

APPENDIX

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV

NRC Inspection Report: STN 50-482/84-52 Construction Permit: CPPR-147

Docket: STN 50-482 Category: A2

Licensee: Kansas Gas and Electric Company (KG&E)
P. O. Box 208
Wichita, Kansas 67201

Facility Name: Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS)

Inspection At: Wolf Creek Site, Coffey County, Burlington, Kansas

Inspection Conducted: November 13 - December 13, 1984

Inspector: *G. L. Madsen* 1/15/85
G. L. Madsen, Reactor Inspector Date

Approved: *L. E. Martin* 1/15/85
L. E. Martin, Chief, Wolf Creek Task Force Date

Inspection Summary

Inspection Conducted November 13 - December 13, 1984 (Report 50-482/84-52)

Areas Inspected: Routine, announced inspection of the implementation of licensee programs for investigation and resolution of quality concerns resulting from exit interviews with licensee and licensee contractor employees, walk-in interviews and hotline telephone calls, and followup to allegations. The inspection involved 51 inspector-hours onsite by one NRC inspector.

Results: Within the areas inspected, no violations or deviations were identified.

8501290511 850123
PDR ADOCK 05000482
PDR
Q

DETAILS

1. Persons Contacted

K. Brown, Group Vice President, Technical Services
C. A. Snyder, Manager-Quality First
R. L. Scott, Quality First Investigation Supervisor
D. L. Daniels, Quality First Interview Supervisor
R. L. Walters, Quality First Investigator
W. J. Rudolph, Manager, Quality Assurance (QA)
C. G. Patrick, Supervisor, Quality Evaluation
R. M. Stombaugh, Supervisor, QA Audits
M. G. Williams, Superintendent of Regulatory, Quality,
and Administrative Services
K. R. Ellison, Supervisor, Startup Technical Support

NRC Personnel

H. F. Bundy, Resident Inspector

Other Personnel

Other licensee employees contacted included technicians and office personnel.

2. Licensee Action on Previous Inspection Findings

(Closed) Open Item (50-482/8448-01): This item was open because the Quality First program document did not provide qualification criteria for Quality First personnel. A review of the latest revision of the Quality First program revealed that the licensee has included personnel qualification criteria.

This item is closed.

3. Quality First Organization

For the period of this inspection, the Quality First program was implemented under the direction of C. A. Snyder, Manager-Quality First, who reports to K. Brown, Group Vice President, Technical Services. The NRC inspector was informed that KG&E is presently reducing the size of the organization and effective December 21, 1984, the group will be composed of the following:

1. Manager-Quality First
2. One Supervisor
3. Four Interviewer/Investigators
4. Two Secretaries

4. Quality First Trending and Assessment of Trends

As of December 10, 1984, KG&E records indicate that 666 concerns have been received, 620 concerns have been investigated, and 46 concerns remain to be investigated. The investigative activities, associated with the existing open concerns, are scheduled for completion before the end of December 1984.

5. Review of Closed Quality First Files

A review of 31 closed case files, representing 74 concerns, was conducted through the evaluation of documentation in the case files. The inspector's evaluation included a review of:

- a. The accuracy of the inspection plan versus the concerns received
- b. The rational of the investigation, corrective actions, and basis for closure of the concern
- c. The reportability of the concern in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55(e)
- d. The endeavors to recontact the individual that submitted the concern.

6. Quality First Action Requests (QFARs)

As of December 10, 1984, Quality First has initiated 76 QFARs. The QFARs are formally transmitted to the responsible organization. The QFARs include a description of condition found, proposed corrective actions, and scheduled completion date. The QFAR program also requires a response from the responsible organization to Quality First.

KG&E has established a computerized listing of issued QFARs for tracking purposes. Records indicate that responses have been received for 32 QFARs. As a result of Quality First review, 11 responses were rejected and 21 responses were accepted. In the cases of rejected responses, the QFARs were sent back to the responsible organization for action with a newly assigned due date.

The NRC inspector reviewed 10 accepted QFAR responses to assess the adequacy of the followup activities. The remaining 55 open QFARs are scheduled for completion before the end of December 1984.

7. Quality First Observations (QFOs)

As a result of Quality First investigation of concerns, 21 QFOs have been initiated. A QFO is a condition that appears to be adverse to quality and which was outside of the area of concern being investigated. The QFOs are forwarded to the affected organization for action and closure.

The inspector's review of tracking systems utilized for the control of QFO followup activities with personnel from the Quality First, QA, startup and operation organizations revealed the following:

- a. Tracking systems were in existence for following the processing of QFOs within each organization; however, a portion of the QFOs had not been included in the operation organizations tracking system. Quality First provided operations with a copy of all QFOs issued to date as an initiation step to correct this condition.
- b. QA has established an audit program for assessment of the QFO followup activities.

Of the 21 QFOs issued to date, responses have been completed for 7 QFOs. The remaining QFOs are scheduled for completion prior to the end of December 1984.

8. Followup To Allegation (4-84-A-80)

A utility received an employment application and resume from an individual who indicated experience with various companies involved in the construction of four nuclear power plants, including WCGS. The utility's evaluation of the resume disclosed false statements relative to his service in the U.S. Marine Corps.

The NRC inspector reviewed the individual's personnel file and discussed the matter with the individual's former management at WCGS. The review disclosed the following:

- a. The individual did work at WCGS as indicated on his resume.
- b. The individual was hired during a recruitment trip to Midland, Michigan. The resume indicated that his previous employment was at the Midland Nuclear Power Plant.
- c. The personnel file indicated satisfactory performance of work while at the WCGS.

Based on the above, this item is considered closed.

9. Exit Interview

Exit interviews were conducted on November 16, 1984 and December 19, 1984, with C. A. Snyder. H. F. Bundy of the NRC staff attended the November 16, 1984 meeting.