

October 9, 1992 BW/92-0520

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Sir:

The enclosed Licensee Event Report from Braidwood Generating Station is being transmitted to you with the requirement of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv) which requires a 30-day written report.

This report is number 92-006-00, Docket No. 50-457.

K. L. Kofron Station Manager Braidwood Nuclear Station

KLK:AJS:dla 639/ZD85G

Licensee Event Report No. 92-006-00 Encl:

NRC Region III Administrator CC:

NRC Resident Inspector INPO Record Center CECo Distribution List

130083

IE22

						LICENS	EE EV	ENT R	EPORT	(LER)						ore Re	v 2.0
Facility Name Braidwood 2 Title (4)	(1).												0 0			ge (3)	1
Reactor Trip Di		Valve !						لسنيد									
Event Date (LER Number (5)					Report Date (7)				Other Facility Facility Names					
Month Day	rear	Year	/// Seque		10000	Revisio Number		onth	Day	Year	_ Faci	lity	Names	Dock	et Num	ber(s)	
											Brai	dwpod	1	01.5	101,	1014	1516
019 110	91 2	91 2	0	0 6	2.5100000	0 1 0	1	10	019	91 2				01 5	1010	1 01	1.1
OPERATING MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10) 0	9	9	20.40	e or 1 2(b) 5(a)(5(a)(5(a)(more 1)(i) 7)(ii 1)(ii	of the	fell 20.4 50.3 50.3 50.7	owing 05(c) 6(c)(6(c)(3(a)(3(a)(1)	X 5	MENTS 0 0.73(a) 0.73(a) 0.73(a) 0.73(a) 0.73(a) 0.73(a)	(2)(i (2)(v (2)(v (2)(v (2)(v	v) ii) iii)(A)		73.71 73.71 0+her	(b) (c) (Spec stract and i	ify
	-					LICENSE	E CO	NIACT	FOR TH	HIS LER	(12)						
P. Zolan. F			ETE ONE L			CH COME		T_FAI				IS RE	CODE	4] 5	NE HUM	1 21 8	1011
			TURER		TO NP	RDS //							TURE	R	TO N	PRD3	
	++-	44	4-4-4-			13		ZI		_					-		
Yes (If yes		plete £		UBMIS:	SION	DATE		X 1)					Submis Date	(15)	Month	l Day	Year

On September 10, 1992 at 2126 hours CDST, Braidwood Unit 2 Control Room received a Feed Pump Turbine Exhaust Vacuum Low annunciator. Three Control Room Operators all noted dual indication on valve ZES095A, which is the Turbine Driven Feedwater Pump Exhaust Valve. Within approximately twenty seconds, the 2B Turbine Driven Feedwater Pump tripped. The U-2 NSO responded immediately and manually initiated an automatic turbine runback. Approximately and minute later, due to the decreasing secondary side level on the steam generators, the reactor tripped on Low-Low Sueam Generator Level on the 2A Steam Generator. All reactor protection systems responded as designed and all control rods fully inserted into the core. Both Auxiliary Feedwater pumps started as expected and restored all Steam Generator levels to the proper level. Normal Feedwater was reestablished utilizing the Startup Feedwater Rump at 2206 hours.

The event was caused by a personnel error by a contractor, who manipulated the valve on the operating unit instead of the outage unit that he was working on. There have been no previous occurrences of this type at Braidwood Station.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	Page (3)
		Year /// Sequential /// Revision Number /// Number	
Braidwood 2	0151010101415	17912 - 01016 - 010	01 2 01 01

A: PLANT CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT:

Unit: Braidwood 1; Event Date: September 10, 1992; Event Time: 2126

Mode: 5 - Cold Shutdown, Day 6 of Refueling Outage

Unit: Braidwood 2; Event Date: September 10, 1992; Event Time: 2126

/Hode: 1 - Po er Operation; Rx Power: 099% RCS 6ABé ?emperature/Pressure: NOT / NOP

B: DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

There were no systems or components inoperable at the beginning of this event which contributed to the severity of the event.

On September 10, 1992 at 2126 hours CDST. Braidwood Unit 2 Control Room received a Feed Pump Turbine Exhaust Vacuum Low annunciator. The Unit 2 Nuclear Station Operator (U-2 NSO), the Unit 2 Unit Supervisor (U-2 QS), and the Unit 2 Extra Nuclear Station Operator (U-2 ENSO) all noted dual indication on valve 2ES095A, which is the Turbine Driven Feedwater Pump Exhaust Valve. Within approximately twenty seconds, the 2B Main Feedwater Pump tripped due to loss of vacuum. The U-2 NSO responded immediately to the annunciator and manually initiated an automatic turbine runback. Approximately one minute later, due to the decreasing secondary side level on the stram generators, the reactor tripped on Low-Low Steam Generator Level on the 2A Steam Generator. All reactor protection systems responded as designed and all control rods fully inserted into the core. Both Auxiliary feedwater pumps started as expected on the Steam Generator shrink transient and the loss of feedwater, and restored all Steam Generator levels to the proper level. Normal Feedwater was reestablished utilizing the Startup Feedwater Pump at 2206 hours.

Upon hearing the Feedwater Pump trip, operators who were in the plant at the time also immediately responded and went to the vicinity of the pump. It was found that valve 2ES095A, which is the 2B Feedwater Pump Exhaust Duct Isolation Damper, was partially throttled from the full open (normal) position to a partially closed position. This valve being partially closed resulted in high back pressure in the pump, which actuated 2PS-FW196 Vacuum Interlock Switch and tripped the pump.

Extensive troubleshooting was performed on valve 2ES095A and its associated instrumentation that evening and the following day. No problems could be identified. No work was either being performed or scheduled in the area of the pump or the valve that day.

Investigation by station Regulatory Assurance on September 11, 1992 found that work was being performed on the corresponding valve on Unit 1 (1ES0952) the evening of September 10 by GN Venture contractor personnel during that unit's scheduled refuel agoutage.

PACKS YOU MANEE / 5 1	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER		For- Rev 2.0
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	Page (3)
		Year /// Sequential /// Revision Number /// Number	
Braidwood 2	015101010141	517 912 - 01016 - 010	

Interviews by station and contractor supervision with the crew involved in this work revealed that as a part of their work on IESO95A, they found it necessary to manipulate the valve. While the valve itself is located approximately seventeen feet above the floor on elevation 401 in the plant and required scaffolding to access, the handwheel operator proundes down through the floor of elevation 426 above, and is connected to the valve by an eight foot long shaft. There is no direct visual way to see the valve actuator itself from the valve operator. This layout is identical on Unit 1 and 2. The following is a sequence of events for the work that was performed by the contractor crew that evening. Note that all times are approximate.

At 1645, the contractor foreman and his four crew members reviewed the work package and the drawings within it to facilitate locating the valve. All five initially proceeded up the Unit 2 (east) stairway to search for the valve handwheel for 1E5095A. Because of the condition of the drawing that they were using, which showed the locations of both the Unit 1 and Unit 2 valves, they initially proceeded to the wrong valve, 2ES095A. The foreman checked the valve tag and recognized that they were in the wrong unit and at the wrong valve. No work or actions were taken at that time on valve 2ES095A.

A closer review of the location drawing in the work package revealed the other relican sesignator for the Unit 1 valve. The foreman and his crew then entered the Unit 1 portion of the Turbing is ding and proceeded to the correct valve, approaching the vilve from the east. They ensured that they were looking at the correct valve by verifying the proper tag number and the work request equipment tag. The foreman noted that the work request tag attached to the hand wheel did not match the work request contained in the work package.

The crew proceeded back to the Unit 2 stairway, walked down to elevation 401 and located the scaffolding that had been erected for work on the subject valve. The foreman noted that similar to the handwheel, the work request tag number attached to the calve actuator did not match the work request in the work - kage. The General Foreman for the job was consulted, and it was verified that the scaffolding was in fact in the correct location and that the missing work request tag was not uncommon.

During disassembly of the limit switch assembly plate from the valve actuator, it was discovered that the actuator's position indicator was blocking removal of one of the bolts. The foreman checked with the General Foreman to ensure that rotation of the IESO9SA handwheel was permissible. After reviewing the work package steps, the two foremen agreed that the handwheel could be rotated to allow limit switch plate removal.

At approximately 2122, the foreman directed one of the crew members to go to elevation 426 and rotate the handwheel on 185095A enough for the position indicator to clear the bolt head. The crew member proceeded to elevation 426 via a different stairway than previously used, approaching the area from the west, and located the valve that looked like the valve that the crew had located with their foreman approximately five hours earlier. He read the valve tag as "ES095A" and believing that he was at 185095A turned the hand wheel several turns. He then returned to the work crew location on elevation 401 by way of the same (west) stairway.

FACILITY NAME (1)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TE DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	Form Rev 2.0 Page (3)
		Year /// Sequential /// Revision Number /// Number	
Braidwood 2	0 1 5 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 4 1 5 1 1	1912 - 01016 - 010	014 01 016

When he arrived back a' the work location, the foreman asked whether he had turned the hand wheel on valve IESO95A, and the crew was per replied that he had. The foreman questioned whether it moved the position indicator, and both individuals went up to the Unit 1 handwheel via the Unit 2 stairway and approached the valve from the east. The crew member indicated that this was the handwheel that he had turned. Both men returned to the work location via the Unit 2 stairway, where the other crew members had managed to remove the limit switch plate attachment bolt. The work defined in the work package continued as planned. Since the job continued as planned for the rest of the shift, the crew did not recognize that the incorrect valve had been manipulated. All crew members were back on the Unit 1 side of the plant when Unit 2 tripped, and therefore did not see the Operating crews responding to the tripped Feedwater Pump.

This event is by reported pursuant to IOCFR50.73(a)(2)(iv) - any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any Engineered Safety Feature (ESF), including the Reactor Protection System.

C: CAUSE OF EVENT

The primary cause of the event was a cognitive personnel error on the part of the contractor crew member. He operated the valve without verifying, by use of the full equipment number on the equipment tag, that he was at the correct location. Verification of the correct number attached to the handwheel of valve 2ES095A would have prevented this event.

A contributing cause was a programmatic deficiency in the general color coding and labeling in the plant. Areas in the plant are generally color coded according to a Unit designator. Yellow signifies Unit 1, green signifies Unit 2, and blue is used for common areas. The valve handwheels for both the Unit 1 and 2 valves were painted brown, as is much of the equipment in the turbine building. The unit color code designators are primarily used on equipment tags and labels in the plant, and less so on actual equipment. When the crew member accessed the wrang valve by himself from the west stairway, he passed a number of items, such as handrails, access ladders, and low pipe supports, that are painted yellow for safety reasons (i.e. visibility). This led to his comfort level that he was in the correct unit. Additionally, as one ascends the stairs that the crew member used, one faces directly toward a doorway that separates the two Units. This door is clearly labeled "Unit 1 Turbine Room" with a yellow stripe on either side of the words. While this is intended to signify that you are entering Unit 1 as you pass through the doorway, to an individual not as familiar with the station whose awareness is concentrated elsewhere, it may serve to confirm his presence in that Unit.

D: SAFETY ANALYSIS

The safety consequences of this event were minimal. All plant equipment responded as designed. Due to the design of the Steam Generators on Unit 2 (Westinghouse Model D5), the automatic turbine runback that was immediately initiated by the U-2 NSO was not able to reduce power quickly enough to compensate for the reduction in feedwater flow after the Feedwater Pump trip.

Since this event occurred at effectively full power, there are no initial conditions that could have served to establish a more severe set of initial plant conditions. Had this event occurred at lower power levels, namel, below sixty percent power, the turbine runback would not have been necessary to prevent a reactor trip, as one feedwater pump is adequate to supply the steam generators below this power level.

FACILITY NAME (1)		VENT REPORT (LER) TE NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBE			Form Rev 2.0 rage (3)
			Year ///	Sequential /// Number ///	Revision Number	
Braidwood 2	0151	0 1 0 1 0 1 4 1 5 1 7	912 -	01016 -	0 1 0	01 5 05 01

E: CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

All GNV craftspersons were trained on the requirements of their Site Work Instruction SWI-4, Equipment Identification and Tagging. Training of complete work crews occurred simul*aneously, including the crew foreman. Unit identification, equipment identification, work package tags, and equipment tag match-up were all specifically addressed.

The Braidwood Station self checking methodology, "STAR", will be provided as training to craft workers. This will be tracked to completion by action item 457-180-92-00601.

Individual counseling was conducted for the crew involved with this event. Items that were stressed when the need for attention to detail and the attributes of self checking, along with the need to openly communicate with supervisory personnel whenever there is a potential that any aspect of a work process did not proceed as planned.

GNV issued a letter to all employees outlining the seriousness of unit equipment misidentification and its possible consequences. Employees who do not readily understand unit unique identifiers were instructed to discuss the issue with their supervisor immediately.

Contractor Quality Control personnel were assigned to confirm that all work tags are in place before any new work activities begin. This was done from September 14 through October 4, 1992. To ensure continued compliance, this activity has been included in quality control in-process inspections and surveillances.

The contractor has also begun to include in their daily shift briefings to all workers the operating status of both units, the shutdown risk factors for each of the major categories of plant equipment, critical work activities being performed on their shift, and other timely topics.

The valve handwheels and bases for 1/2ES095A and B, along with other similar valves with remote operators extending through the floor in the Turbine Building, were painted the appropriate unit designated color.

Large signs were posted on the doors separating the two units, indicating that one is entering the putage or operating unit, as appropriate. Similar signs were also posted on stanchions on the plant elevations where walls and doors do not separate the two units.

To eliminate the possibility of inadvertent operation of the 1/2ES095A/B valves, locking devices have been installed. This was done because the valves are very easy to manipulate, are located in a high traffic area, and require a relatively small number of turns to completely reposition.

	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEX	CT CONTINUATION	Form Rev 2.0
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	Page (3)
		Year /// Sequential /// Revision Number /// Number	
Braidwood 2	0 5 0 0 0 4 5 7	912 - 01016 - 010	0 6 0 0 0 6
TEXT Energy Industry Id	entification System (EIIS) codes :	are identified in the text as [XX]	

Although it is felt that Non-Station Personnel Orientation training contains the necessary information on the proper identification of equipment, the emphasis may not be appropriate for the transient craft worker. Therefore, these lesson plans will be reviewed. This will be tracked to completion by action item 457-180-92-00602.

F. PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES

Braidwood Station has not experienced any previous occurrence of a contractor mispositioning plant equipment and causing a reactor trip.

G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA

This event was not the result of component failure, nor did any components fail as a result of this event.