

Houston Lighting & Power South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

September 23, 1992 ST-HL-AE-4220 File No.: G26 10CFR50.73

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20505

> South Texas Project Unit 1 Docket No. STN 50-498 Licensee Event Report 92-011

Regarding the Reactor Coolant Pump Undervoltage and Underfrequency Surveillance Not Performed Completely per Technical Specifications

Pursuant to 10CRF50.73, Houston Lighting & Power (HL&P) submits the attached Licensee Event Report 92-011 regarding the reactor coolant pump undervoltage and underfrequency surveillance not performed completely per rechnical Specifications. This event did not have an adverse impact on the health and safety of the public.

If you should have any questions on this matter, please contact Mr. C. A. Ayala at (512) 972-8628 or myself at (512) 972-8530.

William J. Jump General Manager Nuclear Licensing

VJM/ag

1.1

14

Attachment: LER 92-011 (South Texas, Unit 1)

280102

1/F22

LER192261001.01 A. 9209300276 920923 PDR ADDCK 05000498

A Subsidiary of Houston Industries Incorporated

Houston Lighting & Power Company South Ter's Project Electric Generating Station

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region IV Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

George Dick, Project Manager U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

J. I. Tapis Senior Resident Inspector c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77414

J. R. Newman, Esquire Newman & Holtzinger, P.C. 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

D. E. Ward/T. M. Puckett Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 2121 Corrus Christi, TX 78403

5. C. Lanier/M. B. Lee City of Austin Electric Utility Department P.O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767

K. J. Fiedler/M. T. Hardt City Public Service Board P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296 ST-HL-AE-4220 File No.: G26 Page 2

Pufus S. Scott Associate General Counsel Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 61867 Houston, TX 77208

INPO Records Center 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Dr. Joseph M. Hendrie 50 Bellport Lane Bellport, NY 11713

D. K. Lacker Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756-3189

Revised 10/11/91

NRC FORM 306	GALANSAL COLUMN	na amangana kana kana kana kana kana kana kan	and the second	U.S. NUCLEAR REG	ULATORY COMMIS	AMISSION APPROVED OMBINO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/82					
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)					ATED BURDEN PER MATION DOLLECTIC ENTS REGARDING B REPORTS MANAGEM LATORY COMMISSIO LATORY COMMISSIO LATORY COMMISSIO NAGEMENT AND BU	TED RURDEN HER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS A FION DOLLECTION REQUEST BOD HRS. FORWARD IT'S REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS PORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH IP 300. U.S. NUCLEAR TORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 20585. 4NJ TO PEPWORK REDUCTION PROJECT I3360104. OFFICE ACTIVITY AND RUDGET WASHINGTON, DC 20503.				
FACILITY NAME IT	particular descention of the second		the second state of the second	NAME OF COMPANY OF COMPANY OF COMPANY	and the second second designed	ann a ra Anna ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a	DOCKET NUMBER	(2)	and desired dyna	FAG	1 (3)
	Se	outh Texas,	Unit :	1			6 15 10 10	1014	9 8	1 OF	0 4
Y1714 (4)	Read	tor coolant	Pump	Undervolt	age and	Underf	requency				
TI	rip No	ot Tested C	omplet	el, per 7	"echnical	Speci	fication	6			
EVENT DATE	351	LER NUMBER	6)	REPORT DATE	(2)	OTH	ER FACILITIES INVO	LVED (8)			
MONTH DAY	VEAR Y	EAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH DAY	YEAR	PACIDIAL	公共和主告	DOCKET	NUMBER	((\$)	
					South	Texas	, Unit 2	0 5	0 0	0 4	9 9
0 8 2 4	9 2	92 021	- 0 0	0 9 2 3 9	2			0 5	0 10	101	1. (
OPERATING	.7.9	OS REPORT IS SUBMITTE	D PURBUANT T	O THE REQUIREMEN	ITS OF TO CFR 🕴 10	their and ar mit	ite at the following) (1	4)			
6403078 (B)	1	20.402151		20.405(c)		50.73(a)(2)(i)		73	71(8)		
POWER		20.406(s)(1)(i)		50.38(c)(1)		\$0.73(a)121(x)		- 73	71(c)		
(10) O	101 0 9 3 20.406 jal (1) 50.36 ja) (2) 60.73 ja		60 73(a)(2)(v)	3(a)(2)(s)) OTHER (Sp balow and r		ecity in Abstract n Text NRC Form					
		20.405(a)(1)(iii)	X	60.73(e)/21(/)		80.73(p)(2)(s	(A)(h)	36	6A1		
		21 406(k)(1)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(v	a)(B)				
		20.406(a)/11(v)		50.73(a)(2)(-)(in the second	50.73(#1(2))x					
			ł.	ICENSEE LONTACT P	OR THIS LER (12)						
NE ARAE								TELEPHO	NE NUM	BER	
							AREA COOL				
Ch	arles	S Ayala - S	upervi:	Sing Lice	nsing En-	gineer D IN THIS REP	5 11 12	9 [7]	2 -	18 6	2 18
CAL 1 31576M		NAT NATUFAC TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS		CAUSE SYSTEM	COMPONEN	T MANUFISC TURER	REFOR	PROS		
	1.1	1		el 11: dener e anno de deser			1.1.1.				
	11	1.1.1.1				1.1.	Lil				
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPOSTIO (14)					EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)		MONTH	DAY	YEAR		
1 IT YAN LUMBING EXPECTED SURMISSION DATE			NO				. 1.	1.1.	1-		
AND WAT ILMA &	a 1400 yeare	(a approximately refree	single space type	writter (inger (18)							

On August 24, 1992, Units 1 and 2 were in Mode 1, with Unit 1 at 93% power and coasting down, and Unit 2 at 100% power. The Surveillance Review Task Force identified that the performance of the Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) Undervoltage (UV) and Underfrequency (UF) Trip Actuating Device Operability Test (TADOT) surveillance procedures did not verify the bistable status monitoring (BSM) lights operability. The cause of this event is aue to the writers and authorities vio approve Field Changes (FCs) not identifying the need to verify the BSM lights, which were required to be tested per the Technical Specifications. This was due to inadequate understanding of the definition of TADOT by the individuals involved. This event occurred as a result of FCs in the Spring of 1990. The FCs (also a contributing factor) allowed the removal of verification of a portion of the RCP UV and UF circuitry and the BSM lights from the test procedure. This allowed the surveillance test to be incomplete and allowed entry into Mode 1, following the outage, with only a partially proven channel. Ccrrective actions include: verification of operability of BSM lights in both units, revision of BSM acceptance criteria of the surveillance procedure, performance of RCP TADOTS that are scheduled during outages while the plant is in Mode 5 and prior to Mode 1, a clear definition of TADOT will be formally documented and presented to appropriate personnel for training, and revision of the procedure to limit the use of FCs for changing acceptance criteria.

LER\92261001.U1

LICENSEE EVENT REPO TEXT CONTINUAT	US NUMEER REGULATORY COMMISSION ORT (LER) ION	APPROVED DMB NO. 3160-0104 EXFINES 4/30/02 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORMARD COMMENTS RECARDING BURDEN EST:MATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F-530). U.S. NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON. DC 20555. AND TO THE PATTERWORK REQUECTION PROJECT (JED-0108, OFFIC). OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBYR (2)	LER NUMBER (5)	PAGE (3)		
South Texas, Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 4 9 8	92-011-00	d 2 ^{or} 0 4		

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

On August 24, 1992, Unit 1 and 2 were in Mode 1, with Unit 1 at 93% power and coasting down, and Unit 2 at 100% power. The Surveillance Review Task Force identified a deficiency with the adequacy of channel operability verification in the performance of the Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) Undervoltage (UV) and Underfrequency (UF) Trip Actuating Device Operability Test (TADOT) surveillance procedures. These requirements are established by Technical Specification 3/4.3.1.

The RCP UV and UF relays operate input relays that supply input signals to ... ain R and Train S logic cards in the Solid State Protection System (SSPS), which in turn provides the required 2 of 4 logic to open the Reactor Trip Breakers. This input signal is proven when the bistable status monitoring (BSM) lights are verified operable in the performance of the UV and UT TADOTS. These TADOTS are scheduled on a quarterly frequency for staggered testing of the RCPs. However, when scheduled during unit outage periods, the Proteus computer, the plant annunciator or BSM lights would at times be unavailable for testing. As such, procedure Field Changes (FCs) were written in the Spring of 1990 to accommodate testing the UV and UF relays during outages when the components were unavailable to allow testing to proceed. These FCs also added a step allowing the performer to mark the BSM light verification, the annunciator verification and the computer point verification Not Applicable (N.A.) when those devices were not available for testing. These FCs removed the verification of the BSM lights operability from the acceptance criteria f each procedure. It was not recognized that deleting verification of the BSM lights would result in noncompliance with the Technical Specifications. This practice allowed entry into Mode 1 following the outage with only a partially proven channel.

Reviews of past UV and UF TADOT surveillances on RCPs confirmed that BSM light verifications were marked as N.A. for several channels during previous plant outages.

NRC FORM 366A (6.89)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92			
LICENSEE EV TEXT CO	ENT REPORT (LER)	COTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THE INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST BOG HRE FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN FEINATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH 95301. U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20055 AND TO THE FAFERWORK REPUCTION PROJECT (3350-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 2003			
PACILITY NA 18 (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION			
South Texas, Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 4 9 1	3 9 2 - 0 1 1 - 0 0	0 3 OFD 4		
TEXT (If more mease is required, use additional NRC Form 366	A(g) (17)	description of the second s	a and the second second second data as		

CAUSE OF EVENT:

The writers and authorities who approve FCs did not identify the need to verify the BSM lights which were required to be tested per the Technical Specifications. This was due to inadequate understanding of the definition of a TADOT by the individuals involved. A contributing factor was the FC which allowed the removal of the acceptance criteria from the test procedure. The FCs to procedures in 1990 allowed the removal of verification of a portion of the RCP UV and UF circuitry and the BSM lights from the test procedure.

ANALYSIS OF EVENT:

Failure to properly perform the RCP UV and UF TADOT is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) since both units operated in an untested condition in violation of Technical Specification 4.3.1.1. The partial performance of the RCP UV and UF TADOT performed under the current surveillance program as well as the testing of the RCP IV and UF trip actuating device under the preoperational test program provide confidence in the operability of the RCP UV and UF trip actuating device. During normal power operations, a direct current undervoltage trip co 1 on each reactor trip breaker is normally energized. For a reactor trip, the removal of power to the undervoltage coils opens the breakers. Opening either of two series connected breakers interrupts the power from the rod drive motor generator sets, and the control rids fall, by gravity, into the core. The rods cannot be withdrawn until the trip breakers are manually reset. The trip breakers cannot be manually reset until the abnormal condition that initiated the trip is corrected. This event did not result in additional risk to the public or adversely affect the ability to safely shut down the plant.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

- 1. The BSM verification was placed back into the acceptance criteria of the surveillance procedure.
- The BSM lights were verified operational since the outages in both units.
- 3. RCP TADOTS that are scheduled to be completed during subsequent outages will be conducted while the plant is in Mode 5 and prior to Mode 1. This is to ensure that the BSM lights are available for the RCP TADOT and after any work on them in the outage.

LER\92261001.U1

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION Extraction control to control the reconstruction Facility name (1) Facility name (1) Extraction control to control	NRC FORM 366A (6.82)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED DMB ND. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/82 ESTIMATED BURDIN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH TWIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING SURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MUNICEMENT BRANCH (F.530), U.S. NIFCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20565, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (31500104), OFFICE DF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503			
PACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) VEAR SUMBER (6) PAGE (3) VEAR SUMBER (6) PAGE (3) VEAR SUMBER (6) PAGE (3)	LICENSEE EVENT REPO TEXT CONTINUAT	ORT (LER) ION				
YEAR EQUELTIAL REVEION NUMBER NUMBER	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE 13			
			YEAR SEQUELIVIAL REVISION			
South Texas, Unit 1	South Texas, Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 4 9	a 9 z - d 1 1 - d d d	4 OF 0 4		

CORRECTIVE ACTION: (Con't)

- 4. A clear definition of TADOT will be formally documented by October 15, 1992. Training on this definition will be provided to appropriate plant personnel via training bulleting to be issued by October 29, 1992.
- 5. The procedure governing FCs (OPGP03-ZA-0002) will be revised to control the use of FCs for changing acceptance criteria by November 30, 1992.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The Surveillance Review Task Force effort is a corrective action from an earlier Licensee Event Report (LER) 92-004. As a result, an in-depth review of the ESFAS and reactor trip surveillance procedures for one train of one unit will be performed to ensure they adequately meet Technical Specification requirements. Several LERs have previously been submitted documenting inadequate surveillance test procedures.