The Light company

South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

July 8, 1996 ST-HL-AE-5402 File No.: G20.01 G21.01 10 CFR 50.90

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

South Texas Project
Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. STN 50-498, STN 50-499
Proposed Revision to Technical Specific tions
Clarifying Surveillance Interval for Surge Tank Level Instrumentation

The South Texas Project proposes to amend its Operating License NPF-76 and NPF-80 for Units 1 and 2, respectively, by incorporating the attached proposed changes to the Technical Specifications. The purpose of this amendment is to clarify the interval for completing surveillance requirement 4.7.3.b.3 of the South Texas Project Technical Specifications.

The South Texas Project has reviewed the attached proposed amendment pursuant to 10CFR50.92 and determined that the changes do not involve a significant hazards consideration. In addition, the proposed amendment satisfies the criteria of 10CFR51.22(c)(9) for categorical exclusion from the requirement for environmental review. This determination is based on the conclusion that the proposed change does not involve a significant hazards consideration, will not involve significant changes in the types or amounts of radioactive effluent, does not affect any of the permitted release paths, and does not involve a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational exposure.

The South Texas Project Nuclear Safety Review Board has reviewed and approved the proposed change.

The required affidavit, along with a Safety Evaluation and statement of No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination associated with the proposed changes, and the marked-up affected page of the Technical Specifications and the Bases are included as attachments to this letter.

In accordance with 10CFR50.91(b), the South Texas Project is providing the State of Texas with a copy of this proposed amendment.

9607110327 960708 PDR ADOCK 05000498 Apol

Houston Lighting & Power Company South Texas Project Electric Generating Station

> ST-HL-AE-5402 File No.: G20.01

Vice President, Nuclear Engineering

G21.01

Page 2

If there are any questions, please contact either Mr. P. L. Walker at (512) 972-8392 or me at (512) 972-8787.

PLW/lf

Attachments: 1)

1) Affidavit

2) Safety Evaluation and No Significant Hazards Consideration

3) Markups of Proposed Changes to Technical Specifications

Houston Lighting & Power Company South Texas Project Electric Generating Station

ST-HL-AE-5402 File No.: G20.01 G21.01

Page 3

Leonard J. Callan Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Thomas W. Alexion Project Manager, Mail Code 13H3 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

David P. Loveless Sr. Resident Inspector c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77404-0910

J. R. Newman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869

M. T. Hardt/W. C. Gunst City Public Service P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

J. C. Lanier/M. B. Lee City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

Central Power and Light Company ATTN: G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 77483 Rufus S. Scott Associate General Counsel Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 61067 Houston, TX 77208

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations - Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-5957

Dr. Joseph M. Hendrie 50 Bellport Lane Bellport, NY 11713

Richard A. Ratliff Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756-3189

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555-0001

J. R. Egan, Esquire Egan & Associates, P.C. 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

J. W. Beck Little Harbor Consultants, Inc. 44 Nichols Road Cohassett, MA 02025-1166

AFFIDAVIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of	
Houston Lighting & Power Company, et al.,	Docket Nos. 50-498 50-499
South Texas Project Units 1 and 2	
	AFFIDAVIT
Nuclear Engineering, of Houston Light and file with the Nuclear Regulatory	sworn, hereby depose and say that I am Vice Presidenting & Power Company; that I am duly authorized to signommission the attached proposed revision to Technical with the content thereof; and that the matters set forth of my knowledge and belief. T. H. Cloninger Vice President, Nuclear Engineering
STATE OF TEXAS	
COUNTY OF MATAGORDA)	
Subscribed and sworn to bef	ore me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Texas, this
LINDA RIT RRY Notary Public, State u. Texas My Commission Expires 10/9/97	Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

ATTACHMENT 2

ATTACHMENT 2

No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

Description of the Proposed Change:

The South Texas Project requests an amendment to Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 4.7.3.b.3 to clarify when the Component Cooling Water System surge tank level instrumentation is to be demonstrated operable by performance of a channel calibration test. This change will clarify the interval for completing this surveillance requirement.

The proposed changes are indicated on the Technical Specification page provided as Attachment 3 to this submittal.

Description of Current Requirement:

The Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 4.7.3.b.3 states that at least three component cooling water loops shall be demonstrated operable at least once per 18 months during shutdown, by verifying that the surge tank level instrumentation which provides automatic isolation of portions of the system is demonstrated operable by performance of a charnel calibration test.

Safety Analysis:

The South Texas Project requests a revision to Technical Specification 4.7.3.b.3 to clarify the interval for completing the channel calibration test for the Component Cooling Water System surge tank level instrumentation. This calibration can be performed during any plant mode since three-train redundancy ensures sufficient cooling capacity is available for continued operation of safety-related equipment during normal and accident conditions.

The Component Cooling Water System surge tank is partitioned into three equal volumes by internal baffles. Each compartment is monitored by level instrumentation which provide automatic isolation at about 9 inches and about 12 inches above the tank centerline in the open, undivided portion of the surge tank. The associated Component Cooling Water train is taken out of service prior to performing the calibration. Calibration of the 9-inch instrumentation is done on a train basis, when the subject Component Cooling Water train is out-of-service and isolated. If level decreases to 9 inches above the tank centerline, the other two trains will isolate. Because the train in calibration is already out of service, the automatic isolation function of this instrumentation is not needed regardless of the plant mode. The 12-inch instrumentation which provides for isolation of non-safety cooling loads is disabled for the channel being calibrated. However, redundant isolation capability is provided by the two remaining 12-inch level instruments and, if surge tank level continues to decrease, from the 9-inch level instrumentation.

ST-HL-AE-5402

File No.: G20.01, G21.01

Page 2 of 3

The worst credible challenge to the plant during this evolution is one additional Component Cooling Water train rendered inoperable with one train initially out of service. Should this occur, the third Component Cooling Water train would actuate, supplying water to the common header. Therefore, there is no significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Bases of the Proposed Amendment:

Clarification of the statement will remove an ambiguity concerning the plant conditions required for performing this calibration. The calibration will be performed in plant operational modes consistent with the continued safe operation of the plant. The frequency of this channel calibration is intended to be at least once per 18 months.

Impact of the Proposed Change:

Implementation of the proposed change to the surveillance criteria does not introduce significant or adverse changes to the plant design basis. The required intervals between surveillances, where specified, will continue to be met.

Based upon the above, the proposed amendment request has no significant negative impact on any system or operating mode.

Implementation:

The South Texas Project requests that this proposed amendment be given an expeditious review to support approval by September 16, 1996, and that the effective date for the change be 30 days after approval by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION

Pursuant to 10CFR50.91, this analysis provides a determination that the proposed change to the Technical Specifications does not involve any significant hazards consideration as defined in 10CFR50.92, as described below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change to Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 4.7.3.b.3 will not affect any accident initiators or precursors and will not alter the design assumptions for the systems or components used to mitigate the consequences of an accident. Calibration is performed on level instrumentation of Component Cooling Water System trains that are out of service for scheduled maintenance. Isolation redundancy is provided by instrumentation associated with the trains that are in service during the calibration. Since the surveillance will continue to be performed at the specified interval, this proposed change will not increase the probability of occurrence of an accident previously evaluated. The surveillance does not differ from those previously performed; therefore, there is no impact on the consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

Clarifying the surveillance interval for surge tank level instrumentation does not involve installation or operation of new or different kinds of equipment. There is no change in the procedure as described in the Technical Specifications. The change only clarifies the interval at which the subject calibration will be performed. Therefore, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The specified surveillance will remain as stated in the Technical Specifications. Consequently, there is no reduction in the effectiveness of the surveillance in ensuring equipment operability. Calibration is performed on level instrumentation of Component Cooling Water System trains that are out of service for scheduled maintenance. Isolation redundancy is provided by instrumentation associated with the trains that are in service during the calibration. Consequently, clarifying the interval at which the calibration is performed will have no significant impact on the margin of safety.

Based upon this evaluation, the South Texas Project has concluded that these changes do not involve any significant hazards considerations.