Southern Nuclear Operating Company Post Office Sox 1295 Birming am, Alabama 35201 Telephone 205 868-5086



J. D. Woodard Vice Fresident Farley Project

Southern Nuclear Operating Company

the southern electric system

August 24, 1992

10 CFR 26.71

Docket Nos. 50-348 50-364

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

> J. M. Farley Nuclear Plant Fitness For Duty Performance Data

Gentlemen:

Southern Nuclear Operating Company hereby submits Fitness For Duty Performance Data for the six month reporting period, January 1992 through June 1992, as required by 10 CFR 26.71(d). This data is summarized on the attached enclosures.

Should you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

JDW/JMG

Enclosures

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebneter Mr. S. T. Hoffman Mr. G. F. Maxwell

A021

Fitness for Duty Program Performance Data Personnel Subject to 10CFP 26

Southern Nuclear Operating Company	06/30/92
Company	8 Nontha Ending
Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant and Corporate Head	quarters
Location	
J. A. Ripple	(205) 868-5075
Contact Name	Phone (include area code)
Cutoffs: Screen/Confirmation (ng/ml)	126
Marijuana / Amphetamines /	empropromoner necromensystem, et ammericamparents
Cocaine / Phencyclidine /	1
Opiates / Akcohol (% BAC)	and the same of th

Tes	ting Results	Licensee Employees		Long-Term Contractor Personnel		Short-Term Contractor Personnel	
	rage Number with scorted Access	1488		24		384	
Cat	egories	# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive
Pre	-Access	97	0	78	0	1330	11
For Cause	Post accident	0	0	0	0	0	0
ause	Observed behavior	8	0	0	0	7	4
Ra	ndom	772	2	48	0	177	0
Fo	low-up	21	0	0	0	8	
Oth	Retest/ ner Return to Work	4	0	C	0	0	0
To	al	902	2	126	0	1522	16

Breakdown of Confirmed Positive Tests for Specific Substances

		Section of the last	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Persons, or other Pers	But and the second	-	1		1	-	1			
	Manijuana	Manijuana Cocaine Opicies	Opin es	Amphe- tamines	Phency- clidine	Akcohol	Refusal to Test	-	2	0	4	22	
Licensee Employees	2	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Long-Term Contractors	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Short-Term Contractors	9	2	0	0	0	9	0						V
Total	8	r.	0	0	0	9	0						19
	-			-									

J. M. Farley Nuclear Plant Fitness For Duty Program

In data generated under the Fitness For Duty program from January 1992 through June 1992 has been reviewed and analyzed. The data reflected in this report is that of employees of the J. M. Farley Nuclear Plant and employees of Southern Nuclear Operating Company Corporate Headquarters.

The random pool contains not only those badged for unescorted access, but also those employees who may, in an emergency condition, be called upon to work at the site and may require unescorted access. Contractors without approved programs are included in the pool while on site. Testing is performed on a nominal weekly basis to include swing shifts, weekends and holidays at a rate which will equal 100% yearly of the total population.

In summarizing management actions associated with the Fitness For Duty program, it should be emphasized that the incidence of confirmed positive tests for the licensee has been low. Consequently, management actions relative to determination of fitness for duty have been limited to two individuals. Contractor employees screened as positive are denied access and no further action is taken.

Management actions during this reporting period involved two individuals. The first individual tested positive for the second time under the Fitness For Duty rule and was thereby terminated from employment. The second individual had access suspended following a confirmed positive. He was removed from duty and was referred to the Employee Assistance Program. After full evaluation and rehabilitation, the employee had access restored, was returned to full duties and was entered in the follow-up testing pool.

Effective June 1, 1992 the Fitness For Duty substance panel for urine was changed to screen exclusively for the NIDA 5 panel as outlined in 10 UFR Part 26. Barbiturates and benzodiazepines were dropped from the screening and confirmation process. Southern Nuclear had previously informed the NRC of this change by letter dated May 6, 1992.