surveillance is modified by a Note, which states that it is not required until 12 hours after the input from one Power Range Neutron Flux channel is inoperable and the THERMAL POWER is > 75% RTP.

#### BASES

The Surveillance Frequency is

Frequency Control Program.

controlled under the Surveillance

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.2.4.2 (continued)

With an NIS power range channel inoperable, tilt monitoring for a portion of the reactor core becomes degraded. Large tilts are likely detected with the remaining channels, but the capability for detection of small power tilts in some quadrants is decreased. Performing SR 3.2.4.2 at a Frequency of 12 hours provides an accurate alternative means for ensuring that any tilt remains within its limits.

For purposes of monitoring the QPTR when one power range channel is inoperable, either the movable incore detector system or the Power Distribution Monitoring System is used to confirm that the normalized symmetric power distribution is consistent with the indicated QPTR and any previous data indicating a tilt. The incore detector monitoring is performed with a full incore flux map or two sets of four thimble locations with quarter core symmetry. The two sets of four symmetric thimbles is a set of eight unique detector locations. These locations are C-8, E-5, E-11, H-3, H-13, L-5, L-11, and N-8.

The symmetric thimble flux map can be used to generate symmetric thimble "tilt." This can be compared to a reference symmetric thimble tilt, from the most recent full core flux map, to generate an incore QPTR. If one of the symmetric thimbles is not available, then other pairs (triples) of symmetric thimbles can be monitored to gain information about the quadrant with the out-of-service thimble, provided the reference case is set up with the same thimble groupings. Therefore, incore monitoring of QPTR can be used to confirm that QPTR is within limits.

With one NIS channel inoperable, the indicated tilt may be changed from the value indicated with all four channels OPERABLE. To confirm that no change in tilt has actually occurred, which might cause the QPTR limit to be exceeded, the power distribution may be compared against previous core power distribution measurements either using the symmetric thimbles as described above or a complete core power distribution measurement.

#### REFERENCES 1. 10 CFR 50.46.

- 2. USAR, Section 15.4.8.
- 3. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 26.

BASES	
ACTIONS	<u>U.1 and U.2</u> (continued)
	With the unit in MODE 3, Condition C is entered if the inoperable trip mechanism has not been restored and the Rod Control System is capable of rod withdrawal or one or more rods are not fully inserted. The affected RTB shall not be bypassed while one of the diverse features is inoperable except for the time required to perform maintenance to restore the inoperable trip mechanism to OPERABLE status.
	The Completion Time of 48 hours for Required Action U.1 is reasonable considering that in this Condition there is one remaining diverse feature for the affected RTB, and one OPERABLE RTB capable of performing the safety function and given the low probability of an event occurring during this interval.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	The SRs for each RTS Function are identified by the SRs column of Table 3.3.1-1 for that Function.
	A Note has been added to the SR Table stating that Table 3.3.1-1 determines which SRs apply to which RTS Functions.
	Note that each channel of process protection supplies both trains of the RTS. When testing Channel I, Train A and Train B must be examined. Similarly, Train A and Train B must be examined when testing Channel II, Channel III, and Channel IV. The CHANNEL CALIBRATION and COTs are performed in a manner that is consistent with the assumptions used in analytically calculating the required channel accuracies.
	<u>SR 3.3.1.1</u>
	Performance of the CHANNEL CHECK once every 12 hours ensures that gross failure of instrumentation has not occurred. A CHANNEL CHECK is normally a comparison of the parameter indicated on one channel to a similar parameter on other channels. It is based on the assumption that instrument channels monitoring the same parameter should read approximately the same value. Significant deviations between the two instrument channels could be an indication of excessive instrument drift in one of the channels or of something even more serious. A CHANNEL CHECK will detect gross channel failure; thus, it is key to verifying that the instrumentation continues to operate properly between each CHANNEL CALIBRATION.
#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.3.1.1 (continued)

Agreement criteria are determined by the unit staff based on a combination of the channel instrument uncertainties, including indication and readability. If a channel is outside the criteria, it may be an indication that the sensor or the signal processing equipment has drifted outside its limit.

The Frequency is based on operating experience that demonstrates channel failure is rare. The CHANNEL CHECK supplements less formal, but more frequent, checks of channels during normal operational use of the displays associated with the LCO required channels.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the

Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SR 3.3.1.2

SR 3.3.1.2 compares the calorimetric heat balance calculation to the power range channel output every 24 hours. If the calorimetric heat balance calculation results exceed the power channel output by more than + 2% RTP, the power range channel is not declared inoperable, but must be adjusted consistent with the calorimetric heat balance calculation results. If the power range channel output cannot be properly adjusted, the channel is declared inoperable.

If the calorimetric is performed at part-power (< 45% RTP), adjusting the power range channel indication in the increasing power direction will assure a reactor trip below the power range high safety analysis limit (SAL) in USAR Table 15.0-4 ( $\leq$  118% RTP) (Ref. 11). Making no adjustment to the power range channel in the decreasing power direction due to a part-power calorimetric assures a reactor trip consistent with the safety analyses.

This allowance does not preclude making indicated power adjustments, if desired, when the calorimetric heat balance calculation power is less than the power range channel output. To provide close agreement between indicated power and to preserve operating margin, the power range channels are normally adjusted when operating at or near full power during steady-state conditions. However, discretion must be exercised if the power range channel output is adjusted in the decreasing power direction due to a part-power calorimetric (< 45% RTP). This action may introduce a non-conservative bias at higher power levels which could delay an NIS reactor trip until power is above the power range SAL. The cause of the non-conservative bias is the decreased accuracy of the calorimetric at reduced power conditions.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

<u>SR 3.3.1.2</u> (continued)

The primary error contributor to the instrument uncertainty for a secondary side power calorimetric measurement is the feedwater flow measurement, which is determined by a  $\Delta P$  measurement across a feedwater venturi. While the measurement uncertainty remains constant in  $\Delta P$  span as power decreases, when translated into flow the uncertainty increases as a square term. Therefore, a 1% flow error at 100% power can approach a 10% flow error at 30% RTP even though the  $\Delta P$  error has not changed.

Thus, it is required to adjust the setpoint of the Power Range Neutron Flux – High bistables to  $\leq 80\%$  RTP: 1) prior to adjustment of the power range channel output in the decreasing power direction due to a part-power calorimetric below 45% RTP; or 2) for a post refueling startup. The evaluation of extended operation at part-power conditions concludes that the potential need to adjust the indication of the Power Range Neutron Flux in the decreasing power direction is quite small, primarily to address operation in the intermediate range about P-10 (nominally 10% RTP) to allow enabling of the Power Range Neutron Flux – Low setpoint and the Intermediate Range Neutron Flux reactor trips. Before the Power Range Neutron Flux – High bistables are reset to  $\leq 109\%$  RTP, the power range channel adjustment must be confirmed based on a calorimetric performed at  $\geq 45\%$  RTP.

The Note to SR 3.3.1.2 clarifies that this Surveillance is required only if reactor power is  $\ge$  15% RTP and that 24 hours is allowed for performing the first Surveillance after reaching 15% RTP. A power level of 15% RTP is chosen based on plant stability, i.e., automatic rod control capability and the turbine generator synchronized to the grid. The 24 hour allowance after increasing THERMAL POWER above 15% RTP provides a reasonable time to attain a scheduled power plateau, establish the requisite conditions, perform the calorimetric measurement, and make any required adjustments in a controlled, orderly manner and without introducing the potential for extended operation at high power levels with instrumentation that has not been verified to be OPERABLE for subsequent use.

The Frequency of every 24 hours is adequate. It is based on unitoperating experience, considering instrument reliability and operating history data for instrument drift. Together these factors demonstrate that adifference between the calorimetric heat balance calculation and the power range channel output of more than + 2% RTP is not expected in any 24 hour period. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

#### BASES

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

#### SR 3.3.1.2 (continued

In addition, control room operators periodically monitor redundant indications and alarms to detect deviations in channel outputs.

#### SR 3.3.1.3

SR 3.3.1.3 compares the core power distribution measurement, obtained using either the movable incore detector system or the Power Distribution Monitoring System, to the NIS channel output every 31 EFPD. If the absolute difference is  $\geq$  3%, the NIS channel is still OPERABLE, but must be readjusted. The excore NIS channel shall be adjusted if the absolute difference between the incore and excore AFD is  $\geq$  3%.

If the NIS channel cannot be properly readjusted, the channel is declared inoperable. This Surveillance is performed to verify the  $f(\Delta I)$  input to the Overtemperature  $\Delta T$  Function.

The Note to SR 3.3.1.3 clarifies that the Surveillance is required only if reactor power is  $\geq$  50 % RTP, and that 24 hours is allowed for performing the first Surveillance after reaching 50% RTP. This Note allows power ascensions and associated testing to be conducted in a controlled and orderly manner, at conditions that provide acceptable results and without introducing the potential for extended operation at high power levels with instrumentation that has not been verified to be OPERABLE for subsequent use. Due to such effects as shadowing from the relatively deep control rod insertion and, to a lesser extent, the axially-dependent radial leakage which varies with power level, the relationship between the incore and excore indications of axial flux difference (AFD) at lower power levels is variable. Thus, it is acceptable to defer the calibration of the excore AFD against the incore AFD until more stable conditions are attained (i.e., withdrawn control rods and a higher power level). The AFD is used as an input to the Overtemperature  $\Delta T$  reactor trip function and for assessing compliance with LCO 3.2.3., "AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE (AFD)." Due to the DNB benefits gained by administratively restricting power level to 50% RTP, no limits on AFD are imposed below 50% RTP by LCO 3.2.3; thus, the proposed change is consistent with the LCO 3.2.3 requirements below 50% RTP. Similarly, sufficient DNB margins are realized through operation below 50% RTP that the intended function of the Overtemperature  $\Delta T$  reactor trip function is maintained, even though the excore AFD indication may not exactly match the incore AFD indication. Based on plant operating experience, 24 hours is a

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

<u>SR 3.3.1.3</u> (continued)

reasonable time frame to limit operation above 50% RTP while completing the procedural steps associated with the surveillance in an orderly manner.

The Frequency of every 31 EFPD is adequate. It is based on unit operating experience, considering instrument reliability and operating history data for instrument drift. Also, the slow changes in neutron fluxduring the fuel cycle can be detected during this interval.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

# <u>SR 3.3.1.4</u>

SR 3.3.1.4 is the performance of a TADOT every 62 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. This test shall verify OPERABILITY by actuation of the end devices.

The RTB test shall include separate verification of the undervoltage and shunt trip mechanisms. Independent verification of RTB undervoltage and shunt trip Function is not required for the bypass breakers. No capability is provided for performing such a test at power. The independent test for bypass breakers is included in SR 3.3.1.14. The bypass breaker test shall include a local manual shunt trip. A Note has been added to indicate that this test must be performed on the bypass breaker prior to placing it in service.

The Frequency of every 62 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS is justified in Reference 13.

# <u>SR 3.3.1.5</u>

SR 3.3.1.5 is the performance of an ACTUATION LOGIC TEST. The SSPS is tested every 92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS, using the semiautomatic tester. The train being tested is placed in the bypass condition, thus preventing inadvertent actuation. Through the semiautomatic tester, all possible logic combinations, with and without applicable permissives, are tested for each protection function, including operation of the P-7 permissive which is a logic function only. The Frequency of every 92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS is justified in Reference 13.

REQUIREMENTS (continued)

# SURVEILLANCE SR 3.3.1.6

SR 3.3.1.6 is a calibration of the excore channels to the core power distribution, measured using either the movable incore detector system or the Power Distribution Monitoring System. If the measurements do not agree, the excore channels are not declared inoperable but must be calibrated to agree with the core power distribution measurements. If the excore channels cannot be adjusted, the channels are declared inoperable. This Surveillance is performed to verify the  $f(\Delta I)$  input to the Overtemperature  $\Delta T$  Function.

A Note modifies SR 3.3.1.6. The Note states that this Surveillance is not required to be performed until 72 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions with THERMAL POWER  $\geq$  75% RTP. Equilibrium conditions are achieved when the core is sufficiently stable at intended operating conditions to obtain a core power distribution measurement. The SR is deferred until a scheduled testing plateau above 75% RTP is attained during a power ascension. During a typical power ascension, it is usually necessary to control the axial flux difference at lower power levels through control rod insertion. After equilibrium conditions are achieved at the specified power plateau, a core power distribution measurement must be taken and the required data collected. The data is typically analyzed and the appropriate excore calibrations completed within 48 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions. An additional time allowance of 24 hours is provided during which the effects of equipment failures may be remedied and any required re-testing may be performed.

The allowance of 72 hours after equilibrium conditions are attained at the testing plateau provides sufficient time to allow power ascensions and associated testing to be conducted in a controlled and orderly manner at conditions that provide acceptable results and without introducing the potential for extended operation at high power levels with instrumentation that has not been verified to be OPERABLE for subsequent use.

The Frequency of 92 EFPD is adequate. It is based on industry operating experience, considering instrument reliability and operating history data for instrument drift.

SR 3.3.1.7

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Control Program.

SR 3.3.1.7 is the performance of a COT every 184 days.

A COT is performed on each required channel to ensure the channel will perform the intended Function.

Setpoints must be within the Allowable Values specified in Table 3.3.1-1.

BASE	ES
------	----

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.3.1.7 (continued)

SR 3.3.1.7 is modified by a Note that provides a 4 hour delay in the requirement to perform this Surveillance for source range instrumentation when entering MODE 3 from MODE 2. This Note allows a normal shutdown to proceed without a delay for testing in MODE 2 and for a short time in MODE 3 until the RTBs are open and SR 3.3.1.7 is no longer required to be performed. If the unit is to be in MODE 3 with the RTBs closed for > 4 hours this Surveillance must be performed prior to 4 hours after entry into MODE 3. Note 2 requires that the quarterly COT for the source range instrumentation shall include verification by observation of the associated permissive annunciator window that the P-6 and P-10 interlocks are in their required state for the existing conditions.

### The Frequency of 184 days is justified in Reference 13

# <u>SR 3.3.1.8</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SR 3.3.1.8 is the performance of a COT as described in SR 3.3.1.7, and it is modified by a Note that this test shall include verification that the P-6 and P-10 interlocks are in their required state for the existing unit conditions. The Frequency is modified by a Note that allows this surveillance to be satisfied if it has been performed, e.g., by observation of the associated permissive annunciator window, within 184 days of the Frequencies prior to reactor startup, 12 hours after reducing power below P-10, and four hours after reducing power below P-6. The Frequency of "prior to startup" ensures this surveillance is performed prior to critical operations and applies to the source, intermediate and power range low instrument channels. The Frequency of "12 hours after reducing power below P-10" (applicable to intermediate and power range low channels) and "4 hours after reducing power below P-6" (applicable to source range channels) allows a normal shutdown to be completed and the unit removed from the MODE of Applicability for this surveillance without a delay to perform the testing required by this surveillance. The Frequency of every 184 days thereafter applies if the plant remains in the MODE of Applicability after the initial performances of prior to reactor startup, 12 hours after reducing power below P-10, and four hours after reducing power below P-6. The MODE of Applicability for this surveillance is < P-10 for the power range low and intermediate range channels and < P-6 for the source range channels. Once the unit is in MODE 3, this surveillance is no longer required. If power is to be maintained < P-10 for more than 12 hours or < P-6 for more than 4 hours, then the testing required by this surveillance must be performed prior to the expiration of the 12 hour or the 4 hour limit. These time limits are reasonable, based



specified in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS SR 3.3.1.8 (continued)

on operating experience to complete the required testing or place the unit in a MODE where this surveillance is no longer required. This test ensures that the NIS source, intermediate, and power range channels are OPERABLE prior to taking the reactor critical and after reducing power into the applicable MODE (< P-10 or < P-6) for the periods discussed above. The Frequency of 184 days is justified in Reference 13.

SR 3.3.1.9

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

110.0.1.0

SR 3.3.1.9 is the performance of a TADØT and is performed every 92 days, as justified in Reference 6.

This SR is modified by a Note that excludes verification of setpoints from the TADOT. Since this SR applies to RCP undervoltage and underfrequency relays, setpoint verification requires elaborate bench calibration and is accomplished during the CHANNEL CALIBRATION.

# SR 3.3.1.10

A CHANNEL CALIBRATION is performed every 18 months, or approximately at every refueling. CHANNEL CALIBRATION is a complete check of the instrument loop, including the sensor. The test verifies that the channel responds to a measured parameter within the necessary range and accuracy.

CHANNEL CALIBRATIONS must be performed consistent with the assumptions of the setpoint methodology.

The Frequency of 18 months is based on the assumed calibration interval in the determination of the magnitude of equipment drift in the setpoint methodology.

SR 3.3.1.10 is modified by a Note stating that this test shall include verification that the time constants are adjusted to the prescribed values where applicable. This does not include verification of time delay relays. These are verified by response time testing per SR 3.3.1.16. Whenever an RTD is replaced in Functions 6 or 7, the next required CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the RTDs is accomplished by an inplace cross calibration that compares the other sensing elements with the recently installed sensing element.

The Surveillance Frequency is

Frequency Control Program.

controlled under the Surveillance

SURVEILLANCE	
REQUIREMENTS	
(continued)	

# <u>SR 3.3.1.11</u>

SR 3.3.1.11 is the performance of a CHANNEL CALIBRATION, as described in SR 3.3.1.10. every 18 months. This SR is modified by three Notes. Note 1 states that neutron detectors are excluded from the CHANNEL CALIBRATION. The source range neutron detectors are maintained based on manufacturer's recommendations. For the intermediate and power range channels, detector plateau curves are obtained, evaluated, and compared to manufacturer's data. Note 2 states that this test shall include verification that the time constants are adjusted to the prescribed values where applicable. Note 3 states that the power and intermediate range detector plateau voltage verification is not required to be current until 72 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions with THERMAL POWER  $\geq$  95% RTP. Equilibrium conditions are achieved when the core is sufficiently stable at intended operating conditions to perform a meaningful detector plateau voltage verification. The allowance of 72 hours after equilibrium conditions are attained at the testing plateau provides sufficient time to allow power ascension testing to be conducted in a controlled and orderly manner at conditions that provide acceptable results and without introducing the potential for extended operation at high power levels with instrumentation that has not been verified to be OPERABLE for subsequent use.

The 18 month Frequency is based on past operating experience, which has shown these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed on the 18 month Frequency. The conditions for verifying the power and intermediate range detector plateau voltages are described above. The other remaining portions of the CHANNEL CALIBRATIONS may be performed either during a plant outage or during plant operation.

# SR 3.3.1.12

Not Used.

# <u>SR 3.3.1.13</u>

SR 3.3.1.13 is the performance of a COT of RTS interlocks every 18 months.



The SR is modified by a Note that excludes verification of setpoints from the TADOT. The Functions affected have no setpoints associated with them.

#### SR 3.3.1.15

SR 3.3.1.15 is the performance of a TADOT of Turbine Trip Functions. This TADOT is as described in SR 3.3.1.4, except that this test is performed prior to exceeding the P-9 interlock whenever the unit has been in MODE 3. This Surveillance is not required if it has been performed within the previous 31 days. Verification of the Trip Setpoint does not have to be performed for this Surveillance. Performance of this test will ensure that the turbine trip Function is OPERABLE prior to exceeding the P-9 interlock.

#### <u>SR 3.3.1.16</u>

SR 3.3.1.16 verifies that the individual channel actuation response times are less than or equal to the maximum values assumed in the accident analysis. Response time testing acceptance criteria are included in Table B 3.3.1-2. No credit was taken in the safety analyses for those

BASE	S
------	---

REQUIREMENTS

# SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.3.1.16</u> (continued)

channels with response times listed as N.A. No response time testing requirements apply where N.A. is listed in Table B 3.3.1-2. Individual component response times are not modeled in the analyses. The analyses model the overall or total elapsed time, from the point at which the parameter exceeds the trip setpoint value at the sensor until loss of stationary gripper coil voltage.

For channels that include dynamic transfer Functions (e.g., lag, lead/lag, rate/lag, etc.), the response time verification is performed with the time constants set at their nominal values. The response time may be measured by a series of overlapping tests, or other verification (e.g., Ref. 7), such that the entire response time is measured.

Response time may be verified by actual response time tests in any series of sequential, overlapping or total channel measurements, or by the summation of allocated response times with actual response time tests on the remainder of the channel. Allocations for response times may be obtained from: (1) historical records based on acceptable response time tests (hydraulic, noise, or power interrupt tests), (2) inplace, onsite, or offsite (e.g. vendor) test measurements, or (3) utilizing vendor engineering specifications. WCAP-13632-P-A Revision 2, "Elimination of Pressure Sensor Response Time Testing Requirements" (Ref. 7), provides the basis and methodology for using allocated sensor response times in the overall verification of the channel response time for specific sensors identified in the WCAP. Response time verification for other sensor types must be demonstrated by test.

The allocations for sensor response times must be verified prior to placing the component in operational service and re-verified following maintenance that may adversely affect response time. In general, electrical repair work does not impact response time provided the parts used for repair are of the same type and value. One example where response time could be affected is replacing the sensing assembly of a transmitter.

As appropriate, each channel's response time must be verified every 18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. Each verification shall include at least one train such that both trains are verified at least once per 36 months. Testing of the final actuation devices is included in the verification. Response times cannot be determined during unit operationbecause equipment operation is required to measure response times. Experience has shown that these components usually pass this

SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.3.1.16</u> (continued)			
REQUIREMENTS	<del>surveil</del> Freque	lance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the ency was concluded to be acceptable from a reliability standpoint.		
	SR 3.3 exclud becaus Exclud operati the neu detecto	8.1.16 is modified by a Note stating that neutron detectors are ed from RTS RESPONSE TIME testing. This Note is necessary se of the difficulty in generating an appropriate detector input signal. ling the detectors is acceptable because the principles of detector ion ensure a virtually instantaneous response. Response time of utron flux signal portion of the channel shall be measured from or output or input to the first electronic component in the channel.		
REFERENCES	1.	USAR, Chapter 7.		
	2.	USAR, Chapter 15.		
	3.	IEEE-279-1971.		
	4.	10 CFR 50.49.		
	5.	WCNOC Nuclear Safety Analysis Setpoint Methodology for the Reactor Protection System, (TR-89-0001).		
	6.	WCAP-10271-P-A and Supplement 1-P-A, "Evaluation of Surveillance Frequencies and Out of Service Times for the Reactor Protection Instrumentation System," May 1986.		
	7.	WCAP-13632-P-A, Revision 2, "Elimination of Pressure Sensor Response Time Testing Requirements," January 1996.		
	8.	WCAP-9226, "Reactor Core Response to Excessive Secondary Steam Releases," Revision 1, January 1978.		
	9.	IE Information Notice 79-22, "Qualification of Control Systems," September 14, 1979.		
	10.	"Wolf Creek Setpoint Methodology Report," SNP(KG)-492, August 29, 1984.		
	11.	USAR, Table 15.0-4.		

BASES	
ACTIONS	P.1, P.2.1, and P.2.2 (continued)
	redundancy provided by the motor driven AFW pumps, and the low probability of an event occurring during this interval. If the Function cannot be returned to OPERABLE status, the unit must be placed in MODE 3 within the next 6 hours and in MODE 4 within the following 30 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems. In MODE 4, the unit does not have any analyzed transients or conditions that require the turbine driven AFW pump for mitigation.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	The SRs for each ESFAS Function are identified by the SRs column of Table 3.3.2-1.
	A Note has been added to the SR Table to clarify that Table 3.3.2-1 determines which SRs apply to which ESFAS Functions.
	Note that each channel of process protection supplies both trains of the ESFAS. When testing channel I, train A and train B must be examined. Similarly, train A and train B must be examined when testing channel II, channel III, and channel IV. The CHANNEL CALIBRATION and COTs are performed in a manner that is consistent with the assumptions used in analytically calculating the required channel accuracies.
	<u>SR 3.3.2.1</u>
	Performance of the CHANNEL CHECK once every 12 hours ensures that a gross failure of instrumentation has not occurred. A CHANNEL CHECK is normally a comparison of the parameter indicated on one channel to a similar parameter on other channels. It is based on the assumption that instrument channels monitoring the same parameter should read approximately the same value. Significant deviations between the two instrument channels could be an indication of excessive instrument drift in one of the channels or of something even more serious. A CHANNEL CHECK will detect gross channel failure; thus, it is key to verifying the instrumentation continues to operate properly between each CHANNEL CALIBRATION.
	Agreement criteria are determined by the unit staff, based on a combination of the channel instrument uncertainties, including indication and reliability. If a channel is outside the criteria, it may be an indication

SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.3.2.1</u> (continued)
REQUIREMENTS	that the sensor or the signal processing equipment has drifted outside its limit.
	The Frequency is based on operating experience that demonstrates channel failure is rare. The CHANNEL CHECK supplements less formal, but more frequent, checks of channels during normal operational use of the displays associated with the LCO required channels.
	The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the

# SR 3.3.2.2

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

SR 3.3.2.2 is the performance of an ACTUATION LOGIC TEST/ The SSPS is tested every 92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS, using the semiautomatic tester. The train being tested is placed in the bypass condition, thus preventing inadvertent actuation. Through the semiautomatic tester, all possible logic combinations, with and without applicable permissives, are tested for each protection function. In addition, the master relay coil is pulse tested for continuity. This verifies that the logic modules are OPERABLE and that there is an intact voltage signal path to the master relay coils. The Frequency of every 92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS is justified in Reference 13.

# SR 3.3.2.3

SR 3.3.2.3 is the performance of an ACTUATION LOGIC TEST using the BOP ESFAS automatic tester. The continuity check does not have to be performed, as explained in the Note. This SR is applied to the balance of plant actuation logic and relays that do not have circuits installed to perform the continuity check. This test is required every 31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. The Frequency is adequate based on industry operating experience, considering instrument reliability and operating history data.

# SR 3.3.2.4

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SR 3.3.2.4 is the performance of a MASTER RELAY TEST. The MASTER RELAY TEST is the energizing of the master relay, verifying contact operation and a low voltage continuity check of the slave relay coil. Upon master relay contact operation, a low voltage is injected to the slave relay coil. This voltage is insufficient to pick up the slave relay, but Th

	BASES	
		<u>SR 3.3.2.4</u> (continued)
	REQUIREMENTS	large enough to demonstrate signal path continuity. This test is performed every 92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. The time allowed for the testing (4 hours) is justified in Reference 7. The Frequency of every 92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS is justified in Reference 13
		<u>SR 3.3.2.5</u>
		SR 3.3.2.5 is the performance of a COT.
e Surveillanc htrolled unde equency Con	e Frequency is r the Surveillance trol Program.	A COT is performed on each required channel to ensure the channel will perform the intended Function. Setpoints must be found within the Allowable Values specified in Table 3.3.2-1.
		The setpoint shall be left set consistent with the assumptions of the current unit specific setpoint methodology.
	``````````````````````````````````````	The Frequency of 184 days is justified in Reference 13.
		<u>SR 3.3.2.6</u>
		SR 3.3.2.6 is the performance of a SLAVE RELAY TEST. The SLAVE RELAY TEST is the energizing of the slave relays. Contact operation is verified in one of two ways. Actuation equipment that may be operated in the design mitigation MODE is either allowed to function, or is placed in a condition where the relay contact operation can be verified without operation of the equipment. Actuation equipment that may not be operated in the design mitigation MODE is prevented from operation by the slave relay blocking circuit. For this latter case, contact operation is verified by a continuity check of the circuit containing the slave relay. This test is performed every 18 months. This Frequency is based on relay reliability assessments presented in WCAP-13878-P-A, "Reliability Assessment of Potter & Brumfield MDR Series Relays," (Ref. 9). The reliability assessments are relay specific and apply only to Potter & Brumfield MDR series relays.
		For Function 4.c (Steam Line Isolation – Automatic Actuation Logic (MSFIS)) and Function 5.b (Turbine Trip and Feedwater Isolation – Automatic Actuation Logic (MSFIS)), SR 3.3.2.6 is performed on the associated slave relays in the SSPS cabinets and includes verification that the slave relays are energized at the MSFIS cabinets.

#### SURVEILLANCE SR 3.3.2.7

REQUIREMENTS (continued)

SR 3.3.2.7 is the performance of a TADOT every 18 months. This test is a check of the Loss of Offsite Power function. The trip actuating devices tested within the scope of SR 3.3.2.7 are the LSELS output relays and BOP ESFAS separation groups logic associated with the auto-start of the turbine driven AFW pump upon an ESF bus undervoltage condition.

The SR is modified by a Note that excludes verification of setpoints for relays. The Frequency is adequate. It is based on industry operating experience, considering instrument reliability and operating history data and is consistent with the typical refueling cycle. The trip actuating devices tested have no associated setpoint.

# SR 3.3.2.8

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SR 3.3.2.8 is the performance of a TADOT. This test is a check of the Manual Actuation Functions (SSPS) and AFW pump start on trip of all MFW pumps BOP ESFAS. The Manual Safety Injection TADOT shall independently verify OPERABILITY of the handswitch undervoltage and shunt trip contacts for both the Reactor Trip Breakers and Reactor Trip Bypass Breakers as well as the contacts for safety injection actuation. It is performed every 18 months. Each Manual Actuation Function is tested up to, and including, the master relay coils. In some instances, the test includes actuation of the end device (i.e., pump starts, valve cycles, etc.). The Frequency is adequate, based on industry operating experience and is consistent with the typical refueling cycle. The SR is modified by a Note that excludes verification of setpoints during the TADOT for manual initiation Functions. The manual initiation Functions have no associated setpoints.

# SR 3.3.2.9

SR 3.3.2.9 is the performance of a CHANNEL CALIBRATION.

#### A CHANNEL CALIBRATION is performed every 18 months, or

approximately at every refueling. CHANNEL CALIBRATION is a complete check of the instrument loop, including the sensor. The test verifies that the channel responds to measured parameter within the necessary range and accuracy.

CHANNEL CALIBRATIONS must be performed consistent with the assumptions of the unit specific setpoint methodology.

# SURVEILLANCE SR 3.3.2.9 (continued) REQUIREMENTS The Fourth of the second secon

The Frequency of 18 months is based on the assumed calibration intervalin the determination of the magnitude of equipment drift in the setpointmethodology. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR is modified by a Note stating that this test shall include verification that the time constants are adjusted to the prescribed values where applicable. This does not include verification of time delay relays. These are verified by response time testing per SR 3.3.2.10.

# <u>SR 3.3.2.10</u>

This SR verifies the individual channel ESF RESPONSE TIMES are less than or equal to the maximum values assumed in the accident analysis. Response Time verification acceptance criteria are included in Table B 3.3.2-2. Table B 3.3.2-2 format is based on the initiating trip signal. No credit was taken in the safety analyses for those channels with response times listed as N.A. No response time testing requirements apply where N.A. is listed in Table B 3.3.2-2. Individual component response times are not modeled in the analyses. The analyses model the overall or total elapsed time, from the point at which the parameter exceeds the Trip Setpoint value at the sensor, to the point at which the equipment in both trains reaches the required functional state (e.g., pumps at rated discharge pressure, valves in full open or closed position).

For channels that include dynamic transfer functions (e.g., lag, lead/lag, rate/lag, etc.), the response time verification is performed with the time constants set at their nominal values. The response time may be verified by a series of overlapping tests, or other verification (e.g., Ref. 8), such that the entire response time is measured.

Response time may be verified by actual response time tests in any series of sequential, overlapping or total channel measurements, or by the summation of allocated sensor response times with actual response time tests on the remainder of the channel. Allocations for sensor response times may be obtained from: (1) historical records based on acceptable response time tests (hydraulic, noise, or power interrupt tests), (2) inplace, onsite, or offsite (e.g. vendor) test measurements, or (3) utilizing vendor engineering specifications. WCAP-13632-P-A Revision 2, "Elimination of Pressure Sensor Response Time Testing Requirements" (Ref. 7), provides the basis and methodology for using allocated sensor

# SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.3.2.10</u> (continued) REQUIREMENTS response times in the overall verification of the channel response time for specific sensors identified in the WCAP. Response time verification for other sensor types must be demonstrated by test. The allocations for sensor response times must be verified prior to placing the component in operational service and re-verified following maintenance that may adversely affect response time. In general, electrical repair work does not impact response time provided the parts used for repair are of the same type and value. One example where response time could be affected is replacing the sensing assembly of a transmitter. The NRC approved the use of ASME Code Case OMN-1, "Alternative Rules for Preservice and Inservice Testing of Certain Electric Motor-Operated Valve Assemblies in Light-Water Reactor Plants," as an alternative to stroke time testing for motor-operated valves (Ref. 14). The parameters that must be present to achieve the analyzed response time under design basis conditions are measured to ensure the valve is capable of performing its safety function. This process verifies design basis capability, including response time, and is a significant improvement over simple stroke time measurement. This process allows the establishment of periodic valve test intervals if there is assurance that the valve will remain capable of performing its safety function throughout the interval. ESF response times specified in Table B 3.3.2-2 which include sequential operation of RWST and VCT valves (Notes 3 and 4) are based on values assumed in the non-LOCA safety analyses. These analyses take credit for injection of borated water from the RWST. Injection of borated water is assumed not to occur until the VCT charging pump suction valves are closed following opening of the RWST charging pump suction valves. When the sequential operation of the RWST and VCT valves is not included in the response times (Note 7), the values specified are based on the LOCA analyses. The LOCA analyses take credit for injection flow regardless of the source. Verification of the response times specified in Table B 3.3.2-2 will assure that the assumptions used for the LOCA and non-LOCA analyses with respect to the operation of the VCT and RWST valves are valid. ESF RESPONSE TIME verification is performed on an 18 month STAGGERED TEST BASIS. Each verification shall include at least one train such that both trains are verified at least once per 36 months.

Testing of the final actuation devices, which make up the bulk of the

R	Δ	S	F	ς
D	м	S	ᄃ	J

SURVEILLANCE

REQUIREMENTS (continued) SR 3.3.2.10 (continued)

response time, is included in the testing of each channel. The final actuation device in one train is tested with each channel. Therefore, staggered testing results in response time verification of these devicesevery 18 months. The 18 month Frequency is consistent with the typical refueling cycle and is based on unit operating experience, which shows that random failures of instrumentation components causing serious response time degradation, but not channel failure, are infrequent occurrences.

This SR is modified by a Note that clarifies that the turbine driven AFW pump is tested within 24 hours after reaching 900 psig in the SGs.

### SR 3.3.2.11

SR 3.3.2.11 is the performance of a TADOT as described in SR 3.3.2.8, except that it is performed for the P-4 Reactor Trip Interlock, and the Frequency is every 18 months. This Frequency is based on operating experience

The SR is modified by a Note that excludes verification of setpoints during the TADOT. The Function tested has no associated setpoint. This TADOT does not include the circuitry associated with steam dump operation since it is control grade circuitry.

# SR 3.3.2.12

SR 3.3.2.12 is the performance of a monthly COT on ESFAS Function 6.h, "Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Suction Transfer on Suction Pressure - Low."

A COT is performed to ensure the channel will perform the intended Function. Setpoints must be found within the Allowable Values specified in Table 3.3.2-1.

The setpoint shall be left set consistent with the assumptions of the current unit specific setpoint methodology.

REFERENCES	1.	USAR, Chapter 6.
	2.	USAR, Chapter 7.
	3.	USAR, Chapter 15.
	4.	IEEE-279-1971.
	5.	10 CFR 50.49.
	6.	WCNOC Nuclear Safety Analysis Setpoint Methodology for the Reactor Protection System, TR-89-0001.
	7.	WCAP-10271-P-A Supplement 2, Revision 1, "Evaluation of Surveillance Frequencies and Out of Service Times for the Engineered Safety Features Actuation System," June 1990.
	8.	WCAP-13632-P-A, Revision 2, "Elimination of Pressure Sensor Response Time Testing Requirements," January 1996.
Not Used	9.	WCAP-13878-P-A, Revision 2, "Reliability Assessment of Potter & Brumfield MDR Series Relays," August 2000.
	10.	"Wolf Creek Setpoint Methodology Report," SNP (KG)-492, August 29, 1984.
	11.	Amendment No. 43 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-42, March 29, 1991.
	12.	WCAP-14333-P-A, Revision 1, "Probabilistic Risk Analysis of the RPS and ESFAS Test Times and Completion Times," October 1998.
Not Used.	13.	WCAP-15376-P-A, Revision 1, "Risk-Informed Assessment of the -RTS and ESFAS Surveillance Test Intervals and Reactor Trip Breaker Test and Completion Times," March 2003.
	14.	10 CFR 50.55a(b)(3)(iii), Code Case OMN-1.
	15.	Performance Improvement Request (PIR) 2005-2067.

BASES	
ACTIONS (continued)	<u>F.1</u> Alternate means of monitoring Reactor Vessel Water Level and Containment Area Radiation have been developed. These alternate means may be temporarily used if the normal PAM channel cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within the allotted time. If these alternate means are used, the Required Action is not to shut down the unit but rather to follow the directions of Specification 5.6.8, in the Administrative Controls section of the TS. Monitoring the core exit thermocouples, pressurizer level indication (BB-LI-0459A, -0460A, or -0461) and RCS subcooling monitor indication (BB-TI-1390A or B) provide an alternate means for RVLIS. These 3 parameters provide diverse information to verify there is adequate core cooling. When Containment Radiation Level (High Range) monitors are inoperable, portable survey equipment with the capability to detect gamma radiation over the range 1E-03 to 1E04 provides an alternate means (Ref. 5).
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	A Note has been added to the SR Table to clarify that SR 3.3.3.1 and SR 3.3.3.2 apply to each PAM instrumentation Function in Table 3.3.3-1.
	<u>SR 3.3.3.1</u>
	Performance of the CHANNEL CHECK once every 31 days ensures that a gross instrumentation failure has not occurred. A CHANNEL CHECK is normally a comparison of the parameter indicated on one channel to a similar parameter on other channels. It is based on the assumption that instrument channels monitoring the same parameter should read approximately the same value. Significant deviations between the two instrument channels could be an indication of excessive instrument drift in one of the channels or of something even more serious. A CHANNEL CHECK will detect gross channel failure; thus, it is key to verifying the instrumentation continues to operate properly between each CHANNEL CALIBRATION. The high radiation instrumentation should be compared to similar unit instruments located throughout the unit.
	Agreement criteria are determined by the unit staff, based on a combination of the channel instrument uncertainties, including isolation, indication, and readability. If a channel is outside the criteria, it may be an indication that the sensor or the signal processing equipment has drifted outside its limit. If the channels are within the criteria, it is an indication that the channels are OPERABLE.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.3.3.1 (continued)			
	As specified in the SR, a CHANNEL CHECK is only required for those channels that are normally energized.			
	The Frequency of 31 days is based on operating experience that demonstrates that channel failure is rare. The CHANNEL CHECK supplements less formal, but more frequent, checks of channels during normal operational use of the displays associated with the LCO required channels.	-		
	SR 3.3.3.2			
	A CHANNEL CALIBRATION is performed every 18 months, or approximately at every refueling. CHANNEL CALIBRATION is a complete check of the instrument loop, including the sensor. The test verifies that the channel responds to measure parameter with the necessary range and accuracy. This SR is modified by a Note that excludes neutron detectors. Containment Radiation Level (High Range) CHANNEL CALIBRATION may consist of an electronic calibration of the channel, not including the detector, for range decades above 10 R/hr and a one point calibration check of the detector below 10 R/hr with an installed or portable gamma source. The Frequency is based on operating experience and consistency with the typical industry refueling cycle. Whenever an RTD is replaced in Functions 2 or 3, the next required CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the RTD's is accomplished by an inplace cross calibration that compares the other sensing elements with the recently installed sensing element. Whenever a core exit thermocouple is replaced in Functions 14, 15, 16, or 17, the next require CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the core exit thermocouples is accomplished by an inplace cross calibration that compares the other sensing elements with the recently installed sensing element. Whenever a core exit thermocouple is replaced in Functions 14, 15, 16, or 17, the next require CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the core exit thermocouples is accomplished by an inplace cross calibration that compares the other sensing elements with the recently installed sensing element.	d ed s		
REFERENCES	1. USAR Appendix 7A.			
	2. Regulatory Guide 1.97, Rev. 2, December 1980.			
	3. NUREG-0737, Supplement 1, "TMI Action Items."			
	4. USAR Figure 5.1-1 (sheet 4).			
	5. NA 94-0089 dated May 24, 1994.			

<u>B.1 and B.2</u> If the Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A is not met, the unit must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 4 within 12 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.
f the Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A is not met, the unit must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 4 within 12 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.
SR 3.3.4.1
Performance of the CHANNEL CHECK once every 31 days ensures that a gross failure of instrumentation has not occurred. A CHANNEL CHECK s normally a comparison of the parameter indicated on one channel to a similar parameter on other channels. It is based on the assumption that nstrument channels monitoring the same parameter should read approximately the same value. Significant deviations between the two nstrument channels could be an indication of excessive instrument drift in one of the channels or of something even more serious. CHANNEL CHECK will detect gross channel failure; thus, it is key to verifying that the nstrumentation continues to operate properly between each CHANNEL CALIBRATION.
Agreement criteria are determined by the unit staff, based on a combination of the channel instrument uncertainties, including indication and readability. If the channels are within the criteria, it is an indication that the channels are OPERABLE. If a channel is outside the criteria, it may be an indication that the sensor or the signal processing equipment has drifted outside its limit.
For the RTB Position Function, this Surveillance Requirement is met by verifying the actual position at the RTB Switchgear to the RTB indication. For the RCP Breakers Function, this Surveillance Requirement is met by verifying the local breaker indication to the control room remote breaker ndication.
As specified in the Surveillance, a CHANNEL CHECK is only required for those channels which are normally energized. Source Range Neutron Flux is de-energized in MODE 1 and in MODE 2 above the P-6 setpoint.
The Frequency of 31 days is based upon operating experience which demonstrates that channel failure is rare. The CHANNEL CHECK supplements less formal, but more frequent, checks of channels during

	<u>SR 3.3.4.1</u> (continued)				
REQUIREMENTS	normal operational use of the displays associated with the LCO required channels.				
	<u>SR 3.3.4.2</u>				
	SR 3.3.4.2 verifies each required Remote Shutdown System ASP control circuit and transfer switch performs the intended function. This verification is performed from the auxiliary shutdown panel. Operation of the equipment from the auxiliary shutdown panel is not necessary. The Surveillance can be satisfied by performance of a continuity check. This will ensure that if the control room becomes inaccessible, the unit can be placed and maintained in MODE 3 from the auxiliary shutdown panel and the local stations. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a plant outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. (However, this Surveillance is not required to be performed only during a unit outage.) Operating experience demonstrates that remote shutdown control channels usually pass the Surveillance test when performed at the 18 month Frequency.				
	SR 3.3.4.3       The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.				
	CHANNEL CALIBRATION is a complete check of the instrument loop and the sensor. The test verifies that the channel responds to a measured parameter within the necessary range and accuracy.				
	The Frequency of 18 months is based upon operating experience and consistency with the typical industry refueling cycle. Notes 1 and 2 have been added to exclude the Neutron detectors (Note 1), the reactor trip breakers and RCP breakers (Note 2) from CHANNEL CALIBRATION.				
REFERENCES	1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 19.				
	2. USAR Table 7.5-2.				

ACTIONS

# <u>B.1</u> (continued)

MODES 1 - 4 and takes into account the low probability of an event requiring an LOP start occurring during this interval. When the associated DG is required to be OPERABLE in MODES 5 and 6, the Completion Time of Required Action C.1 in LCO 3.8.2, "AC Sources - Shutdown," is consistent with the required times for actions requiring prompt action.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.3.5.1</u> REQUIREMENTS

Not Used.

# SR 3.3.5.2

SR 3.3.5.3

SR 3.3.5.2 is the performance of a TADOT. This test is performed every 31 days. The test checks trip devices that provide actuation signals directly, bypassing the analog process control equipment. For these tests, the relay Trip Setpoints are verified and adjusted as necessary. The SR is modified by a Note that excludes verification of time delays. Testing of the time delay relays is performed as part of the CHANNEL CALIBRATION (SR 3.3.5.3). The Frequency is based on the known reliability of the relays and controls and the multichannel redundancy available, and has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience. If the measured setpoint does not exceed the Allowable Value, the trip device is considered OPERABLE.



SR 3.3.5.3 is the performance of a CHANNEL CALIBRATION.

A CHANNEL CALIBRATION is performed every 18 months, or approximately at every refueling. CHANNEL CALIBRATION is a complete check of the instrument loop, including the sensor. The test verifies that the channel responds to a measured parameter within the necessary range and accuracy.

Calculation XX-E-009 (Ref. 3) calculates the undervoltage/degraded voltage setpoints for the NB/NG relays. The calculation also ensures adequate voltage will be present at the end use loads under minimum switchyard voltage and maximum accident loading. Calculation XX-E-009 identifies that the minimum acceptable voltage for the NB01 bus is 3707 V (105.9 V after PT) and for the NB02 bus is 3704 V (105.9 V after PT).

BASES			
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.3.5.3 (continued) The Frequency of 18 months is based on operating experience and consistency with the typical industry refueling cycle and is justified by the assumption of an 18 month calibration interval in the determination of the magnitude of equipment drift in the setpoint analysis.		
	<u>SR 3.3.5.4</u>		
The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.	SR 3.3.5.4 is the performance of the required response time verification every 18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. This SR measures the total response time of the undervoltage relays, logic circuitry and EDG start time. Response time verification acceptance criteria are:		
	Loss of Power		
	a. 4kV Bus Undervoltage - Loss of Voltage	≤ 14 seconds	
	<ul> <li>b. 4kV Bus Undervoltage - Grid Degraded Voltage</li> </ul>	≤ 144 seconds	
	Each verification shall include at least one to verified at least once per 36 months.	train such that both trains are	
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Section 8.3.		
	2. USAR, Chapter 15.		
	<ol> <li>Calculation XX-E-009, "System NB, Undervoltage/Degraded Voltage Re</li> </ol>	NG, PG lay Setpoints."	

	BASES	
	ACTIONS	B.1 and B.2 (continued)
		A Note states that Condition B is applicable during CORE ALTERATIONS and during movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.
	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	A Note has been added to the SR Table to clarify that Table 3.3.6-1 determines which SRs apply to which Containment Purge Isolation Functions.
		<u>SR 3.3.6.1</u>
		Performance of the CHANNEL CHECK once every 12 hours ensures that a gross failure of instrumentation has not occurred. A CHANNEL CHECK is normally a comparison of the parameter indicated on one channel to a similar parameter on other channels. It is based on the assumption that instrument channels monitoring the same parameter should read approximately the same value. Significant deviations between the two instrument channels could be an indication of excessive instrument drift in one of the channels or of something even more serious. A CHANNEL CHECK will detect gross channel failure; thus, it is key to verifying the instrumentation continues to operate properly between each CHANNEL CALIBRATION.
		Agreement criteria are determined by the unit staff, based on a combination of the channel instrument uncertainties, including indication and readability. If a channel is outside the criteria, it may be an indication that the sensor or the signal processing equipment has drifted outside its limit.
		The Frequency is based on operating experience that demonstrates channel failure is rare. The CHANNEL CHECK supplements less formal, but more frequent, checks of channels during normal operational use of the displays associated with the LCO required channels.
The Surveilland controlled unde Frequency Cor	ance Frequency is nder the Surveillance Control Program.	<u>SR 3.3.6.2</u>
		SR 3.3.6.2 is the performance of an ACTUATION LOGIC TEST using the BOP ESFAS automatic tester. The continuity check does not have to be performed, as explained in the Note. This SR is applied to the balance of plant actuation logic and relays that do not have circuits installed to perform the continuity check. This test is required every 31 days on a

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS SR 3.3.6.2 (continued)

STAGGERED TEST BASIS. The Frequency is adequate based on industry operating experience, considering instrument reliability and operating history data.

# SR 3.3.6.3

A COT is performed every 92 days on each required channel to ensure the entire channel will perform the intended Function. The Frequency is based on the staff recommendation for increasing the availability of radiation monitors according to NUREG-1366 (Ref. 2). This test verifies the capability of the instrumentation to provide the containment purge system isolation. The trip setpoint concentration value (uCi/cm<sup>3</sup>) is to be established such that the actual submersion rate would not exceed 9 mr/h in the containment building. The setpoint value may be increased up to the equivalent limits of Section 3.1 of the ODCM in accordance with the methodology and parameters in the ODCM during containment purge or vent provided the setpoint value does not exceed twice the maximum concentration activity in the containment determined by the sample analysis performed prior to each release in accordance with Table 3-1 of the ODCM.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

# SR 3.3.6.4

SR 3.3.6.4 is the performance of a TADOT. This test is a check of the Manual Actuation Functions and is performed every 18 months. Each Manual Actuation Function is tested through the BOP ESFAS logic.

The SR is modified by a Note that excludes verification of setpoints during the TADOT. The Functions tested have no setpoints associated with them.

The Frequency is based on the known reliability of the Function and the redundancy available, and has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience.

BASES			
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.3.6.5</u>		
(continued)	A CHANNEL CALIBRATION is performed every 18 months, or approximately at every refueling. CHANNEL CALIBRATION is a complete check of the instrument loop, including the sensor. The test verifies that the channel responds to a measured parameter within the		
The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.	necessary range and accuracy. The Frequency is based on operating experience and is consistent with the typical industry refueling cycle.		
	<u>SR 3.3.6.6</u>		
	SR 3.3.6.6 is the performance of the required response time verification every 18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. Response time verification acceptance criteria for the containment purge isolation instrumentation is $\leq$ 2 seconds. This response time acceptance criteria does not include valve closure time. Each verification shall include at least one train such that both trains are verified at least once per 36 months.		
REFERENCES	1. 10 CFR 50.67.		
	-2. NUREG-1366, July 22, 1993.		

ACTIONS	E.1 and E.2		
(continued)	Condition E applies when the Required Action and associated Completion Time for Conditions A, B or C have not been met when irradiated fuel assemblies are being moved. Movement of irradiated fuel assemblies and CORE ALTERATIONS must be suspended immediately to reduce the risk of accidents that would require CREVS actuation. This does not preclude movement of a component to a safe position.		
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	A Note has been added to the SR Table to clarify that Table 3.3.7-1 determines which SRs apply to which CREVS Actuation Functions.		
	<u>SR 3.3.7.1</u>		
	Performance of the CHANNEL CHECK once every 12 hours ensures that a gross failure of instrumentation has not occurred. A CHANNEL CHECK is normally a comparison of the parameter indicated on one channel to a similar parameter on other channels. It is based on the assumption that instrument channels monitoring the same parameter should read approximately the same value. Significant deviations between the two instrument channels could be an indication of excessive instrument drift in one of the channels or of something even more serious. A CHANNEL CHECK will detect gross channel failure; thus, it is key to verifying the instrumentation continues to operate properly between each CHANNEL CALIBRATION.		
	Agreement criteria are determined by the unit staff, based on a combination of the channel instrument uncertainties, including indication and readability. If a channel is outside the criteria, it may be an indication that the sensor or the signal processing equipment has drifted outside its limit.		
	The Frequency is based on operating experience that demonstrates channel failure is rare. The CHANNEL CHECK supplements less formal, but more frequent, checks of channels during normal operational use of the displays associated with the LCO required channels.		
	SR 3.3.7.2 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.		
	A COT is performed once every 92 days on each required channel to ensure the entire channel will perform the intended function. This test		

	BASES		
	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.3.7.2</u> (continued)	
		verifies the capability of the instrumentation to provide the CREVS actuation. The setpoints shall be left consistent with Note (b) of Table 3.3.7-1 The Frequency is based on the known reliability of the monitoring equipment and has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience.	
The Surveillar controlled unc Frequency Co	hce Frequency is der the Surveillance pontrol Program.	<u>SR 3.3.7.3</u>	
		SR 3 3.7.3 is the performance of an ACTUATION LOGIC TEST using the	

SR 3.3 (.3 is the performance of an ACTUATION LOGIC TEST using the BOP ESFAS automatic tester. The continuity check does not have to be performed, as explained in the Note. This SR is applied to the balance of plant actuation logic and relays that do not have circuits installed to perform the continuity check. This test is required every 31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. The Frequency is adequate based on industry operating experience, considering instrument reliability and operating history data.

# <u>SR 3.3.7.4</u>

SR 3.3.7.4 is the performance of a TADOT. This test is a check of the Manual Actuation Functions and is performed every 18 months. Each Manual Actuation Function is tested through the BOP ESFAS.

The Frequency is based on the known reliability of the Function and the redundancy available, and has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience. The SR is modified by a Note that excludes verification of setpoints during the TADOT. The Functions tested have no setpoints associated with them.

# <u>SR 3.3.7.5</u>

A CHANNEL CALIBRATION is performed every 18 months, or approximately at every refueling. CHANNEL CALIBRATION is a complete check of the instrument loop, including the sensor. The test verifies that the channel responds to a measured parameter within the necessary range and accuracy.

The Frequency is based on operating experience and is consistent with the typical industry refueling cycle.

BASES	rveillance Frequency Control Program.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	SR 3.3.7.6 SR 3.3.7.6 is the performance of the required response time verification every 18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. Response time verification acceptance criteria for the CREVS actuation instrumentation is ≤ 60 seconds. Each verification shall include at least one train/channel such that both trains/channels are verified at least once per 36 months. The 18-month Frequency is consistent with the typical refueling cycle and is based on unit operating experience, which shows that random failures of instrumentation components causing serious response degradation, but not channel failure, are infrequent occurrences. SR 3.3.7.6 is modified by a Note stating that the radiation monitor detectors are excluded from ESF RESPONSE TIME testing. The Note is necessary because of the difficulty associated with generating an appropriate radiation monitor detector input signal. Excluding the detectors is acceptable because the principles of detector operation ensure a virtually instantaneous response. Response time of the channel shall be verified from the detector output or input to the first electronic component in the channel.

REFERENCES 1. USAR Section 7.3.4 and Table 7.3-8.

\_

	BASES	
	ACTIONS	<u>C.1.1, C.1.2, and C.2</u> (continued)
		Alternatively, both trains may be place in the FBVIS mode within 1 hour. This ensures the EES function is performed even in the presence of a single failure.
		<u>D.1</u>
		Condition D applies when the Required Action and associated Completion Time for Conditions A, B, or C have not been met and irradiated fuel assemblies are being moved in the fuel building. Movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building must be suspended immediately to eliminate the potential for events that could require EES actuation. This does not preclude movement of a fuel assembly to a safe position.
	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	A Note has been added to the SR Table to clarify that Table 3.3.8-1 determines which SRs apply to which EES Actuation Functions.
		<u>SR 3.3.8.1</u>
		Performance of the CHANNEL CHECK once every 12 hours ensures that a gross failure of instrumentation has not occurred. A CHANNEL CHECK is normally a comparison of the parameter indicated on one channel to a similar parameter on other channels. It is based on the assumption that instrument channels monitoring the same parameter should read approximately the same value. Significant deviations between the two instrument channels could be an indication of excessive instrument drift in one of the channels or of something even more serious. A CHANNEL CHECK will detect gross channel failure; thus, it is key to verifying the instrumentation continues to operate properly between each CHANNEL CALIBRATION.
The Surveillan controlled und Frequency Co	ice Frequency is er the Surveillance ntrol Program.	Agreement criteria are determined by the unit staff, based on a combination of the channel instrument uncertainties, including indication and readability. If a channel is outside the criteria, it may be an indication that the sensor or the signal processing equipment has drifted outside its limit.
		The Frequency is based on operating experience that demonstrates channel failure is rare. The CHANNEL CHECK supplements less formal, but more frequent, checks of channels during normal operational use of the displays associated with the LCO required channels.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>S</u> REQUIREMENTS (continued) A

# <u>SR 3.3.8.2</u>

A COT is performed once every 92 days on each required channel to ensure the entire channel will perform the intended function. This test verifies the capability of the instrumentation to provide the EES actuation. The setpoints shall be left consistent with the unit specific calibration procedure tolerance. The Frequency of 92 days is based on the known reliability of the monitoring equipment and has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience.

# <u>SR 3.3.8.3</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SR 3.3.8.3 is the performance of an ACTUATION LOGIC TEST using the BOP ESFAS automatic tester. The actuation logic is tested every 31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS. All possible logic combinations, with and without applicable permissive, are tested for each protection function. The Frequency is based on the known reliability of the relays and controlsand the multichannel redundancy available, and has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience. The SR is modified by a Note stating that the continuity check may be excluded. This SR is applied to the balance of plant actuation logic and relays that do not have circuits installed to perform the continuity check.

# <u>SR 3.3.8.4</u>

SR 3.3.8.4 is the performance of a TADOT. This test is a check of the manual actuation functions and is performed every 18 months. Each manual actuation function is tested up to, and including, the master relay coils. In some instances, the test includes actuation of the end device (e.g., pump starts, valve cycles, etc.). The Frequency is based on operating experience and is consistent with the typical industry refueling cycle. The SR is modified by a Note that excludes verification of setpoints during the TADOT. The Functions tested have no setpoints associated with them.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	<u>SR 3.3.8.5</u>			
	A CHANNEL CALIBRATION is performed every 18 months, or approximately at every refueling. CHANNEL CALIBRATION is a complete check of the instrument loop, including the sensor. The test verifies that the channel responds to a measure parameter within the necessary range and accuracy. The Frequency is based on operating experience and is consistent with the typical industry refueling cycle.			
REFERENCES	1.	10 CFR 50.67.	The Surveillance Frequency is controlled	
	2.	Calculation J-G-SA02.	Program.	
	3.	USAR Section 7.3.3 and	Table 7.3-5.	
	4.	USAR Section 15.7.4.		

ACTIONS

# B.2 (continued)

This Required Action is modified by a Note that states that THERMAL POWER does not have to be reduced prior to performing this Action. For example, this means that, during performance of Required Action B.1.1, if the flow rate is restored to within limit at 80% RTP, power does not need to be reduced below 50% RTP or 75% RTP to comply with Required Action B.2.

# <u>C.1</u>

If the Required Actions of Conditions A or B is not met within the associated Completion Time, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to at least MODE 2 within 6 hours. In MODE 2, the reduced power condition eliminates the potential for violation of the accident analysis bounds. The Completion Time of 6 hours is reasonable to reach the required plant conditions in an orderly manner.

# SURVEILLANCE <u>S</u>REQUIREMENTS

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

# <u>SR 3.4.1.1</u>

Since Required Action A.1 allows a Completion Time of 2 hours to restore parameters that are not within limits, the 12 hour Surveillance Frequency for pressurizer pressure is sufficient to ensure the pressure can be restored to a normal operation, steady state condition following load changes and other expected transient operations. The 12 hour interval has been shown by operating practice to be sufficient to regularly assess for potential degradation and to verify operation is within safety analysis assumptions.

# <u>SR 3.4.1.2</u>

Since Required Action A.1 allows a Completion Time of 2 hours to restore parameters that are not within limits, the 12 hour Surveillance Frequency for RCS average temperature is sufficient to ensure the temperature can be restored to a normal operation, steady state condition following load changes and other expected transient operations. The 12 hour interval has been shown by operating practice to be sufficient to regularly assess for potential degradation and to verify operation is within safety analysis assumptions.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

SR 3.4.1.3

The 12 hour Surveillance Frequency for RCS total flow rate is performed using the installed flow instrumentation. The installed flow instrumentation provides indication as a percentage of total flow rate based on the precision calorimetric heat balance. Plant procedures specify the percentage of the total flow rate required to meet the RCS total flow rate limit. The 12 hour interval has been shown by operating practice to be sufficient to regularly assess potential degradation and to verify operation within safety analysis assumptions.

# SR 3.4.1.4

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

Measurement of RCS total flow rate by performance of a precision calorimetric heat balance once every 18 months after each refueling allows the installed RCS flow instrumentation to be normalized and verifies the actual RCS flow rate is greater than or equal to the minimum required RCS flow rate. When performing a precision heat balance, the instrumentation used for determining steam pressure, feedwater pressure, feedwater temperature, and feedwater venturi  $\Delta p$  in the calorimetric calculations shall be calibrated within 7 days prior to performing the heat balance.

The Frequency of 18 months reflects the importance of verifying flow after a refueling outage when the core has been altered, which may have caused an alteration of flow resistance.

This SR is modified by a Note that allows entry into MODE 1, without having performed the SR, and placement of the unit in the best condition for performing the SR. The Note states that the SR is not required to be performed until 7 days after  $\geq$  95% RTP. This exception is appropriate since the heat balance requires the plant to be at a minimum of 95% RTP to obtain the stated RCS flow accuracies and the test is only a confirmation of SR 3.4.1.4. The Surveillance shall be performed within 7 days after reaching 95% RTP.

REFERENCES 1. USAR, Chapter 15.
	BASES	
	ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u> (continued)
		Completion Time. In accordance with plant procedures, the plant is brought to MODE 3 to allow for more stable plant conditions prior to resumption of power operation. Rapid reactor shutdown can be readily and practically achieved within a 30 minute period. The allowed time is reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach MODE 3 in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.
The Surveillan controlled undo Frequency Co	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS ce Frequency is the Surveillance trol Program.	<u>SR 3.4.2.1</u> RCS loop average temperature is required to be verified at or above 551°F every 12 hours. The SR to verify operating RCS loop average temperatures every 12 hours to verify takes into account indications and alarms that are continuously available to the operator in the control room and is consistent with other routine Surveillances which are typically performed once per shift. In addition, operators are trained to be sensitive to RCS temperature during approach to criticality and will ensure that the minimum temperature for criticality is met as criticality is approached.
	REFERENCES	1. USAR, Chapter 15.

BASES	
ACTIONS	<u>C.1 and C.2</u> (continued)
	Besides restoring operation within limits, an evaluation is required to determine if RCS operation can continue. The evaluation must verify that the RCPB integrity remains acceptable and must be completed prior to entry into MODE 4. Several methods may be used, including comparison with pre-analyzed transients in the stress analyses, or inspection of the components.
	ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix E (Ref. 7), may be used to support the evaluation. However, its use is restricted to evaluation of the vessel beltline.
	Condition C is modified by a Note requiring Required Action C.2 to be completed whenever the Condition is entered. The Note emphasizes the need to perform the evaluation of the effects of the excursion outside the allowable limits. Restoration alone per Required Action C.1 is insufficient because higher than analyzed stresses may have occurred and may have affected the RCPB integrity.
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.4.3.1</u>
REQUIREMENTS	Verification that operation is within the PTLR limits is required every 30 minutes when RCS pressure and temperature conditions are undergoing planned changes. This Frequency is considered reasonable in view of the control room indication available to monitor RCS status. Also, since temperature rate of change limits are specified in hourly increments, 30 minutes permits assessment and correction for minor deviations within a reasonable time
	Surveillance for heatup, cooldown, or ISLH testing may be discontinued when the definition given in the relevant plant procedure for ending the activity is satisfied.
	This SR is modified by a Note that only requires this SR to be performed during system heatup, cooldown, and ISLH testing. No SR is given for criticality operations because LCO 3.4.2 contains a more restrictive requirement.
The Surveilla Surveillance	Ince Frequency is controlled under the Frequency Control Program.

BASES
-------

APPLICABILITY (continued)	The decay heat production rate is much lower than the full power heat rate. As such, the forced circulation flow and heat sink requirements are reduced for lower, noncritical MODES as indicated by the LCOs for MODES 3, 4, and 5.
	Operation in other MODES is covered by:
	LCO 3.4.5, "RCS Loops - MODE 3"; LCO 3.4.6, "RCS Loops - MODE 4"; LCO 3.4.7, "RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Filled"; LCO 3.4.8, "RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled"; LCO 3.9.5, "Residual Heat Removal (RHR) and Coolant Circulation - High Water Level" (MODE 6); and LCO 3.9.6, "Residual Heat Removal (RHR) and Coolant Circulation - Low Water Level" (MODE 6).
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>
	If the requirements of the LCO are not met, the Required Action is to reduce power and bring the plant to MODE 3. This lowers power level and thus reduces the core heat removal needs and minimizes the possibility of violating DNB limits.
	The Completion Time of 6 hours is reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach MODE 3 from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging safety systems.
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.4.4.1</u>
REQUIREMENTS	This SR requires verification every 12 hours that each RCS loop is in operation. Verification may include flow rate, temperature, or pump status monitoring, which help ensure that forced flow is providing heat removal while maintaining the margin to DNB. The Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient considering other indications and alarms available to the operator in the control room to monitor RCS loop performance.
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Chapter 15.
	The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

BASES	
ACTIONS	D.1, D.2, and D.3 (continued)
	de-energizing all CRDMs, by opening the RTBs or de-energizing the MG sets). All operations involving introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet the minimum SDM of LCO 3.1.1 must be suspended, and action to restore one of the RCS loops to OPERABLE status and operation must be initiated. Boron dilution requires forced circulation for proper mixing, and defeating the Rod Control System removes the possibility of an inadvertent rod withdrawal. Suspending the introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet the minimum SDM of LCO 3.1.1 is required to assure continued safe operation. With coolant added without forced circulation, unmixed coolant could be introduced to the core, however coolant added with boron concentration meeting the minimum SDM maintains acceptable margin to subcritical operations. The immediate Completion Time reflects the importance of maintaining operation for heat removal. The action to restore must be continued until one loop is restored to OPERABLE status and operation.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.4.5.1</u>
	This SR requires verification every 12 hours that the required loops are in operation. Verification may include flow rate, temperature, or pump status monitoring, which help ensure that forced flow is providing heat removal. The Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient considering other indications and alarms available to the operator in the control room to monitor RCS loop performance.
	SR 3.4.5.2 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.
	SR 3.4.5.2 requires verification of SG OPERABILITY. SG OPERABILITY is verified by ensuring that the secondary side narrow range water level is $\geq 6\%$ for required RCS loops. If the SG secondary side narrow range water level is < 6%, the tubes may become uncovered and the associated loop may not be capable of providing the heat sink for removal of the decay heat. The 12 hour Frequency is considered adequate in view of other indications available in the control room to alert the operator to a loss of SG level.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	SR 3.4.5.3 Verification that the required RCPs are OPERABLE ensures that safety analyses limits are met. The requirement also ensures that an additional RCP can be placed in operation, if needed, to maintain decay heat removal and reactor coolant circulation. Verification is performed by verifying proper breaker alignment and power availability to the required RCPs.
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Section 15.4.6. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

**ACTIONS** 

### B.1 and B.2 (continued)

at least one RCP for proper mixing, so that inadvertent criticality may be prevented. Suspending the introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet the minimum SDM of LCO 3.1.1 is required to assure continued safe operation. With coolant added without forced circulation, unmixed coolant could be introduced to the core, however coolant added with boron concentration meeting the minimum SDM maintains acceptable margin to subcritical operations. The immediate Completion Times reflect the importance of maintaining operation for decay heat removal. The action to restore must be continued until one loop is restored to OPERABLE status and operation.

#### SURVEILLANCE SR 3.4.6.1 REQUIREMENTS

This SR requires verification every 12 hours that one RCS or RHR loop is in operation. Verification may include flow rate, temperature, or pump status monitoring, which help ensure that forced flow is providing heat removal. The Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient considering other indications and alarms available to the operator in the control room to monitor RCS and RHR loop performance.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

## SR 3.4.6.2

SR 3.4.6.2 requires verification of SG OPERABILITY. SG OPERABILITY is verified by ensuring that the secondary side narrow range water level is  $\geq$  6% for required RCS loops. If the SG secondary side narrow range water level is < 6%, the tubes may become uncovered and the associated loop may not be capable of providing the heat sink necessary for removal of decay heat. The 12 hour Frequency is considered adequate in view of other indications available in the control room to alert the operator to the loss of SG level

# SR 3.4.6.3

Verification that the required pump is OPERABLE ensures that an additional RCS or RHR pump can be placed in operation, if needed, to maintain decay heat removal and reactor coolant circulation. Verification is performed by verifying proper breaker alignment and power available to the required pump. The Frequency of 7 days is considered reasonable inview of other administrative controls available and has been shown to be acceptable by operating experience.

### No changes this page. Included for information.

BASES	
-------	--

	<u>SR 3.4.6.4</u>
(continued)	RHR System piping and components have the potential to develop voids and pockets of entrained gases. Preventing and managing gas intrusion and accumulation is necessary for proper operation of the required RHR loop(s) and may also prevent water hammer, pump cavitation, and pumping of noncondensible gas into the reactor vessel.
	Selection of RHR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation is based on a review of system design information, including piping and instrumentation drawings, isometric drawings, plan and elevation drawings, and calculations. The design review is supplemented by system walk downs to validate the system high points and to confirm the location and orientation of important components that can become sources of gas or could otherwise cause gas to be trapped or difficult to remove during system maintenance or restoration. Susceptible locations depend on plant and system configuration, such as stand-by versus operating conditions.
	The RHR System is OPERABLE when it is sufficiently filled with water. Acceptance criteria are established for the volume of accumulated gas at susceptible locations. If accumulated gas is discovered that exceeds the acceptance criteria for the susceptible location (or the volume of accumulated gas at one or more susceptible locations exceeds an acceptance criteria for gas volume at the suction or discharge of a pump), the Surveillance is not met. If the accumulated gas is eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits during performance of the Surveillance, the Surveillance is met and past system OPERABILITY is evaluated under the Corrective Action Program. If it is determined by subsequent evaluation that the RHR System is not rendered inoperable by the accumulated gas (i.e., the system is sufficiently filled with water), the Surveillance may be declared met. Accumulated gas should be eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits.
	RHR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation are monitored and, if gas is found, the gas volume is compared to the acceptance criteria for the location. Susceptible locations in the same system flow path which are subject to the same gas intrusion mechanisms may be verified by monitoring a representative sub-set of susceptible locations. Monitoring may not be practical for locations that are inaccessible due to radiological or environmental conditions, the plant configuration, or personnel safety. For these locations alternative methods (e.g., operating parameters, remote monitoring) may be used to monitor the susceptible location. Monitoring is not required for susceptible locations where the

	SR 3.4.6.4 (continued)		
REGUITEMENTS	<ul> <li>maximum potential accumulated gas void volume has been evaluated and determined to not challenge system OPERABILITY. The accuracy of the method used for monitoring the susceptible locations and trending of the results should be sufficient to assure system OPERABILITY during the Surveillance interval.</li> <li>This SR is modified by a Note that states the SR is not required to be performed until 12 hours after entering MODE 4. In a rapid shutdown, there may be insufficient time to verify all susceptible locations prior to entering MODE 4.</li> </ul>		
	The 31 day Frequency takes into consideration the gradual nature of gas accumulation in the RHR System piping and the procedural controls governing system operation.		
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Section 15.4.6		

APPLICABILITY (continued)	LCO 3.4.4, "RCS Loops - MODES 1 and 2"; LCO 3.4.5, "RCS Loops - MODE 3"; LCO 3.4.6, "RCS Loops - MODE 4"; LCO 3.4.8, "RCS Loops - MODE 5, Loops Not Filled"; LCO 3.9.5, "Residual Heat Removal (RHR) and Coolant Circulation - High Water Level" (MODE 6); and LCO 3.9.6, "Residual Heat Removal (RHR) and Coolant
	Circulation - Low Water Level" (MODE 6).

## ACTIONS <u>A.1 and A.2</u>

If one RHR loop is inoperable and the required SGs have secondary side wide range water levels < 66%, redundancy for heat removal is lost. Action must be initiated immediately to restore a second RHR loop to OPERABLE status or to restore the required SG secondary side water levels. Either Required Action A.1 or Required Action A.2 will restore redundant heat removal paths. The immediate Completion Time reflects the importance of maintaining the availability of two paths for heat removal.

### B.1 and B.2

If no RHR loop is in operation, except during conditions permitted by Notes 1 and 4, or if no loop is OPERABLE, all operations involving introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet the minimum SDM of LCO 3.1.1 must be suspended and action to restore one RHR loop to OPERABLE status and operation must be initiated. To prevent inadvertent criticality during a boron dilution, forced circulation from at least one RCP is required to provide proper mixing. Suspending the introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet the minimum SDM of LCO 3.1.1 is required to assure continued safe operation. With coolant added without forced circulation, unmixed coolant could be introduced to the core, however coolant added with boron concentration meeting the minimum SDM maintains acceptable margin to subcritical operations. The immediate Completion Times reflect the importance of maintaining operation for heat removal.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

<u>SR 3.4.7.1</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR requires verification every 12 hours that the required loop is in operation. Verification may include flow rate, temperature, or pump status monitoring, which help ensure that forced flow is providing heat removal. The Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient considering other indications and alarms available to the operator in the control room to monitor RHR loop performance.

SURVEILLANCE <u>SR</u> REQUIREMENTS (continued) Veri

# <u>SR 3.4.7.2</u>

Verifying that at least two SGs are OPERABLE by ensuring their secondary side wide range water levels are  $\geq$  66% ensures an alternate decay heat removal method is available via natural circulation in the event that the second RHR loop is not OPERABLE. If both RHR loops are OPERABLE, this Surveillance is not needed. The 12 hour Frequency is considered adequate in view of other indications available in the control room to alert the operator to the loss of SG level.

## <u>SR 3.4.7.3</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

Verification that a second RHR pump is OPERABLE ensures that an additional pump can be placed in operation, if needed, to maintain decay heat removal and reactor coolant circulation. Verification is performed by verifying proper breaker alignment and power available to the RHR pump. If secondary side wide range water level is  $\geq$  66% in at least two SGs, this Surveillance is not needed. The Frequency of 7 days is considered reasonable in view of other administrative controls available and has been shown to be acceptable by operating experience.

## <u>SR 3.4.7.4</u>

RHR System piping and components have the potential to develop voids and pockets of entrained gases. Preventing and managing gas intrusion and accumulation is necessary for proper operation of the required RHR loop(s) and may also prevent water hammer, pump cavitation, and pumping of noncondensible gas into the reactor vessel.

Selection of RHR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation is based on a review of system design information, including piping and instrumentation drawings, isometric drawings, plan and elevation drawings, and calculations. The design review is supplemented by system walk downs to validate the system high points and to confirm the location and orientation of important components that can become sources of gas or could otherwise cause gas to be trapped or difficult to remove during system maintenance or restoration. Susceptible locations depend on plant and system configuration, such as stand-by versus operating conditions.

The RHR System is OPERABLE when it is sufficiently filled with water. Acceptance criteria are established for the volume of accumulated gas at susceptible locations. If accumulated gas is discovered that exceeds the acceptance criteria for the susceptible location (or the volume of

	<u>R 3.4.7.4</u> (continued)	
	ccumulated gas at one or more susceptible locations exceeds an cceptance criteria for gas volume at the suction or discharge of a pump ne Surveillance is not met. If the accumulated gas is eliminated or rought within the acceptance criteria limits during performance of the surveillance, the Surveillance is met and past system OPERABILITY is valuated under the Corrective Action Program. If it is determined by ubsequent evaluation that the RHR System is not rendered inoperable ne accumulated gas (i.e., the system is sufficiently filled with water), the surveillance may be declared met. Accumulated gas should be liminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits.	), by
	HR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation are monitored nd, if gas is found, the gas volume is compared to the acceptance riteria for the location. Susceptible locations in the same system flow ath which are subject to the same gas intrusion mechanisms may be erified by monitoring a representative sub-set of susceptible locations. Ionitoring may not be practical for locations that are inaccessible due to adiological or environmental conditions, the plant configuration, or ersonnel safety. For these locations alternative methods (e.g., operatin arameters, remote monitoring) may be used to monitor the susceptible bocation. Monitoring is not required for susceptible locations where the naximum potential accumulated gas void volume has been evaluated an etermined to not challenge system OPERABILITY. The accuracy of the nethod used for monitoring the susceptible locations and trending of the esults should be sufficient to assure system OPERABILITY during the surveillance interval.	ng nd
	he 31 day Frequency takes into consideration the gradual nature of gas ccumulation in the RHR System piping and the procedural controls overning system operation.	3
REFERENCES	. USAR, Section 15.4.6.	
	. NRC Information Notice 95-35, "Degraded Ability of SGs to Remove Decay Heat by Natural Circulation."	ve

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. 

APPLICABILITY (continued)	Since LCO 3.4.8 contains Required Actions with immediate Completion Times, it is not permitted to enter LCO 3.4.8 from either LCO 3.4.7, RCS Loops – MODE 5, Loops Filled," or from MODE 6, unless the requirements of LCO 3.4.8 are met. This precludes removing the heat removal path afforded by the steam generators with the RHR System is degraded.
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u> If only one RHR loop is OPERABLE and in operation, redundancy for RHR is lost. Action must be initiated to restore a second loop to OPERABLE status. The immediate Completion Time reflects the importance of maintaining the availability of two paths for heat removal
	<b>B.1</b> and <b>B.2</b> If no required RHR loops are OPERABLE or in operation, except during conditions permitted by Note 1, all operations involving introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet the minimum SDM of LCO 3.1.1 must be suspended and action must be initiated immediately to restore an RHR loop to OPERABLE status and operation. Boron dilution requires forced circulation from at least one RCP for proper mixing so that inadvertent criticality can be prevented. Suspending the introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet the minimum SDM of LCO 3.1.1 is required to assure continued safe operation. With coolant added without forced circulation, unmixed coolant could be introduced to the core, however coolant added with boron concentration meeting the minimum SDM maintains acceptable margin to subcritical operations. The immediate Completion Time reflects the importance of maintaining operation for heat removal. The action to restore must continue until one
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.4.8.1         This SR requires verification every 12 hours that one loop is in operation.         Verification may include flow rate, temperature, or pump status monitoring, which help ensure that forced flow is providing heat removal.         The Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient considering other indications and alarms available to the operator in the control room to monitor RHR loop performance         The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the surveillance Frequency Control Program.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

(continued)

## SR 3.4.8.2

Verification that a second RHR pump is OPERABLE ensures that an additional pump can be placed in operation, if needed, to maintain decay heat removal and reactor coolant circulation. Verification is performed by verifying proper breaker alignment and power available to the RHR pump. The Frequency of 7 days is considered reasonable in view of other administrative controls available and has been shown to be acceptable by operating experience.

### SR 3.4.8.3

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

RHR System piping and components have the potential to develop voids and pockets of entrained gases. Preventing and managing gas intrusion and accumulation is necessary for proper operation of the RHR loops and may also prevent water hammer, pump cavitation, and pumping of noncondensible gas into the reactor vessel.

Selection of RHR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation is based on a review of system design information, including piping and instrumentation drawings, isometric drawings, plan and elevation drawings, and calculations. The design review is supplemented by system walk downs to validate the system high points and to confirm the location and orientation of important components that can become sources of gas or could otherwise cause gas to be trapped or difficult to remove during system maintenance or restoration. Susceptible locations depend on plant and system configuration, such as stand-by versus operating conditions.

The RHR System is OPERABLE when it is sufficiently filled with water. Acceptance criteria are established for the volume of accumulated gas at susceptible locations. If accumulated gas is discovered that exceeds the acceptance criteria for the susceptible location (or the volume of accumulated gas at one or more susceptible locations exceeds an acceptance criteria for gas volume at the suction or discharge of a pump), the Surveillance is not met. If the accumulated gas is eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits during performance of the Surveillance, the Surveillance is met and past system OPERABILITY is evaluated under the Corrective Action Program. If it is determined by subsequent evaluation that the RHR System is not rendered inoperable by the accumulated gas (i.e., the system is sufficiently filled with water), the Surveillance may be declared met. Accumulated gas should be eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits.

RHR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation are monitored and, if gas is found, the gas volume is compared to the acceptance criteria for the location. Susceptible locations in the same system flow

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.4.8.3 (continued)				
	path which are subject to the same gas intrusion mechanisms may be verified by monitoring a representative sub-set of susceptible locations. Monitoring may not be practical for locations that are inaccessible due to radiological or environmental conditions, the plant configuration, or personnel safety. For these locations alternative methods (e.g., operating parameters, remote monitoring) may be used to monitor the susceptible location. Monitoring is not required for susceptible locations where the maximum potential accumulated gas void volume has been evaluated and determined to not challenge system OPERABILITY. The accuracy of the method used for monitoring the susceptible locations and trending of the results should be sufficient to assure system OPERABILITY during the Surveillance interval.				
	The 31 day Frequency takes into consideration the gradual nature of gas accumulation in the RHR System piping and the procedural controls governing system operation				
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Section 15.4.6. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.				

BASES			
ACTIONS (continued)	<u>C.1 and C.2</u>		
	If one group of backup pressurizer heaters are inoperable and cannot be restored in the allowed Completion Time of Required Action B.1, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 4 within 12 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.		
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.4.9.1</u>		
	This SR requires that during steady state operation, pressurizer level is maintained below the nominal upper limit to provide a minimum space for a steam bubble. The Surveillance is performed by observing the indicated level. The 12 hour interval has been shown by operating practice to be sufficient to regularly assess level for any deviation and verify that operation is consistent with the safety analyses assumptions of ensuring that a steam bubble exists in the pressurizer. Alarms are also available for early detection of abnormal level indications		
	SR 3.4.9.2 (The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.		
	The SR is satisfied when the power supplies are demonstrated to be capable of producing the minimum power and the associated backup pressurizer heaters are verified to be at their design rating. This may be done by energizing the heaters and measuring circuit current. The Frequency of 18 months is considered adequate to detect heater degradation.		
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Chapter 15.		
	2. NUREG-0737, November 1980.		

	BASES			
	ACTIONS	G.1 and G.2		
		If the Required Actions of Condition F are not met, then the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 4 within 12 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems. In MODES, 3 (with any RCS cold leg temperature $\leq$ 368°F), 4, 5, and 6 (with the reactor vessel head on) automatic PORV OPERABILITY may be required. See LCO 3.4.12.		
	SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.4.11.1</u>		
	REQUIREMENTS	Block valve cycling verifies that the valve(s) can be opened and closed. The basis for the Frequency of 92 days is the ASME Code (Ref. 4).		
The Surveillar controlled und Frequency Co	ce Frequency is er the Surveillance htrol Program.	The Note modifies this SR by stating that it is not required to be performed with the block valve closed, in accordance with the Required Actions of this LCO. Opening the block valve in this condition increases the risk of an unisolable leak from the RCS since the PORV is already inoperable.		
		<u>SR 3.4.11.2</u>		
		SR 3.4.11.2 requires a complete cycle of each PORV. Operating a PORV through one complete cycle ensures that the PORV can be manually actuated for mitigation of an SGTR. Operating experience has shown that these valves usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the required Inservice Testing Program frequency. The Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.		
	REFERENCES	1. USAR, Figure 7.2-1 (Sheet 11) and 7.6-4 (Sheets 1-3).		
		2. Regulatory Guide 1.32, February 1977.		
		3. USAR, Section 15.2.		
		4. ASME Code for Operation and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plants.		

No changes this page. Included for information.

BASES					
ACTIONS	<u>G.1</u>				
(continued)	The RCS must be depressurized and a vent must be established within 8 hours when:				
	a. Both required RCS relief valves are inoperable; or				
	<ul> <li>A Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A,</li> <li>B, D, E, or F is not met; or</li> </ul>				
	c. The LTOP System is inoperable for any reason other than Condition A, B, C, D, E, or F.				
	The vent must be sized $\geq$ 2.0 square inches to ensure that the flow capacity is greater than that required for the worst case mass input transient reasonable during the applicable MODES. This action is needed to protect the RCPB from a low temperature overpressure event and a possible brittle failure of the reactor vessel.				
	The Completion Time considers the time required to place the plant in this Condition and the relatively low probability of an overpressure event during this time period due to increased operator awareness of administrative control requirements.				
	SR 3.4.12.1, SR 3.4.12.2, and SR 3.4.12.3				
NEQUINEMENTS	To minimize the potential for a low temperature overpressure event by limiting the mass input capability, a maximum of zero safety injection pumps, one ECCS centrifugal charging pump and the NCP are verified to be capable of injecting into the RCS and the accumulator discharge isolation valves are verified closed and with power removed from the valve operator.				
	Verification that each accumulator is isolated is only required when accumulator pressure is greater than or equal to the maximum RCS pressure for the existing RCS cold leg temperature allowed by the P/T limit curves provided in the PTLR.				
	The safety injection pumps and one ECCS centrifugal charging pump are rendered incapable of injecting into the RCS through removing the power from the pumps by racking the breakers out under administrative control. An alternate method of cold overpressure protection may be employed using at least two independent means to render a pump incapable of injecting into the RCS such that a single failure or single action will not				

REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.4.12.1, SR 3.4.12.2, and SR 3.4.12.3</u> (continued)

result in an injection into the RCS. This may be accomplished by placing the pump control switch in pull to lock and closing at least one valve in the discharge flow path, or by closing at least one valve in the discharge flow path and removing power from the valve operator, or by closing at least one manual valve in the discharge flow path under administrative control. Providing pumps are rendered incapable of injecting into the RCS, they may be energized for purposes such as testing or for filling accumulators.

The Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient, considering other indications and alarms available to the operator in the control room, to verify the required status of the equipment

### SR 3.4.12.4

The Surveillance Frequencies are controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

Each required RHR suction relief valve shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by verifying its RHR suction isolation valves are open and by testing it in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program. This Surveillance is only required to be performed if the RHR suction relief valve is being used to meet this LCO.

The RHR suction isolation valves are verified to be opened every 72 hours. The Frequency is considered adequate in view of other administrative controls such as valve status indications available to the operator in the control room that verify the RHR suction isolation valves remain open.

The ASME Code (Ref. 8), test per Inservice Testing Program verifies OPERABILITY by proving proper relief valve mechanical motion and by measuring and, if required, adjusting the lift setpoint.

SR 3.4.12.5

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

The RCS vent of  $\geq$  2.0 square inches is proven OPERABLE by verifying its open condition either:

a. Once every 12 hours for a valve that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the open position.

REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.4.12.5</u> (continued)

b. Once every 31 days for other vent paths (e.g., for a vent valve, a valve that is locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position). A removed pressurizer safety valve or open manway fits this category.

Any passive vent path arrangement must only be open when required to be OPERABLE. This Surveillance is required if the vent is being used to satisfy the pressure relief requirements of the LCO 3.4.12.d.

## <u>SR 3.4.12.6</u>

The PORV block valve must be verified open every 72 hours to provide the flow path for each required PORV to perform its function when actuated. The valve must be remotely verified open in the main control room. This Surveillance is only required to be performed if the PORV is being used to meet this LCO.

The block valve is a remotely controlled, motor operated valve. The power to the valve operator is not required removed, and the manual operator is not required locked in the inactive position. Thus, the block valve can be closed in the event the PORV develops excessive leakage or does not close (sticks open) after relieving an overpressure situation.

The 72 hour Frequency is considered adequate in view of other administrative controls available to the operator in the control room, such as valve position indication, that verify that the PORV block valve remains open.

<u>SR 3.4.12.7</u> Not Used.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

## SR 3.4.12.8

Performance of a COT is required within 12 hours after decreasing RCS temperature to  $\leq 368^{\circ}$ F and every 31 days on each required PORV to verify and, as necessary, adjust its lift setpoint. The COT will verify the setpoint is within the PTLR allowed maximum limits in the PTLR. PORV actuation could depressurize the RCS and is not required.

The 12 hour allowance considers the unlikelihood of a low temperature overpressure event during this time.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.4.12.8</u> (continued) A Note has been added indicating that this SR is not required to be performed until 12 hours after decreasing RCS cold leg temperature to $\leq$ 368°F.			
	<u>SR</u> Perfo actua so th accu	3.4.12.9 ormance of a CHANNEL CA ation channel is required eve at it responds and the valve racy to known input.	LIBRATION on each required PORV <del>ry 18 months</del> to adjust the whole channel opens within the required range and	
REFERENCES	1. 2.	10 CFR 50, Appendix G. Generic Letter 88-11.	The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.	
	3.	ASME, Boiler and Pressure	e Vessel Code, Section III.	
	4.	USAR, Chapter 15.		
	5.	10 CFR 50, Section 50.46. 10 CFR 50, Appendix K.		
	6.			
	7.	Generic Letter 90-06.		
	8.	ASME Code for Operation Plants.	and Maintenance of Nuclear Power	
	9.	USAR, Section 5.2.2.10.		

No changes this page. Included for information.

BASES				
APPLICABILITY (continued)	In MODES 5 and 6, RCS operational LEAKAGE limits are not required because the reactor coolant pressure is far lower, resulting in lower stresses and reduced potentials for LEAKAGE.			
	LCO 3.4.14, "RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage," measures leakage through each individual PIV and can impact this LCO. Of the two PIVs in series in each isolated line, leakage measured through one PIV does not result in RCS LEAKAGE when the other is leak tight. If both valves leak and result in a loss of mass from the RCS, the loss must be included in the allowable identified LEAKAGE.			
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>			
	Unidentified LEAKAGE or identified LEAKAGE in excess of the LCO limits must be reduced to within limits within 4 hours. This Completion Time allows time to verify leakage rates and either identify unidentified LEAKAGE or reduce LEAKAGE to within limits before the reactor must be shut down. This action is necessary to prevent further deterioration of the RCPB.			
	B.1 and B.2			
	If any pressure boundary LEAKAGE exists or primary to secondary LEAKAGE is not within limit, or if unidentified or identified LEAKAGE cannot be reduced to within limits within 4 hours, the reactor must be brought to lower pressure conditions to reduce the severity of the LEAKAGE and its potential consequences. It should be noted that LEAKAGE past seals and gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE. The reactor must be brought to MODE 3 within 6 hours and MODE 5 within 36 hours. This action reduces the LEAKAGE and also reduces the factors that tend to degrade the pressure boundary.			
	The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems. In MODE 5, the pressure stresses acting on the RCPB are much lower, and further deterioration is much less likely.			
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.4.13.1</u>			
	Verifying RCS operational LEAKAGE to be within the LCO limits ensures the integrity of the RCPB is maintained. Pressure boundary LEAKAGE would at first appear as unidentified LEAKAGE and can only be positively identified by inspection. It should be noted that LEAKAGE past seals and			

REQUIREMENTS

### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.4.13.1</u> (continued)

gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE. Unidentified LEAKAGE and identified LEAKAGE are determined by performance of an RCS water inventory balance.

The RCS water inventory balance must be met with the reactor at steady state operating conditions (stable temperature, power level, pressurizer and makeup tank levels, makeup and letdown, and RCP seal injection and return flows). The Surveillance is modified by two Notes. Note 1 states that this SR is not required to be performed until 12 hours after establishing steady state operation. The 12 hour allowance provides sufficient time to collect and process all necessary data after stable plant conditions are established.

Steady state operation is preferred when performing a proper inventory balance since calculations during non-steady state conditions must account for the changing parameters. For RCS operational LEAKAGE determination by water inventory balance, steady state is defined as stable RCS pressure, temperature, power level, pressurizer and makeup tank levels, makeup and letdown, and RCP seal injection and return flows. An early warning of pressure boundary LEAKAGE or unidentified LEAKAGE is provided by the automatic systems that monitor the containment atmosphere radioactivity and the containment sump level. It should be noted that LEAKAGE past seals and gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE. These leakage detection systems are specified in LCO 3.4.15, "RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation."

Note 2 states that this SR is not applicable to primary to secondary LEAKAGE because LEAKAGE of 150 gallons per day cannot be measured accurately by an RCS water inventory balance. Primary to secondary LEAKAGE is determined by SR 3.4.13.2 and is not determined by an RCS water inventory balance. For determining identified LEAKAGE, identified LEAKAGE includes primary to secondary LEAKAGE as defined in Section 1.1, "Definitions."

The 72 hour Frequency is a reasonable interval to trend LEAKAGE and recognizes the importance of early leakage detection in the prevention of accidents. When non-steady state operation precludes surveillance performance, the surveillance should be performed in accordance with the Note, provided greater than 72 hours have elapsed since the last performance.

SR 3.4.13.2

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR verifies that primary to secondary LEAKAGE is less or equal to 150 gallons per day through any one SG. Satisfying the primary to

SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR (</u>	<u>SR 3.4.13.2</u> (continued)		
REQUIREMENTS second perform is not r Integrit at roon LEAKA practic second SG.The Surveil accontrolled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. LEAKA		dary LEAKAGE limit ensures that the operational LEAKAGE mance criterion in the Steam Generator Program is met. If this SR met, compliance with LCO 3.4.17, "Steam Generator Tube ity," should be evaluated. The 150 gallons per day limit is measured m temperature as described in Reference 7. The operational AGE rate limit applies to LEAKAGE through any one SG. If it is not cal to assign the LEAKAGE to an individual SG, all the primary to adary LEAKAGE should be conservatively assumed to be from one ne Surveillance is modified by a Note which states that the illance is not required to be performed until 12 hours after lishment of steady state operation. For RCS primary to secondary AGE determination, steady state is defined as stable RCS pressure, erature, power level, pressurizer and makeup tank levels, makeup etdown, and RCP seal injection and return flows.		
	The prima leaka seco moni guide	Surveillance Frequency of 72 hours is a reasonable interval to trend ary to secondary LEAKAGE and recognizes the importance of early age detection in the prevention of accidents. The primary to ndary LEAKAGE is determined using continuous process radiation tors or radiochemical grab sampling in accordance with the EPRI elines (Ref. 7).		
REFERENCES	1.	10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 4 and 30.		
	2.	Regulatory Guide 1.45, May 1973.		
	3.	USAR, Chapter 15.		
	4.	NUREG-1061, Volume 3, November 1984.		
	5.	10 CFR 50.67.		
	6.	NEI 97-06, "Steam Generator Guidelines."		
	7.	EPRI, "Pressurized Water Reactor Primary-to-Secondary Leak Guidelines."		
	8.	Standard Review Plan (SRP), Section 15.0.1.		

#### No changes this page. For information only.

#### BASES

ACTIONS

A.1 and A.2 (continued)

Required Action A.1 requires that the isolation with one valve must be performed within 4 hours. Four hours provides time to reduce leakage in excess of the allowable limit and to isolate the affected system if leakage cannot be reduced. The 4 hour Completion Time allows the actions and restricts the operation with leaking isolation valves.

Required Action A.2 specifies that the double isolation barrier of two valves be restored by restoring the RCS PIV to within limits. The 72 hour Completion Time after exceeding the limit allows for the restoration of the leaking PIV to OPERABLE status. This timeframe considers the time required to complete the Action and the low probability of a second valve failing during this time period.

### B.1 and B.2

If leakage cannot be reduced, the system isolated, or the other Required Actions accomplished, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the requirement does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to MODE 3 within 6 hours and MODE 5 within 36 hours. This Action may reduce the leakage and also reduces the potential for a LOCA outside the containment. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.

## <u>C.1</u>

The inoperability of the RHR suction isolation valve interlock could allow inadvertent opening of the valves at RCS pressures in excess of the RHR systems design pressure. If the RHR suction isolation valve interlock is inoperable, operation may continue as long as the affected RHR suction penetration is closed by at least one closed manual or deactivated remote manual valve within 4 hours. This Action accomplishes the purpose of the interlock.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.4.14.1</u> REQUIREMENTS

Performance of leakage testing on each RCS PIV used to satisfy Required Action A.1 is required to verify that leakage is below the specified limit and to identify each leaking valve. The leakage limit of

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

<u>SR 3.4.14.1</u> (continued)

0.5 gpm per inch of nominal valve diameter up to 5 gpm maximum applies to each valve. Leakage testing requires a stable pressure condition.

For the two PIVs in series, the leakage requirement applies to each valve individually and not to the combined leakage across both valves. If the PIVs are not individually leakage tested, one valve may have failed completely and not be detected if the other valve in series meets the leakage requirement. In this situation, the protection provided by redundant valves would be lost.

Testing is to be performed every 18 months, a typical refueling cycle, if the plant does not go into MODE 5 for at least 7 days. The 18 month Frequency is within the frequency allowed by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code (Ref. 6), and is based on the need to perform such surveillances under the conditions that apply during an outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power.

Test pressures less than 2235 psig but greater than 150 psig are allowed for valves where higher pressures could tend to diminish leakage channel opening. Observed leakage shall be adjusted for actual pressure to 2235 psig assuming the leakage to be directly proportional to pressure differential to the one half power.

In addition, testing must be performed once after the check valve has been opened by flow or exercised to ensure tight reseating. PIVs disturbed in the performance of this Surveillance should also be tested unless documentation shows that an infinite testing loop cannot practically be avoided. Testing must be performed within 24 hours after the check valve has been reseated. Within 24 hours is a reasonable and practical time limit for performing this test after opening or reseating a check valve.

The leakage limit is to be met at the RCS pressure associated with MODES 1 and 2. This permits leakage testing at high differential pressures with stable conditions not possible in the MODES with lower pressures.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.4.14.1 (continued)</u>				
REQUIREMENTS	Entry into MODES 3 and 4 is allowed to establish the necessary differential pressures and stable conditions to allow for performance of this Surveillance. The Note that allows this provision is complementary to the Frequency of prior to entry into MODE 2 whenever the unit has been in MODE 5 for 7 days or more, if leakage testing has not been performed in the previous 9 months. In addition, this Surveillance is not required to be performed on the RHR System when the RHR System is aligned to the RCS in the shutdown cooling mode of operation. PIVs contained in the RHR shutdown cooling flow path must be leakage rate tested after RHR is secured and stable unit conditions and the necessary differential pressures are established.				
	<u>SR 3.4.14.2</u>	The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.			
	The RHR suction is from being opened to open the valves. not be exceeded ar Frequency is based conditions that app also acceptable based confirming operation required to be perfect to satisfy LCO 3.4.2	solation valve interlock setpoint that prevents the valves is set so the actual RCS pressure must be < 425 psig This setpoint ensures the RHR design pressure will nd the RHR relief valves will not lift. The 18 month- d on the need to perform the Surveillance under- ly during a plant outage. The 18 month Frequency is sed on consideration of the design reliability (and g experience) of the equipment. This SR is not prmed when the RHR suction isolation valves are open 12.			
REFERENCES	1. 10 CFR 50.2				
	2. 10 CFR 50.5	5a(c).			
	3. 10 CFR 50, A	Appendix A, Section V, GDC 55.			
	4. WASH-1400	(NUREG-75/014), Appendix V, October 1975.			
	5. NUREG-067	7, May 1980.			
	6. ASME Code Plants.	for Operation and Maintenance of Nuclear Power			

	BASES			
	ACTIONS (continued)	<u>F.1</u> With all required monitoring methods inoperable, no automatic means of monitoring leakage are available, and immediate plant shutdown in accordance with LCO 3.0.3 is required.		
	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.4.15.1         SR 3.4.15.1 requires the performance of a CHANNEL CHECK of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor. The check gives reasonable confidence that the channel is operating properly. The Frequency of 12 hours is based on instrument reliability and is reasonable for detecting off normal conditions.         SR 3.4.15.2         SR 3.4.15.2 requires the performance of a COT on the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor. The test verifies the alarm setpoint and relative accuracy of the instrument atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor. The test verifies the alarm setpoint and relative accuracy of the instrument atmosphere		
The Surveilland controlled unde Frequency Cor	ce Frequencies are er the Surveillance htrol Program.	String. The Frequency of 52 days considers instrument reliability, and operating experience has shown that it is proper for detecting degradation. SR 3.4.15.3, SR 3.4.15.4, and SR 3.4.15.5 These SRs require the performance of a CHANNEL CALIBRATION for each of the PCS backage detection instrumentation shappeds. The		
		calibration verifies the accuracy of the instrument string, including the instruments located inside containment. The Frequency of 18 months is atypical refueling cycle and considers channel reliability. Again, operating experience has proven that this Frequency is acceptable		
	REFERENCES	<ol> <li>10 CFR 50, Appendix A, Section IV, GDC 30.</li> <li>Regulatory Guide 1.45.</li> <li>USAR, Section 5.2.5.</li> <li>NUREG-609, "Asymmetric Blowdown Loads on PWR Primary Systems," 1981.</li> </ol>		

B.1

#### BASES

**ACTIONS** (continued)

With the DOSE EQUIVALENT XE-133 in excess of the allowed limit, DOSE EQUIVALENT XE-133 must be restored to within limits within 48 hours. The allowed Completion Time of 48 hours is acceptable since it is expected that, if there were a noble gas spike, the normal coolant noble gas concentration would be restored within this time period. Also, there is a low probability of an SLB or SGTR occurring during this time period.

A Note permits the use of the provisions of LCO 3.0.4c. This allowance permits entry into the applicable MODE(s), relying on Required Action B.1 while the DOSE EQUIVALENT XE-133 LCO limit is not met. This allowance is acceptable due to the significant conservatism incorporated into the specific activity limit, and the ability to restore transient-specific activity excursions while the plant remains at, or proceeds to, power operation.

### C.1 and C.2

If the Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A or B is not met, or if the DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 is  $> 60 \mu$ Ci/gm, the reactor must be brought to MODE 3 within 6 hours and MODE 5 within 36 hours. The Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

## SR 3.4.16.1

SR 3.4.16.1 requires performing a gamma isotopic analysis as a measure of the noble gas specific activity of the reactor coolant at least once every 7 days. This measurement is the sum of the degassed gamma activities and the gaseous gamma activities in the sample taken. This Surveillance provides an indication of any increase in the noble gas specific activity.

Trending the results of this Surveillance allows proper remedial action to be taken before reaching the LCO limit under normal operating conditions. The 7 day Frequency considers the unlikelihood of a gross fuel failure during this time.

If a specific noble gas nuclide listed in the definition of DOSE EQUIVALENT XE-133 in Specification 1.1, "Definitions," is not detected, it should be assumed to be present at the minimum detectable activity.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

BASES			
	<u>SR 3.4.16.1</u> (continued)		
REQUIREMENTS	The Note modifies this SR to allow entry into and operating in MODE 4, MODE 3, and MODE 2 prior to performing the SR. This allows the Surveillance to be performed in those MODES, prior to entering MODE 1.		
	SR 3.4.16.2 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.		
	This Surveillance is performed to ensure iodine specific activity remains within the LCO limit during normal operation and following fast power changes when iodine spiking is more apt to occur. The 14 day Frequency is adequate to trend changes in the iodine activity level, considering noble gas activity is monitored every 7 days. The Frequency, between 2 and 6 hours after a power change $\geq$ 15% RTP within a 1 hour period, is established because the iodine levels peak during this time following iodine spiking information; samples at other times would provide inaccurate results.		
	The Note modifies this SR to allow entry into and operation in MODE 4, MODE 3, and MODE 2 prior to performing the SR. This allows the Surveillance to be performed in those MODES, prior to entering MODE 1.		
REFERENCES	1. 10 CFR 50.67.		
	2. Standard Review Plan (SRP), Section 15.0.1.		
	3. USAR Section 15.1.5.		
	4. USAR, Section 15.6.3.		

ACTIONS B.1 (

B.1 (continued)

conditions, the 24 hour Completion Time to open the valve, remove power to the valve, or restore the proper water volume or nitrogen cover pressure ensures that prompt action will be taken to return the inoperable accumulator to OPERABLE status. The Completion Time minimizes the potential for exposure of the plant to a LOCA under these conditions. The 24 hours allowed to restore an inoperable accumulator to OPERABLE status is justified in WCAP-15049-A, Rev. 1 (Ref. 5).

### C.1 and C.2

If the accumulator cannot be returned to OPERABLE status within the associated Completion Time, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to MODE 3 within 6 hours and RCS pressure reduced to  $\leq$  1000 psig within 12 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.

<u>D.1</u>

If more than one accumulator is inoperable, the plant is in a condition outside the accident analyses; therefore, LCO 3.0.3 must be entered immediately.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SF</u> REQUIREMENTS

<u>SR 3.5.1.1</u>

Each accumulator valve should be verified to be fully open every 12 hours. This verification ensures that the accumulators are available for injection and ensures timely discovery if a valve should be less than fully open. If an isolation valve is not fully open, the rate of injection to the RCS would be reduced. Although a motor operated valve position should not change with power removed, a closed valve could result in not meeting accident analyses assumptions. This Frequency is considered reasonable in view of other administrative controls that ensure a mispositioned isolation valve is unlikely.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

### SR 3.5.1.2 and SR 3.5.1.3

Every 12 hours, porated water volume and nitrogen cover pressure are verified for each accumulator. The limit on borated water volume is equivalent to  $\geq$  30 % and  $\leq$  70.3 % level. Only one set of non-safety channels (1 of 2) is required for water level and pressure indication. The 12-hour Frequency is sufficient to ensure adequate injection during a LOCA. Because of the static design of the accumulator, a 12 hour Frequency usually allows the operator to identify changes before limits are reached. Operating experience has shown this Frequency to be appropriate for early detection and correction of off normal trends.

# <u>SR 3.5.1.4</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

The boron concentration should be verified to be within required limits for each accumulator every 31 days since the static design of the accumulators limits the ways in which the concentration can be changed. The 31 day Frequency is adequate to identify changes that could occur from mechanisms such as dilution or inleakage. Sampling the affected accumulator within 6 hours after a 70 gallon increase (approximately 8% level) will identify whether inleakage has caused a reduction in boron concentration to below the required limit. It is not necessary to verify boron concentration if the added water inventory is from the refueling water storage tank (RWST) and the RWST has not been diluted since verifying that its boron concentration satisfies SR 3.5.4.3, because the water contained in the RWST is normally within the accumulator boron concentration requirements. This is consistent with the recommendation of NUREG-1366 (Ref. 4).

## <u>SR 3.5.1.5</u>

Verification every 31 days that power is removed from each accumulator isolation valve operator when the RCS pressure is > 1000 psig ensures that an active failure could not result in the undetected closure of an accumulator motor operated isolation valve. If this were to occur, only two accumulators would be available for injection given a single failure coincident with a LOCA. Since power is removed under administrative control, the 31 day Frequency will provide adequate assurance that power is removed.

This SR allows power to be supplied to the motor operated isolation valves when RCS pressure is  $\leq$  1000 psig, thus allowing operational

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

	BASES			
	ACTIONS	A.1 (continued)		
		the ECCS incapable of performing its function. Neither does the inoperability of two different components, each in a different train, necessarily result in a loss of function for the ECCS. This allows increased flexibility in plant operations under circumstances when components in opposite trains are inoperable.		
		An event accompanied by a loss of offsite power and the failure of an EDG can disable one ECCS train until power is restored. A reliability analysis (Ref. 5) has shown that the impact of having one full ECCS train inoperable is sufficiently small to justify continued operation for 72 hours.		
		B.1 and B.2		
		If the inoperable trains cannot be returned to OPERABLE status within the associated Completion Time, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to MODE 3 within 6 hours and MODE 4 within 12 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.		
		<u>C.1</u>		
		Condition A is applicable with one or more trains inoperable. The allowed Completion Time is based on the assumption that at least 100% of the ECCS flow equivalent to a single OPERABLE ECCS train is available. With less than 100% of the ECCS flow equivalent to a single OPERABLE ECCS train available, the unit is in a condition outside of the accident analyses. Therefore, LCO 3.0.3 must be entered immediately.		
	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.5.2.1		
The Surveilland controlled unde Frequency Cor	ce Frequency is er the Surveillance trol Program.	ECCS pumps to the RCS is maintained. Misalignment of these valves could render both ECCS trains inoperable. Securing these valves in the correct position by a power lockout isolation device ensures that they cannot change position as a result of an active failure or be inadvertently misaligned. These valves are of the type, described in References 7 and 8, that can disable the function of both ECCS trains and invalidate the accident analyses. A 12 hour Frequency is considered reasonable in view		

unlikely.

of other administrative controls that will ensure a mispositioned valve is

REQUIREMENTS (continued)

## SURVEILLANCE SR 3.5.2.2

Verifying the correct alignment for manual, power operated, and automatic valves in the ECCS flow paths provides assurance that the proper flow paths will exist for ECCS operation. This SR does not apply to valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, since these were verified to be in the correct position prior to locking, sealing, or securing. This SR does not apply to manual vent/drain valves, and to valves that cannot be inadvertently misaligned such as check valves. A valve that receives an actuation signal is allowed to be in a nonaccident position provided the valve will automatically reposition within the proper stroke time. This Surveillance does not require any testing or valve manipulation. Rather, it involves verification that those valves capable of being mispositioned are in the correct position. The 31 day Frequency isappropriate because the valves are operated under administrative control, and an improper valve position would only affect a single train. This Frequency has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience.

The Surveillance is modified by a Note which exempts system vent flow paths opened under administrative control. The administrative control should be proceduralized and include stationing a dedicated individual at the system vent flow path who is in continuous communication with the operators in the control room. This individual will have a method to rapidly close the system vent flow path if directed.

## SR 3.5.2.3

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

ECCS piping and components have the potential to develop voids and pockets of entrained gases. Preventing and managing gas intrusion and accumulation is necessary for proper operation of the ECCS and may also prevent water hammer, pump cavitation, and pumping of noncondensible gas into the reactor vessel.

Selection of ECCS locations susceptible to gas accumulation is based on a review of system design information, including piping and instrumentation drawings, isometric drawings, plan and elevation drawings, and calculations. The design review is supplemented by system walk downs to validate the system high points and to confirm the location and orientation of important components that can become sources of gas or could otherwise cause gas to be trapped or difficult to remove during system maintenance or restoration. Susceptible locations depend on plant and system configuration, such as stand-by versus operating conditions.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.5.2.3 (continued)

The ECCS is OPERABLE when it is sufficiently filled with water. Acceptance criteria are established for the volume of accumulated gas at susceptible locations. In conjunction with or in lieu of venting, Ultrasonic Testing (UT) may be performed to verify the ECCS pumps and associated piping are sufficiently full of water. The design of the centrifugal charging pump is such that significant noncondensible gases do not collect in the pump. Therefore, it is unnecessary to require periodic pump casing venting to ensure the centrifugal charging pump will remain OPERABLE. If accumulated gas is discovered that exceeds the acceptance criteria for the susceptible location (or the volume of accumulated gas at one or more susceptible locations exceeds an acceptance criteria for gas volume at the suction or discharge of a pump), the Surveillance is not met. If the accumulated gas is eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits during performance of the Surveillance, the Surveillance is met and past system OPERABILITY is evaluated under the Corrective Action Program. If it is determined by subsequent evaluation that the ECCS is not rendered inoperable by the accumulated gas (i.e., the system is sufficiently filled with water), the Surveillance may be declared met. Accumulated gas should be eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits.

ECCS locations susceptible to gas accumulation are monitored and, if gas is found, the gas volume is compared to the acceptance criteria for the location. Susceptible locations in the same system flow path which are subject to the same gas intrusion mechanisms may be verified by monitoring a representative sub-set of susceptible locations. Monitoring may not be practical for locations that are inaccessible due to radiological or environmental conditions, the plant configuration, or personnel safety. For these locations alternative methods (e.g., operating parameters, remote monitoring) may be used to monitor the susceptible location. Monitoring is not required for susceptible locations where the maximum potential accumulated gas void volume has been evaluated and determined to not challenge system OPERABILITY. The accuracy of the method used for monitoring the susceptible locations and trending of the results should be sufficient to assure system OPERABILITY during the Surveillance interval.

The 92 day Frequency takes into consideration the plant specific nature of gas accumulation in the ECCS piping and the procedural controls governing system operation.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	<u>SR 3.5.2.4</u>	
	Periodic surveillance testing of ECCS pumps to detect gross degradation caused by impeller structural damage or other hydraulic component problems is required by the ASME Code. This type of testing may be accomplished by measuring the pump developed head at only one point of the pump characteristic curve. The following ECCS pumps are required to develop the indicated differential pressure on recirculation flow:	
	Centrifugal Charging Pump	≥ 2490 psid
	Safety Injection Pump	≥ 1453.8 psid
	RHR Pump	≥ 183.6 psid

This verifies both that the measured performance is within an acceptable tolerance of the original pump baseline performance and that the performance at the test flow is greater than or equal to the performance assumed in the plant safety analysis. SRs are specified in the applicable portions of the Inservice Testing Program, which encompasses the ASME Code. The ASME Code provides the activities and Frequencies necessary to satisfy the requirements.

### SR 3.5.2.5 and SR 3.5.2.6

These Surveillances demonstrate that each automatic ECCS valve actuates to the required position on an actual or simulated SI signal and on an actual or simulated RWST Level Low-Low 1 Automatic Transfer signal coincident with an SI signal and that each ECCS pump starts on receipt of an actual or simulated SI signal. This Surveillance is not required for valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the required position under administrative controls. The 18 month Frequencyis based on the need to perform these Surveillances under the conditions that apply during a plant outage and the potential for unplanned planttransients if the Surveillances were performed with the reactor at power. The 18 month Frequency is also acceptable based on consideration of the design reliability (and confirming operating experience) of the equipment. The actuation logic is tested as part of ESF Actuation System testing, and equipment performance is monitored as part of the Inservice Testing-Program.

The Surveillance Frequencies are controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance

Frequency Control Program.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

<u>SR 3.5.2.7</u>

The position of throttle valves in the flow path is necessary for proper ECCS performance. These valves are necessary to restrict flow to a ruptured cold leg, ensuring that the other cold legs receive at least the required minimum flow. The 18 month Frequency is based on the same reasons as those stated in SR 3.5.2.5 and SR 3.5.2.6. The ECCS throttle valves are set to ensure proper flow resistance and pressure drop in the piping to each injection point in the event of a LOCA. Once set, these throttle valves are secured with locking devices and mechanical position stops. These devices help to ensure that the following safety analyses assumptions remain valid: (1) both the maximum and minimum total system resistance; (2) both the maximum and minimum branch injection line resistance; and (3) the maximum and minimum ranges of potential pump performance. These resistances and pump performance ranges are used to calculate the maximum and minimum ECCS flows assumed in the LOCA analyses of Reference 3.

## <u>SR 3.5.2.8</u>

This SR requires verification that each ECCS train containment sump inlet is not restricted by debris and the suction inlet strainers show no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion. A visual inspection of the suction inlet piping verifies the piping is unrestricted. A visual inspection of the accessible portion of the containment sump strainer assembly verifies no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion. Verification of no evidence of structural distress ensures there are no openings in excess of the maximum designed strainer opening. The 18 month Frequency has been found to be sufficient to detect abnormal degradation and is confirmed by operating experience.

- REFERENCES 1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 35.
  - 2. 10 CFR 50.46.
  - 3. USAR, Sections 6.3 and 15.6.
  - 4. USAR, Chapter 15, "Accident Analysis."
  - NRC Memorandum to V. Stello, Jr., from R.L. Baer, "Recommended Interim Revisions to LCOs for ECCS Components," December 1, 1975.
  - 6. IE Information Notice No. 87-01.
| BASES                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ACTIONS                      | <u>B.1</u> (continued)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|                              | can perform its design function. Therefore, prompt action must be taken<br>to restore the tank to OPERABLE status or to place the plant in a MODE<br>in which the RWST is not required. The short time limit of 1 hour to<br>restore the RWST to OPERABLE status is based on this condition<br>simultaneously affecting redundant trains.                                                                                                                                                  |
|                              | <u>C.1 and C.2</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|                              | If the RWST cannot be returned to OPERABLE status within the associated Completion Time, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 5 within 36 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems. |
| SURVEILLANCE<br>REQUIREMENTS | <u>SR 3.5.4.1</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|                              | The RWST borated water temperature should be verified every 24 hours<br>to be within the limits assumed in the accident analyses band. This<br>Frequency is sufficient to identify a temperature change that would<br>approach either limit and has been shown to be acceptable through<br>operating experience.                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                              | The SR is modified by a Note that eliminates the requirement to perform<br>this Surveillance when ambient air temperatures are within the operating<br>limits of the RWST. With ambient air temperatures within the band, the<br>RWST temperature should not exceed the limits.                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|                              | SR 3.5.4.2 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|                              | The RWST water volume should be verified every 7 days to be above the required minimum level ( $\geq$ 94% level) in order to ensure that a sufficient initial supply is available for injection and to support continued ECCS and Containment Spray System pump operation on recirculation. Since the RWST volume is normally stable and is protected by an alarm, a 7 day Frequency is appropriate and has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience.                      |

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	<u>SR 3.5.4.3</u>
	The boron concentration of the RWST should be verified every 7 days to be within the required limits. This SR verifies the boron concentration by sampling, calculation, or administrative means. This SR ensures that the reactor will remain subcritical following a LOCA. Further, it assures that the resulting sump pH will be maintained in an acceptable range so that boron precipitation in the core will not occur and the effect of chloride and caustic stress corrosion on mechanical systems and components will be minimized. Since the RWST volume is normally stable, a 7 day. Frequency to verify boron concentration is appropriate and has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience.
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Chapter 6 and Chapter 15.

### ACTIONS

### A.1 (continued)

be taken to restore the flow to below its limit. The operator has 4 hours from the time the flow is known to be above the limit to correctly position the manual seal injection throttle valves and thus be in compliance with the accident analysis. The Completion Time minimizes the potential exposure of the plant to a LOCA with insufficient injection flow and provides a reasonable time to restore seal injection flow within limits. This time is conservative with respect to the Completion Times of other ECCS LCOs; it is based on operating experience and is sufficient for taking corrective actions by operations personnel.

### B.1 and B.2

When the Required Actions cannot be completed within the required Completion Time, a controlled shutdown must be initiated. The Completion Time of 6 hours for reaching MODE 3 from MODE 1 is a reasonable time for a controlled shutdown, based on operating experience and normal cooldown rates, and does not challenge plant safety systems or operators. Continuing the plant shutdown begun in Required Action B.1, an additional 6 hours is a reasonable time, based on operating experience and normal cooldown rates, to reach MODE 4, where this LCO is no longer applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR</u> REQUIREMENTS

### <u>SR 3.5.5.1</u>

Verification every 18 months that the manual seal injection throttle valves are adjusted to give a flow within the limit ensures that proper manual seal injection throttle valve position, and hence, proper seal injection flow, is maintained. To verify acceptable seal injection flow, the following is performed; differential pressure between the charging header (PT-120) and the RCS is determined and the seal injection flow is verified to be within the limits of Figure 3.5.5-1. The Frequency of 18 months is based on engineering judgment, the controls placed on the positioning of these valves and is consistent with other ECCS valve Surveillance Frequencies in SR 3.5.2.7. The Frequency has proven to be acceptable through operating experience.

ACTIONS <u>D.1 and D.2</u> (continued) 36 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.6.2.1</u> REQUIREMENTS

Maintaining containment air locks OPERABLE requires compliance with the leakage rate test requirements of the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program. This SR reflects the leakage rate testing requirements with regard to air lock leakage (Type B leakage tests). The acceptance criteria were established during initial air lock and containment OPERABILITY testing. The periodic testing requirements verify that the air lock leakage does not exceed the allowed fraction of the overall containment leakage rate. The Frequency is required by the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program.

The SR has been modified by two Notes. Note 1 states that an inoperable air lock door does not invalidate the previous successful performance of the overall air lock leakage test. This is considered reasonable since either air lock door is capable of providing a fission product barrier in the event of a DBA. Note 2 has been added to this SR requiring the results to be evaluated against the acceptance criteria which is applicable to SR 3.6.1.1. This ensures that air lock leakage is properly accounted for in determining the combined Type B and C containment leakage rate.

# SR 3.6.2.2

The air lock interlock is designed to prevent simultaneous opening of both doors in a single air lock. Since both the inner and outer doors of an air lock are designed to withstand the maximum expected post accident containment pressure, closure of either door will support containment OPERABILITY. Thus, the door interlock feature supports containment OPERABILITY while the air lock is being used for personnel transit in and out of the containment. Periodic testing of this interlock demonstrates that the interlock will function as designed and that simultaneous opening of the inner and outer doors will not inadvertently occur. Due to the reliable nature of this interlock, and given that the interlock mechanism is not normally challenged when the containment air lock door is used for entry

BASES	
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.6.2.2 (continued)
	and exit (procedures require strict adherence to single door opening), this test is only required to be performed every 24 months. The 24 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this surveillance under the conditions that apply during a plant outage, and the potential for loss of containment OPERABILITY if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. The 24 month Frequency for the interlock is justified based on generic operating experience. The Frequency is based on engineering judgement and is considered adequate given that the interlock is not challenged during use of the airlock.
REFERENCES	1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Option B.
	2. USAR, Section 3.8, 6.2, and 15.

R

BASES	
ACTIONS (continued)	<u>E.1 and E.2</u> If the Required Actions and associated Completion Times are not met, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 5 within 36 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.6.3.1 Each 36 inch containment shutdown purge supply and exhaust valve is required to be verified sealed closed at 31 day intervals. Each 36 inch containment shutdown purge supply and exhaust valve inside containment must be verified sealed closed prior to entering MODE 4 from MODE 5, if the surveillance has not been performed in the previous 92 days. This Surveillance is designed to ensure that a gross breach of containment is not caused by an inadvertent or spurious opening of a containment shutdown purge valve. Detailed analysis of these valves failed to conclusively demonstrate their ability to close during a LOCA in time to limit offsite doses. Therefore, these valves are required to be in the sealed closed position during MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4. A containment shutdown purge valve that is sealed closed must have motive power to the valve operator removed. This can be accomplished by de-energizing the source of electric power or by removing the air supply to the valve operator. In this application, the term "sealed" has no connotation of leak tightness. The Frequency is a result of an NRC initiative, Multi-Plant Action No. B-24 (Ref. 4), related to containment purge valve use during plant operations. In the event valve leakage requires entry into condition D, the Surveillance permits opening one purge valve in a penetration flow path to perform repairs.
	SR 3.6.3.2 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. This SR ensures that the mini-purge valves are closed as required or, if open, open for an allowable reason. If a mini-purge valve is open in violation of this SR, the valve is considered inoperable. If the inoperable valve is not otherwise known to have excessive leakage when closed, it is not considered to have leakage outside of limits. The SR is not required to be met when the mini-purge valves are open for the reasons stated. The valves may be opened for pressure control, ALARA or air quality

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.6.3.2 (continued)

considerations for personnel entry, or for Surveillances that require the valves to be open. The mini-purge valves are capable of closing in the environment following a LOCA. Therefore, these valves are allowed to be open for limited periods of time. The 31 day Frequency is consistent with other containment isolation valve requirements discussed in SR 3.6.3.3

# SR 3.6.3.3

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR requires verification that each containment isolation manual valve and blind flange/located outside containment and not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured and required to be closed during accident conditions is closed. The SR helps to ensure that post accident leakage of radioactive fluids or gases outside of the containment boundary is within design limits. This SR does not require any testing or valve manipulation. Rather, it involves verification, through a system walkdown (which may include the use of local or remote indicators), that those containment isolation valves outside containment and capable of being mispositioned are in the correct position. Since verification of valve position for containment isolation valves outside containment is relatively easy, the 31 day Frequency is based on engineering judgment and was chosen to provide added assurance of the correct positions. The SR specifies that containment isolation valves that are open under administrative controls are not required to meet the SR during the time the valves are open. This SR does not apply to valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the closed position, since these were verified to be in the correct position upon locking, sealing or securing.

The Note applies to valves and blind flanges located in high radiation areas and allows these devices to be verified closed by use of administrative means. Allowing verification by administrative means is considered acceptable, since access to these areas is typically restricted during MODES 1, 2, 3 and 4 for ALARA reasons. Therefore, the probability of misalignment of these containment isolation valves, once they have been verified to be in the proper position, is small.

### SR 3.6.3.4

This SR requires verification that each containment isolation manual valve and blind flange located inside containment and not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured and required to be closed during accident conditions is closed. The SR helps to ensure that post accident leakage of radioactive

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	<u>SR 3.6.3.6</u>
	Leakage integrity tests with a maximum allowable leakage rate for containment shutdown purge supply and exhaust isolation valves will provide early indication of resilient material seal degradation and will allow opportunity for repair before gross leakage failures could develop.
	This SR is modified by a Note indicting that the SR is only required to be performed when the containment shutdown purge valves blind flanges are installed.
	If the blind flange is installed, leakage rate testing of the valve and its associated blind flange must be performed every 24 months and following each reinstallation of the blind flange. Operating experience has demonstrated that this testing frequency is adequate to assure this penetration is leak tight.
	The combined leakage rate for the containment shutdown purge supply and exhaust isolation valves, when pressurized to $P_a$ , and included with all Type B and C penetrations is less than .60 L <sub>a</sub> .
	SR 3.6.3.7       3.6.3.7
	For containment mini-purge and shutdown purge valves with resilient seals, additional leakage rate testing beyond the test requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Option B is required to ensure OPERABILITY. Operating experience has demonstrated that this type of seal has the potential to degrade in a shorter time period than do other seal types. Based on this observation and the importance of maintaining this penetration leak tight (due to the direct path between containment and the environment), a Frequency of 184 days was established as part of the NRC resolution of Multi-Plant Action No. B-20, "Containment Leakage Due to Seal Deterioration" (Ref. 3).
	Additionally, this SR must be performed within 92 days after opening the valve. The 92 day Frequency was chosen recognizing that cycling the valve could introduce additional seal degradation (beyond that occurring to a valve that has not been opened). Thus, decreasing the interval (from 184 days) is a prudent measure after a valve has been opened.
	The SR is modified by a Note indicating that the SR is only required to be performed for the containment shutdown purge valves when the associated blind flange is removed.
The Surve Surveilland	illance Frequency is controlled under the second se

BASE	S		
	SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR (</u>	3.6.3.7 (continued)
	REQUIREMENTS		measured leakage rate for each containment mini-purge supply and ust isolation valve with resilient seals is less than $0.05 L_a$ when surized to $P_a$ . The combined leakage rate for the containment down purge supply and exhaust isolation valves, when pressurized to nd included with all Type B and C penetrations is less than .60 $L_a$ .
		<u>SR (</u>	3.6.3.8 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.
			matic containment isolation valves close on a containment isolation al to prevent leakage of radioactive material from containment wing a DBA. This SR ensures that each automatic containment tion valve will actuate to its isolation position on a containment tion signal. This surveillance is not required for valves that are ed, sealed, or otherwise secured in the required position under inistrative controls. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to orm this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a plant ge and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance performed with the reactor at power. Operating experience has or that these components usually pass this Surveillance when ormed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency was luded to be acceptable from a reliability standpoint.
REFE	RENCES	1.	USAR, Section 15.
		2.	USAR, Figure 6.2.4-1.
		3.	Multi-Plant Action MPA-B020, "Containment Leakage Due to Seal Deterioration."
Not Used.		4.	Multi-Plant Action MPA-B024, "Venting and Purging Containment's While at Full Power and Effect of LOCA."
		5.	USAR, Section 6.2.4.
		6.	NUREG-0881, "Safety Evaluation Report related to the operation of Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit No. 1," Section 6.2.3, April 1982.
		7.	NRC letter dated March 29, 2001, "Relief Request from the Requirements of ASME Code, Section XI, Related to Code Case OMN-1 for Wolf Creek Generating Station (TAC NO. MB0982)."
		8.	WCAP-15791-P, Rev. 1, "Risk-Informed Evaluation of Extensions to Containment Isolation Valve Completion Times," April 2004.
		9.	License Amendment No. 190, November 3, 2010.

BASES			
ACTIONS	B.1 and B.2 (continued)		
	power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.		
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.6.4.1</u>		
	Verifying that containment pressure is within limits ensures that unit operation remains within the limits assumed in the containment analysis. The 12 hour Frequency of this SR was developed based on operating experience related to trending of containment pressure variations during the applicable MODES. Furthermore, the 12 hour Frequency is considered adequate in view of other indications available in the control room, including alarms, to alert the operator to an abnormal containment pressure condition.		
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Section 6.2.		
	2. 10 CFR 50, Appendix K.		

APPLICABILITY (continued)	containment average air temperature within the limit is not required in MODE 5 or 6
(continued)	

### ACTIONS

When containment average air temperature is not within the limit of the LCO, it must be restored to within limit within 8 hours. This Required Action is necessary to return operation to within the bounds of the containment analysis. The 8 hour Completion Time is acceptable considering the sensitivity of the analysis to variations in this parameter and provides sufficient time to correct minor problems.

### B.1 and B.2

A.1

If the containment average air temperature cannot be restored to within its limit within the required Completion Time, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 5 within 36 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.

# SURVEILLANCE <u>S</u>REQUIREMENTS

### <u>SR 3.6.5.1</u>

Verifying that containment average air temperature is within the LCO limit ensures that containment operation remains within the limit assumed for the containment analyses. In order to determine the containment average air temperature, an arithmetic average is calculated using data obtained from available, installed instrumentation at the following locations: a) the containment cooler inlet located near NNE wall (EI. 2068'-8"), b) the containment cooler inlet located near West wall (El. 2068'-8"), c) the containment cooler inlet located near NNW wall (EI. 2068'-8"), and d) the containment cooler inlet located near East wall (EI. 2068'-8"). For the installed instrumentation to be considered available, the associated Containment Cooling System fan must be operating. The locations within the containment were selected to provide a representative sample of the overall containment atmosphere. The 24 hour Frequency of this SR is considered acceptable based on observed slow rates of temperature increase within containment as a result of environmental heat sources (due to the large volume of containment). Furthermore, the 24 hour Frequency is considered adequate in view of other indications available in the control room, including alarms, to alert the operator to an abnormalcontainment temperature condition.

BASES	
ACTIONS (continued)	<u>F.1</u>
	With two containment spray trains or any combination of three or more containment spray and cooling trains inoperable, the unit is in a condition outside the accident analysis. Therefore, LCO 3.0.3 must be entered immediately.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.6.6.1</u>
REQUIREMENTS	Verifying the correct alignment for manual, power operated, and automatic valves in the containment spray flow path provides assurance that the proper flow paths will exist for Containment Spray System operation. The correct alignment for the Containment Cooling System valves is provided in SR 3.7.8.1. This SR does not apply to manual vent/drain valves and to valves that cannot be advertently misaligned such as check valves. This SR does not apply to valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, since these were verified to be in the correct position prior to locking, sealing, or securing. This SR does not require any testing or valve manipulation. Rather, it involves verification, through a system walkdown (which may include the use of local or remote indicators), that those valves outside containment and capable of potentially being mispositioned are in the correct position. The 31 day Frequency is based on engineering judgement, is consistent with administrative controls governing valve operation, and ensures correct valve positions.
	The Surveillance is modified by a Note which exempts system vent flow paths opened under administrative control. The administrative control should be proceduralized and include stationing a dedicated individual at the system vent flow path who is in continuous communication with the operators in the control room. This individual will have a method to rapidly close the system vent flow path if directed.
	SR 3.6.6.2
	Operating each containment cooling train fan unit for $\geq$ 15 minutes ensures that all fan units are OPERABLE. It also ensures the abnormal conditions or degradation of the fan unit can be detected for corrective action. The 31 day Frequency was developed considering the known reliability of the fan units and controls, the two train redundancy available, and the low probability of significant degradation of the containment cooling train occurring between surveillances. It has also been shown to be acceptable through operating experience.
	<u>SR 3.6.6.3</u> Not Used.

SURVEILLANCE SR 3.6.6.4 REQUIREMENTS Verifying each containment spray pump's developed head at the flow test (continued) point is greater than or equal to the required developed head ensures that spray pump performance has not degraded during the cycle. Flow and differential pressure are normal tests of centrifugal pump performance required by the ASME Code (Ref. 5). Since the containment spray pumps cannot be tested with flow through the spray headers, they are tested on recirculation flow. This test confirms one point on the pump design curve and is indicative of overall performance. Such inservice tests confirm component OPERABILITY, trend performance, and detect incipient failures by abnormal performance. The Frequency of the SR is in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program. This test ensures that each pump develops a differential pressure of greater than or equal to 209 psid at a nominal flow of 300 gpm when on recirculation (Ref. 6). The Surveillance Frequencies are controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. SR 3.6.6.5 and SR 3.6.6.6 These SRs require verification that each automatic containment spray valve actuates to its correct position and that each containment spray pump starts upon receipt of an actual or simulated actuation of a containment High-3 pressure signal. This Surveillance is not required for valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the required position under administrative controls. <sup>V</sup>The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform these Surveillances under the conditions that apply during a plant outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillances were performed with the reactor at power. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillances when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency was concluded to be acceptable from a reliability standpoint. The surveillance of containment sump isolation valves is also required by SR 3.5.2.5. A single surveillance may be used to satisfy both requirements. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. SR 3.6.6.7

> This SR requires verification that each containment cooling train actuates upon receipt of an actual or simulated safety injection signal. Upon actuation, each fan in the train starts in slow speed or, if operating, shifts to slow speed and the cooling water flow rate increases to  $\geq$  2000 gpm to each cooler train. The 18 month Frequency is based on engineering judgment and has been shown to be acceptable through operating experience. See SR 3.6.6.5 and SR 3.6.6.6, above, for further discussion of the basis for the 18 month Frequency.

No changes this page. For information only.

BASES

SURVEILLANCE

REQUIREMENTS (continued)

# <u>SR 3.6.6.8</u>

With the containment spray inlet valves closed and the spray header drained of any solution, low pressure air or smoke can be blown through test connections. This SR ensures that each spray nozzle is unobstructed and provides assurance that spray coverage of the containment during an accident is not degraded. Due to the passive design of the nozzle, a confirmation of OPERABILITY following maintenance activities that can result in obstruction of spray nozzle flow is considered adequate to detect obstruction of the nozzles. Confirmation that the spray nozzles are unobstructed may be obtained by utilizing foreign material exclusion (FME) controls during maintenance, a visual inspection of the affected portions of the system, or by an air or smoke flow test following maintenance involving opening portions of the system downstream of the containment isolation valves or draining of the filled portions of the system inside containment. Maintenance that could result in nozzle blockage is generally a result of a loss of foreign material control or a flow of borated water through a nozzle. Should either of these events occur, a supervisory evaluation will be required to determine whether nozzle blockage is a possible result of the event. For the loss of FME event, an inspection or flush of the affected portions of the system should be adequate to confirm that the spray nozzles are unobstructed since water flow would be required to transport any debris to the spray nozzles. An air flow or smoke test may not be appropriate for a loss of FME event but may be appropriate for the case where borated water inadvertently flows through the nozzles.

# <u>SR 3.6.6.9</u>

Containment Spray System piping and components have the potential to develop voids and pockets of entrained gases. Preventing and managing gas intrusion and accumulation is necessary for proper operation of the containment spray trains and may also prevent water hammer and pump cavitation.

Selection of Containment Spray System locations susceptible to gas accumulation is based on a review of system design information, including piping and instrumentation drawings, isometric drawings, plan and elevation drawings, and calculations. The design review is supplemented by system walk downs to validate the system high points and to confirm the location and orientation of important components that can become sources of gas or could otherwise cause gas to be trapped or difficult to remove during system maintenance or restoration. Susceptible locations depend on plant and system configuration, such as stand-by versus operating conditions.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.6.6.9 (continued)

The Containment Spray System is OPERABLE when it is sufficiently filled with water. Acceptance criteria are established for the volume of accumulated gas at susceptible locations. If accumulated gas is discovered that exceeds the acceptance criteria for the susceptible location (or the volume of accumulated gas at one or more susceptible locations exceeds an acceptance criteria for gas volume at the suction or discharge of a pump), the Surveillance is not met. If the accumulated gas is eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits during performance of the Surveillance, the Surveillance is met and past system OPERABILITY is evaluated under the Corrective Action Program. If it is determined by subsequent evaluation that the Containment Spray System is not rendered inoperable by the accumulated gas (i.e., the system is sufficiently filled with water), the Surveillance may be declared met. Accumulated gas should be eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits.

Containment Spray System locations susceptible to gas accumulation are monitored and, if gas is found, the gas volume is compared to the acceptance criteria for the location. Susceptible locations in the same system flow path which are subject to the same gas intrusion mechanisms may be verified by monitoring a representative sub-set of susceptible locations. Monitoring may not be practical for locations that are inaccessible due to radiological or environmental conditions, the plant configuration, or personnel safety. For these locations alternative methods (e.g., operating parameters, remote monitoring) may be used to monitor the susceptible location. Monitoring is not required for susceptible locations where the maximum potential accumulated gas void volume has been evaluated and determined to not challenge system OPERABILITY. The accuracy of the method used for monitoring the susceptible locations and trending of the results should be sufficient to assure system OPERABILITY during the Surveillance interval.

The 92 day Frequency takes into consideration the plant specific nature of gas accumulation in the Containment Spray System piping and the procedural controls governing system operation.

#### ACTIONS <u>A.1</u>

If the Spray Additive System is inoperable, it must be restored to OPERABLE within 72 hours. The pH adjustment of the Containment Spray System flow for corrosion protection and iodine removal enhancement is reduced in this condition. The Containment Spray System would still be available and would remove some fission products from the containment atmosphere in the event of a DBA. The 72 hour Completion Time takes into account the redundant flow path capabilities and the low probability of the worst case DBA occurring during this period.

### B.1 and B.2

If the Spray Additive System cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within the required Completion Time, the plant must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the plant must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 5 within 84 hours. The allowed Completion Time of 6 hours is reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach MODE 3 from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems. The extended interval to reach MODE 5 allows 48 hours for restoration of the Spray Additive System in MODE 3 and 36 hours to reach MODE 5. This is reasonable when considering the reduced pressure and temperature conditions in MODE 3 for the release of radioactive material from the Reactor Coolant System.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

# <u>SR 3.6.7.1</u>

Verifying the correct alignment of Spray Additive System manual, power operated, and automatic valves in the spray additive flow path provides assurance that the system is able to provide additive to the Containment Spray System in the event of a DBA. This SR does not apply to valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, since these valves were verified to be in the correct position prior to locking, sealing, or securing. This SR does not apply to manual vent/drain valves, and to valves that cannot be inadvertently misaligned such as check valves. This SR does not require any testing or valve manipulation. Rather, it involves verification, through a system walkdown (which may include the use of local or remote indicators), that those valves outside containment and capable of potentially being mispositioned are in the correct position. The 31 day Frequency is based on engineering judgement, is consistent with administrative controls governing valve operation, and ensures correct valve positions.

# SURVEILLANCE SR 3.6.7.2

REQUIREMENTS (continued)

To provide effective iodine removal and retention, the containment spray must be an alkaline solution. Since the RWST contents are normally acidic, the volume of the spray additive tank must provide a sufficient volume of spray additive to adjust pH for all water injected. This SR is performed to verify the availability of sufficient NaOH solution in the Spray Additive System. The spray additive tank site glass (ENLG0022) is utilized for meeting the SR since the control room level indicators do not provide conservative indication (Ref. 2). The 184 day Frequency was developed based on the low probability of an undetected change in tank volume occurring during the SR interval (the tank is isolated during normal unit operations). (Ref. 3).

SR 3.6.7.3

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR provides verification of the NaOH concentration in the spray additive tank and is sufficient to ensure that the spray solution being injected into containment is at the correct pH level. The 184 day Frequency is sufficient to ensure that the concentration level of NaOH in the spray additive tank remains within the established limits. This is based on the low likelihood of an uncontrolled change in concentration (the tank is normally isolated) and the probability that any substantial variance in tank volume will be detected.

### SR 3.6.7.4

This SR provides verification that each automatic valve in the Spray Additive System flow path actuates to its correct position upon receipt of an actual or simulated actuation of a containment High-3 pressure signal. This Surveillance is not required for valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the required position under administrative controls. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a plant outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency was concluded to be acceptable from a reliability standpoint.

# <u>SR 3.6.7.5</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

To ensure correct pH level is established in the borated water solution provided by the Containment Spray System, the flow rate in the Spray Additive System is verified once every 5 years. Flow of  $\geq$  52 gpm through the eductor test loops (supplied from the RWST) is throttled to 17 psig at

Attachment V to ET 20 Page 106 of 175	0004	Spray Additive System B 3.6.7
BASES	The Surveillance Frequency is controlle Surveillance Frequency Control Program	d under the
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.6.7.5</u> (continued) the eductor inlet to simulate flow from the spray ad provides assurance that the correct amount of Na the flow path upon Containment Spray System init passive nature of the spray additive flow controls, sufficient to identify component degradation that m	ditive tank. This SR DH will be metered into ation. Due to the the 5 year Frequency is ay affect flow rate.
REFERENCES	<ol> <li>USAR, Chapter 15.6.5.4.</li> <li>Configuration Change Package 09334.</li> <li>Performance Improvement Request 2006-04</li> </ol>	425

BASES
-------

(continued)

### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR</u> REQUIREMENTS

<u>SR 3.7.2.2</u>

This SR verifies that each actuator train can close its respective MSIV on an actual or simulated actuation signal. The manual fast close hand switch in the control room provides an acceptable actuation signal. This Surveillance is normally performed upon returning the plant to operation following a refueling outage. This SR is modified by a Note that allows entry into and operation in MODE 3 prior to performing the SR. This allows a delay of testing until MODE 3, to establish conditions consistent with those under which the acceptance criterion was generated.

The frequency of MSIV testing is every 18 months. The 18 month Frequency for testing is based on the refueling cycle. Operatingexperience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, this Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.

# <u>SR 3.7.2.3</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR verifies that each MSIV bypass valve can close on an actual or simulated actuation signal. This Surveillance is normally performed upon returning the plant to operation following a refueling outage. The Frequency of MSIV bypass valve testing is every 18 months. The 18 month Frequency for testing is based on the refueling cycle. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, this Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.

# <u>SR 3.7.2.4</u>

This SR verifies that the closure time of each MSIV bypass valve is  $\leq$  15 seconds when tested pursuant to the Inservice Testing Program. This is consistent with the assumptions used in the accident and containment analyses. For the MSIV bypass valves, this Surveillance is performed routinely during plant operation (or as required for post-maintenance testing, but it may also be required to be performed upon returning the unit to operation following a refueling outage.

The Frequency for this SR is in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	<u>SR 3.7.3.2</u>			
	This SR verifies that each actuator train can close its respective MFIV on an actual or simulated actuation signal. The manual close hand switch in the control room provides an acceptable actuation signal. This Surveillance is normally performed upon returning the plant to operation following a refueling outage in conjunction with SR 3.7.3.1. However, it is acceptable to perform this Surveillance individually. This SR is modified by a Note that allows entry into and operation in MODE 3 prior to performing the SR. This allows a delay of testing until MODE 3, to establish conditions consistent with those under which the acceptance criterion was generated			
	The Frequency of MFIV testing is every 18 months. The 18 month Frequency for testing is based on the refueling cycle. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, this Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.			
	<u>SR 3.7.3.3</u> (The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.			
	This SR verifies that each MFRV and MFRV bypass valve is capable of closure on an actual or simulated actuation signal. The actuation of solenoids locally at the MFRVs and MFRV bypass valves constitutes an acceptable simulated actuation signal. This Surveillance is normally performed upon returning the unit to operation following a refueling outage in conjunction with SR 3.7.3.1. However, it is acceptable to perform this Surveillance individually.			
	The Frequency of MFRV and MFRV bypass valve testing is every 18 months. The 18 month Frequency for testing is based on the refueling cycle. This Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint. This SR is modified by a Note that allows entry into and operation in MODE 3 prior to performing the SR. This allows a delay of testing until MODE 3, to establish conditions consistent with those under which the acceptance criterion was generated.			
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Section 10.4.7.			
	<ol> <li>ASME Code for Operation and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plants.</li> </ol>			
	3. NUREG-1482, Revision 1, "Guidelines for Inservice Testing at			

Nuclear Power Plants."

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	<u>SR 3.7.4.2</u> The function of the block valve is to isolate a failed open or leaking ARV. Cycling the block valve both closed and open demonstrates its capability to perform this function. Performance of inservice testing or use of the block valve during unit cooldown may satisfy this requirement. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. The Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint		
		· · ·	
REFERENCES	1.	USAR, Section 10.3.	The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under
	2.	USAR, Chapter 15.	
	3.	USAR, Section 15.6.3.	

	BASES	
ACTIONS (continued)	<u>D.1</u>	
(continued)		If all three AFW trains are inoperable, the unit is in a seriously degraded condition with no safety related means for conducting a cooldown, and only limited means for conducting a cooldown with nonsafety related equipment. In such a condition, the unit should not be perturbed by any action, including a power change, that might result in a trip. The seriousness of this condition requires that action be started immediately to restore one AFW train to OPERABLE status.
		Required Action D.1 is modified by a Note indicating that all required MODE changes or power reductions are suspended until one AFW train is restored to OPERABLE status. In this case, LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable because it could force the unit into a less safe condition.
	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.7.5.1</u>
The Surveillar controlled unc Frequency Co	nce Frequency is ler the Surveillance Introl Program.	Verifying the correct alignment for manual, power operated, and automatic valves in the AFW System water and steam supply flow paths provides assurance that the proper flow paths will exist for AFW operation. This SR does not apply to valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, since they are verified to be in the correct position prior to locking, sealing, or securing. This SR also does not apply to manual vent/drain valves, and to valves that cannot be inadvertently misaligned, such as check valves. This Surveillance does not require any testing or valve manipulation; rather, it involves verification that those valves capable of being mispositioned are in the correct position.
		The 31 day Frequency, based on engineering judgment, is consistent with procedural controls governing valve operation, and ensures correct valve positions.
		This SR is modified by a Note indicating that the SR is not required to be performed for the AFW flow control valves until the AFW System is placed in standby or THERMAL POWER is above 10% RTP.
		<u>SR 3.7.5.2</u>
		Verifying that each AFW pump's developed head at the flow test point is greater than or equal to the required developed head ensures that AFW pump performance has not degraded during the cycle. Flow and differential head are normal tests of centrifugal pump performance required by the ASME Code (Ref. 2). Because it is undesirable to introduce cold AFW into the steam generators while they are operating, this testing is performed on recirculation flow. This test confirms one point

SURVEILLANCE SR 3.7.5.2 (continued) REQUIREMENTS on the pump design curve and is indicative of overall performance. Such inservice tests confirm component OPERABILITY, trend performance, and detect incipient failures by indicating abnormal performance. Performance of inservice testing discussed in the ASME Code (Ref. 2) (only required at 3 month intervals) satisfies this requirement. The test Frequency in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program results in testing each pump once every 3 months, as required by Reference 2. When on recirculation, the required differential pressure for the AFW pumps (Ref. 4) when tested in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program is: Motor Driven Pumps  $\geq$  1514 psid at a nominal flow of 110 gpm Turbine Driven Pump  $\geq$  1616.4 psid at a nominal flow of 130 gpm This SR is modified by a Note indicating that the SR should be deferred until suitable test conditions are established. This deferral is required because there is insufficient steam pressure to perform the test. SR 3.7.5.3 This SR verifies that AFW can be delivered to the appropriate steam generator in the event of any accident or transient that generates an ESFAS, by demonstrating that each automatic valve in the flow path actuates to its correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal. This Surveillance is not required for valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the required position under administrative controls. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a unit outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. The 18 month Frequency is acceptable based on operating experience and the design reliability of the equipment. This SR includes the requirement to verify that each AFW motor-operated discharge valve limits the flow from the motor driven AFW pump to each steam generator to  $\leq$  320 gpm and that values in the ESW suction flowpath actuate to the full open position upon receipt of an Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Suction Pressure-Low signal. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

BASES				
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.7.5.4 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.			
	This SR verifies that the AFW pumps will start in the event of any accident or transient that generates an AFAS by demonstrating that each AFW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a unit outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power.			
	This SR is modified by a Note. The Note indicates that the SR be deferred until suitable test conditions are established. This deferral is required because there is insufficient steam pressure to perform the test.			
	<u>SR 3.7.5.5</u>			
	This SR verifies that the AFW is properly aligned by verifying the flow paths from the CST to each steam generator prior to entering MODE 2 after more than 30 days in MODE 5 or 6. OPERABILITY of AFW flow paths must be verified before sufficient core heat is generated that would require the operation of the AFW System during a subsequent shutdown. The Frequency is reasonable, based on engineering judgement and other administrative controls that ensure that flow paths remain OPERABLE. To further ensure AFW System alignment, flow path OPERABILITY is verified following extended outages to determine no misalignment of valves has occurred. This SR ensures that the flow path from the CST to the steam generators is properly aligned.			
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Section 10.4.9.			
	<ol> <li>ASME Code for Operation and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plants.</li> </ol>			
	<ol> <li>NRC letter (C. Poslusny to O. Maynard) dated December 16, 1998: "Wolf Creek Generating Station - Technical Specification Bases Change, Auxiliary Feedwater System."</li> </ol>			
	4. Performance Improvement Request 2002-0945.			
	5. Condition Report 2006-000188.			

<u>B.1 and B.2</u> If the CST cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within the associated Completion Time, the unit must be placed in a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be placed in at least MODE 3 within 6 hours, and in MODE 4 within 12 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.	
<u>SR 3.7.6.1</u> This SR verifies that the CST contains the required volume of cooling water. The 12 hour Frequency is based on operating experience and the need for operator awareness of unit evolutions that may affect the CST inventory between checks. Also, the 12 hour Frequency is considered adequate in view of other indications in the control room, including alarms, to alert the operator to abnormal deviations in the CST level.	
<ol> <li>USAR, Section 9.2.6. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.</li> <li>USAR, Chapter 6.</li> <li>USAR, Chapter 15.</li> <li>Regulatory Guide 1.139, "Guidance for Residual Heat Removal to Achieve and Maintain Cold Shutdown."</li> </ol>	

	BASES	
	ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>
		Required Action A.1 is modified by a Note indicating that the applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.4.6, "RCS Loops - MODE 4," be entered if an inoperable CCW train results in an inoperable RHR loop. This is an exception to LCO 3.0.6 and ensures the proper actions are taken for these components.
		If one CCW train is inoperable, action must be taken to restore OPERABLE status within 72 hours. In this Condition, the remaining OPERABLE CCW train is adequate to perform the heat removal function. The 72 hour Completion Time is reasonable, based on the redundant capabilities afforded by the OPERABLE train, and the low probability of a DBA occurring during this period.
		<u>B.1 and B.2</u>
		If the CCW train cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within the associated Completion Time, the unit must be placed in a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be placed in at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and in MODE 5 within 36 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.
	SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.7.7.1</u>
	REQUIREMENTS	This SR is modified by a Note indicating that the isolation of the CCW flow to individual components may render those components inoperable but does not affect the OPERABILITY of the CCW System.
The Surveilla controlled un Frequency C	ance Frequency is der the Surveillance ontrol Program.	Verifying the correct alignment for manual, power operated, and automatic valves in the CCW flow path provides assurance that the proper flow paths exist for CCW operation. This SR does not apply to valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, since these valves are verified to be in the correct position prior to locking, sealing, or securing. This SR also does not apply to manual vent/drain valves, and to valves that cannot be inadvertently misaligned, such as check valves. This Surveillance does not require any testing or valve manipulation; rather, it involves verification that those valves capable of being mispositioned are in the correct position.
		The 31 day Frequency is based on engineering judgment, is consistent with the procedural controls governing valve operation, and ensures correct valve positions.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

# <u>SR 3.7.7.2</u>

This SR verifies proper automatic operation of the CCW valves servicing safety related components or isolating the nonsafety related portion of the system on an actual or simulated actuation signal. This SR applies to the CCW valves that receive a Safety Injection signal and the RCP thermal barrier valves receiving a High CCW flow signal. The CCW System is a normally operating system that cannot be fully actuated as part of routine testing during normal operation. This Surveillance is not required for valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the required position under administrative controls. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a unit outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. However, individual valves may be tested during power operation under appropriate administrative controls, and if an actual actuation occurs during operation credit may be taken for automatic operation of valves. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.

# <u>SR 3.7.7.3</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR verifies proper automatic operation of the CCW pumps on an actual or simulated actuation signal. These actuation signals include Safety Injection and Loss of Power. The CCW System is a normally operating system that cannot be fully actuated as part of routine testing during normal operation. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a unit outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. However, pumps may be tested during power operation under appropriate administrative controls, and if an actual actuation occurs during experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.

REFERENCES

USAR, Section 9.2.2.

### 2. USAR, Section 6.2.

1.

### No changes this page. For information only.

BASES	No changes this page. For mornation only.	
APPLICABILITY (continued)	In MODES 5 and 6, the OPERABILITY requirements of the ESW System are determined by the systems it supports.	
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>	
	OPERABLE status within 72 hours. In this Condition, the remaining OPERABLE ESW train is adequate to perform the heat removal function. However, the overall reliability is reduced because a single failure in the OPERABLE ESW train could result in loss of ESW function. Required Action A.1 is modified by two Notes. The first Note indicates that the applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.8.1, "AC Sources - Operating," should be entered if an inoperable ESW train results in an inoperable emergency diesel generator. The second Note indicates that the applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.4.6, "RCS Loops - MODE 4," should be entered if an inoperable ESW system train results in an inoperable residual heat removal train. This is an exception to LCO 3.0.6 and ensures the proper actions are taken for these components. The 72 hour Completion Time is based on the redundant capabilities afforded by the OPERABLE train, and the low probability of a DBA occurring during this time period.	
	B.1 and B.2	
	If the ESW train cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within the associated Completion Time, the unit must be placed in a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be placed in at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and in MODE 5 within 36 hours.	
	The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.	
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.7.8.1</u>	
	This SR is modified by a Note indicating that the isolation of the ESW components or systems may render those components inoperable, but does not affect the OPERABILITY of the ESW System.	
	Verifying the correct alignment for manual, power operated, and automatic valves in the ESW System flow path provides assurance that the proper flow paths exist for ESW System operation. This SR does not	

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.7.8.1 (continued)

apply to valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, since they are verified to be in the correct position prior to being locked, sealed, or secured. This SR does not require any testing or valve manipulation; rather, it involves verification that those valves capable of being mispositioned are in the correct position. This SR does not apply to manual vent/drain valves, and to valves that cannot be inadvertently misaligned, such as check valves.

The 31 day Frequency is based on engineering judgment, and is consistent with the procedural controls governing valve operation, and ensures correct valve positions.

### SR 3.7.8.2

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR verifies proper automatic operation of the ESW System valves servicing safety related equipment or isolating the nonsafety related portion of the system on an actual or simulated actuation signal. These actuation signals include Loss of Power, SIS, Low AFW Suction Pressure, and High Differential Test Pressure. This SR includes those valves that isolate individual components as well as those that isolate the ESW System from the SWS. The ESW System is a standby emergency system that cannot be fully actuated as part of normal testing. This Surveillance is not required for valves that are locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in the required position under administrative controls. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a unit outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint,

# <u>SR 3.7.8.3</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR verifies proper automatic operation of the ESW System pumps on an actual or simulated actuation signal. These actuation signals include SIS, Low AFW Suction Pressure, and Loss of Power. The ESW System is a standby emergency system that cannot be fully actuated as part of normal testing during normal operation. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to perform this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a unit outage and the potential for an unplanned transient if the Surveillance were performed with the reactor at power.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.7.8.3 (continued)		
	<del>Ope</del> Surv Free	erating experience has shown that these components usually pass the veillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the quency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.	
REFERENCES	1.	USAR, Section 9.2.1.	
	2.	USAR, Section 15.6.	
	3.	USAR, Section 5.4.7.	

BASES	
ACTIONS	A.1 and A.2 (continued)
	The Completion Time of Required Action A.1 is based on engineering judgment and the fact that degradation of the main cooling lake dam's structural, hydraulic, and foundation conditions is slow and significant degradation would be promptly detected and corrected prior to catastrophic failure of the main cooling lake dam.
	The once per hour Completion Time of Required Action A.2 takes into consideration the increased monitoring frequency needed to ensure design basis assumptions are not exceeded in this condition.
	B.1 and B.2
	If the Required Actions are not completed within the associated Completion Time, or the UHS is inoperable for reasons other than Condition A, the unit must be placed in a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be placed in at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and in MODE 5 within 36 hours.
	The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.7.9.1</u>
REQUIREMENTS	This SR verifies that adequate long term (30 day) cooling can be maintained. The 24 hour Frequency is based on operating experience related to trending of the parameter variations during the applicable MODES. This SR verifies that the UHS water level is ≥ 1070 ft mean sea level (USGS datum).
	SR 3.7.9.2 This Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.
	This SR verifies that the ESW System is available to cool the CCW System to at least its maximum design temperature with the maximum accident or normal design heat loads for 30 days following a Design Basis Accident. The 24 hour Frequency is based on operating experience- related to trending of the parameter variations during the applicable- MODES. This SR verifies that the average water temperature of the UHS is $\leq 90^{\circ}$ F.

ACTIONS

### D.1, D.2.1, and D.2.2 (continued)

An alternative to Required Action D.1 is to immediately suspend activities that could result in a release of radioactivity that might require isolation of the CRE. This places the unit in a condition that minimizes the accident risk. This does not preclude the movement of fuel to a safe position.

### E.1 and E.2

During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies, with two CREVS trains inoperable or with one or more CREVS trains inoperable due to an inoperable CRE or CBE boundary, action must be taken immediately to suspend activities that could result in a release of radioactivity that might require isolation of the CRE. This places the unit in a condition that minimizes the accident risk. This does not preclude the movement of fuel to a safe position.

# <u>F.1</u>

If both CREVS trains are inoperable in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4, for reasons other than an inoperable CRE and CBE boundary (i.e., Condition B), the CREVS may not be capable of performing the intended function and the unit is in a condition outside the accident analyses. Therefore, LCO 3.0.3 must be entered immediately.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

# SR 3.7.10.1

Standby systems should be checked periodically to ensure that they function properly. As the environment and normal operating conditions on this system are not too severe, testing each train once every month, by initiating from the control room, flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber of both the filtration and pressurization systems, provides an adequate check of this system. Monthly heater operations dry out any moisture accumulated in the charcoal from humidity in the ambient air. Each pressurization system train must be operated for  $\geq$  15 continuous minutes with the heaters energized. Each filtration system train need only be operated for  $\geq$  15 minutes continuously to demonstrate the function of the system. The 15-minute run time is based on Position C.6.1 of Reference 9. The 31 day Frequency is based on the reliability of the equipment and the two train redundancy.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.7.10.2</u>
(continued)	This SR verifies that the required CREVS testing is performed in accordance with the Ventilation Filter Testing Program (VFTP). The CREVS filter tests use the procedure guidance in Regulatory Guide 1.52, Rev. 2 (Ref. 3) in accordance with the VFTP. The VFTP includes testing the performance of the HEPA filter, charcoal absorber efficiency, minimum flow rate, and the physical properties of the activated charcoal. Specific test Frequencies and additional information are discussed in detail in the VFTP.
	SR 3.7.10.3

This SR verifies that each CREVS train starts and operates on an actual or simulated CRVIS. The actuation signal includes Control Room Ventilation or High Gaseous Radioactivity. The CREVS train automatically switches on an actual or simulated CRVIS into a CRVIS mode of operation with flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks. The Frequency of 18 months is consistent with a typical operating cycle. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency is acceptable from a reliability standpoint.

### <u>SR 3.7.10.4</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR verifies the OPERABILITY of the CRE and CBE boundaries credited in the accident analysis by testing for unfiltered air inleakage past the credited envelope boundaries and into the CRE. The details of the testing are specified in the Control Room Envelope Habitability Program.

The CRE is considered habitable when the radiological dose to CRE occupants calculated in the licensing basis analyses of DBA consequences is no more than 5 rem total effective dose equivalent and the CRE occupants are protected from hazardous chemicals and smoke. For WCGS, there is no CREVS actuation for hazardous chemical releases or smoke and there are no Surveillance Requirements that verify OPERABILITY for hazardous chemicals or smoke. This SR verifies that the unfiltered air inleakage into the CRE and CBE boundaries is no greater than the flow rate assumed in the licensing basis analyses of DBA consequences. When unfiltered air inleakage is greater than the assumed flow rate, Condition B must be entered. Required Action B.3 allows time to restore the CRE or CBE

ACTIONS

<u>C.1, C.2.1, and C.2.2</u> (continued)

operation immediately. This action ensures that the remaining train is OPERABLE, that no failures preventing automatic actuation will occur, and that active failures will be readily detected.

An alternative to Required Action C.1 is to immediately suspend activities that present a potential for releasing radioactivity that might require isolation of the control room. This places the unit in a condition that minimizes accident risk. This does not preclude the movement of fuel to a safe position.

### D.1 and D.2

In MODE 5 or 6, or during movement of irradiated fuel assemblies, with two CRACS trains inoperable, action must be taken immediately to suspend activities that could result in a release of radioactivity that might require isolation of the control room. This places the unit in a condition that minimizes risk. This does not preclude the movement of fuel to a safe position.

### <u>E.1</u>

If both CRACS trains are inoperable in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4, the CRACS may not be capable of performing its intended function. Therefore, LCO 3.0.3 must be entered immediately.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

# <u>SR 3.7.11.1</u>

Testing of the CRACS condenser heat exchangers under design conditions is impractical. This SR verifies that the heat removal capability of the CRACS air conditioning units is adequate to remove the heat load assumed in the control room during design basis accidents. This SR consists of verifying the heat removal capability of the condenser heat exchanger (either through performance testing or inspection), ensuring the proper operation of major components in the refrigeration cycle, verification of unit air flow capacity, and water flow measurement (Reference 2). The 18 month Frequency is appropriate since significant degradation of the CRACS is slow and is not expected over this time period

BASES	
ACTIONS (continued)	D.1 and D.2
	When Required Action A.1 cannot be completed within the associated Completion Time during movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building, the OPERABLE Emergency Exhaust System train must be started in the FBVIS mode immediately or fuel movement suspended. This action ensures that the remaining train is OPERABLE, that no undetected failures preventing system operation will occur, and that any active failure will be readily detected.
	If the system is not placed in operation, this action requires suspension of fuel movement, which precludes a fuel handling accident. This does not preclude the movement of fuel assemblies to a safe position.
	<u>E.1</u>
	If the fuel building boundary is inoperable such that a train of the Emergency Exhaust System operating in the FBVIS mode cannot establish or maintain the required negative pressure, action must be taken immediately to suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building. This does not preclude the movement of fuel assemblies to a safe position.
	<u>SR 3.7.13.1</u>
REQUIREMENTS	Standby systems should be checked periodically to ensure that they function properly. As the environmental and normal operating conditions on this system are not severe, testing each train once every month, by initiating from the control room flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers, provides an adequate check on this system.
	Monthly heater operation dries out any moisture accumulated in the charcoal from humidity in the ambient air. Systems with heaters must be operated for $\geq$ 15 continuous minutes with the heaters energized. Operating heaters would not necessarily have the heating elements energized continuously for 15 minutes, but will cycle depending on the temperature. The 31 day Frequency is based on the known reliability of the equipment and the two train redundancy available. This SR can be satisfied with the Emergency Exhaust System in the SIS or FBVIS lineup during testing. The 15-minute run time is based on Position C.6.1 of Reference 10.
The Surveillance Free Surveillance Frequen	quency is controlled under the icy Control Program.

SURVEILLANCE

REQUIREMENTS (continued)

# <u>SR 3.7.13.2</u>

This SR verifies that the required Emergency Exhaust System filter testing is performed in accordance with the Ventilation Filter Testing Program (VFTP). The Emergency Exhaust System filter tests are based on the guidance in References 6 and 7 in accordance with the VFTP. The VFTP includes testing HEPA filter performance, charcoal absorber efficiency, minimum system flow rate, and the physical properties of the activated charcoal. Specific test frequencies and additional information are discussed in detail in the VFTP.

# SR 3.7.13.3

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR verifies that each Emergency Exhaust System train starts and operates on an actual or simulated actuation signal. The 18 month Frequency is consistent with References 6 and 7. Proper completion of this SR requires testing the system in both the SIS (auxiliary building exhaust) and the FBVIS (fuel building exhaust) modes of operation.

During emergency operations the Emergency Exhaust System will automatically start in either the SIS or FBVIS lineup depending on the initiating signal. In the SIS lineup, the fans operate with dampers aligned to exhaust from the auxiliary building and prevent unfiltered leakage. In this SIS lineup, each train is capable of maintaining the auxiliary building at a negative pressure at least 0.25 inches water gauge relative to the outside atmosphere. In the FBVIS lineup, which is initiated upon detection of high radioactivity by the fuel building exhaust gaseous radioactivity monitors, the fans operate with the dampers aligned to exhaust from the fuel building to prevent unfiltered leakage. In the FBVIS lineup, each train is capable of maintaining the fuel building at a negative pressure at least 0.25 inches water gauge relative to the outside atmosphere. Normal exhaust air from the fuel building is continuously monitored by radiation detectors. One detector output will automatically align the Emergency Exhaust System in the FBVIS mode of operation.

This surveillance requirement demonstrates that each Emergency Exhaust System unit can be automatically started and properly configured to the FBVIS or SIS alignment, as applicable, upon receipt of an actual or simulated SIS signal and an FBVIS signal. It is not required that each Emergency Exhaust System unit be started from both actuation signals during the same surveillance test provided each actuation signal is tested independently within the 18 month test frequency.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

## <u>SR 3.7.13.4</u>

This SR verifies the integrity of the auxiliary building enclosure. The ability of the auxiliary building to maintain negative pressure with respect to potentially uncontaminated adjacent areas is periodically tested to verify proper function of the Emergency Exhaust System. During the SIS mode of operation, the Emergency Exhaust System is designed to maintain a slight negative pressure in the auxiliary building, to prevent unfiltered leakage. The Emergency Exhaust System is designed to maintain a negative pressure  $\geq$  0.25 inches water gauge with respect to atmospheric pressure at a flow rate specified in the VFTP. The-Frequency of 18 months is consistent with the guidance provided in NUREG-0800, Section 6.5.1 (Ref.8).

An 18 month Frequency (on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS) is consistent with Reference 9

<u>SR 3.7.13.5</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR verifies the integrity of the fuel building enclosure. The ability of the fuel building to maintain negative pressure with respect to potentially uncontaminated adjacent areas is periodically tested to verify proper function of the Emergency Exhaust System. During the FBVIS mode of operation, the Emergency Exhaust System is designed to maintain a slight negative pressure in the fuel building, to prevent unfiltered leakage. The Emergency Exhaust System is designed to maintain a negative pressure  $\geq 0.25$  inches water gauge with respect to atmospheric pressure at a flow rate specified in the VFTP. The Frequency of 18 months is consistent with the guidance provided in NUREG-0800, Section 6.5.1 (Ref.8).

An 18 month Frequency (on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS) is consistent with Reference 9.

REFERENCES	1.	USAR, Section 6.5.1.
	2.	USAR, Section 9.4.2 and 9.4.3.
	3.	USAR, Section 15.6.5.4.
	4.	Regulatory Guide 1.183, Rev. 0.
	5.	10 CFR 50.67.
	6.	ASTM D 3803-1989.
	7.	ANSI N510-1980.
	8.	NUREG-0800, Section 6.5.1, Rev. 2, July 1981.
	9.	Regulatory Guide 1.52, Rev. 2. <
	10.	Regulatory Guide 1.52, Rev. 3.

BASES			
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>		
	Required Action A.1 is modified by a Note indicating that LCO 3.0.3 does not apply.		
	When the initial conditions for prevention of an accident cannot be met, steps should be taken to preclude the accident from occurring. When the fuel storage pool water level is lower than the required level, the movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel storage pool is immediately suspended to a safe position. This action effectively precludes the occurrence of a fuel handling accident. This does not preclude movement of a fuel assemblies while in MODE 5 or 6, LCO 3.0.3 would not specify any action. If moving irradiated fuel assemblies while in MODE 5 or 6, LCO 3.0.3 would not specify any action. If moving irradiated fuel assemblies use of preclude to a safe position. Therefore, inability to suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies assemblies while in MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4, the fuel movement is independent of reactor operations. Therefore, inability to suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies is not sufficient reason to require a reactor shutdown.		
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.7.15.1The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.This SR verifies sufficient fuel storage pool water is available in the event of a fuel handling accident. The water level in the fuel storage pool must be checked periodically. The 7 day Frequency is appropriate because the 		

During refueling operations, the level in the fuel storage pool is in equilibrium with the refueling pool, and the level in the refueling pool is checked daily in accordance with SR 3.9.7.1.

BASES			
ACTIONS	A.1, A.2.1, and A.2.2		
	The Required Actions are modified by a Note indicating that LCO 3.0.3 does not apply.		
	When the concentration of boron in the fuel storage pool is less than required, immediate action must be taken to preclude the occurrence of an accident or to mitigate the consequences of an accident in progress. This is most efficiently achieved by immediately suspending the movement of fuel assemblies. The concentration of boron is restored simultaneously with suspending movement of fuel assemblies. An acceptable alternative is to verify by administrative means that the fuel storage pool verification has been performed since the last movement of fuel assemblies in the fuel storage pool. However, prior to resuming movement of fuel assemblies, the concentration of boron must be restored. This does not preclude movement of a fuel assembly to a safe position.		
	If the LCO is not met while moving fuel assemblies in MODE 5 or 6, LCO 3.0.3 would not be applicable. If moving fuel assemblies while in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4, the fuel movement is independent of reactor operation. Therefore, inability to suspend movement of fuel assemblies is not sufficient reason to require a reactor shutdown.		
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.7.16.1The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.This SR verifies that the concentration of boron in the fuel storage pool is within the required limit. As long as this SR is met, the analyzed accidents are fully addressed. The 7 day Frequency is appropriate because no major replenishment of pool water is expected to take place over such a short period of time.		
REFERENCE	1. USAR, Appendix 9.1A, "The High Density Rack (HDR) Design Concept."		
	<ol> <li>Amendment No. 120 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-42, March 22, 1999.</li> </ol>		
	3. Double contingency principle of ANSI N16.1-1975, as specified in the April 14, 1978 NRC letter (Section 1.2) and implied in the proposed revision to Regulatory Guide 1.13 (Section 1.4, Appendix A).		

BASES	
ACTIONS	<u>A.1 and A.2</u> (continued) does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be placed in at least MODE 3 within 6 hours, and in MODE 5 within 36 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.7.18.1The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.This SR verifies that the secondary specific activity is within the limits of the accident analysis. A gamma isotopic analysis of the secondary coolant, which determines DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131, confirms the validity of the safety analysis assumptions as to the source terms in post accident releases. It also serves to identify and trend any unusual isotopic concentrations that might indicate changes in reactor coolant activity or LEAKAGE. The 31 day Frequency is based on the detection of increasing trends of the level of DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131, and allows for appropriate action to be taken to maintain levels below the LCO limit.
REFERENCES	<ol> <li>10 CFR 50.67.</li> <li>USAR, Chapter 15.</li> <li>Standard Review Plan (SRP), Section 15.0.1.</li> </ol>

ACTIONS (continued)	B.1 and B.2
	If the Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A is not met, the unit must be placed in a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be placed at least in MODE 3 within 6 hours, and in MODE 4 within 12 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.
	<u>SR 3.7.19.1</u>
REQUIREMENTS	This SR verifies the proper alignment for required automatic SSIVs in the flow path that are used to isolate the plant's secondary side. The SSIV is allowed to be in a nonaccident position provided the valve will automatically reposition within the proper stroke time. This SR does not require any testing or valve manipulation. Rather, it involves verification, through a system walkdown (which may include the use of local or remote indicators), that valves capable of being mispositioned are in the correct position. This SR does not apply to the locked closed manual valves in the chemical injection flow path since these valves were verified to be in the correct position upon locking.
	The 31 day Frequency is based on engineering judgment, is consistent with the procedural controls governing valve operation, and ensures correct valve positions.
	SR 3.7.19.2 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.
	This SR verifies that the isolation time of each required automatic SSIV is within limits when tested pursuant to the Inservice Testing Program. The specific limits are documented in the Inservice Testing Program. The SSIV isolation times are less than or equal to those assumed in the accident and containment analyses. The SR is performed only for required SSIVs. This Surveillance does not include verifying a closure time for the steam generator chemical injection isolation valves. An exception is made for the steam generator chemical addition injection isolation valves which are not included in the Inservice Testing Program. These valves are passive and contain a locking device and a check valve in their flow path.

BASES			
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.7.19.2</u> (continued)		
REQUIREMENTS	For the required SSIVs, performance of this Surveillance is routinely done during plant operation (or as required for post-maintenance testing), but it may also be required to be performed upon returning the unit to operation following a refueling outage.		
	The Frequency for this SR is in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program.		
	<u>SR 3.7.19.3</u>		
	This SR verifies that each required automatic SSIV in the flow path is capable of closure on an actual or simulated actuation signal. This-Surveillance is routinely performed during plant operation, but may also be performed upon returning the unit to operation following a refueling-outage.		
	The Frequency for this SR is 18 months.		
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Section 10.4.7.		
	2. USAR, Section 10.4.8.		
	3. USAR, Section 10.3.		

ACTIONS <u>A.1, A.2, and A.3</u> (continued)

The Class 1E electrical equipment A/C train must be restored to OPERABLE status within 30 days. The 30 day Completion Time is based on the low probability of an event occurring during this time period and the capability of the remaining OPERABLE Class 1E electric equipment A/C train to provide adequate area cooling for both trains of electrical equipment (with mitigating actions implemented).

If the room area temperatures are not within limits when verified once per 4 hours, or if the inoperable Class 1E electrical equipment A/C train cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within 30 days, Condition B must be entered.

#### B.1 and B.2

When the Required Actions of Condition A cannot be completed within the required Completion Times, the unit must be placed in a MODE that minimizes accident risk. To achieve this status, the unit must be placed in MODE 3 within 6 hours and in MODE 5 within 36 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging unit systems.

## <u>C.1</u>

If both Class 1E electrical equipment A/C trains are inoperable in MODE 1, 2, 3 or 4, the Class 1E Electrical Equipment A/C System may not be capable of performing its intended function. Therefore, LCO 3.0.3 must be entered immediately.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.7.20.1</u> REQUIRMENTS

This SR verifies that each Class 1E electrical equipment A/C train starts and operates on an actual or simulated actuation signal. The actuation signals include the control room ventilation isolation signal (CRVIS) and actuations driven by the LOCA and shutdown sequencers. A CRVIS is generated by the inputs discussed in the LCO Bases for TS 3.3.7, "CREVS Actuation Instrumentation." The Frequency of 18 months is based on industry operating experience and is consistent with the typical refueling cycle.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIRMENTS	<u>SR 3.7.20.2</u>		
(continued)	Testin Syster imprace electri assum consis excha the proverific SR is 18 mo Class over th	g of the Class 1E Electrical Equipment Air Conditioning (A/C) n condenser heat exchangers under design conditions is ctical. This SR verifies the heat removal capability of the Class 1E cal equipment A/C trains is adequate to remove the heat load ned in the affected rooms during design basis accidents. This SR its of verifying the heat removal capability of the condenser heat nger (either through performance testing or inspection), ensuring oper operation of major components in the refrigeration cycle, ation of unit air flow capacity, and water flow measurement. This performed in the same manner as SR 3.7.11.1 (Reference 2). The nth Frequency is appropriate since significant degradation of the 1E Electrical Equipment A/C System is slow and is not expected his time period.	
REFERENCES	1.	USAR, Section 9.4.1. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled und the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.	
	2.	Letter from C.F. Lyon, USNRC, to A. C. Heflin, WCNOC, "Wolf Creek Generating Station – Interpretation of Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 3.7.11.1, "Verify each CRACS train has the capability to remove the assumed heat load" (TAC NO. MF3665)," May 28, 2014.	

No changes this page.	For information only.
-----------------------	-----------------------

BROED	
ACTIONS (continued)	<u>l.1</u>
	Condition I corresponds to a level of degradation in which all redundancy in the AC electrical power supplies has been lost. At this severely degraded level, any further losses in the AC electrical power system will cause a loss of function. Therefore, no additional time is justified for continued operation. The unit is required by LCO 3.0.3 to commence a controlled shutdown.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	The AC sources are designed to permit inspection and testing of all important areas and features, especially those that have a standby function, in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 18 (Ref. 8). Periodic component tests are supplemented by extensive functional tests during refueling outages (under simulated accident conditions). The SRs for demonstrating the OPERABILITY of the DGs are in accordance with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.9 (Ref. 3), Regulatory Guide 1.108 (Ref. 9), and Regulatory Guide 1.137 (Ref. 10), as addressed in the USAR.
	Where the SRs discussed herein specify voltage and frequency tolerances, the following is applicable. This minimum steady state output voltage of 3950 V is 95% of the nominal 4160 V output voltage. This value, which is 210 V above the minimum utilization voltage specified in ANSI C84.1 (Ref. 11), allows for voltage drop to the terminals of 4000 V motors whose minimum operating voltage is specified as 90% or 3600 V. It also allows for voltage drops to motors and other equipment down through the 120 V level . This value provides for the OPERABILITY of required loads as shown by load flow calculations in support of NRC Branch Technical Position PSB-1. These calculations have demonstrated that no end use loads will be adversely affected from sustained operation above the degraded voltage allowable value as specified in SR 3.3.5.3. The 3950 V is above the calculated allowable value. The specified maximum steady state output voltage of 4320 V ensures that for a lightly loaded distribution system, the voltage at the terminals of 4000 V motors is no more than the maximum rated operating voltages. The specified minimum and maximum frequencies of the DG are 59.4 Hz and 60.6 Hz.
	<u>SR 3.8.1.1</u>

This SR ensures proper circuit continuity for the offsite AC electrical power supply to the onsite distribution network and availability of offsite AC electrical power. The breaker alignment verifies that each breaker is in its correct position to ensure that distribution buses and loads are connected to their preferred power source, and that appropriate

# SURVEILLANCE<br/>REQUIREMENTS SR 3.8.1.1 (continued) independence of offsite circuits is maintained. The 7 day Frequency is<br/>adequate since breaker position is not likely to change without the<br/>operator being aware of it and because its status is displayed in the<br/>control room. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under

SR 3.8.1.2 and SR 3.8.1.7

These SRs help to ensure the availability of the standby electrical power supply to mitigate DBAs and transients and to maintain the unit in a safe shutdown condition.

the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

To minimize the wear on moving parts that do not get lubricated when the engine is not running, these SRs are modified by a Note (Note 2 for SR 3.8.1.2) to indicate that all DG starts for these Surveillances may be preceded by an engine prelube period and followed by a warmup period prior to loading.

For the purposes of SR 3.8.1.2 and SR 3.8.1.7 testing, the DGs are started from standby conditions. Standby conditions for a DG mean that the diesel engine coolant and oil temperature are being maintained consistent with manufacturer recommendations.

In order to reduce stress and wear on diesel engines, the manufacturer recommends a modified start in which the starting speed of DGs is limited, warmup is limited to this lower speed, and the DGs are gradually accelerated to synchronous speed prior to loading. These start procedures are the intent of Note 3, which is only applicable when such modified start procedures are recommended by the manufacturer.

SR 3.8.1.7 requires that, at a 184 day Frequency, the DG starts from standby conditions using one of the following signals and achieves required voltage and frequency within 12 seconds, and subsequently achieves steady state required voltage and frequency ranges:

- a. Manual, or
- b. Simulated loss of offsite power by itself, or
- c. Safety Injection test signal.

The 12 second start requirement supports the assumptions of the design basis LOCA analysis in the USAR, Chapter 15 (Ref. 5).

#### SURVEILLANCE SR 3.8.1.2 and SR 3.8.1.7 (continued)

REQUIREMENTS

The 12 second start requirement is not applicable to SR 3.8.1.2 (see Note 3) when a modified start procedure as described above is used. If a modified start is not used, the 12 second start requirement of SR 3.8.1.7 applies.

A minimum voltage and frequency is specified rather than an upper and lower limit because DG acceleration is likely to overshoot the upper limit initially and then go through several oscillations prior to a voltage and frequency within the stated upper and lower bounds. The time to reach steady state could exceed 12 seconds, and result in a failure of the SR. However, on an actual emergency start, the DG would reach minimum voltage and frequency in  $\leq$  12 seconds at which time it would be loaded. Application of the load will dampen the oscillations. Therefore, only specifying the minimum voltage and frequency (at which the EDG can accept load) demonstrates the necessary capability of the DG to satisfy the requirements without including a potential for failing the Surveillance.

While reaching minimum voltage and frequency (at which the DG can accept load) in  $\leq$  12 seconds is an immediate test of OPERABILITY, the ability of the governor and voltage regulator to achieve steady state operation, and the time to do so are important indicators of continued OPERABILITY. Therefore, the time for the DG to reach steady state operation, unless the modified DG start method is employed, is periodically monitored and the trend evaluated to identify degradation of governor and voltage regulator performance. This additional monitoring and trending is part of the TR 5.5.2, "Emergency Diesel Generator Reliability Program" and is not considered part of the SR. (Reference 14)

Since SR 3.8.1.7 requires a 12 second start, it is more restrictive than SR 3.8.1.2, and it may be performed in lieu of SR 3.8.1.2. This is the intent of Note 1 of SR 3.8.1.2.

The 31 day Frequency for SR 3.8.1.2 is consistent with Regulatory Guide 1.9 (Ref. 3). The 184 day Frequency for SR 3.8.1.7 is a reduction in cold testing consistent with Generic Letter 84-15 (Ref. 7). These Frequencies provide adequate assurance of DG OPERABILITY, while minimizing degradation resulting from testing.

#### SR 3.8.1.3

The Surveillance Frequencies are controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This Surveillance verifies that the DGs are capable of synchronizing with the offsite electrical system and accepting loads greater than or equal to the equivalent of the maximum expected accident loads and aligned to

REQUIREMENTS

### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.8.1.3</u> (continued)

provide standby power to the associated emergency buses. A minimum run time of 60 minutes is required to stabilize engine temperatures, while minimizing the time that the DG is connected to the offsite source. The DG shall be operated continuously for the 60 minute time period per the guidance of Regulatory Guide 1.9, Position 2.2.2 (Ref. 3).

Although no power factor requirements are established by this SR, the DG is normally operated at a power factor between 0.8 lagging and 1.0. The 0.8 value is the design rating of the machine, while the 1.0 is an operational limitation to ensure circulating currents are minimized. The load band is provided to avoid routine overloading of the DG. Routine overloading may result in more frequent teardown inspections in accordance with vendor recommendations in order to maintain DG OPERABILITY.

The DG is considered OPERABLE during performance of the Surveillance, i.e., while it is paralleled to the offsite power source, consistent with the Technical Evaluation (i.e., Section 4.0) contained in the Safety Evaluation provided for Amendment No. 154 (Reference 17). This includes consideration of the potential challenges to the DG, its response to a LOCA and/or a loss of offsite power, and appropriate operator actions to restore the DG.

The 31 day Frequency for this Surveillance is consistent with Regulatory Guide 1.9 (Ref. 3).

This SR is modified by four Notes. Note 1 indicates that diesel engine runs for this Surveillance may include gradual loading, as recommended by the manufacturer, so that mechanical stress and wear on the diesel engine are minimized. Note 2 states that momentary transients, because of changing bus loads, do not invalidate this test. Momentary power factor transients outside the normal range are acceptable during this surveillance since no power factor requirements are established by this SR. Note 3 indicates that this Surveillance should be conducted on only one DG at a time in order to avoid common cause failures that might result from offsite circuit or grid perturbations. Note 4 stipulates a prerequisite requirement for performance of this SR. A successful DG start must precede this test to credit satisfactory performance.

#### <u>SR 3.8.1.4</u>

This SR provides verification that, with the DG in a standby condition, the fuel oil transfer pump starts on low level in the day tank standpipe and shuts down on high level in the day tank standpipe to automatically maintain the day tank fuel oil level above the DG fuel headers. The fuel

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.8.1.4 (continued)

oil standpipe must have adequate level to keep the fuel oil supply header to the engine injector pumps full, so that the engine can meet the required 12 second start time. The minimum fuel oil free surface elevation is required to be at least 86 inches from the bottom (outside diameter) of the tank. The transfer pump start/stop setpoints are controlled to maintain level in the standpipe in order to ensure there is sufficient fuel to meet the 12 second start requirement for the DG. This level also ensures adequate fuel oil for a minimum of 1 hour of DG operation at full load plus 10%.

The 31 day Frequency is adequate to assure that a sufficient supply of fuel oil is available, since low level alarms are provided and facility operators would be aware of any large uses of fuel oil during this period.

## <u>SR 3.8.1.5</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

Microbiological fouling is a major cause of fuel oil degradation. There are numerous bacteria that can grow in fuel oil and cause fouling, but all must have a water environment in order to survive. Removal of water from the fuel oil day tanks once every 31 days eliminates the necessary environment for bacterial survival. This is the most effective means of controlling microbiological fouling. In addition, it eliminates the potential for water entrainment in the fuel oil during DG operation. Water may come from any of several sources, including condensation, ground water, rain water, contaminated fuel oil, and breakdown of the fuel oil by bacteria. Frequent checking for and removal of accumulated water minimizes fouling and provides data regarding the watertight integrity of the fuel oil system. The Surveillance Frequencies are established by Regulatory Guide 1.137 (Ref. 10). This SR is for preventative maintenance. The presence of water does not necessarily represent failure of this SR, provided the accumulated water is removed during the performance of this Surveillance.

## SR 3.8.1.6

This Surveillance demonstrates that each required fuel oil transfer pump operates and transfers fuel oil from its associated storage tank to its associated day tank. This is required to support continuous operation of standby power sources. This Surveillance provides assurance that the fuel oil transfer pump is OPERABLE, the fuel oil piping system is intact, the fuel delivery piping is not obstructed, and the controls and control systems for automatic fuel transfer systems are OPERABLE.

The Frequency for this SR is 31 days.

SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.8.1.7</u>	
		See SR 3.8.1.2.
		<u>SR 3.8.1.8</u>
		Not Used.
		<u>SR 3.8.1.9</u>
		Not Used.
		<u>SR 3.8.1.10</u>
		This Surveillance demonstrates the DG canability to reject a full

This Surveillance demonstrates the DG capability to reject a full load without overspeed tripping or exceeding the predetermined voltage limits. The DG full load rejection may occur because of a system fault or inadvertent breaker tripping. This Surveillance ensures proper engine generator load response under the simulated test conditions. This test simulates the loss of the total connected load that the DG experiences following a full load rejection and verifies that the DG does not trip upon loss of the load. These acceptance criteria provide for DG damage protection. While the DG is not expected to experience this transient during an event and continues to be available, this response ensures that the DG is not degraded for future application, including reconnection to the bus if the trip initiator can be corrected or isolated.

The DG is considered OPERABLE while it is paralleled to the offsite power source, consistent with the Technical Evaluation (i.e., Section 4.0) contained in the Safety Evaluation provided for Amendment No. 154 (Reference 17). This includes consideration of the potential challenges to the DG, its response to a LOCA and/or a loss of offsite power, and appropriate operator actions to restore the DG.

The 18 month Frequency is consistent with the recommendation of Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3 (Ref. 3), and is intended to be consistent with expected fuel cycle lengths.

This SR has been modified by a Note. The Note ensures that the DG is tested under load conditions that are as close to design basis conditions as possible. When synchronized with offsite power, testing should be performed at a power factor of  $\leq 0.9$ . This power factor is representative of the actual inductive loading a DG would see under design basis accident conditions. Under certain conditions, however, the Note allows

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

#### No changes this page. For information only.

#### BASES

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

## <u>SR 3.8.1.10</u> (continued)

the Surveillance to be conducted at a power factor other than  $\leq 0.9$ . These conditions occur when grid voltage is high, and the additional field excitation needed to get the power factor to  $\leq 0.9$  results in voltages on the emergency busses that are too high. Under these conditions, the power factor should be maintained as close as practicable to 0.9 while still maintaining acceptable voltage limits on the emergency busses. In other circumstances, the grid voltage may be such that the DG excitation levels needed to obtain a power factor of 0.9 may not cause unacceptable voltages on the emergency busses, but the excitation levels are in excess of those recommended for the DG. In such cases, the power factor shall be maintained as close as practicable to 0.9 without exceeding the DG excitation limits.

#### <u>SR 3.8.1.11</u>

As required by Regulatory Guide 1.108 (Ref. 9), paragraph 2.a.(1), this Surveillance demonstrates the as-designed operation of the standby power sources during loss of the offsite source. This test verifies all actions encountered from the loss of offsite power, including shedding of the nonessential loads and energization of the emergency buses and respective loads from the DG. It further demonstrates the capability of the DG to automatically achieve the required voltage and frequency within the specified time.

The DG autostart time of 12 seconds is derived from requirements of the accident analysis to respond to a design basis large break LOCA. The Surveillance should be continued for a minimum of 5 minutes in order to demonstrate that all starting transients have decayed and stability is achieved.

The requirement to verify the connection and power supply of permanent and autoconnected loads is intended to satisfactorily show the relationship of these loads to the DG loading logic. In certain circumstances, many of these loads cannot actually be connected or loaded without undue hardship or potential for undesired operation. For instance, Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) injection valves are not desired to be stroked open, or high pressure injection systems are not capable of being operated at full flow, or residual heat removal (RHR)systems performing a decay heat removal function are not desired to be realigned to the ECCS mode of operation. In lieu of actual demonstration of connection and loading of loads, testing that adequately shows the capability of the DG systems to perform these functions is acceptable. This testing may include any series of sequential, overlapping, or total steps so that the entire connection and loading sequence is verified.



The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. <u>SR 3.8.1.11</u> (continued)

The Frequency of 18 months is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3 (Ref. 3), takes into consideration unit conditions required to perform the Surveillance, and is intended to be consistent with expected fuel cycle lengths.

This SR is modified by two Notes. The reason for Note 1 is to minimize wear and tear on the DGs during testing. For the purpose of this testing, the DGs must be started from standby conditions, that is, with the engine coolant and oil continuously circulated and temperature maintained consistent with manufacturer recommendations. The reason for Note 2 is that performing the Surveillance would remove a required offsite circuit from service, perturb the electrical distribution system, and challenge safety systems.

The Note 2 restriction from normally performing the Surveillance in MODE 1 or 2 is further amplified to allow portions of the Surveillance to be performed for the purpose of reestablishing OPERABILITY (e.g., postwork testing following corrective maintenance, corrective modification, deficient or incomplete surveillance testing, and other unanticipated OPERABILITY concerns) provided an assessment determines plant safety is maintained or enhanced. This assessment shall, as a minimum, consider the potential outcomes and transients associated with a failed partial Surveillance, a successful partial Surveillance, and a perturbation of the offsite or onsite system when they are tied together or operated independently for the partial Surveillance; as well as the operator procedures available to cope with these outcomes. These shall be measured against the avoided risk of a plant shutdown and startup to determine that plant safety is maintained or enhanced when portions of the Surveillance are performed in MODE 1 or 2. Risk insights or deterministic methods may be used for this assessment.

## <u>SR 3.8.1.12</u>

This Surveillance demonstrates that the DG automatically starts and achieves the required voltage and frequency within the specified time (12 seconds) from the design basis actuation signal (LOCA signal) and operates for  $\geq$  5 minutes. The 5 minute period provides sufficient time to demonstrate stability. SR 3.8.1.12.d and SR 3.8.1.12.e ensure that permanently connected loads and emergency loads are energized from the offsite electrical power system on an ESF signal without loss of offsite power.

The requirement to verify the connection of permanent and autoconnected loads is intended to satisfactorily show the relationship of these loads to the DG loading logic. In certain circumstances, many of

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.8.1.12</u> (continued) The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. these loads cannot actually be connected or loaded without undue hardship or potential for undesired operation. For instance, ECCS injection valves are not desired to be stroked open, or high pressure injection systems are not capable of being operated at full flow, or RHR systems performing a decay heat removal function are not desired to be realigned to the ECCS mode of operation. In lieu of actual demonstration of connection and loading of loads, testing that adequately shows the capability of the DG system to perform these functions is acceptable. This testing may include any series of sequential, overlapping, or total steps so that the entire connection and loading sequence is verified.
	the expected fuel cycle lengths. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the SR when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency was concluded to be acceptable from a reliability standpoint. This SR is modified by two Notes. The reason for Note 1 is to minimize wear and tear on the DGs during testing. For the purpose of this testing, the DGs must be started from standby conditions, that is, with the engine coolant and oil temperature maintained consistent with manufacturer recommendations. The reason for Note 2 is that during operation with the reactor critical, performance of this Surveillance could cause perturbations to the electrical distribution systems that could challenge continued steady state operation and, as a result, unit safety systems.
	The Note 2 restriction from normally performing the Surveillance in MODE 1 or 2 is further amplified to allow portions of the Surveillance to be performed for the purpose of reestablishing OPERABILITY (e.g., post- work testing following corrective maintenance, corrective modification, deficient or incomplete surveillance testing, and other unanticipated OPERABILITY concerns) provided an assessment determines plant safety is maintained or enhanced. This assessment shall, as a minimum, consider the potential outcomes and transients associated with a failed partial Surveillance, a successful partial Surveillance, and a perturbation of the offsite or onsite system when they are tied together or operated independently for the partial Surveillance; as well as the operator procedures available to cope with these outcomes. These shall be measured against the avoided risk of a plant shutdown and startup to determine that plant safety is maintained or enhanced when portions of the Surveillance are performed in MODE 1 or 2. Risk insights or deterministic methods may be used for this assessment.

BASES			
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.8.1.13</u>		
REQUIREMENTS	This Surveillance demonstrates that DG noncritical protective functions are bypassed on a loss of voltage signal concurrent with an ESF actuation test signal. The noncritical trips are bypassed during DBAs and provide an alarm on an abnormal engine condition. This alarm provides the operator with sufficient time to react appropriately. The DG availability to mitigate the DBA is more critical than protecting the engine against minor problems that are not immediately detrimental to emergency operation of the DG.		
	The 18 month Frequency is based on engineering judgment and is intended to be consistent with expected fuel cycle lengths. Operating experience has shown that these components usually pass the SR when performed at the 18 month Frequency. Therefore, the Frequency was concluded to be acceptable from a reliability standpoint.		
	SR 3.8.1.14 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.		
	Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3, (Ref. 3), requires demonstration once per 18 months that the DGs can start and run continuously at full load capability for an interval of not less than 24 hours, $\geq$ 2 hours of which is at a load not greater than 110% of the continuous duty rating (short-time rated load) and the remainder of the time at a load equivalent to the continuous duty rating (continuous rated load) of the DG. The short-time rated load and the continuous rated load may be applied in either order. The DG starts for this Surveillance can be performed either from standby or hot conditions. The provisions for prelubricating and warmup, discussed in SR 3.8.1.2, and for gradual loading, discussed in SR 3.8.1.3, are applicable to this SR.		
	The load band is provided to avoid routine overloading of the DG. Routine overloading may result in more frequent teardown inspections in accordance with vendor recommendations in order to maintain DG OPERABILITY.		
	Administrative controls for performing this SR in MODES 1 or 2, with the DG connected to an offsite circuit, ensure or require that:		
	a. Weather conditions are conducive for performing this SR.		
	b. The offsite power supply and switchyard conditions are conducive for performing this SR, which includes ensuring that switchyard access is restricted and no elective maintenance within the switchyard is performed.		
	<ul> <li>No equipment or systems assumed to be available for supporting the performance of the SR are removed from service.</li> </ul>		

BASES	
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.8.1.14</u> (continued) The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.
	The DG is considered OPERABLE during performance of the Surveillance, i.e., while it is paralleled to the offsite power source, consistent with the Technical Evaluation (i.e., Section 4.0) contained in the Safety Evaluation provided for Amendment No. 154 (Reference 17). This includes consideration of the potential challenges to the DG, its response to a LOCA and/or a loss of offsite power, and appropriate operator actions to restore the DG. The 18 month Frequency is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3 (Ref. 3), and is intended to be consistent- with expected fuel cycle lengths.
	This Surveillance is modified by two Notes. Note 1 states that momentary transients due to changing bus loads do not invalidate this test. Similarly, momentary power factor transients outside the power factor range will not invalidate the test. Note 2 ensures that the DG is tested under load conditions that are as close to design basis conditions as possible. When synchronized with offsite power, testing should be performed at a power factor of $\leq 0.9$ . This power factor is representative of the actual inductive loading a DG would see under design basis accident conditions. Under certain conditions, however, Note 2 allows the Surveillance to be conducted at a power factor other than $\leq 0.9$ . These conditions occur when grid voltage is high, and the additional field excitation needed to get the power factor to $\leq 0.9$ results in voltages on the emergency busses that are too high. Under these conditions, the power factor should be maintained as close as practicable to 0.9 while still maintaining acceptable voltage may be such that the DG excitation levels needed to obtain a power factor of 0.9 may not cause unacceptable voltages on the emergency busses, but the excitation levels are in excess of those recommended for the DG. In such cases, the power factor shall be maintained as close as practicable to 0.9 without exceeding the DG excitation limits.
	<u>SR 3.8.1.15</u>

This Surveillance demonstrates that the diesel engine can restart from a hot condition, such as subsequent to shutdown from normal Surveillances, and achieve the required voltage and frequency within 12 seconds. The 12 second time is derived from the requirements of the accident analysis to respond to a design basis large break LOCA The

18 month Frequency is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3 (Ref. 3).

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

#### SR 3.8.1.15 (continued)

This SR is modified by two Notes. Note 1 ensures that the test is performed with the diesel sufficiently hot. The load band is provided to avoid routine overloading of the DG. Routine overloads may result in more frequent teardown inspections in accordance with vendor recommendations in order to maintain DG OPERABILITY. The requirement that the diesel has operated for at least 2 hours at full load conditions prior to performance of this Surveillance is based on manufacturer recommendations for achieving hot conditions. Momentary transients due to changing bus loads do not invalidate this test. Note 2 allows all DG starts to be preceded by an engine prelube period to minimize wear and tear on the diesel during testing.

## <u>SR 3.8.1.16</u>

As required by Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3 (Ref. 3), this Surveillance ensures that the manual synchronization and load transfer from the DG to the offsite source can be made and the DG can be returned to ready to load status when offsite power is restored. It also ensures that the autostart logic is reset to allow the DG to reload if a subsequent loss of offsite power occurs. The DG is considered to be in ready to load status when the DG is at rated speed and voltage, the output breaker is open and can receive a close signal on bus undervoltage, and the load sequence timers are reset.

The Frequency of 18 months is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3 (Ref. 3), and takes into consideration unit conditions required to perform the Surveillance.

This SR is modified by a Note. The reason for the Note is that performing the Surveillance would remove a required offsite circuit from service, perturb the electrical distribution system, and challenge safety systems.

The restriction from normally performing the Surveillance in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4 is further amplified to allow the Surveillance to be performed for the purpose of reestablishing OPERABILITY (e.g., post-work testing following corrective maintenance, corrective modification, deficient or incomplete surveillance testing, and other unanticipated OPERABILITY concerns) provided an assessment determines plant safety is maintained or enhanced. This assessment shall, as a minimum, consider the potential outcomes and transients associated with a failed Surveillance, a successful Surveillance, and a perturbation of the offsite or onsite system when they are tied together or operated independently for the Surveillance; as well as the operator procedures available to cope with these outcomes. These shall be measured against the avoided risk of a

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

he 🛛 s co Surv

SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.8.1.16</u> (continued)
REQUIREMENTS	plant shutdown and startup to determine that plant safety is maintained or enhanced when the Surveillance is performed in MODE 1, 2, 3 or 4. Risk insights or deterministic methods may be used for this assessment.
	<u>SR 3.8.1.17</u>
	Demonstration of the test mode (parallel mode) override ensures that the DG availability under accident conditions will not be compromised as the result of testing and the DG will automatically reset to ready to load operation if a Safety Injection actuation signal is received during operation in the test mode. Ready to load operation is defined as the DG running at rated speed and voltage with the DG output breaker open. These provisions for automatic switchover are required by IEEE-308 (Ref. 13), paragraph 6.2.6(2).
he Surveillance Frequency controlled under the urveillance Frequency ontrol Program.	The requirement to automatically energize the emergency loads with offsite power is essentially identical to that of SR 3.8.1.12. The intent in the requirement associated with SR 3.8.1.17.b is to show that the emergency loading was not affected by the DG operation in test mode. In lieu of actual demonstration of connection and loading of loads, testing that adequately shows the capability of the emergency loads to perform these functions is acceptable.
manganan	This testing may include any series of sequential, overlapping, or total steps so that the entire connection and loading sequence is verified.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The 18 month Frequency is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3 (Ref. 3), takes into consideration unit conditions required to perform the Surveillance, and is intended to be consistent with expected fuel cycle lengths.
	This SR is modified by a Note. The reason for the Note is that performing the Surveillance would remove a required offsite circuit from service, perturb the electrical distribution system, and challenge safety systems.

The restriction from normally performing the Surveillance in MODE 1 or 2 is further amplified to allow portions of the Surveillance to be performed for the purpose of reestablishing OPERABILITY (e.g., post-work testing following corrective maintenance, corrective modification, deficient or incomplete surveillance testing, and other unanticipated OPERABILITY concerns) provided an assessment determines plant safety is maintained or enhanced. This assessment shall, as a minimum, consider the potential outcomes and transients associated with a failed partial Surveillance, a successful partial Surveillance, and a perturbation of the offsite or onsite system when they are tied together or operated

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

<u>SR 3.8.1.17</u> (continued)

independently for the partial Surveillance; as well as the operator procedures available to cope with these outcomes. These shall be measured against the avoided risk of a plant shutdown and startup to determine that plant safety is maintained or enhanced when portions of the Surveillance are performed in MODE 1 or 2. Risk insights or deterministic methods may be used for this assessment.

## SR 3.8.1.18

Under accident and loss of offsite power conditions loads are sequentially connected to the bus by the LSELS. The sequencing logic controls the permissive and starting signals to motor breakers to prevent overloading of the DGs due to high motor starting currents. The 10% load sequence time interval tolerance ensures that sufficient time exists for the DG to restore frequency and voltage prior to applying the next load and that safety analysis assumptions regarding ESF equipment time delays are not violated. Reference 2 provides a summary of the automatic loading of ESF buses.

The Frequency of 18 months is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3 (Ref. 3), takes into consideration unitconditions required to perform the Surveillance, and is intended to be consistent with expected fuel cycle lengths.

This SR is modified by a Note. The reason for the Note is that performing the Surveillance would remove a required offsite circuit from service, perturb the electrical distribution system, and challenge safety systems.

The restriction from normally performing the Surveillance in MODE 1 or 2 is further amplified to allow the Surveillance to be performed for the purpose of reestablishing OPERABILITY (e.g., post-work testing following corrective maintenance, corrective modification, deficient or incomplete surveillance testing, and other unanticipated OPERABILITY concerns) provided an assessment determines plant safety is maintained or enhanced. This assessment shall, as a minimum, consider the potential outcomes and transients associated with a failed Surveillance, a successful Surveillance, and a perturbation of the offsite or onsite system when they are tied together or operated independently for the Surveillance; as well as the operator procedures available to cope with these outcomes. These shall be measured against the avoided risk of a plant shutdown and startup to determine that plant safety is maintained or enhanced when the Surveillance is performed in MODE 1 or 2. Risk insights or deterministic methods may be used for this assessment.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.8.1.19

In the event of a DBA coincident with a loss of offsite power, the DGs are required to supply the necessary power to ESF systems so that the fuel, RCS, and containment design limits are not exceeded.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This Surveillance demonstrates the DG operation, as discussed in the Bases for SR 3.8.1.11, during a loss of offsite power actuation test signal in conjunction with an ESF actuation signal. In lieu of actual demonstration of connection and loading of loads, testing that adequately shows the capability of the DG system to perform these functions is acceptable. This testing may include any series of sequential, overlapping, or total steps so that the entire connection and loading sequence is verified.

The Frequency of 18 months takes into consideration unit conditions required to perform the Surveillance and is intended to be consistent with an expected fuel cycle length of 18 months.

This SR is modified by two Notes. The reason for Note 1 is to minimize wear and tear on the DGs during testing. For the purpose of this testing, the DGs must be started from standby conditions, that is, with the engine coolant and oil continuously circulated and temperature maintained consistent with manufacturer recommendations for DGs. The reason for Note 2 is that the performance of the Surveillance would remove a required offsite circuit from service, perturb the electrical distribution system, and challenge safety systems.

The Note 2 restriction from normally performing the Surveillance in MODE 1 or 2 is further amplified to allow portions of the Surveillance to be performed for the purpose of reestablishing OPERABILITY (e.g., postwork testing following corrective maintenance, corrective modification, deficient or incomplete surveillance testing, and other unanticipated OPERABILITY concerns) provided an assessment determines plant safety is maintained or enhanced. This assessment shall, as a minimum. consider the potential outcomes and transients associated with a failed partial Surveillance, a successful partial Surveillance, and a perturbation of the offsite or onsite system when they are tied together or operated independently for the partial Surveillance; as well as the operator procedures available to cope with these outcomes. These shall be measured against the avoided risk of a plant shutdown and startup to determine that plant safety is maintained or enhanced when portions of the Surveillance are performed in MODE 1 or 2. Risk insights or deterministic methods may be used for this assessment.

BASES		
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	<u>SR 3</u>	<u>3.8.1.20</u>
	This Surveillance demonstrates that the DG starting independence has not been compromised. Also, this Surveillance demonstrates that each engine can achieve proper speed within the specified time when the DGs are started simultaneously.	
	The <del>Regu</del>	l0 year Frequency is consistent with the recommendations of latory Guide 1.108 (Ref. 9)
	This wear must and c recor	SR is modified by a Note. The reason for the Note is to minimize on the DG during testing. For the purpose of this testing, the DGs be started from standby conditions, that is, with the engine coolant bil temperature maintained consistent with manufacturer nmendations.
	<u>SR 3</u>	3.8.1.21 / The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.
	SR 3 the La seque perfo on a indus opera	8.1.21 is the performance of an ACTUATION LOGIC TEST using SELS automatic tester for each load shedder and emergency load encer train except that the continuity check does not have to be rmed, as explained in the Note. This test is performed every 31 days STAGGERED TEST BASIS. The Frequency is adequate based on try operating experience, considering instrument reliability and ating history data.
REFERENCES	1.	10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 17.
	2.	USAR, Chapter 8.
	3.	Regulatory Guide 1.9, Rev. 3.
	4.	USAR, Chapter 6.
	5.	USAR, Chapter 15.
	6.	Regulatory Guide 1.93, Rev. 0, December 1974.
	7.	Generic Letter 84-15, "Proposed Staff Actions to Improve and Maintain Diesel Generator Reliability," July 2, 1984.
	8.	10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 18.
	9.	Regulatory Guide 1.108, Rev. 1, August 1977.
	10.	Regulatory Guide 1.137, Rev. 0, January 1978.

#### No changes the page. For information only.

BAS	ES
-----	----

ACTIONS

<u>D.1</u> (continued)

stored fuel oil to determine that the new fuel oil, when mixed with previously stored fuel oil, remains acceptable, or to restore the stored fuel oil properties. This restoration may involve feed and bleed procedures, filtering, or combinations of these procedures. Even if a DG start and load was required during this time interval and the fuel oil properties were outside limits, there is a high likelihood that the DG would still be capable of performing its intended function.

## <u>E.1</u>

With starting air receiver pressure < 435 psig in both receivers or < 610 psig in one receiver (with only one receiver inservice), sufficient capacity for five successive DG start attempts does not exist. However, as long as pressure is  $\geq 250$  psig in both receivers or  $\geq 300$  psig in one receiver, there is adequate capacity for at least one start attempt, and the DG can be considered OPERABLE while the air receiver pressure is restored to the required limit. A period of 48 hours is considered sufficient to complete restoration to the required pressure prior to declaring the DG inoperable. This period is acceptable based on the remaining air start capacity, the fact that most DG starts are accomplished on the first attempt, and the low probability of an event during this brief period.

## <u>F.1</u>

With a Required Action and associated Completion Time not met, or one or more DG's fuel oil, lube oil, or starting air subsystem not within limits for reasons other than addressed by Conditions A through E, the associated DG may be incapable of performing its intended function and must be immediately declared inoperable. "For reasons other than addressed by Conditions A through E" means "neither within the SR limits nor within the limits specified by Conditions A through E."

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.8.3.1</u> REQUIREMENTS

This SR provides verification that there is an adequate inventory of fuel oil in the storage tanks to support each DG's operation for 7 days at full load. The 7 day period is sufficient time to place the unit in a safe shutdown condition and to bring in replenishment fuel from an offsite location.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.8.3.1</u> (continued) REQUIREMENTS

The 31 day Frequency is adequate to ensure that a sufficient supply of fuel oil is available, since low level alarms are provided and unit operators would be aware of any large uses of fuel oil during this period.

# <u>SR 3.8.3.2</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This Surveillance ensures that sufficient lube oil inventory is available to support at least 7 days of full load operation for each DG. The 750 gal requirement is based on the DG manufacturer consumption values for the run time of the DG. The required inventory is contained entirely within the engine crankcase. There are several methods available to verify the lube oil volume. The preferred method is to verify normal levels on the dipstick. Other, indirect, methods such as the local level indicator or the absence of a low level alarm are acceptable as secondary methods.

K31 day Frequency is adequate to ensure that a sufficient lube oil supply is onsite, since DG starts and run time are closely monitored by the unit staff.

## <u>SR 3.8.3.3</u>

The tests listed below (in accordance with the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program in Specification 5.5.13) are a means of determining whether new fuel oil is of the appropriate grade and has not been contaminated with substances that would have an immediate, detrimental impact on diesel engine combustion. If results from these tests are within acceptable limits, the fuel oil may be added to the storage tanks without concern for contaminating the entire volume of fuel oil in the storage tanks.

These tests are to be conducted prior to adding the new fuel to the storage tank(s), but in no case is the time between receipt of new fuel and conducting the tests to exceed 31 days. The tests, limits, and applicable ASTM Standards are as follows:

a. Sample the new fuel oil in accordance with ASTM D4057-81 (Ref. 6);

	<u>SR 3.8.3.3</u> (continued)
REQUIREMENTS	The Frequency of this test takes into consideration fuel oil degradation trends that indicate that particulate concentration is unlikely to change significantly between Frequency intervals.
	<u>SR 3.8.3.4</u>
	This Surveillance ensures that, without the aid of the refill compressor, sufficient air start capacity for each DG is available. The system design requirements provide for a minimum of five engine start cycles without recharging. A start cycle is defined as 3 seconds of cranking time or approximately 2 to 3 engine revolutions. The pressures specified in this SR are intended to reflect the lowest value at which the five starts can be accomplished with air supplied from one or two receivers.
/	The 31 day Frequency takes into account the capacity, capability, redundancy, and diversity of the AC sources and other indications available in the control room, including alarms, to alert the operator to below normal air start pressure.
	<u>SR 3.8.3.5</u>
	Microbiological fouling is a major cause of fuel oil degradation. There are numerous bacteria that can grow in fuel oil and cause fouling, but all must have a water environment in order to survive. Removal of water from the fuel storage tanks once every 31 days eliminates the necessary environment for bacterial survival. This is the most effective means of controlling microbiological fouling. In addition, it eliminates the potential for water entrainment in the fuel oil during DG operation. Water may come from any of several sources, including condensation, ground water, rain water, and contaminated fuel oil, and from breakdown of the fuel oil by bacteria. Frequent checking for and removal of accumulated water minimizes fouling and provides data regarding the watertight integrity of the fuel oil system. The Surveillance Frequencies are established by Regulatory Guide 1.137 (Ref. 2). This SR is for preventive maintenance. The presence of water does not necessarily represent failure of this SR, provided the accumulated water is removed during performance of the Surveillance.
The Surveillance Fr Frequency Control	requency is controlled under the Surveillance Program.

#### No changes this page. For information only.

APPLICABILITY (continued)	The DC electrical power requirements for MODES 5 and 6 are addressed in the Bases for LCO 3.8.5, "DC Sources - Shutdown."

## ACTIONS

A.1

BASES

Condition A represents one train with a loss of ability to completely respond to an event, and a potential loss of ability to remain energized during normal operation. It is, therefore, imperative that the operator's attention focus on stabilizing the unit, minimizing the potential for complete loss of DC power to the affected train. The 2 hour limit is consistent with the allowed time for an inoperable DC distribution system train.

If one of the required DC electrical power subsystems is inoperable, the remaining DC electrical power subsystem has the capacity to support a safe shutdown and to mitigate an accident condition. Since a subsequent worst case single failure would, however, result in the complete loss of the remaining 125 VDC electrical power subsystems with attendant loss of ESF functions, continued power operation should not exceed 2 hours. The 2 hour Completion Time is based on Regulatory Guide 1.93 (Ref. 8) and reflects a reasonable time to assess unit status as a function of the inoperable DC electrical power subsystem and, if the DC electrical power subsystem is not restored to OPERABLE status, to prepare to effect an orderly and safe unit shutdown.

#### B.1 and B.2

If the inoperable DC electrical power subsystem cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within the required Completion Time, the unit must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 5 within 36 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems. The Completion Time to bring the unit to MODE 5 is consistent with the time required in Regulatory Guide 1.93 (Ref. 8).

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.8.4.1</u> REQUIREMENTS

Verifying battery terminal voltage while on float charge helps to ensure the effectiveness of the charging system and the ability of the batteries to perform their intended function. Float charge is the condition in which the

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.8.4.1 (continued)

charger is supplying the continuous charge required to overcome the internal losses of a battery (or battery cell) and maintain the battery (or a battery cell) in a fully charged state. The voltage requirements are based on the nominal design voltage of the battery and are consistent with the initial voltages assumed in the battery sizing calculations. The 7 day Frequency is consistent with IEEE-450 (Ref. 9). This SR applies only to those chargers connected to a battery bank and bus. (Ref. 12)

## <u>SR 3.8.4.2</u>

Visual inspection to detect corrosion of the battery cells and connections, or measurement of the resistance of each intercell, and terminal connection, provides an indication of physical damage or abnormal deterioration that could potentially degrade battery performance. The visual inspection is to detect corrosion in cell post connection area; corrosion outside the connection area is not an OPERABILITY concern and would not require measuring resistance.

The Surveillance Frequency for these inspections, which can detect conditions that can cause power losses due to resistance heating, is 92 days. This Frequency is considered acceptable based on operating experience related to detecting corrosion trends.

## <u>SR 3.8.4.3</u>

Visual inspection of the battery cells, cell plates, and battery racks provides an indication of physical damage or abnormal deterioration that could potentially degrade battery performance. The presence of physical damage or deterioration does not necessarily represent a failure of this SR, provided an evaluation determines that the physical damage or deterioration does not affect the OPERABILITY of the battery (its ability to perform its design function.)

the 18 month Frequency for this SR is based on operational experience.

## SR 3.8.4.4 and SR 3.8.4.5

Visual inspection and resistance measurements of connections provide an indication of physical damage or abnormal deterioration that could indicate degraded battery condition. The anticorrosion material is used

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

#### SURVEILLANCE SR 3.8.4.4 and SR 3.8.4.5 (continued)

REQUIREMENTS

to help ensure good electrical connections and to reduce terminal deterioration. The visual inspection for corrosion is not intended to require removal of and inspection under each terminal connection. The removal of visible corrosion is a preventive maintenance SR. The presence of visible corrosion does not necessarily represent a failure of this SR provided visible corrosion is removed during performance of SR 3.8.4.4.

The Surveillance Frequencies of 18 months are based on operational experience.

## SR 3.8.4.6

The Surveillance Frequencies are controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This SR requires that each battery charger be capable of supplying 300 amps and 128.4 V for  $\geq$  1 hour. These requirements are based on the design rating of the chargers (Ref. 4). According to Regulatory Guide 1.32 (Ref. 10), the battery charger supply is required to be based on the largest combined demands of the various steady state loads and the charging capacity to restore the battery from the design minimum charge state to the fully charged state, irrespective of the status of the unit during these demand occurrences. The minimum required amperes and duration ensures that these requirements can be satisfied.

The Surveillance Frequency is acceptable, given the unit conditions required to perform the test and the other administrative controls existing to ensure adequate charger performance during these 18 month intervals. In addition, this Frequency is intended to be consistent with expected fuel cycle lengths.

## SR 3.8.4.7

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

A battery service test is a special test of battery capability, as found, to satisfy the design requirements (battery duty cycle) of the DC electrical power system. The discharge rate and test length should correspond to the design duty cycle requirements as specified in Reference 4.

The Surveillance Frequency of 18 months is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.32 (Ref. 10) and Regulatory Guide 1.129 (Ref. 11), which state that the battery service test should be performed during refueling operations or at some other outage, with intervals between tests, not to exceed 18 months.

#### No changes this page. For information only.

# SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.8.4.7</u> (continued) REQUIREMENTS

This SR is modified by two Notes. Note 1 allows the performance of a modified performance discharge test in lieu of a service test.

The modified performance discharge test is a simulated duty cycle consisting of just two rates; the one minute rate published for the battery or the largest current load of the duty cycle, followed by the test rate employed for the performance test, both of which envelope the duty cycle of the service test. Since the ampere-hours removed by a rated one minute discharge represents a very small portion of the battery capacity, the test rate can be changed to that for the performance test without compromising the results of the performance discharge test. The battery terminal voltage for the modified performance discharge test should remain above the minimum battery terminal voltage specified in the battery service test for the duration of time equal to that of the service test.

A modified performance discharge test is a test of the battery capacity and its ability to provide a high rate, short duration load (usually the highest rate of the duty cycle). This will often confirm the battery's ability to meet the critical period of the load duty cycle, in addition to determining its percentage of rated capacity. Initial conditions for the modified performance discharge test should be identical to those specified for a service test.

The reason for Note 2 is that performing the Surveillance would perturb the electrical distribution system and challenge safety systems.

## <u>SR 3.8.4.8</u>

A battery performance discharge test is a test of constant current capacity of a battery, normally done in the as found condition, after having been in service, to detect any change in the capacity determined by the acceptance test. The test is intended to trend overall battery degradation due to age and usage.

A battery modified performance discharge test is described in the Bases for SR 3.8.4.7. Either the battery performance discharge test or the modified performance discharge test is acceptable for satisfying SR 3.8.4.8; however, only the modified performance discharge test may be used to satisfy SR 3.8.4.8 while satisfying the requirements of SR 3.8.4.7 at the same time.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.8.4.8 (continued)

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. The manufacturer recommends that the battery be replaced if its capacity is below 80% of the manufacturer's rating. A capacity of 80% shows that the battery rate of deterioration is increasing, even if there is ample capacity to meet the load requirements. However, based on discussions with the NRC associated with the AT&T round cell batteries (Reference 13), the SR specifies a battery capacity of 85%. If battery capacity is below 85% of the manufacturer's rating, the battery is to be replaced. The battery capacity is determined using the manufacturer's minimum lifetime rating. Adverse trends in the battery capacity identified during the performance of this SR are evaluated in accordance with the corrective action program.

The Surveillance Frequency for this test is normally 60 months. If the battery shows degradation, or if the battery has reached 85% of its expected life and capacity is < 100% of the manufacturer's rating, the Surveillance Frequency is reduced to 18 months. However, if the battery shows no degradation but has reached 85% of its expected life, the Surveillance Frequency is only reduced to 24 months for batteries that retain capacity  $\ge$  100% of the manufacturer's rating. Degradation is indicated, according to IEEE-450 (Ref. 9), when the battery capacity drops by more than 10% relative to its capacity on the previous performance test or when it is  $\ge$  10% below the manufacturer's rating.

This SR is modified by a Note. The reason for the Note is that performing the Surveillance would perturb the electrical distribution system and challenge safety systems.

#### REFERENCES 1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 17.

- 2. Regulatory Guide 1.6, March 10, 1971.
- 3. IEEE-308-1978.
- 4. USAR, Chapter 8.
- 5. IEEE-485-1983, June 1983.
- 6. USAR, Chapter 6.
- 7. USAR, Chapter 15.
- 8. Regulatory Guide 1.93, December 1974.

BASES	
-------	--

REFERENCES (continued)	9.	IEEE-450-1995.
	10.	Regulatory Guide 1.32, February 1977.
	11.	Regulatory Guide 1.129, February 1978.
	12.	NRC letter (J. Stone to O. Maynard) dated February 10, 1997: "Wolf Creek Generating Station - Amendment No. 104 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-42."
	13.	NRC Inspection Report 50-482/98-12, Paragraph e.16.

BASES
-------

ACTIONS

(continued)

## <u>B.1</u>

With one or more batteries with one or more battery cell parameters outside the Category C limit for any connected cell, sufficient capacity to supply the maximum expected load requirement is not assured and the corresponding DC electrical power subsystem must be declared inoperable. Additionally, other potentially extreme conditions, such as not completing the Required Actions of Condition A within the required Completion Time or average electrolyte temperature of representative cells falling below 60°F, are also cause for immediately declaring the associated DC electrical power subsystem inoperable. IEEE 450 suggests that representative cells be interpreted to mean every sixth cell.

## SURVEILLANCE <u>SR</u> REQUIREMENTS

## <u>SR 3.8.6.1</u>

This SR verifies that Category A battery cell parameters are consistent with IEEE-450 (Ref. 3), which recommends regular battery inspections (atleast one per month) including voltage, electrolyte level, temperature, level corrected specific gravity, and electrolyte temperature of pilot cells.

# <u>SR 3.8.6.2</u>

The quarterly inspection of battery cell parameters is consistent with IEEE-450 (Ref. 3). In addition, within 7 days of a battery discharge < 110 V or a battery overcharge > 150 V, the battery must be demonstrated to meet Category B limits. Transients, such as motor starting transients, which may momentarily cause battery voltage to drop to < 110 V, do not constitute a significant battery discharge provided the battery terminal voltage and float current return to pre-transient values. This inspection is also consistent with IEEE-450 (Ref. 3), which recommends special inspections following a severe discharge or overcharge, to ensure that no significant degradation of the battery occurs as a consequence of such discharge or overcharge.

## <u>SR 3.8.6.3</u>

This Surveillance verification that the average temperature of representative cells is  $\geq 60^{\circ}$ F, is consistent with a recommendation of IEEE-450 (Ref. 3), that states that the temperature of electrolytes in representative cells should be determined on a quarterly basis. IEEE 450 suggests that representative cells be interpreted to mean every sixth cell.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.8.6.3</u> (continued)
-(continued)-	Lower than normal temperatures act to inhibit or reduce battery capacity. This SR ensures that the operating temperatures remain within an acceptable operating range. This limit is based on manufacturer recommendations.

Table 3.8.6-1	The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

This table delineates the limits on battery cell parameters for three different categories. The meaning of each category is discussed below.

Category A defines the normal parameter limit for each designated pilot cell in each battery. The cells selected as pilot cells are those whose temperature, voltage, and electrolyte specific gravity approximate the condition of the entire battery.

The Category A limits specified for electrolyte level are based on manufacturer recommendations and are consistent with the guidance in IEEE-450 (Ref. 3), with the extra 1/4 inch allowance above the high level indication for operating margin to account for temperatures and charge effects. In addition to this allowance, footnote a to Table 3.8.6-1 permits the electrolyte level to be above the specified maximum level during equalizing charge, provided it is not overflowing. According to the manufacturer, the electrolyte level may remain high for up to two weeks following charging. These limits ensure that the plates suffer no physical damage, and that adequate electron transfer capability is maintained in the event of transient conditions. IEEE-450 (Ref. 3) recommends that electrolyte level readings should be made only after the battery has been at float charge for at least 72 hours.

The Category A limit specified for float voltage is  $\geq 2.14$  V per cell. This value is based on the recommendations of IEEE-450 (Ref. 3), which states that the float voltage value should be the manufacturer's recommended minimum cell voltage that will prevent reducing the life expectancy of the cells. The value of 2.14 V was obtained from the manufacturer for Category A and B.

The Category A limit specified for specific gravity for each pilot cell is  $\geq 1.200$  (0.015 below the manufacturer fully charged nominal specific gravity or a battery charging current that had stabilized at a low value).
BASES	
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>
	With a required inverter inoperable, its associated AC vital bus is inoperable until it is re-energized from its bypass constant voltage transformer or the bypass constant voltage transformer of the respective spare inverter. The bypass constant voltage transformers are powered from a Class 1E bus.
	For this reason a Note has been included in Condition A requiring the entry into the Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.8.9, "Distribution Systems - Operating," with any vital bus de-energized. This ensures that the vital bus is re-energized within 2 hours.
	Required Action A.1 allows 24 hours to fix the inoperable inverter or place the associated train spare inverter in service. The 24 hour limit is based upon engineering judgment, taking into consideration the time required to repair an inverter and the additional risk to which the unit is exposed because of the inverter inoperability. This has to be balanced against the risk of an immediate shutdown, along with the potential challenges to safety systems such a shutdown might entail. When the AC vital bus is powered from its bypass constant voltage transformer, it is relying upon interruptible AC electrical power sources (offsite and onsite). The uninterruptible inverter source to the AC vital buses is the preferred source for powering instrumentation trip setpoint devices.
	B.1 and B.2
	If the inoperable devices or components cannot be restored to OPERABLE status within the required Completion Time, the unit must be brought to a MODE in which the LCO does not apply. To achieve this status, the unit must be brought to at least MODE 3 within 6 hours and to MODE 5 within 36 hours. The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required unit conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.8.7.1</u> The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. This Surveillance verifies that the inverters are functioning properly with all required circuit breakers closed and AC vital buses energized from the inverter. The verification of proper voltage output ensures that the required power is readily available for the instrumentation of the RPS and ESFAS connected to the AC vital buses. The 7 day Frequency takes into account the redundant capability of the inverters and other indications available in the control room that alert the operator to inverter malfunctions.

BASES	
ACTIONS	A.1, A.2.1, A.2.2, A.2.3, and A.2.4 (continued)
	margin to maintaining subcritical operation. Introduction of temperature changes, including temperature increases when operating with a positive MTC, must also be evaluated to ensure they do not result in a loss of required SDM.
	Suspension of these activities shall not preclude completion of actions to establish a safe conservative condition. These actions minimize the probability of the occurrence of postulated events. It is further required to immediately initiate action to restore the required inverters and to continue this action until restoration is accomplished in order to provide the necessary inverter power to the unit safety systems.
	The Completion Time of immediately is consistent with the required times for actions requiring prompt attention. The restoration of the required inverters should be completed as quickly as possible in order to minimize the time the unit safety systems may be without power or powered from a bypass constant voltage transformer.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>SR 3.8.8.1</u> This Surveillance verifies that the inverters are functioning properly with all required circuit breakers closed and AC vital buses energized from the inverter. The verification of proper voltage output ensures that the required power is readily available for the instrumentation connected to the AC vital buses. The 7 day Frequency takes into account the redundant capability of the inverters and other indications available in the control room that alert the operator to inverter malfunctions.
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Chapter 6. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.
	2. USAR, Chapter 15.

BASES	
ACTIONS	<u>F.1</u>
(continued)	With two trains with inoperable distribution subsystems that result in a loss of safety function, adequate core cooling, containment OPERABILITY and other vital functions for DBA mitigation would be compromised, and immediate plant shutdown in accordance with LCO 3.0.3 is required.
	<u>SR 3.8.9.1</u>
	This Surveillance verifies that the AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution systems are functioning properly, with the correct circuit breaker alignment. The correct breaker alignment ensures the appropriate separation and independence of the electrical divisions is maintained, and the appropriate voltage is available to each required bus. The verification of proper voltage availability on the buses ensures that the required voltage is readily available for motive as well as control functions for critical system loads connected to these buses. The 7 day Frequency takes into account the redundant capability of the AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems, and other indications available in the control room that alert the operator to subsystem malfunctions.
REFERENCES	1. USAR, Chapter 6. The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.
	2. USAR, Chapter 15.
	3. Regulatory Guide 1.93, December 1974.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR This elec the l buse well buse elec the	3.8.10.1 Surveillance verifies that trical power distribution s buses energized. The verifies es ensures that the requiries as control functions for es. The 7 day Frequence strical power distribution of control room that alert th	at the required AC, DC, and AC vital bus subsystems are functioning properly, with all erification of proper voltage availability on the ired power is readily available for motive as critical system loads connected to these y takes into account the capability of the subsystems, and other indications available in e operator to subsystem malfunctions.
REFERENCES	1.	USAR, Chapter 6.	The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the
	2.	USAR, Chapter 15.	Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

BASES	
ACTIONS	<u>A.3</u> (continued)
	Once actions have been initiated, they must be continued until the boron concentration is restored. The restoration time depends on the amount of boron that must be injected to reach the required concentration.
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.9.1.1The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.This SR ensures that the coolant boron concentration in the filled portions of the RCS and the refueling canal, that have direct access to the reactor vessel, is within the COLR limits. The boron concentration of the coolant 
REFERENCES	1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 26.

BASES	
SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	R 3.9.2.1 (continued) The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. R 3.9.1.1. This Surveillance demonstrates that the valves are closed rough a system walkdown (which may include the use of local or emote indicators). The 31 day Frequency is based on engineering dgment and is considered reasonable in view of other administrative portrols that will ensure that the valve opening is an unlikely possibility.
REFERENCES	USAR, Section 15.4.6. NUREG-0800, Section 15.4.6.

BASES			
ACTIONS	<u>B.1</u>		
(continued)	With no source range neutron flux monitor OPERABLE action to restore a monitor to OPERABLE status shall be initiated immediately. Once initiated, action shall be continued until a source range neutron flux monitor is restored to OPERABLE status.		
	<u>B.2</u>		
	With no source range neutron flux monitor OPERABLE, there are no direct means of detecting changes in core reactivity. However, since CORE ALTERATIONS and boron concentration changes inconsistent with Required Action A.2 are not to be made, the core reactivity condition is stabilized until the source range neutron flux monitors are OPERABLE. This stabilized condition is determined by performing SR 3.9.1.1 to ensure that the required boron concentration exists.		
	The Completion Time of once per 12 hours is sufficient to obtain and analyze a reactor coolant sample for boron concentration and ensures that unplanned changes in boron concentration would be identified. The 12 hour Frequency is reasonable, considering the low probability of a change in core reactivity during this time period.		
SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.9.3.1</u>		
REQUIREMENTS	SR 3.9.3.1 is the performance of a CHANNEL CHECK, which is a comparison of the parameter indicated on one channel to a similar parameter on other channels. It is based on the assumption that the two indication channels should be consistent with core conditions. Changes in fuel loading and core geometry can result in significant differences between source range channels, but each channel should be consistent with its local conditions.		
	The Frequency of 12 hours is consistent with the CHANNEL CHECK Frequency specified similarly for the same instruments in LCO 3.3.1.		
	SR 3.9.3.2       Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.		
	SR 3.9.3.2 is the performance of a CHANNEL CALIBRATION every- 18 months. This SR is modified by a Note stating that neutron detectors are excluded from the CHANNEL CALIBRATION. The source range neutron detectors are maintained based on manufacturer's		

BASES		
TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	SR 3 recor perfe outag	5.9.3.2 (continued) The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. mmendations. The 18 month Frequency is based on the need to orm this Surveillance under the conditions that apply during a plant ge. Operating experience has shown these components usually the Surveillance when performed at the 18 month Frequency.
REFERENCES	1. 2.	10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 13, GDC 26, GDC 28, and GDC 29. NRC letter (J. Stone to O. Maynard) dated October 3, 1997: "Wolf Creek Generating Station - Technical Specification Bases
		Change, Source Range Nuclear Instruments Power Supply Requirements."
	3.	Engineering Disposition for WO 11-339015-002, "Changes to TRM 3.3.15," March 21, 2011.
	4.	PIR 2004-1625, "Gamma-Metrics Detectors for Core Alterations," October 5, 2005.

BASES	
ACTIONS	A.1 and A.2 (continued)
	including the containment purge isolation valve not capable of automatic actuation, the unit must be placed in a condition where the isolation function is not needed. This is accomplished by immediately suspending CORE ALTERATIONS and movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment. Performance of these actions shall not preclude completion of movement of a component to a safe position.
	<u>SR 3.9.4.1</u>
REGUIREMENTS	This Surveillance demonstrates that each of the containment penetrations required to be in its closed position is in that position. For the open purge isolation valves, this Surveillance will ensure that each valve is not blocked from closing and each valve operator has motive power by demonstrating that each valve actuates to its isolation position. Containment penetrations that are open under administrative controls are not required to meet the SR during the time the penetrations are open.
	The Surveillance is performed every 7 days during CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment. The Surveillance interval is selected to be commensurate with the normal duration of time to complete fuel handling operations. A surveillance before the start of refueling operations will provide sufficient surveillance verification during the applicable period for this LCO. As such, this Surveillance ensures that a postulated fuel handling accident that releases fission product radioactivity within the containment will not result in a release of fission product radioactivity to the outside atmosphere.
	SR 3.9.4.2 The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.
	This Surveillance demonstrates that the necessary hardware, tools, and equipment are available to install the equipment hatch. The equipment hatch is provided with a set of hardware, tools, and equipment for moving the hatch from its storage location and installing it in the opening. The required set of hardware, tools, and equipment shall be inspected to ensure that they can perform the required functions.
	The Surveillance is performed every 7 days during CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within the containment. The Surveillance interval is selected to be commensurate

	<u>SR 3</u>	.9.4.2 (continued)
REQUIREMENTS	with t opera that t equip to ins consi the e	the normal duration of time to complete the fuel handling ations. The Surveillance is modified by a Note which only requires he Surveillance be met for an open equipment hatch. If the oment hatch is installed in its opening, the availability of the means stall the hatch is not required. The 7 day Frequency is adequate idering that the hardware, tools, and equipment are dedicated to quipment hatch and not used for any other function.
	SR 3 This valve actua main valve Isolat and a refue perfo valve Thes after produ	<u>.9.4.3</u> Surveillance demonstrates that each containment purge isolation actuates to its isolation position on manual initiation or on an al or simulated high radiation signal. The 18 month Frequency tains consistency with other similar ESFAS instrumentation and testing requirements. In LCO 3.3.6, the Containment Purge tion instrumentation requires a CHANNEL CHECK every 12 hours a COT every 92 days to ensure the channel OPERABILITY during ling operations. Every 18 months a CHANNEL CALIBRATION is rmed. SR 3.6.3.5 demonstrates that the isolation time of each is in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program requirements. e Surveillances will ensure that the valves are capable of closing a postulated fuel handling accident to limit a release of fission uct radioactivity from the containment.
REFERENCES	1.	Amendment No. 74 to Wolf Creek Generating Station Operating License NPF-42, dated July 7, 1994.
	2.	USAR, Section 15.7.4.
	3.	NUREG-0800, Section 15.7.4, Rev. 1, July 1981.
	4.	Amendment No. 95 to Wolf Creek Generating Station Operating License NPF-42, dated February 28, 1996.
	5.	Configuration Change Package 7784.
	6.	Amendment No. 135 to Wolf Creek Generating Station Operating License NPF-42, dated September 12, 2000.

### ACTIONS <u>A.4</u> (continued)

The Completion Time of 4 hours is reasonable, based on the low probability of the coolant boiling in that time.

#### SURVEILLANCE <u>SR 3.9.5.1</u> REQUIREMENTS

This Surveillance demonstrates that the RHR loop is in operation and circulating reactor coolant. The flow rate is determined by the flow rate necessary to provide sufficient decay heat removal capability and to prevent thermal and boron stratification in the core. The Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient, considering the flow, temperature, pump control, and alarm indications available to the operator in the control room for monitoring the RHR System.

### <u>SR 3.9.5.2</u>

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

RHR System piping and components have the potential to develop voids and pockets of entrained gases. Preventing and managing gas intrusion and accumulation is necessary for proper operation of the RHR loops and may also prevent water hammer, pump cavitation, and pumping of noncondensible gas into the reactor vessel.

Selection of RHR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation is based on a review of system design information, including piping and instrumentation drawings, isometric drawings, plan and elevation drawings, and calculations. The design review is supplemented by system walk downs to validate the system high points and to confirm the location and orientation of important components that can become sources of gas or could otherwise cause gas to be trapped or difficult to remove during system maintenance or restoration. Susceptible locations depend on plant and system configuration, such as stand-by versus operating conditions.

The RHR System is OPERABLE when it is sufficiently filled with water. Acceptance criteria are established for the volume of accumulated gas at susceptible locations. If accumulated gas is discovered that exceeds the acceptance criteria for the susceptible location (or the volume of accumulated gas at one or more susceptible locations exceeds an acceptance criteria for gas volume at the suction or discharge of a pump), the Surveillance is not met. If the accumulated gas is eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits during performance of the Surveillance, the Surveillance is met and past system OPERABILITY is evaluated under the Corrective Action Program. If it is determined by.

RHR System is not rendered inoperable by

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT	SURVEILLANCE	<u>SR 3.9.5.2</u> (continued)
		subsequent evaluation that the RHR System is not rendered inoperable I the accumulated gas (i.e., the system is sufficiently filled with water), the Surveillance may be declared met. Accumulated gas should be eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits.
		RHR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation are monitored and, if gas is found, the gas volume is compared to the acceptance criteria for the location. Susceptible locations in the same system flow path which are subject to the same gas intrusion mechanisms may be verified by monitoring a representative sub-set of susceptible locations. Monitoring may not be practical for locations that are inaccessible due to radiological or environmental conditions, the plant configuration, or

tible to gas accumulation are monitored lume is compared to the acceptance ptible locations in the same system flow ame gas intrusion mechanisms may be entative sub-set of susceptible locations. I for locations that are inaccessible due to radiological or environmental conditions, the plant configuration, or personnel safety. For these locations alternative methods (e.g., operating parameters, remote monitoring) may be used to monitor the susceptible location. Monitoring is not required for susceptible locations where the maximum potential accumulated gas void volume has been evaluated and determined to not challenge system OPERABILITY. The accuracy of the method used for monitoring the susceptible locations and trending of the results should be sufficient to assure system OPERABILITY during the Surveillance interval.

the 31 day Frequency takes into consideration the gradual nature of gas accumulation in the RHR System piping and the procedural controls governing system operation.

REFERENCES 1. USAR, Section 5.4.7.

> 2. SAP-06-113, "Loss of RHR Analysis with the Refuel Cavity Flooded and Upper Internals Installed," November 16, 2006.

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance

Frequency Control Program.

BASES

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.9.6.1

This Surveillance demonstrates that one RHR loop is in operation and circulating reactor coolant. The flow rate is determined by the flow rate necessary to provide sufficient decay heat removal capability and to prevent thermal and boron stratification in the core. The Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient, considering the flow, temperature, pump control and alarm indications available to the operator for monitoring the RHR System in the control room.

## SR 3.9.6.2

The Surveillance Frequency is controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

Verification that the required pump is OPERABLE ensures that an additional RHR pump can be placed in operation, if needed, to maintain decay heat removal and reactor coolant circulation. Verification is performed by verifying proper breaker alignment and power available to the required pump. The Frequency of 7 days is considered reasonable in view of other administrative controls available and has been shown to be acceptable by operating experience.

### SR 3.9.6.3

RHR System piping and components have the potential to develop voids and pockets of entrained gases. Preventing and managing gas intrusion and accumulation is necessary for proper operation of the RHR loops and may also prevent water hammer, pump cavitation, and pumping of noncondensible gas into the reactor vessel.

Selection of RHR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation is based on a review of system design information, including piping and instrumentation drawings, isometric drawings, plan and elevation drawings, and calculations. The design review is supplemented by system walk downs to validate the system high points and to confirm the location and orientation of important components that can become sources of gas or could otherwise cause gas to be trapped or difficult to remove during system maintenance or restoration. Susceptible locations depend on plant and system configuration, such as stand-by versus operating conditions.

#### SURVEILLANCE SR 3.9.6.3 (continued) REQUIREMENTS The RHR System is OPERABLE when it is sufficiently filled with water. Acceptance criteria are established for the volume of accumulated gas at susceptible locations. If accumulated gas is discovered that exceeds the acceptance criteria for the susceptible location (or the volume of accumulated gas at one or more susceptible locations exceeds an acceptance criteria for gas volume at the suction or discharge of a pump), the Surveillance is not met. If the accumulated gas is eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits during performance of the Surveillance, the Surveillance is met and past system OPERABILITY is evaluated under the Corrective Action Program. If it is determined by subsequent evaluation that the RHR System is not rendered inoperable by the accumulated gas (i.e., the system is sufficiently filled with water), the Surveillance may be declared met. Accumulated gas should be eliminated or brought within the acceptance criteria limits. RHR System locations susceptible to gas accumulation are monitored and, if gas is found, the gas volume is compared to the acceptance criteria for the location. Susceptible locations in the same system flow path which are subject to the same gas intrusion mechanisms may be verified by monitoring a representative sub-set of susceptible locations. Monitoring may not be practical for locations that are inaccessible due to radiological or environmental conditions, the plant configuration, or personnel safety. For these locations alternative methods (e.g., operating parameters, remote monitoring) may be used to monitor the susceptible location. Monitoring is not required for susceptible locations where the maximum potential accumulated gas void volume has been evaluated and The Surveillance Frequency is determined to not challenge system OPERABILITY. The accuracy of the controlled under the Surveillance Frequency Control Program. method used for monitoring the susceptible locations and trending of the results should be sufficient to assure system OPERABILITY during the Surveillance interval. The 31 day Frequency takes into consideration the gradual nature of gas

The 31 day Frequency takes into consideration the gradual nature of gas accumulation in the RHR System piping and the procedural controls governing system operation.

- 1. USAR, Section 5.4.7.
- 2. Generic Letter No. 88-17, "Loss of Decay Heat Removal."

DAGES			
LCO	A minimum refueling pool water level of 23 ft above the reactor vessel flange is required to ensure that the radiological consequences of a postulated fuel handling accident inside containment are within acceptable limits, as provided by the guidance of Reference 1.		
APPLICABILITY	LCO 3.9.7 is applicable when moving irradiated fuel assemblies within containment. The LCO minimizes the possibility of a fuel handling accident in containment that is beyond the assumptions of the safety analysis. If irradiated fuel assemblies are not present in containment, there can be no significant radioactivity release as a result of a postulated fuel handling accident. Requirements for fuel handling accidents in the spent fuel pool are covered by LCO 3.7.15, "Fuel Storage Pool Water Level."		
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>		
	With a water level of < 23 ft above the top of the reactor vessel flange, movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within the containment shall be suspended immediately to ensure that a fuel handling accident cannot occur.		
	The suspension of fuel movement shall not preclude completion of movement of a component to a safe position.		
	<u>SR 3.9.7.1</u>		
REQUIREMENTS	Verification of a minimum water level of 23 ft above the top of the reactor vessel flange ensures that the design basis for the analysis of the postulated fuel handling accident during refueling operations is met. Water at the required level above the top of the reactor vessel flange limits the consequences of damaged fuel rods that are postulated to result from a fuel handling accident inside containment (Ref. 2).		
	The Frequency of 24 hours is based on engineering judgment and is considered adequate in view of the large volume of water and the normal procedural controls of valve positions, which make significant-unplanned level changes unlikely.		
	unplanned level changes unlikely.		

Attachment VI to ET 20-0004 Page 1 of 3

## ATTACHMENT VI

## PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION

## PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATIONS

### Description of Amendment Request:

The change requests the adoption of an approved change to the standard technical specifications (STS) for Westinghouse Plants (NUREG-1431), to allow relocation of specific Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS) Technical Specification (TS) surveillance frequencies to a licenseecontrolled program. The proposed change is described in Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) Traveler, TSTF-425, Revision 3 (Rev. 3) (ADAMS Accession No. ML090850642) related to the Relocation of Surveillance Frequencies to Licensee Control – Risk Informed TSTF (RITSTF) Initiative 5b, and was described in the Notice of Availability published in the Federal Register on July 6, 2009 (74 FR 31996).

The proposed changes are consistent with NRC-approved Industry/Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) Traveler, TSTF-425, Rev. 3, "Relocate Surveillance Frequencies to Licensee Control – RITSTF Initiative 5b." The proposed change relocates surveillance frequencies to a licensee-controlled program, the Surveillance Frequency Control Program (SFCP). This change is applicable to licensees using probabilistic risk guidelines contained in NRC-approved Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 04-10, "Risk- Informed Technical Specifications Initiative 5b, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies," (ADAMS Accession No. ML071360456).

### Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration:

1. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

### Response: No

The proposed change relocates the specified frequencies for periodic surveillance requirements (SRs) to licensee control under a new Surveillance Frequency Control Program (SFPC). Surveillance frequencies are not an initiator to any accident previously evaluated. As a result, the probability of any accident previously evaluated is not significantly increased. The systems and components required by the technical specifications (TSs) for which the surveillance frequencies are relocated are still required to be operable, meet the acceptance criteria for the SRs, and be capable of performing any mitigation function assumed in the accident analysis. As a result, the consequences of any accident previously evaluated are not significantly increased.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

### Response: No

No new or different accidents result from utilizing the proposed change. The changes do not involve a physical alteration of the plant (i.e., no new or different type of equipment will be installed) or a change in the methods governing normal plant operation. In addition, the changes do not impose any new or different requirements. The changes do

not alter assumptions made in the safety analysis. The proposed changes are consistent with the safety analysis assumptions and current plant operating practice.

Therefore, the proposed change will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

### Response: No

The design, operation, testing methods, and acceptance criteria for systems, structures, and components (SSCs), specified in applicable codes and standards (or alternatives approved for use by the NRC) will continue to be met as described in the plant licensing basis (including the final safety analysis report and bases to TS), since these are not affected by changes to the surveillance frequencies. Similarly, there is no impact to safety analysis acceptance criteria as described in the plant licensing basis. To evaluate a change in the relocated surveillance frequency, WCNOC will perform a probabilistic risk evaluation using the guidance contained in NRC approved NEI 04-10, Rev. 1 in accordance with the TS SFCP. NEI 04-10, Rev. 1, methodology provides reasonable acceptance guidelines and methods for evaluating the risk increase of proposed changes to surveillance frequencies consistent with Regulatory Guide 1.177.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Based upon the reasoning presented above, WCNOC concludes that the requested change does not involve a significant hazards consideration as set forth in 10 CFR 50.92(c), "Issuance of amendment."

Attachment VII

WCGS TS Surveillance Requirements (SR) to NUREG-1431 SR Cross Reference

## Attachment VII to ET 20-0004 Page 2 of 41

Legend:

Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS) Surveillance Requirement (SR) Frequency Identified for Relocation Not Included in TSTF-425 – Grey Row

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
Section 1.0, Defi	initions				
Definitions	Definitions	STAGGERED TEST BASIS	N/A	Yes	Yes
Section 3.1, Rea	ctivity Control Sys	stems			
3.1.1.1	3.1.1.1	Verify SDM [Shutdown Margin] to be within limit.	24 hours	Yes	Yes
3.1.2.1	3.1.2.1	Verify measured core reactivity is within $\pm 1\%$ $\Delta k/k$ of predicted values.	Once prior to entering MODE 1 after each refueling <u>AND</u> 31 EFPD thereafter	Yes (31 EFPD Frequency only)	Yes (31 EFPD Frequency only)
3.1.4.1	3.1.4.1	Verify individual rod positions within alignment limit.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.1.4.2	3.1.4.2	Verify rod freedom of movement (trippability) by moving each rod not fully inserted in the core ≥ 10 steps in either direction.	92 days	Yes	Yes
3.1.5.1	3.1.5.1	Verify each shutdown bank is within the limits specified in the COLR.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.1.6.2	3.1.6.2	Verify each control bank insertion is within the limits specified in the COLR.	12 hours	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request		
3.1.6.3	3.1.6.3	Verify sequence and overlap limits specified in the COLR are met for control banks not fully withdrawn from the core.	12 hours	Yes	Yes		
3.1.8.2	3.1.8.2	Verify the RCS lowest operating loop average temperature is ≥ 541°F.	30 minutes	Yes	Yes		
3.1.8.3	3.1.8.3	Verify THERMAL POWER is ≤ 5% RTP.	1 hour	Yes	Yes		
3.1.8.4	3.1.8.4	Verify SDM is within limits provided in the COLR.	24 hours	Yes	Yes		
3.1.9.1	N/A	Verify RCS boron concentration is greater than the ARO critical boron concentration.	24 hours	No	Yes		
Section 3.2, Power Distribution Limits							

## Attachment VII to ET 20-0004 Page 4 of 41

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.2.1.1	[3.2.1B.] 3.2.1.1	Verify $F_Q^c(Z)$ is within limit.	Once after each refueling prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP <u>AND</u> Once within 24 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions after exceeding by $\geq$ 10% RTP, the THERMAL POWER at which FQ <sup>C</sup> (Z) was last verified <u>AND</u> 31 EFPD thereafter	Yes (31 EFPD Frequency only)	Yes (31 EFPD Frequency only)

# Attachment VII to ET 20-0004 Page 5 of 41

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.2.1.2	[3.2.1B.] 3.2.1.2	Verify $F_Q^W(Z)$ is within limit.	Once after each refueling prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP <u>AND</u> Once within 24 hours after achieving equilibrium conditions after exceeding by ≥ 10% RTP, the THERMAL POWER at which FQ <sup>W</sup> (Z) was last verified <u>AND</u> 31 EFPD thereafter	Yes (31 EFPD Frequency only)	Yes (31 EFPD Frequency only)
3.2.2.1	3.2.2.1	Verify F <u>N</u> <sub>H</sub> is within limits specified in the COLR.	Once after each refueling prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP <u>AND</u> 31 EFPD thereafter	Yes (31 EFPD Frequency only)	Yes (31 EFPD Frequency only)

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.2.3.1	[3.2.3B.] 3.2.3.1	Verify AFD within limits for each OPERABLE excore channel.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.2.4.1	3.2.4.1	Verify QPTR is within limit by calculation.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.2.4.2	3.2.4.2	Verify QPTR is within limit using core power distribution measurement information.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
Section 3.3, Inst	rumentation				
3.3.1.1	3.3.1.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.2	3.3.1.2	Compare results of calorimetric heat balance calculation to power range channel output. Adjust power range channel output if calorimetric heat balance calculation results exceed power range channel output by more than + 2% RTP.	24 hours	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.3	3.3.1.3	Compare results of the core power distribution measurements to Nuclear Instrumentation System (NIS) AFD. Adjust NIS channel if absolute difference is ≥ 3%.	31 EFPD	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.4	3.3.1.4	Perform TADOT.	62 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.5	3.3.1.5	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST	92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.6	3.3.1.6	Calibrate excore channels to agree with core power distribution measurements.	92 EFPD	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.7	3.3.1.7	Perform COT.	184 days	Yes	Yes

# Attachment VII to ET 20-0004 Page 7 of 41

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.3.1.8	3.3.1.8	Perform COT.	<ul> <li>NOTE Only required when not performed within previous 184 days</li> <li> Prior to reactor startup <u>AND</u></li> <li>Twelve hours after reducing power below P-10 for power and intermediate range instrumentation <u>AND</u></li> <li>Four hours after reducing power below P-6 for source range instrumentation <u>AND</u></li> <li>Every 184 days thereafter</li> </ul>	Yes (NOTE and every 184 days thereafter)	Yes (NOTE and every 184 days thereafter)
3.3.1.9	3.3.1.9	Perform TADOT.	92 days	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.10	3.3.1.10	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.11	3.3.1.11	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.12	3.3.1.12	Not used.	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.3.1.13	3.3.1.13	Perform COT.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.14	3.3.1.14	Perform TADOT.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.1.16	3.3.1.16	Verify RTS RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.1	3.3.2.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.2	3.3.2.2	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.3	3.3.2.3	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.4	3.3.2.4	Perform MASTER RELAY TEST.	92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.5	3.3.2.5	Perform COT.	184 days	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.6	3.3.2.6	Perform SLAVE RELAY TEST.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.7	3.3.2.7	Perform TADOT.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.8	3.3.2.8	Perform TADOT.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.9	3.3.2.9	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.10	3.3.2.10	Verify ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
3.3.2.11	3.3.2.11	Perform TADOT.	18 months	No	Yes
3.3.2.12	N/A	Perform COT.	31 days	No	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.3.3.1	3.3.3.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK for each required instrumentation channel that is normally energized.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.3.3.2	3.3.3.2	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.4.1	3.3.4.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK for each required instrumentation channel that is normally energized.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.3.4.2	3.3.4.2	Verify each required auxiliary shutdown control circuit and transfer switch is capable of performing the intended function.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.4.3	3.3.4.3	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION for each required instrumentation channel.	18 months	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.3.4.4	[Perform TADOT of the reactor trip breaker open/closed indication.]	N/A	Yes	No
3.3.5.1	3.3.5.1	Not used.	N/A	Yes	No
3.3.5.2	3.3.5.2	Perform TADOT.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.3.5.3	3.3.5.3	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION with Nominal Trip Setpoint and Allowable Value	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.5.4	N/A	Verify LOP DG Start ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	No	Yes
3.3.6.1	3.3.6.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.3.6.2	3.3.6.2	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	31 day on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.3.6.3	Perform MASTER RELAY TEST.	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
N/A	3.3.6.4	[Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.]	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.3.6.5	[Perform MASTER RELAY TEST.]	N/A	Yes	No
3.3.6.3	3.3.6.6	Perform COT.	92 days	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.3.6.7	Perform SLAVE RELAY TEST.	N/A	Yes	No
3.3.6.4	3.3.6.8	Perform TADOT.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.6.5	3.3.6.9	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.6.6	N/A	Verify Containment Purge Isolation ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	No	Yes
3.3.7.1	3.3.7.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.3.7.2	3.3.7.2	Perform COT.	92 days	Yes	Yes
3.3.7.3	3.3.7.3	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	31 day on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.3.7.4	Perform MASTER RELAY TEST.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.3.7.5	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.3.7.6	Perform MASTER RELAY TEST.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.3.7.7	Perform SLAVE RELAY TEST.	N/A	Yes	No
3.3.7.4	3.3.7.8	Perform TADOT.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.7.5	3.3.7.9	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.7.6	N/A	Verify Control Room Ventilation Isolation ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limits.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	No	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.3.8.1	3.3.8.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.3.8.2	3.3.8.2	Perform COT.	92 days	Yes	Yes
3.3.8.3	3.3.8.3	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
3.3.8.4	3.3.8.4	Perform TADOT.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.3.8.5	3.3.8.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.3.9.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.3.9.2	Perform COT.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.3.9.3	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	N/A	Yes	No
Section 3.4, Rea	ctor Coolant Syste	em (RCS)			
3.4.1.1	3.4.1.1	Verify pressurizer pressure is greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.1.2	3.4.1.2	Verify RCS average temperature is less than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.1.3	3.4.1.3	Verify RCS total flow rate is $\geq$ 361,200 gpm and greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.1.4	3.4.1.4	Verify by precision heat balance that RCS total flow rate is $\geq$ 361,200 gpm and greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.4.2.1	3.4.2.1	Verify RCS $T_{avg}$ is each operating loop $\ge 551^{\circ}F$ .	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.3.1	3.4.3.1	Verify RCS pressure, RCS temperature, and RCS heatup and cooldown rates are within the limits specified in the PTLR.	30 minutes	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.4.4.1	3.4.4.1	Verify each RCS loop is in operation.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.5.1	3.4.5.1	Verify required RCS loops are in operation.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.5.2	3.4.5.2	Verify steam generator secondary side narrow range water levels are ≥ 6% for required RCS loops.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.5.3	3.4.5.3	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required pump that is not in operation.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.4.6.1	3.4.6.1	Verify one RHR or RCS loop is in operation.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.6.2	3.4.6.2	Verify SG secondary side narrow range water levels are $\ge 6\%$ for required RCS loops.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.6.3	3.4.6.3	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required pump that is not in operation.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.4.6.4	N/A	Verify required RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	31 days	No	Yes
3.4.7.1	3.4.7.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.7.2	3.4.7.2	Verify SG secondary side wide range water level is $\geq$ 66% in required SGs.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.7.3	3.4.7.3	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.4.7.4	N/A	Verify required RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	31 days	No	Yes
3.4.8.1	3.4.8.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation.	12 hours	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.4.8.2	3.4.8.2	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.4.8.3	N/A	Verify RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	31 days	No	Yes
3.4.9.1	3.4.9.1	Verify pressurizer water level is ≤ 92%.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.9.2	3.4.9.2	Verify capacity of each required group of pressurizer heaters is $\ge$ 150 kW.	18 months	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.4.9.3	[Verify required pressurizer heaters are capable of being powered from an emergency power source.]	N/A	Yes	No
3.4.11.1	3.4.11.1	Perform a complete cycle of each block valve.	92 days	Yes	Yes
3.4.11.2	3.4.11.2	Perform a complete cycle of each PORV.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program	Yes	No
N/A	3.4.11.3	[Perform a complete cycle of each solenoid air control valve and check valve on the air accumulators in PORV control systems.]	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.4.11.4	[Verify PORVs and block valves are capable of being powered from emergency power sources.	N/A	Yes	No
3.4.12.1	3.4.12.1	Verify a maximum of zero safety injection pumps are capable of injecting into the RCS.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.12.2	3.4.12.2	Verify a maximum of one ECCS centrifugal charging pump and the normal charging pump capable of injecting into the RCS.	12 hours	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.4.12.3	3.4.12.3	Verify each accumulator is isolated when accumulator pressure is greater than or equal to the maximum RCS pressure for the existing RCS cold leg temperature allowed by the P/T limit curves provided in the PTLR.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.12.4	3.4.12.4	Verify RHR suction isolation valves are open for each required RHR suction relief valve.	72 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.12.5	3.4.12.5	Verify required RCS vent ≥ 2.0 square inches open.	12 hours for vent pathway(s) not locked, sealed or otherwise secured in the open position <u>AND</u> 31 days for vent valve(s) locked, sealed or otherwise secured in the open position	Yes	Yes
3.4.12.6	3.4.12.6	Verify PORV block valve is open for each required PORV.	72 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.12.7	3.4.12.7	Not used.	N/A	Yes	No
3.4.12.8	3.4.12.8	Perform a COT on each required PORV, excluding actuation.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.4.12.9	3.4.12.9	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION for each required PORV actuation channel.	18 months	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.4.13.1	3.4.13.1	Verify RCS operational LEAKAGE is within limits by performance of RCS water inventory balance.	72 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.13.2	3.4.13.2	Verify primary to secondary LEAKAGE is ≤ 150 gallons per day through any one SG.	72 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.14.1	3.4.14.1	Verify leakage from each RCS PIV is equivalent to ≤ 0.5 gpm per nominal inch of valve size up to a maximum of 5 gpm at an RCX pressure ≥ 2215 psig and ≤ 2255 psig.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program, and 18 months <u>AND</u> Prior to entering MODE 2 whenever the unit has been in MODE 5 for 7 days or more, and if leakage testing has not been performed in the previous 9 months <u>AND</u> Within 24 hours following check valve actuation due to flow through the valve	Yes (In accordance with IST Program and 18 months only)	Yes (In accordance with IST Program and 18 months only)
3.4.14.2	3.4.14.2	Verify RHR suction isolation valve interlock prevents the valves from being opened with a simulated or actual RCS pressure signal $\geq$ 425 psig except when the valves are open to satisfy LCO 3.4.12.	18 months	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
N/A	3.4.14.3	Verify RHR System autoclosure interlock causes the valves to close automatically with a simulated or actual RCS pressure signal $\geq$ [600] psig.	N/A	Yes	No
3.4.15.1	3.4.15.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.4.15.2	3.4.15.2	Perform COT of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor.	92 days	Yes	Yes
3.4.15.3	3.4.15.3	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment sump level and flow monitoring system.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.4.15.4	3.4.15.4	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment atmosphere particulate radioactivity monitor.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.4.15.5	3.4.15.5	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment cooler condensate monitoring system.	18 months	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.4.16.1	Verify reactor coolant gross specific activity ≤ 100/Ē µCi/gm.	N/A	Yes	No
3.4.16.1	N/A	Verify reactor coolant DOSE EQUIVALENT XE- 133 specific activity ≤ 500 µCi/gm.	7 days	No	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request		
3.4.16.2	3.4.16.2	Verify reactor coolant DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 specific activity ≤ 1.0 µCi/gm.	14 days <u>AND</u> Between 2 and 6 hours after a THERMAL POWER change of ≥ 15% RTP within a 1 hour period	Yes (14 days only)	Yes (14 days only)		
N/A	3.4.16.3	Determine $\overline{E}$ from a sample taken in MODE 1 after a minimum of 2 effective full power days and 20 days of MODE 1 operation have elapsed since the reactor was last subcritical for $\ge$ 48 hours.	N/A	Yes	No		
N/A	3.4.17.1	Verify each RCS loop isolation valve is open and power is removed from each loop isolation valve operator.	N/A	Yes	No		
N/A	3.4.19.1	Verify THERMAL POWER < P-7.	N/A	Yes	No		
Section 3.5, Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS)							
3.5.1.1	3.5.1.1	Verify each accumulator isolation valve is fully open.	12 hours	Yes	Yes		
3.5.1.2	3.5.1.2	Verify borated water volume in each accumulator is $\geq$ 6122 gallons and $\leq$ 6594 gallons.	12 hours	Yes	Yes		
3.5.1.3	3.5.1.3	Verify nitrogen cover pressure in each accumulator is ≥ 585 psig and ≤ 655 psig.	12 hours	Yes	Yes		

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.5.1.4	3.5.1.4	Verify boron concentration in each accumulator is ≥ 2300 ppm and ≤ 2500 ppm.	31 days <u>AND</u> Once within 6 hours after each solution volume increase of ≥ 70 gallons that is not the result of addition from the refueling water storage tank	Yes (31 days only)	Yes (31 days only)
3.5.1.5	3.5.1.5	Verify power is remove from each accumulator isolation valve operator when RCS pressure is > 1000 psig.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.5.2.1	3.5.2.1	Verify the following valves are in the listed position with power to the valve operator removed.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.5.2.2	3.5.2.2	Verify each ECCS manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.5.2.3	3.5.2.3	Verify ECCS locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	92 days	Yes	Yes
3.5.2.5	3.5.2.5	Verify each ECCS automatic valve in the flow path that is no locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
			Frequency	Modified by TSTF-425	Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
---------	---------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------	-------------------------	-------------------------------------------------
3.5.2.6	3.5.2.6	Verify each ECCS pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.5.2.7	3.5.2.7	Verify, for each ECCS throttle valve, each mechanical position stop is in the correct position.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.5.2.8	3.5.2.8	Verify, by visual inspection, each ECCS train containment sump suction inlet is not restricted by debris and the suction inlet strainers show no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.5.4.1	3.5.4.1	Verify RWST borated water temperature is $\ge 37$ F and $\le 100^{\circ}$ F.	24 hours	Yes	Yes
3.5.4.2	3.5.4.2	Verify RWST borated water volume is $\ge$ 394,000 gallons.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.5.4.3	3.5.4.3	Verify RWST boron concentration is $\ge$ 2400 ppm and $\le$ 2500 ppm.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.5.5.1	3.5.5.1	Verify manual seal injection throttle valves are adjusted to give a flow with the limits in Figure 3.5.5-1.	18 months	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.5.6.1	Verify BIT borated water temperature is $\geq$ [145] F.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.5.6.2	[Verify BIT borated water volume is ≥ [1100] gallons.]	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.5.6.3	Verify BIT boron concentration is ≥ [20,000] ppm and ≤ [22,500] ppm.	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.6.2.2	3.6.2.2	Verify only one door in the air lock can be opened at a time.	24 months	Yes	Yes
3.6.3.1	3.6.3.1	Verify each containment shutdown purge valve is sealed closed or closed and blind flange installed except for one purge valve in a penetration flow path while in Condition D.	Once per 31 days for isolation devices outside containment <u>AND</u> Prior to entering MODE 4 from MODE 5 if not performed within the previous 92 days for isolation devices inside containment	Yes	Yes (the once per 31 day Frequency only)
3.6.3.2	3.6.3.2	Verify each containment mini-purge valve is closed, except when the containment mini-purge valves are open for pressure control, ALARA or air quality considerations for personnel entry, or for Surveillances that require the valves to be open.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.6.3.3	3.6.3.3	Verify each containment isolation manual valve and blind flange that is located outside containment and not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured and required to be closed during accident conditions is closed, except for containment isolation valves that are open under administrative control.	31 days	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.6.3.5	3.6.3.5	Verify the isolation time of each automatic power operated containment isolation valve is within limits.	In accordance with the Inservice Test Program	Yes (92 day Frequency only)	No
N/A	3.6.3.6	[Cycle each weight or spring loaded check valve testable during operation through one complete cycle of full travel, and each check valve remains closed when the differential pressure is the direction of flow is $\leq$ [1.2] psid and opens when the differential pressure in the direction of flow is $\geq$ [1.2] psid and $\leq$ [5.0] psid.]	N/A	Yes	No
3.6.3.6	N/A	Perform leakage rate testing for containment shutdown purge valves with resilient seals and associated blind flanges.	24 months <u>AND</u> Following each reinstallation of the blind flange	No	Yes (24 month Frequency only)
3.6.3.7	3.6.3.7	Perform leakage rate testing for containment mini- purge and shutdown purge valves with resilient seals and associated blind flanges.	184 days <u>AND</u> Within 92 days after opening the valve	Yes (184 day Frequency only)	Yes (184 day Frequency only)
3.6.3.8	3.6.3.8	Verify each automatic containment isolation valve that is not locked, sealed or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
N/A	3.6.3.9	[Cycle each weight or spring loaded check valve not testable during operation through one complete cycle of full travel, and each check valve remains closed when the differential pressure is the direction of flow is $\leq$ [1.2] psid and opens when the differential pressure in the direction of flow is $\geq$ [1.2] psid and $<$ [5.0] psid.]	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.3.10	[Verify each [] inch containment purge valve is blocked to restrict the valve from opening > [50]%.]	N/A	Yes	No
3.6.4.1	3.6.4A.1	Verify containment pressure is within limits.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.6.5.1	3.6.5A.1	Verify containment average air temperature is within limit.	24 hours	Yes	Yes
3.6.6.1	3.6.6A.1	Verify each containment spray manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.6.6.2	3.6.6A.2	Operate each containment cooling train fan unit for $\ge$ 15 minutes.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.6.6.3	3.6.6A.3	Not used.	N/A	Yes	No
3.6.6.5	3.6.6A.5	Verify each automatic containment spray valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.6.6.6	3.6.6A.6	Verify each containment spray pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.6.6.7	3.6.6A.7	Verify each containment cooling train starts automatically and minimum cooling water flow rate is established on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.6.6.8	3.6.6A.8	Verify each spray nozzles is unobstructed.	Following maintenance which could result in nozzle blockage	Yes (10 year Frequency only)	No
3.6.6.9	N/A	Verify containment spray locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	92 days	No	Yes
3.6.7.1	3.6.7.1	Verify each spray additive manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.6.7.2	3.6.7.2	Verify spray additive tank solution volume is ≥ 4340 gal and ≤ 4540 gal.	184 days	Yes	Yes
3.6.7.3	3.6.7.3	Verify spray additive tank solution concentration is $\ge 28\%$ and $\le 31\%$ by weight.	184 days	Yes	Yes
3.6.7.4	3.6.7.4	Verify each spray additive automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.6.7.5	3.6.7.5	Verify spray additive flow rate from each solution's flow path.	5 years	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.6.8.1	[Verify annulus negative pressure is > [5] inches water gauge.	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
N/A	3.6.8.2	Verify one shield building access door in each access opening is closed.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.8.4	Verify the shield building can be maintained at a pressure equal to or more negative than [05] inch water gauge in the annulus by one Shield Building Air Cleanup System train with final flow ≤ [] cfm within [22] seconds after a start signal.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.9.1	Operate each HMS train for $\geq$ 15 minutes.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.9.2	Verify each HMS train flow on slow speed is ≥ [4000] cfm.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.9.3	Verify each HMS train starts on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.10.1	Energize each HIS train power supply breaker and verify $\geq$ [32] ignitors are energized in each train.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.10.2	Verify at least one hydrogen ignitor is OPERABLE in each containment region.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.10.3	Energize each hydrogen ignitor and verify temperature is ≥ [1700]°F.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.11.1	Operate each ICS train for [≥ 10 continuous hours with heaters operating or (for systems without heaters) ≥≥ 15 minutes.]	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.11.3	Verify each ICS train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.11.4	[Verify each ICS filter bypass damper can be opened.]	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
N/A	3.6.13.1	Operate each SBACS train for [≥ 10 continuous hours with heaters operating or (for systems without heaters) ≥ 15 minutes].	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.13.3	Verify each SBACS train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.13.4	[Verify each SBACS filter bypass damper can be opened.]	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.13.5	Verify each SBACS train flow rate is ≥ [ ] cfm.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.14.1	Verify each ARS fan starts on an actual or simulated actuation signal, after a delay of $\geq$ [9.0] minutes and $\leq$ [11.0] minutes, and operates for $\geq$ 15 minutes.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.14.2	Verify, with the ARS fan dampers closed, each ARS fan motor current is $\geq$ [20.5] amps and $\leq$ [35.5] amps [when the fan speed is $\geq$ [840] rpm and $\leq$ [900] rpm].	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.14.3	Verify, with the ARS fan not operating, each ARS fan damper opens with $\leq$ [11.0] lb is applied to the counterweight.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.14.4	[Verify each motor operated valve in the hydrogen collection header that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, opens on an actual or simulated actuation signal after a delay of $\geq$ [9.0] minutes and $\leq$ [11.0] minutes.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.15.1	Verify maximum ice bed temperature is $\leq [27]^{\circ}$ F.	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
N/A	3.6.15.2	Verify total mass of stored ice is $\geq$ [2,200,000] lbs by calculating the mass of stored ice, at a 95% confidence level, in each of three Radial Zones as defined below, by selecting a random sample of $\geq$ 30 ice baskets in each Radial Zone, and	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.15.3	Verify that the ice mass of each basket sampled in SR 3.6.15.2 is $\geq$ 600 lbs.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.15.4	Verify, by visual inspection, accumulation of ice on structural members comprising flow channels through the ice bed is $\leq$ 15 percent blockage of the total flow area for each safety analysis section.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.15.5	Verify, by chemical analysis of the stored ice in at least one randomly selected ice basket from each ice condenser bay, the ice bed boron concentration and pH.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.15.6	Visually inspect, for detrimental structural wear, cracks, corrosion, or other damage, two ice baskets from each group of bays.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.16.1	Verify all inlet doors indicate closed by the Inlet Door Position Monitoring System.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.16.2	Verify, by visual inspection, each intermediate deck door is closed and not impaired by ice, frost, or debris.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.16.3	Verify, by visual inspection, each inlet door is not impaired by ice, frost or debris.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.16.4	Verify torque required to cause each inlet door to begin to open is $\leq$ [675] in-lb.	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
N/A	3.6.16.5	Perform a torque test on [a sampling of $\ge 25\%$ of the] inlet doors.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.16.6	Verify for each inlet deck door: no visual evidence of structural deterioration, free movement of the vent assemblies, and free movement of the door.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.16.7	Verify, by visual inspection, each top deck [door]: is in place, and has no condensation, frost, or ice formed on the [door] that would restrict its opening.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.17.2	Verify, by visual inspection, that the seals and sealing surfaces of each personnel access door and equipment hatch have: no detrimental misalignments, no cracks or defects in the sealing surfaces, and no apparent deterioration of the seal material.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.17.4	Remove two divider barrier seal test coupons and verify: both test coupons' tensile strength is ≥ [120] psi and [both test coupon's elongation is ≥ [100]%.]	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.17.5	Visually inspect ≥ [95]% of the divider barrier seal length, and …	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.6.18.1	Verify, by visual inspection, that: each refueling canal drain plug is removed, each refueling canal drain is not obstructed by debris, and no debris is present in the upper compartment or refueling canal that could obstruct the refueling canal drain.	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
N/A	3.6.18.2	Verify for each ice condenser floor drain that the: valve opening is not impaired by ice, frost, or debris; valve seat shows no evidence of damage; valve opening force is ≤ [66] lb; and drain line from the ice condenser floor to the lower compartment is unrestricted.	N/A	Yes	No
Section 3.7, Plan	nts Systems	·			
3.7.2.2	3.7.2.2	Verify each actuator train actuates the MSIV to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.7.2.3	N/A	Verify each MSIV bypass valve actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	No	Yes
3.7.3.3	3.7.3.2	Verify each MFRV and MFRV bypass valve actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.7.3.2	N/A	Verify each actuator train actuates the MFIV to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	No	Yes
3.7.4.1	3.7.4.1	Verify one complete cycle of each ARV.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program	Yes	No
3.7.4.2	3.7.4.2	Verify one complete cycle of each ARV block valve.	18 months	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.7.5.1	3.7.5.1	Verify each AFW manual, power operated, and automatic valve in each water flow path, and in both steam supply flow paths to the steam turbine driven pump, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.7.5.3	3.7.5.3	Verify each AFW automatic valve that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.7.5.4	3.7.5.4	Verify each AFW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.7.6.1	3.7.6.1	Verify the CST contained water volume is ≥ 281,000 gal.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.7.7.1	3.7.7.1	Verify each CCW manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path servicing safety related equipment, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.7.7.2	3.7.7.2	Verify each CCW automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.7.7.3	3.7.7.3	Verify each CCW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.7.8.1	3.7.8.1	Verify each ESW manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path servicing safety related equipment, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.7.8.2	3.7.8.2	Verify each ESW automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.7.8.3	3.7.8.3	Verify each ESW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.7.9.1	3.7.9.1	Verify water level of UHS is ≥ 1070 ft mean sea level.	24 hours	Yes	Yes
3.7.9.2	3.7.9.2	Verify plant inlet water temperature of UHS is ≤ 90°F.	24 hours	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.7.9.3	[Operate each cooling tower fan for ≥ [15] minutes.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.7.9.4	[Verify each cooling tower fan starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	N/A	Yes	No
3.7.10.1	3.7.10.1	Operate each CREVS train pressurization filter unit for $\ge$ 15 continuous minutes with the heaters operating and each CREVS train filtration filter unit for $\ge$ 15 continuous minutes.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.7.10.3	3.7.10.3	Verify each CREVS train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.7.10.4	3.7.10.4	Perform required unfiltered air inleakage testing of the CRE and CBE boundaries in accordance with the Control Room Envelope Habitability Program.	In accordance with the Control Room Habitability Program	Yes	No
3.7.11.1	3.7.11.1	Verify each CRACS train has the capability y to remove the assumed heat load.	18 months	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.7.12.1	Operate each ECCS PREACS train for [ $\geq$ 10 continuous hours with the heaters operating or (for systems without heaters) $\geq$ 15 minutes].	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.7.12.3	Verify each ECCS PREACS train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.7.12.4	Verify one ECCS PREACS train can maintain a pressure $\leq$ [-0.125] inches water gauge relative to atmospheric pressure during the [post accident] mode of operation at a flow rate of $\leq$ [3000] cfm.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.7.12.5	[Verify each ECCS PREACS filter bypass damper can be closed].	N/A	Yes	No
3.7.13.1	3.7.13.1	Operate each EES train for $\geq$ 15 continuous minutes with the heaters operating.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.7.13.3	3.7.13.3	Verify each EES train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.7.13.4	3.7.13.4	Verify one EES train can maintain a negative pressure ≥ 0.25 inches water gauge with respect to atmospheric pressure in the auxiliary building during the SIS mode of operation.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	Yes	Yes
N/A	3.7.13.5	[Verify each FBACS filter bypass damper can be closed].	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.7.13.5	N/A	Verify one EES train can maintain a negative pressure ≥ 0.25 inches water gauge with respect to atmospheric pressure in the auxiliary building during the FBVIS mode of operation.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	No	Yes
N/A	3.7.14.1	Operate each PREACS train for [ $\geq$ 10 continuous hours with the heaters operating or (for systems without heaters) $\geq$ 15 minutes].	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.7.14.3	Verify each PREACS train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.7.14.4	Verify one PREACS train can maintain a pressure $\leq$ [-0.125] inches water gauge relative to atmospheric pressure during the [post accident] mode of operation at a flow rate of $\leq$ [3000] cfm.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.7.14.5	[Verify each PREACS filter bypass damper can be closed].	N/A	Yes	No
3.7.15.1	3.7.15.1	Verify the fuel storage pool water level is $\ge 23$ ft above the top of the irradiated fuel assemblies seated in the storage racks.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.7.16.1	3.7.16.1	Verify the fuel storage pool boron concentration is within limit.	7days	Yes	Yes
3.7.18.1	3.7.18.1	Verify the specific activity of the secondary coolant is $\leq 0.10 \ \mu$ Ci/gm DOSE EQUIVALENT 1-131.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.7.19.1	N/A	Verify each automatic SSIV in the flow path is in the correct position.	31 day	No	Yes
3.7.19.3	N/A	Verify each automatic SSIV in the flow path actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	No	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.7.20.1	N/A	Verify each Class 1E electrical equipment A/C train actuates on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	No	Yes
3.7.20.2	N/A	Verify each Class 1E electrical equipment A/C train has the capability to remove the assumed heat load.	18 months	No	Yes
Section 3.8, Elec	trical Power Syste	ems			
3.8.1.1	3.8.1.1	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power availability for each offsite circuit.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.2	3.8.1.2	Verify each DG starts from standby conditions and achieves steady state voltage and frequency.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.3	3.8.1.3	Verify each DG is synchronized and loaded and operates for $\ge 60$ minutes at a load $\ge 5650$ kW and $\le 6201$ kW.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.4	3.8.1.4	Verify each fuel oil transfer pump starts on low level in the associated day tank standpipe.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.5	3.8.1.5	Check for and remove accumulated water from each day tank.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.6	3.8.1.6	Verify each fuel oil transfer system operates to transfer fuel oil from the storage tank to the day tank.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.7	3.8.1.7	Verify each DG starts from standby condition and achieves: in $\leq 12$ seconds, voltage $\geq 3950V$ and frequency $\geq 59.4$ Hz; and steady state voltage $\geq 3950$ V and $\leq 4320$ V, and frequency $\geq 59.4$ Hz and $\leq 60.6$ Hz.	184 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.8	3.8.1.8	Not used.	N/A	Yes	No

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.8.1.9	3.8.1.9	Not used.	N/A	Yes	No
3.8.1.10	3.8.1.10	Verify each DG does not trip and voltage is maintained $\leq$ 4992 V and frequency is maintained $\leq$ 65.4 Hz during and following a load rejection of $\geq$ 5650 kW and $\leq$ 6201 kW.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.11	3.8.1.11	Verify on an actual or simulated loss of offsite power signal: de-energization of emergency buses; load shedding from emergency buses; and DG auto-starts from standby condition.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.12	3.8.1.12	Verify on an actual or simulated ESF actuation signal each DG auto-starts from standby condition and: achieves voltage and frequency in ≤ 12 seconds; achieves steady state voltage and frequency; operates for ≥ 5 minutes; permanently connected loads remain energized from the offsite power system; emergency loads are auto- connected and energized through the LOCA sequencer from the offsite power system.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.13	3.8.1.13	Verify each DG's automatic trips are bypassed on actual or simulated loss of voltage signal on the emergency bus concurrent with an actual or simulated ESF actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.14	3.8.1.14	Verify each DG operates for $\ge 24$ hours: for $\ge 2$ hours loaded $\ge 6300$ kW and $\le 6821$ kW; and for the remaining hours of the test loaded $\ge 5650$ kW and $\le 6201$ kW.	18 months	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.8.1.15	3.8.1.15	Verify each DG starts from standby condition and achieves: in $\leq 12$ seconds, voltage $\geq 3950$ V and frequency $\geq 59.4$ Hz; and steady state voltage $\geq 3950$ V and $\leq 4320$ V, and frequency $\geq 59.4$ Hz and $\leq 60.6$ Hz.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.16	3.8.1.16	Verify each DG: synchronizes with offsite power source while loaded with emergency loads upon a simulated restoration of offsite power; transfers loads to offsite power source; and returns to ready-to-load operation.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.17	3.8.1.17	Verify, with a DG operating in test mode and connected to its bus, an actual or simulated SI signal overrides the test mode by: returning DG to ready-to-load operation; and automatically energizing the emergency load from offsite power.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.18	3.8.1.18	Verify interval between each sequenced load block is within $\pm$ 10% of design interval for each LOCA and shutdown sequencer timer.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.19	3.8.1.19	Verify on an actual for simulated loss of offsite power signal in conjunction with an actual or simulated SI signal: de-energization of emergency buses; load shedding from emergency buses; and DG auto-starts from standby condition.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.1.20	3.8.1.20	Verify when started simultaneously from standby condition, each DG achieves: in $\leq$ 12 seconds, voltage $\geq$ 3950V and frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz; and steady state voltage $\geq$ 3950 V and $\leq$ 4320 V, and frequency $\geq$ 59.4 Hz and $\leq$ 60.6 Hz.	10 years	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.8.1.21	N/A	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST for each train of the load shedder and emergency load sequencer.	31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	No	Yes
3.8.3.1	3.8.3.1	Verify each fuel oil storage tank contains $\ge$ 85,300 gal of fuel.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.3.2	3.8.3.2	Verify lubricating oil inventory is $\geq$ 750 gal.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.3.4	3.8.3.4	Verify pressure in two starting air receivers is ≥ 435 psig or pressure in one starting air receiver is ≥ 610 psig for each DG starting air subsystem.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.3.5	3.8.3.5	Check for and remove accumulated water from each fuel oil storage tank.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.4.1	3.8.4.1	Verify battery terminal voltage is ≥ 128.4 V on float charge.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.4.6	3.8.4.2	Verify each battery charger supplies $\ge$ 300 amps at $\ge$ 128.4 V for $\ge$ 1 hours.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.4.7	3.8.4.3	Verify battery capacity is adequate to supply, and maintain in OPERABLE status, the required emergency loads for the design duty cycle when subjected to a battery service test.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.8.4.2	N/A	Verify no visible corrosion at battery terminals and connectors. <u>OR</u> Verify battery connection resistance.	92 days	No	Yes
3.8.4.3	N/A	Verify battery cells, cell plates, and racks show no visual indication of physical damage or abnormal deterioration that could degrade battery performance.	18 months	No	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.8.4.4	N/A	Remove visible terminal corrosion, verify battery cell to cell and terminal connections are clean and tight, and are coated with anti-corrosion material.	18 months	No	Yes
3.8.4.5	N/A	Verify battery connection resistance.	18 months	No	Yes
N/A	3.8.6.1	Verify each battery float current is $\leq$ [2] amps.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.8.6.2	Verify each battery pilot cell voltage is $\geq$ [2.07] V.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.8.6.3	Verify each battery connected cell electrolyte level is greater than or equal to minimum established design limits.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.8.6.4	Verify each battery pilot cell temperature is greater than or equal to minimum established design limits.	N/A	Yes	No
N/A	3.8.6.5	Verify each battery connected cell voltage is ≥ [2.07] V.	N/A	Yes	No

## Attachment VII to ET 20-0004 Page 38 of 41

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.8.4.8	3.8.6.6	Verify battery capacity is ≥ 85% of the manufacturer's rating when subjected to a performance discharge test or a modified performance discharge test.	60 months <u>AND</u> 18 months when battery shows degradation or has reached 85% of expected life with capacity < 100% of manufacturer's rating <u>AND</u> 24 months when battery has reached 85% of the expected life with capacity ≥ 100% of manufacturer's rating	Yes (60 month Frequency only)	Yes (60 month Frequency only)
3.8.6.1	N/A	Verify battery cell parameters meet Table 3.8.6-1 Category A limits.	7 days	No	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.8.6.2	N/A	Verify battery cell parameters meet Table 3.8.6-1 Category B limits.	92 days <u>AND</u> Once within 7 days after a battery discharge < 110V <u>AND</u> Once within 7 days after a battery overcharge > 150V	No	Yes (92 day Frequency only)
3.8.6.3	N/A	Verify average electrolyte temperature of representative cells is ≥ 60 °F.	92 days	No	Yes
3.8.7.1	3.8.7.1	Verify correct inverter voltage and alignment to required AC vital buses.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.8.1	3.8.8.1	Verify correct inverter voltage and alignments	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.9.1	3.8.9.1	Verify correct breaker alignments and voltage to AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.8.10.1	3.8.10.1	Verify correct breaker alignments and voltage to required AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems.	7 days	Yes	Yes
Section 3.9, Refu	eling Operations	-			
3.9.1.1	3.9.1.1	Verify boron concentration is within the limit specified in the COLR.	72 hours	Yes	Yes
3.9.2.1	3.9.2.1	Verify each valve that isolates unborated water sources, BG-V0178 and BG-V0601, is secured in the closed position.	31 days	Yes	Yes
3.9.3.1	3.9.3.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours	Yes	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
3.9.3.2	3.9.3.2	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.9.4.1	3.9.4.1	Verify each required containment penetration is in the required status.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.9.4.3	3.9.4.2	Verify each required containment purge isolation valve actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	18 months	Yes	Yes
3.9.4.2	N/A	Verify the capability to install the equipment hatch.	7 days	No	Yes
3.9.5.1	3.9.5.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation and circulating reactor coolant at a flow rate of $\ge$ 1000 gpm.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.9.5.2	N/A	Verify required RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	31 days	No	Yes
3.9.6.1	3.9.6.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation and circulating reactor coolant at a flow rate of $\geq$ 1000 gpm.	12 hours	Yes	Yes
3.9.6.2	3.9.6.2	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	7 days	Yes	Yes
3.9.6.3	N/A	Verify RHR loop locations susceptible to gas accumulation are sufficiently filled with water.	31 days	No	Yes
3.9.7.1	3.9.7.1	Verify refueling pool water level is $\geq$ 23 ft above the top of reactor vessel flange.	24 hours	Yes	Yes
Section 5.5, Prog	grams and Manual	S			
5.5.13c.	N/A	Total particulate concentration of the fuel oil is $\leq$ 10 mg/l when tested every 31 days in accordance with ASTM D-2276, Method A.	31 days	No	Yes

WCGS SR #	NUREG-1431 Rev. 4 SR #	WCGS Surveillance Description (NUREG-1431 Description if no WCGS SR)	WCGS Surveillance Frequency	SR Frequency Modified by TSTF-425	SR Frequency Modified in Proposed Amendment Request
5.5.18d.	N/A	Measurement, at designated locations, of the CRE pressure relative to the outside atmosphere during the pressurization mode of operation by one train of the CREVS, operating at the flow rate required by the VFTP, at a Frequency of 18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS.	18 months on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS	No	Yes
5.5.19	5.5.18	N/A Surveillance Frequency Control Program	N/A	Yes	Yes