SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

Reports No. 50-266/92017(DRSS); 50-301/92017(DRSS)

Docket Nos. 50-266: 50-301

Licenses No. DPR-24: DPR-27

Licensee: Wisconsin Electric Power Company

231 West Michigan Milwaukee, WI 53201

Facility Name: Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

Inspection At: Plant site, Two Rivers, Wisconsin

Corporate Headquarters, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Inspection Dates: August 17-21 and 26, 1992

Date of Previous Physical Security Inspection: April 13-17, 1992

Inspector: Terry J. Madeda Physical Security Inspector

Approved By:

James R. Creed, Chief Safeguards Section

9/25/92

Inspection Summary

Inspection on August 17-21, 1992 (Reports No. 50-266/92017(DRSS); No. 50-301/92017(DRSS))

Areas Inspected: Routine, announced physical security inspection involving: Management Support; Protected and Vital Area Physical Barriers, Detection and Assessment Aids; Protected and Vital Area Access Control of Personnel, Packages and Vehicles; Alarm Stations and Communication; Power Supply; Testing, Maintenance and Compensatory Measures; Training and Qualification and a Review of Previous Inspection Findings. The Chief, Safeguards Section conducted informal discussions with Site Management regarding past performance and current findings.

Results: Two violations were identified. One related to a failure to conduct a for-cause Fitness-For-Duty test. The other concerned two failures to provide proper escort. Adequate initial corrective action was taken for each violation. Some concerns were identified in the licensee's security system power supply program. Action was also initiated to address those concerns.

001

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

Enclosure Contains SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION Upon Suparation This Page is Decontrolled

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

Inspection results showed that the licensee performance regarding implementation of the security program was good. Positive inspection results in the areas of management involvement and training were noted, except as identified in the enclosed report. Inspected security equipment was functioning as required. Maintenance support was adequate. Security staffing levels were good and personnel were knowledgeable in implementing program requirements.