Dockets Nos. 50-321 and 50-366

Mr. J. T. Beckham, Jr. Vice President - Nuclear Generation Georgia Power Company P. O. Box 4545 Atlanta, Georgia 30302 DISTRIBUTION
Docket File
NRC PDR
L PDR
ORB#4 Rdg
HThompson
OELD
EJordan
BGrimes

JPartlow ACRS-10 RIngram GRivenbark Gray File

Dear Mr. Beckham:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOLLOWING PRELIMINARY STAFF

REVIEW OF RESPONSES TO GENERIC LETTER 83-28

Re: Hatch Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

We completed a preliminary review to assess the completeness and adequacy of your responses to Generic Letter 83-28 Items 2.1, 2.2, 3.1.3, 3.2.3, 4.4 and 4.5. Your responses were found to be incomplete for Items 2.1, 2.2, 3.1.3, 3.2.3, and 4.5.3. Brief descriptions of the deficiencies are given as guidelines for corrective action in the enclosed request for additional information. Efforts by Owners Groups, INPO and NSSS Vendors have been or are being made to produce generic responses addressing the requirements of Generic Letter 83-28. You may wish to contact your Owner's Group or INPO regarding the applicability of such generic responses to Hatch Units 1 and 2.

In order to preserve our present review schedule, the staff needs to have the supplementary information within 60 days for items 2.1, 2.2, 3.1.3 and 3.2.3, and within 90 days for Item 4.5.3 unless you intend to formally endorse the BWR Owners Group to Item 4.5.3. If you intend to formally endorse the BWR Owners Group response to Item 4.5.3 (NEDC-30844), the staff should be advised within 60 days. Your plant-specific response to Item 4.5.3 should then be provided within 90 days after NRC completes its review of NEDC-30844 and issues its evaluation. We request your cooperation in meeting this schedule.

This request for additional information was approved by the Office of Management and Budget under clearance number 3150-0011 which expires April 30, 1985. Comments on burden and duplication may be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Reports Management Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D. C. 20503.

Sincerely,

JOHN F. STOLE*

John F. Stolz, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #4

Division of Licensing

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/enclosure Se∈ next page

ORB#4:DL GRivenbark;cr 4/4/85 ORB#4101 JStolz 4/1/85 Hatch 1/2 Georgia Power Company

cc w/enclosure(s):

G. F. Trowbridge, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Puble A. Thomas Vice President P. O. Box 2625 Southern Company Services, Inc. Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Louis B. Long Southern Company Services, Inc. P. O. Pox 2625 Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Chairman Appling County Commissioners County Courthouse Raxley, Georgia 31513

Mr. L. T. Gucwa Georgia Power Company Engineering Department P. O. Eox 4545 Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Mr. H. C. Nix, Jr. General Manager Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant Georgia Power Company P. O. Box 442 Baxley, Georgia 31513 Regional Radiation Representative EPA Region IV 345 Courtland Street, M. E. Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Resident Inspector
U. S. Muclear Regulatory Commission
Route 1, P. O. Box 279
Paxley, Georgia 31513

Dr. J. Melson Grace, Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Charles H. Badger Office of Planning and Budget Poom 610 270 Washington Street, S. W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334

J. Leonard Ledbetter, Commissioner Department of Natural Resources 270 Washington Street, N. W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334

This request for additional information was approved by the Office of Management and Budget under clearance number 3150-0011 which expires April 30, 1985. Comments on burden and duplication may be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Reports Management Room 3208, New Executive Office Puilding, Washington, D. C. 20503.

Sincerely.

John F. Stolz, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch 4

Division of Licensing

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/enclosure See next page

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

HATCH UNITS 1 AND 2

Item 2.1 (part 1) - Incomplete

Licensee needs to confirm that the review of the RTS classification program is complete and that it verifies that RTS components are classified as safety-related and identified as such on all documentation and in information handling systems.

Item 2.1 (part 2) - Incomplate

Licensee needs to submit a description of their program to establish and maintain an interface between all vendors of components needed to perform reactor trip (RTS) and the licensee. Information submitted shall describe how the program assures that vendor technical information is kept current, complete, and controlled throughout the plant life; how the division of responsibility between the licensee and vendors who provide test and maintenance services is handled; and verify that lists of vendor technical information and the information itself is available at the reactor site for audit.

Item 2.2.1 - Incomplete

Licensee needs to provide a more detailed description of the "Equipment Location Index" to allow better evaluation of compliance with sub-item 2.2.1.2. The response to sub-items 2.2.1.3 and 2.2.1.4 needs to present more detailed descriptions of the processes and procedures used to classify activities and the management controls used to verify the operation of the information system.

Itan 2.2.2 - Incomplete

Licenses needs to present his evaluation of the NUTAS program and describe by it will be implemented at Match 1,2. This program needs to be supplemented tacause it fails to address the establishment and maintenance of an imperface tathean the licensee and all ventors of safety-related equipment to assume that ventor technical information is kapt current, complete, and is incorporated as appropriate into plant protedures and maintenance instructions. The response should also address concerns about division of responsibility between licensee and their ventors who provide maintenance or testing services to assure that needed control is maintained over procedures and maintenance instructions.

Item 3.1.3, 3.2.3 - Incomplete

Licensee needs to state if he has found any post-maintenance testing requirements for either RTS components or other safety-related equipment that may degrade safety. If any such are identified, the applicant shall describe actions to be taken including submitting needed Technical Specification changes. It seems reasonable to assume the actions called for in these items could be completed in the very near future.

Item 4.5.3 - Incomplete

The staff finds that modifications are not required to permit on-line testing of the backup scram valves. However, the staff concludes that testing of the backup scram valves (including initiating circuitry) at a refueling outage frequency, in lieu of on-line testing, is appropriate and should be included in the technical specification surveillance requirements. The licensee needs to address this conclusion.

Licensee needs to describe and present the results of their review of existing or proposed on-line testing intervals. The response shall consider the concerns of sub-items 4.5.3.1 through 4.5.3.5 of the generic letter, show how the selected intervals result in high reactor trip system availability, and present any resulting Technical Specification changes for staff review.

The staff has just received the BWR Owners Group response to Item 4.5.3 (NEDC-30844). If the licensee intends to formally endorse the Owners Group response, the licensee should delay his plant-specific response to Item 4.5.3 until after the staff completes their review of the Owners Group response.