



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 14, 1984

*PS&E
(see 6/13/84 ltr.)*

Dr. Henry Myers, Science Advisor
Subcommittee on Energy and the Environment
Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Dr. Myers:

We are providing clarification of the background to the staff's responses to your April 10, 1984 questions on Diablo Canyon. Region 5 staff indicates that they discussed the Pullman/Foley issue with you in November of 1983 and continued these discussions through early 1984.

As you requested, the staff will provide a detailed chronology of these contacts, to the degree they can be reconstructed, once they have access to their notes. We will provide that chronology as soon as it is available.

Sincerely,

Carlton Kammerer
Carlton Kammerer, Director
Office of Congressional Affairs

cc: Thomas Weimer

app
8407230089

AREAS OF INTEREST FOR UDALL SUBCOMMITTEE BRIEFING ON
DIABLO CANYON, JUNE 14, 1983

The Subcommittee Staff has identified the following areas of specific interest:

- (1) Has NRC staff systematically reviewed either the 50.55(e) reports submitted by PG&E or Diablo Canyon inspection reports in order to determine the types of deficiencies identified earlier and if the deficient conditions were properly addressed?
- (2) Have Pullman and Foley given PG&E documents which show that their work has been done in accordance with NRC requirements?
- (3) Were the Pullman and Foley document reviewers qualified to perform technical reviews of documents?