

# Biocontrol Technology, Inc.

Rec'd  
9/13/91

300 Indian Springs Road  
P.O. Box 434  
Indiana, Pennsylvania 15701  
Telephone: (412) 349-1811  
Fax: (412) 349-8610

NR-236-D-101-5

September 10, 1991

Mr. Stephen Baggett  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop 6H3  
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Baggett:

RE: NRO236D101S

The above registration number was for the Coratomic Model C-100 pacemaker. We no longer manufacture this model. There were 93 pacemakers of this model implanted. We are hereby requesting that the registration for the Coratomic C-100 pacemaker be allowed to lapse, since we do not plan to manufacture any more Coratomic model C-100 pacemakers.

Sincerely,

*John R. Klingensmith*

John R. Klingensmith  
Patient Records Specialist

9605210385 950523  
PDR RC \* PDR  
SSD

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
FY 91 Annual Materials Fee Invoice  
10 CFR 171.16

Invoice Date  
=====

08/09/1991

Invoice Number  
=====

AM02388-91

CORATOMICS, INC.  
ATTENTION: RADIATION SAFETY OFFICER  
BOX 434  
INDIANA PA 15701

\*\*\*\*\* Mark THIS COPY with any billing address changes \*\*\*\*\*

License Number	Code	Annual Fee Category(s)	Fee Amount	Surcharge Amount
NR0236D101S	AA905 ANN	9A	\$ 6,100.00	\$ 100.00
TOTAL:			\$ 6,100.00	\$ 100.00
TOTAL INVOICE:			\$ 6,200.00	

Make Checks Payable To:  
=====

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
License Fee & Debt Collection Branch  
PO Box 954514  
St. Louis, MO 63195-4514

<=== This PO Box address is  
<=== for receipt of payments  
<=== only.

Terms and conditions are attached. Nonpayment of your annual fee may result in the revocation of your license(s) in accordance with the enforcement provisions of 10CFR171.23 of the Commission's regulations.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* PAYMENT COPY \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

==> To ensure accurate credit, return this copy of the <===  
==> invoice with your payment. Processing may be <===  
==> delayed if the invoice is not included. <===

*Biocontrol Technology, Inc.*

P.O. Box 434 • Indiana, Pennsylvania 15701



Mr. Stephen Baggett  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop 6H3  
Washington, DC 20555



SOURCE AND DEVICE EVALUATION TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST

TO: STEVEN BAGGETT, NMSS/IMNS, Mail Stop OWFN-6H3  
FROM: Bio Control technology REGION: I II III IV V HQ (Circle One)  
300 Indiana Spring Rd  
PO BOX 437  
INDIANA PA 15701  
FTS PHONE NO. \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_  
APPLICANT \_\_\_\_\_ LETTER/APPLICATION DATE 9/10/41  
MAIL CONTROL NO.(S) \_\_\_\_\_ LICENSE NO.(S) \_\_\_\_\_  
REQUEST ACTION (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX)  
( ) SOURCE REVIEW ( ) DEVICE REVIEW ( ) CUSTOM  
( ) AMENDMENT OF REGISTRATION SHEET NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
( ) OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*  
FOR NMSS/IMAB USE ONLY CONTROL NO. 91-126 MODELS: LT-DC

DATE RECEIVED 9/13/41 REVIEWER \_\_\_\_\_  
TYPE OF ACTION (INDICATE NO. OF EACH ON THE LINES) 1

( ) SOURCE REVIEW \_\_\_\_\_ ( 1 ) DEVICE REVIEW 1  
( ) FORMAL ( 1 ) AMENDMENT ( ) CUSTOM  
( ) NO LICENSING ACTION REQUIRED

Fee Rec'd

TOTAL REVIEWER HOURS SPENT ON EVALUATION \_\_\_\_\_ DATE COMPLETED \_\_\_\_\_

NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_ DEFICIENCY LETTER \_\_\_\_\_ DATE COMPLETED \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ DEFICIENCY PHONE CALL \_\_\_\_\_ DATE MADE \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ RESPONSE TO DEFICIENCY \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ TYPING DRAFT \_\_\_\_\_ IN \_\_\_\_\_ OUT \_\_\_\_\_ FINAL \_\_\_\_\_ IN \_\_\_\_\_ OUT \_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*  
FOR ARM/LFMB USE ONLY

FEEES THAT HAVE BEEN PAID FOR : (INDICATE NO. OF EACH ACTION ON THE LINES)

{ } SOURCE REVIEW \_\_\_\_\_ { } DEVICE REVIEW \_\_\_\_\_ { } FORMAL \_\_\_\_\_  
{ } AMENDMENT \_\_\_\_\_ { } ARM/LFMB \_\_\_\_\_ { } CUSTOM \_\_\_\_\_

NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE TO ARM/LFMB: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ DATE RETURNED: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ SIGNED: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

# INDIVIDUAL SSD CASE STATUS

ASSIGNED #: \_\_\_\_\_ MANUFACTURER: \_\_\_\_\_  
REVIEWER: \_\_\_\_\_ MODEL #: \_\_\_\_\_  
DATE ASSIGNED: \_\_\_\_\_ CONTACT: \_\_\_\_\_  
MAIL CONTROL #: \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

FOR THE FOLLOWING, ENTER DATE OF COMPLETION, OR EXPLAIN DEFICIENCIES.

	DATE	EXPLANATION
PAGE HEADING:	_____	_____
COVER PAGE:	_____	_____
DESCRIPTION:	_____	_____
LABELING:	_____	_____
DIAGRAM:	_____	_____
CONDITIONS:	_____	_____
PROTOTYPE TESTING:	_____	_____
EXT. RAD. LEVELS:	_____	_____
QA/QC:	_____	_____
LIMITATIONS:	_____	_____
SAFETY ANALYSIS:	_____	_____
REFERENCES:	_____	_____

	PHONE	LETTER
DEFICIENCY 1:	_____	_____
DEFICIENCY 2:	_____	_____
DEFICIENCY 3:	_____	_____
DEFICIENCY 4:	_____	_____

SPELL CHECK: \_\_\_\_\_  
1ST. DRAFT: \_\_\_\_\_  
2ND. DRAFT: \_\_\_\_\_  
COMPLETED: \_\_\_\_\_  
COMP. LETTER: \_\_\_\_\_

# SSD CASE STATUS

MANUFACTURER: \_\_\_\_\_

MODEL#: \_\_\_\_\_

REFERENCES: \_\_\_\_\_

DESCRIPTION	DATE REVIEWED	OK/DEF	DATE DEF RESP COM	DATE COMP
(1) Registrant's Name and Address	_____	_____	_____	_____
(2) Manufacturer's Name and Address	_____	_____	_____	_____
(3) Custom User's Name and Address	_____	_____	_____	_____
(4) Device Model Number	_____	_____	_____	_____
(5) Device Type	_____	_____	_____	_____
(6) User's Authority to Possess (specific, general, exempt)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(7) Radionuclides, Activity (Max. w/%error) Form, Manufacturer, Model, NRC registered.	_____	_____	_____	_____
DESCRIPTION				
(8) Device Design with Complete Engineering Drawings (dimensions, tolerances, list of materials)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(9) Assembly methods (screws, welds, etc.)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(10) Source Mounting (size and integrity) and Security. ANSI Classification (43232 for gamma gauges)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(11) Shutter Operation	_____	_____	_____	_____
(12) On-Off Indicator (lock in Off, not in On)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(13) Safety interlocks, guards, etc. to prevent access to beam or high radiation levels.	_____	_____	_____	_____
Depleted Uranium (on label)	_____	_____	_____	_____
Corrosion with steel (copper/zinc)	_____	_____	_____	_____

DESCRIPTION	DATE REVIEWED	OK/DEF	DATE DEF RESP COM	DATE COMP
Steel and Aluminum (Corrosion)	_____	_____	_____	_____
Source for well-logging (nondispersible)	_____	_____	_____	_____
RADIATION PROFILES				
(14) Survey Instrument used (type, window, cal., sensitivity)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(15) Conditions	_____	_____	_____	_____
(16) Nuclide and Activity (Maximum allowable?)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(17) Distance from Source, Surface	_____	_____	_____	_____
(18) Shutter On and Off	_____	_____	_____	_____
(19) Source Shielded	_____	_____	_____	_____
(20) Scatterer (product) in Beam	_____	_____	_____	_____
(21) Guards and Shields in place	_____	_____	_____	_____
INSTALLATION				
(22) Fixed, Portable, Movable, Fixed installation but movable source housing.	_____	_____	_____	_____
(23) Inherent shielding, inaccessibility	_____	_____	_____	_____
(24) Interlocks, locks, barriers	_____	_____	_____	_____
(25) Beam Access; size of gap and opening to beam.	_____	_____	_____	_____
(26) Mounting integrity	_____	_____	_____	_____
PROTOTYPE TESTING				
(27) Tests methods and conditions (ANSI, ISO)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(28) Tests Results	_____	_____	_____	_____
(30) Years of Use (incidents, failures)	_____	_____	_____	_____



DESCRIPTION	DATE REVIEWED	OK/DEF	DATE DEF RESP COM	DATE COMP
<b>QUALITY CONTROL</b>				
(31) Materials, subassemblies	_____	_____	_____	_____
(32) Assembly methods (welds, screws, etc.)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(33) Dimensions tolerances	_____	_____	_____	_____
(34) Activity, Radiation levels, and leak/contamination	_____	_____	_____	_____
(35) Quality Assurance Manual	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>LABELING</b>				
(36) Copy of the label	_____	_____	_____	_____
(37) Contents ( <u>model#</u> , <u>serial#</u> , <u>trefoil</u> , <u>activity</u> , <u>logo</u> , <u>isotope</u> , "CAUTION-RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL", date of assay)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(38) Material	_____	_____	_____	_____
(39) Dimensions	_____	_____	_____	_____
(40) Colors	_____	_____	_____	_____
(41) Attachment (permanent)	_____	_____	_____	_____
(42) Location	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>SAFETY INSTRUCTIONS</b>				
(43) Operation, Maintenance, Calibration, Damage/failure, Specific warnings, leak test, and radiation profile checks.	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTATION</b>				
(44) Results of leak test and radiation surveys.	_____	_____	_____	_____
(45) Transportation documents	_____	_____	_____	_____
(46) The Above Instructions  (if user performs operation)	_____	_____	_____	_____



DESCRIPTION	DATE REVIEWED	OK/DEF	DATE DEF RESP COM	DATE COMP
-------------	------------------	--------	----------------------	--------------

SERVICING

(47) Manufacturer or user performs:

- \_\_\_ Installation
- \_\_\_ Relocation
- \_\_\_ Maintenance
- \_\_\_ Repair
- \_\_\_ Source install/reinstall
- \_\_\_ Calibration
- \_\_\_ Leak Testing
- \_\_\_ Radiation Survey
- \_\_\_ Training

Foreign Manufacturers

Drop ship

Where is source installed and who installs it.

Wipe test/rad survey.

QA in this country.

## JUPITER TECHNICAL REPORT

### CORATOMIC/BIOCONTROL TECHNOLOGY PACEMAKERS

#### General

This review concerns the Coratomic Model C-100 pacemaker, assign #91-126 and its successor models. This is the first of a series of pacemaker designs, and was developed in 1972 and 1973, according to page 2 of the Coratomic Inc. letter of June 24, 1980. The first two implants of the C-100 occurred on October 3, 1974 under license SNM-1319. These each accumulated implant times of 64.9 months by the time of the letter.

This pacer was modified by widening its bandpass in the spring of 1975 so it would sense a larger number of patient's R-waves. The Model number was changed to C-101, and implants continued under a Human Clinical Protocol issued November 1, 1975. It far exceeded the objectives established by NRC for its implantation. It achieved a level of reliability three times higher than anticipated and twice that of the control group of conventional pacers established for the C-100 series in 1974.

The Model C-101-P pacemaker is "essentially equivalent" to the Model C-101 implanted prior to May 28, 1976, according to the Coratomic letter of June 24, 1980. The isotopic battery used in the C-101-P is identical to that used in the C-101. It uses the electronics from the Ovalith-P (a lithium-battery powered pacemaker), and the case of the L-500 (also a lithium-battery powered pacemaker). The C-101, Ovalith-P, and L-500 pacemakers were all in use or judged "essentially equivalent" to pacemakers implanted prior to May 28, 1976. This information is contained in the Coratomic, Inc. letter of June 24, 1980. On the basis of this, it appears they never had the C-101 or C-101-P models registered, but they need to be closed out by a termination applicable to all three models. Termination of the Model C-100 is requested in the Biocontrol Technology, Inc. letter of September 10, 1991, without mention of the other models, since they were never registered in the first place.

All three of these models, as well as the Pulsar-N1, were licensed in Amendment 22 to license #1319 on November 1, 1988. It therefore seems appropriate to terminate them all in one action, since the newer models were never registered.

## DESCRIPTION

The description of the power source in the original registry was complete and accurate, so it was utilized as much as possible. However, there was no description of the outer package, nor of the other models, so it was necessary to add material to address these factors. There are no drawings of the power source in the reference material, or even of its location in the devices, since this was apparently considered too proprietary to include in the files. However, other diagrams were found, as explained below.

The overall dimensions provided in the registry are taken from the proprietary drawings in the file. For the Model C-101, similar dimensions are also found on page 15 of the Physician's Technical Manual. For the Model C-101-P, they may be found on page 10 of the Physician's Technical Manual. No dimensions were found for the Pulsar-N1 device.

## DIAGRAMS

All the drawings are stamped "proprietary." However, the original registry had a diagram for the original Model C-100 device which we have used again as Attachment 1. There was also a photo of the label and the device in a technical manual for the Model C-101, which is utilized as Attachment 2. The photo in the manual for the C-101-P was not clear enough to use, but appeared to have similar label contents. A copy of the radioactive patient identification card provided by Coratomic, Inc. is shown on page 10 of the Human Clinical Protocol dated November 1, 1975. This is one form of label for the device.

## EXTERNAL RADIATION LEVELS:

This information was all copied from the original registry, since no such information was found in the files. 11

## QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

This topic is not discussed in the original registry. Coratomic, Inc. makes many claims regarding the high quality of their program for their circuits, but are less specific about the isotopic battery. They state on pages 6 and 10 of the Physician's Technical Manuals that the total failure rate of battery components is calculated to be 0.15% per year. They also state that thermoelectric modules have been on test for 4 years with no degradation. Coratomic states in these same manuals that they test all incoming components individually as they are received, while all in-process components are tested at each critical assembly and/or fabrication state.

On this basis, a standard paragraph, which assumes the Coratomic plan was approved by NRC, was used.

LIMITATIONS AND/OR OTHER CONSIDERATIONS OF USE:

The first paragraph is copied from the original register. The others are standard for terminations.

QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

A brief statement about the Coratomic plan is made. This is followed by a standard paragraph used when information is not complete.

LIMITATIONS AND/OR OTHER CONSIDERATIONS OF USE

The statement in the original register is used, followed by appropriate standard paragraphs for terminations.

SAFETY ANALYSIS SUMMARY:

Standard paragraphs were used, since no information was available.

References : — ?