SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS & TROWBRIDGE A PARTNERSHIP OF PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

1800 M STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

RAMSAY D. POTTS, P.C. STEUART L. BITTMAN, P.C. DEORGE F. TROWBRIDGE, P.C. DERALD CHARNOFF, P.C. PHILLIP D. BOSTWICK, P.C. R. TIMOTHY HANLON, P.C. GEORGE M. ROGERS, JR., P.C. FRED A. LITTLE, P.C. JOHN B. RHINELANDER, P.C. BRUCE W. CHURCHILL, P.C. LESUE A. NICHOLSON, JR., P.C. MARTIN D. KRALL, P.C. JAY E. SILBERG, P.C. GEORGRAM, ROSSOT, P.C. GEORGRAM, B.C. STELED, S.JONES, P.C. SHELDON J. WEISEL, P.C. J. PATRICK HICKEY, P.C. STEVEN L. MELTER, P.C. STEVEN L. MELTER, P.C. STEVEN L. MELTER, P.C. GAN D. AULICE, F.C. DANNEL M. BERG, JR. P.C. GAN D. AULICE, F.C. C. THOMAS LENHART, P.C. STEVEN L. MELTER, P.C. GAN D. AULICE, F.C. C. THOMAS HENHART, P.C. C. THOMAS HENHART, P.C.

194

STEPHEN B. HUTTLER. P.C.	
WINTHROP N. BROWN, P.C.	
RANDAL B HAMLIN, P.C.	
ROBERT E. ZAHLER P.C.	
ROBERT B. ROBBINS, P.C.	
STEVEN M. LUCAS, P.C.	
MATIAS F TRAVIESO DIAZ PC	
VICTORIA J. PERKINS, P.C.	
JOHN H. O'NEILL, JR., P.C.	
JEFFFERY L VARION DC	
JACK MCKAY, P.C.	
ELISABETH M. PENDLETON	
HARRY H. GLASSPIEGEL	
WILLIAM P. BARR*	
CHARLES H. MONTANGE	
JEAN M. GALLOWAY	
PHILIP J. HARVEY	
ROBERT M. GORDON	
BONNIE S. GOTTLIEB	
DEBORAH B. BAUSER	
SCOTT A. ANENBERG	
CAMPBELL KILLEFER	
JOHN M. BRYSON, II*	
GEORGE F. ALBRIGHT, JR. **	

(202) 822-1000 TELECOPIER Ceelisse & eeoisse (505)

> PADIFAX 100 (202) 822-1072

TELEX 89 2693 (SHAWLAW WSH) CABLE "SHAWLAW"

VIRGINIA OFFICE ISOI FARM CREDIT DRIVE MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102

JOHN F. DEALY B. SCOTT CUSTER, JR. RICHARD S. BEATTY ROBERT E. CONN COUNSEL

January 18, 1985

DAVID J. CYNAMON JACK A. HORN* SUJIS A. MATHSWS SUBJES A. MATHSWS SUBJES A. MICHANS SUBJES A. MICHAN DELISSA A. RIOGWAY KENNETH J. HAUTMAN DAVID LAWRENCE MILLER FREDERICK L. KLEIN STEVEN P. PITLER RICHARD J. PARRINO ELLEN A. FREDEL ROBERT C. BOEHM MARIEN D. OLAND' TIANE E. GUILLER MICHAEL K. MADDEN RALDHA. TAYLOR JR. HANNAH E. M. LIEBERMAN JUDITH A. SANDLER ANDREW D. ELLIS RICHARD A. SAMPR HOMAS E. CROCKER, JR. YENNETH R. MILLER HOMAS E. CROCKER, JR. YENNETH R. MILLER HOMAS E. CROCKER, JR. YENNETH R. MILLER JOHN H. MORE PAUL S. PILECKI* WENDELIN A. WHITE

STANLEY M. BARG LESLIE K. SMITH VIRGINIA S. RUTLEDGE KATHERINE P. CHEEK TRAVIS T. BROWN, JR. STEPHEN B. HEIMANN EILEEN L. BROWNELL PAMELA H. ANDERSON ALEXANDER D. TOMASZCZUK JEFFREY J. A. GIBBS LAURENCE W. COMEN DEREK W. LINDEN STLVIA M. LOTRECK W. LINDEN STLVIA M. LOTRECK DEFFER S. SNYDER PHILIP D. PORTER HILIP D. PORTER PHILIP D. MONIGER HICHAEL A. SWIGER ELLEN SHERIFF ANITA J. FINKELSTEIN D. BOWDON THAIN D. BOWDON THAIN D. BOWDON THAIN VENETH A. GALLO DAVID R. LEWIS A. PATRICK LEIGHTON III* JEFFREY G. BERMAN KENNETH D. AUERBACH ALAN D. WASSERMAN RICHARD K. A. BECKER WILBERT WASHINGTON II DONATHAN T. DIVERTMAN DOUGLAS L. HILLEBOE* NOT ADMITTED IN D.C. . ADMITTED IN VIRGIN

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER (202) 822-1051

DSO3

Gary J. Edles Administrative Judge Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. W. Reed Johnson Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

> Dr. Reginald L. Gotchy Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

In the Matter of Metropolitan Edison Company, Et Al. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1) Docket No. 50-289 OLA

Dear Administrative Judges:

We have noticed that, because of reproduction difficulties, some portions of one of the documents submitted with "Licensee's Answer to TMIA's Motion to Reopen the Record," dated January 14, 1985, are illegible. Accordingly I am enclosing legible copies of Document No. TDR-638 which was attached to the affidavit of F. Scott Giacobbe.

Respectfully submitted,

Churchi

Enclosure cc: Service List attached

PDR

8501230269 850118 PDR ADOCK 05000289

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board

In the Matter of

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, ET AL.

Docket No. 50-289-OLA (Steam Generator Repair)

(Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1)

SERVICE LIST

Gary J. Edles Administrative Judge Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. W. Reed Johnson Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Reginald L. Gotchy Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Sheldon J. Wolfe Administrative Judge Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. David L. Hetrick Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board College of Engineering Dept. of Nuclear and Energy Engr. The University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona 85721 Dr. James C. Lamb, III Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board 313 Woodhaven Road Chapel Hill, N.C. 27514

Mary E. Wagner, Esq. Office of Executive Legal Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section (3) Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Joanne Doroshow, Esq. Louise Bradford Three Mile Island Alert, Inc. 315 Peffer Street Harrisburg, PA 17102 ASLAB Service List Page Two TMI-1

Thomas Y. Au Assistant Counsel Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources Bureau of Regulatory Counsel Room 505 Executive House P. O. Box 2357 Harrisburg, PA 17120

GPU Nuclear Corporation

100 Interpace Parkway Parsippany, New Jersey 07054-1149 (201) 263-6500 TELEX 136-482 Writer's Direct Dial Number:

January 14, 1985 5211-85-2010 RFW-0382

Mr. John F. Stolz, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 4 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Stolz:

Nuclear

Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit 1 (TMI-1) Operating License No. DPR-50 Docket No. 50-289 Steam Generator Eddy Current Test Result Evaluation

In accordance with the Technical Specifications for TMI-1, an eddy current examination of the steam generator tubes was conducted in November and December 1984. An initial report on the results of the examination was contained in LER-84-007, submitted on December 17, 1984.

we have just completed a Technical Data Report (TDR No. 638) entitled "Evaluation of Eddy Current Indications Detected During the 1984 Tech. Spec. Inspection." This TDR supplements the information contained in LER-84-007.

We are continuing our evaluation of the results of the examination and we will provide you any additional information that becomes available.

Sincerely, Wirson

Director Technical Functions

1r/0537e

cc: R. Conte Dr. T. Murley H. Silver C. McCracken

GPU Nuclear Corporation is a subsidiary of General Public Utilities Corporation

5	III Nuclear		TDR	NO638	REVISION NO	0
TE	CHNICAL DATA REPOR	T	BUD	SET	PAGE _1 O	48
PRO	TMI-1 OTSG REPAIRS			ATMENT/SECTION	ngineering & Des	ign
				1/11/85		-
000	UMENT TITLE: Evaluat	ion of Eddy (HELE	Indications Detect	REVISION DATE _	
-	During	the 1984 Tech	. Spec.	Inspection	1	
ORN	SINATOR SIGNATURE	~	DATE	APPROVALISI SIGNE	Failly in	DATE
J	. A. Janiszewski Q Q	Janizeurs:	1/11/85	G. E. Von Nieda	A.E. Von nuch	1/11/85
				N. C. Kazanas N	CKgyun	1/13/85
			-	D. K. Croneberger	Manulay	1.14-85
				APPROVAL FOR EXTE	RNAL DISTRIGUTION	DATE
-				R. F. Wilson	· W/s-	11/14/85
Dee	s this TDR Include recomm	endation (s) ? [Ye	-	H yes. TPWR/TR #	`	1
	DISTRIBUTION	ABSTRACT:			and the second	
		In ord	er to i	identify the cause	of the eddy curr	rent
	R. O. Barley	teringing i	n Noven	ted during the TMI-	-1 OTSG tube exam	ailure
	G. R. Capodanno	Analysis po	rformed	an in-depth revie	w of the eady cu	urrent
	J. J. Colitz	results and OTSC's were	first	operating/chemistr	y history since	the renairs
	D. K. Croneberger	Two ac	seible.	causes for the edd	v current indice	rione
	B. D. Elem	were evalua	ited: o	corrosion, eicher d	continuing or new	1y
	M. J. Graham	initiated,	and ent	nance' eddy current	detectability of	of
	N. C. Kazanas	GPUN Layup	specifi	ications were follo	wed. Some out o	of spec-
	R. J. McGoey	ification p	eriods	did occur; however rot of sufficient	, they were prom	nptly
	I. A. Richter	corrosion.	Additt	ional corrosion-pre	ventive condition	ons were
	G. R. Taylor	also mainta	ined du	uring layup.		
	R. F. Wilson	During	hot of	specifications that	hemistry condit:	ions were
	I. G. Broughton	and TMI-1 t	ube tes	sting have shown an	e non-corrosive	
	W. Bloomfield	The mo tions at the areas of IC hydraulic fi fall out or distance wi disturbance Addition the fiberso the mechanic fied in the 1984 eddy of tive of IGA	St like SA. As a forces of grain thin pr and a lonal pl tope exa cal dap tube f tube f were s	ely reason for havi e was enhanced deter a result of thermal during hot function boundaries could s re-existing IGA, re correspondingly la lant data from leak amination of a samp mage scenario. No free span since 198 indications, patch seen by the fiberso	ng eddy current ctability of pro- ly induced stra- ial testing, gra- sulting in grea- rger eddy current trate observation leaks have been 3. In the regi- i-like indication	indica- e-existin ins and ins could hort ter local nt signal ons and o support identi- on of ns sugger
COV	ER PAGE CINLY				and the second se	A0000030 +

- 700

TDR 638 Rev. 00 Page 2 of 48

Table of Contents

Page

Introduction

Background

Evaluation of Eddy Current Results

Post-Baseline Growth Studies

In-Process Testing ISI Indications June 1984 Testing 100 Tube Sample November 1984

1984 Technical Specification Required Testing

Spatial Distribution Characterization of Indications Degraded Tubes

Chemistry Specifications

Corrosion Experience with Inconel 600 Corrosion Test Results

> Long Term Corrosion Test Short Term Test Results

Bulk vs. Surface Effects TMI-1 Chemistry Guidelines

> Hot Operations Layup

Chemistry and Operating History Review

Data Base Results of Operational/Chemistry Review

> Chloride and Sulfate Oxygen

Other Operational Considerations

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 3 of 48

Page

In-Plant Observations

Leak Testing Fiberscope Inspection of Selected Tubes

Discussion

General Detectability of Indications by Eddy Current

Conclusions

References

Appendix

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 4 of 48

Introduction

In accordance with the requirements of Technica! Specification 4.19, eddy current testing of the OTSG tubing at TMI-1 was begun in November 1984. Initial testing with the 0.540" high gain standard differential probe method revealed previously unreported indications in the unexpanded portions of the OTSG tubes between the tube sheets.

Two possible causes for the eddy current indications were identified and evaluated; first, whether corrosion of the OTSG tubes caused either new defects or growth of existing defects and second, whether straining of existing defects caused them to become more detectable by eddy current. Since the original 100% baseline inspection of the OTSG tubes in 1982, the tubes have been subjected to mechanical loading during the kinetic expansion and thermal and hydraulic loads during the two hot functional tests.

In order to attempt to determine the cause of these indications, the Materials Engineering/Failure Analysis group reviewed 1) the historical eddy current data and 2) plant operational and chemistry data since the OTSG's were filled after the kinetic expansion repair of the tubes.

Based on the results of this review, the cause of the indications is discussed. Data supporting the conclusion are also included.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 5 of 48

Background

As defined by Technical Specification 4.19, GPUN conducted eddy current examinations of both steam generators at TMI Unit 1. Performance of this examination ultimately resulted in 100% of the tubes in A-OTSG and all tubes in the outer 16 tube periphery of the B-OTSG being examined.

The B-OTSG had only a limited number of indications with an indicated through-wall extent greater than 40%. Due to the limited number of B-OTSG indications, statistically-based analysis is not feasible. All these indications, however, are located near the outer periphery of the B-OTSG.

The following generalization, about the EC indications can be drawn from the A-OTSG results:

- They are primarily located in the upper tube sheet and 16th tube span area.
- They are concentrated in the outer periphery, but some indications occur across the entire OTSG.
- Most indications are less than 50% through wall.
- 4. They generally exhibit voltages in the 0.5-2 v. range.
- 5. By 8 x 1 absolute eddy current, the number of coils tends to be 2 or less, indicating a small circumferential extent.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 6 of 48

Evaluation of Eddy Current Results

Note: This section uses the eddy current data base as of Jan. 3, 1985.

GPUN conducted a qualified full-length, eddy current examination program on all tubes from both generators during July to November 1982. The purpose of this program was to screen out all relevant indications and establish a 6" qualified length in the kinetically expanded zone immediately above the new transition zone which was essentially indication free. It was further established that, although we were using a process that was approximately 175% more sensitive than previously used at TMI in performing eddy current examinations, small defects below the threshold of detection could exist. Reference I identifies the maximum size of these small defects which could possibly go undetected.

Prior to the expansion, a 100-tube sample of tubes in each generator was eddy current tested periodically to check for indication changes. These tests were performed on seven occasions over a 7 month period. No growth was observed.

Post-Baseline Growth Studies

In-Process Testing

During and following the kinetic expansion repair, a total of 437 tubes were inspected in both the A and B generators (Ref 2, 3). A total of 15 tubes (3.5%) with indications were found that had not been detected by our ECT inspection program prior to the repair. An evaluation was performed on why these indications were not identified previously (Ref. 3). It was concluded that:

- The recent indications were not initiated by the kinetic expansion process nor was there any evidence of ductile propagation of existing indications.
- 2) The defects were small (threshold) type indications that had been either masked by the high background noise levels in the upper tube regions or were sufficiently tight that sufficient metal removal was not present to permit detection. Kinetic expansion may have altered these areas of IGA to make them more detectable.

Confirmation on the small size of the indications was established by the visual examination using fiber-optics. Some of the indications appeared to be small pits.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 7 of 48

Additional confirmation was obtained that kinetic expansion would not cause ductile tearing by using test mock-ups and metallurgical examination (Ref. 2). Small intergranular stress assisted (IGSAC) cracks were examined using eddy current techniques before and after kinetic expansions. Expansion caused the cracks to become non-detectable by .540" S.D. techniques. However, the cracks remained visible to the 8 X I absolute technique with essentially no change in signal. These specimen tubes were subsequently removed from the test block and metallurgical examination did not reveal ductile tearing or generation of new indications.

ISI Indications

During OTSG repairs, a subset of tubes (28 in A-OTSG, 56 in B-OTSG) was identified as having eddy current indications that did not require plugging. That is, the indications were less than 40% through wall, not in the lane/lane wedge area, and below the 15th tube support plate. This group of tubes (designated as "ISI" tubes by GPUN) was fully characterized and listed for eddy current inspection in the future as a distinct subset.

The "ISI" tubes were re-examined in April/May 1983. No growth of the existing indications was detected.

As part of the eddy current campaign which started in October 1984, all 84 of the "ISI" tubes have been retested. No growth in the ISI subset was detected. (Growth is identified as a substantial increase in the through wall percentage, combined with an increase in voltage and circumferential extent.)

June 1984 Testing

During June 1984, 67 tubes in B-OTSG and 3 tubes in A-OTSG were eddy current tested. This set of tubes was retested in November 1984 - no new indications were detected for the two retests performed.

100 Tube Sample November 1984

Since discovery of the additional indications in November 1984, a second 100 tube sample with indications has been re-examined at approximate two week intervals. As of December 18, 1984, no growth and no new indications have been detected for the two retests performed.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 8 of 48

1984 Technical Specification Required Testing

In November 1984, eddy current testing required by TMI-1 Technical Specification 4.19 was conducted as specified. 3% of the tubes in each generator were initially examined. This examination included tubes randomly selected across the entire generator plus a concentrated examination in the periphery of each generator. The more extensive examination in the periphery was performed because this was the region of highest previous (1981) damage.

As a result of this initial examination, OTSG A was classified as category "C-3" per technical specification and OTSG B was classified as category "C-2". Subsequently the entire A-OTSG was inspected while the B-OTSG inspection was complete after the entire 16-tube periphery, approximately 6500 tubes, had been examined.

The number of indications is much higher in A-OTSG than B-OTSG. In A-OTSG, 2.0% of the tubes (299 out of approximately 14589) have indications greater than 40% through wall, while in B-OTSG, 0.5% (33 out of approximately 6576) have such indications.

Spatial Distribution

The indications with greater than 40% through wall extent are concentrated toward the outer periphery and top of A-OTSG. In the outer periphery, the percentage of tubes with greater than 40% through wall indications is higher than the 2.0% average, while inside the outer support rods the percentage of indications is below 1%. 71% of the indications are located above the 15th tube support plate (TSP).

Characterization of Indications

To understand the nature of the defects better, we characterized the indications reported back in the 1981-1982 time frame and compared them to the indications discovered today.

The axial and radial locations of indications in A-OTSG are essentially the same in 1984 as in 1982, if one does not consider the 1982 indications in the kinetically expanded region in the 1984 evaluation.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 9 of 48

Table 1 characterizes the 1982 and 1984 eddy current signals. The 1984 eddy current indications exhibit a similar type of signal response as the previous test program. Details of the differences in responses are noted below:

- Reported voltages are essentially the same. This indicates that the 1984 indications present a similar volume for the eddy current probe to detect as the 1982 IGSAC.
- Both through wall penetration and number of coils is significantly lower in 1984. Thus, the 1984 indications extend a shorter distance both into and around the OTSG tube.

Statistical analysis of the eddy current data reveals that 90% of the observed indications fall between 10% and 50% through wall penetration, and between .020" and .190" long.

Degraded Tubes

Per GPUN procedure, tubes with indications reported between 20 and 40% through wall were not required to be plugged if the tubes were not in the lane or lane wedge and the indication was below the 15th tube support plate. At the completion of the 1982 kinetic expansion repairs, a total of 15 A-CTSG tubes and 51 B-OTSG tubes were classified as "degraded" and were included in the ISI group. As of January 4, 1985, 347 additional A-OTSG tubes and 98 additional B-OTSG tubes are classed as degraded.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 10 of 48

Table 1

Comparison of 1982 and 1984 Eddy Current Data

a) Reported Voltage - % of indications reported

	A-	OTSG	B-(DTSG
Voltage	1982	1984	1982	1984
41	34 44	40 35	24 30	27 21
2	16	20	25	29
>3	2	4	10	12

b) Reported through wall penetration - % of indications

	A-OTSG		B-OTSG		
% T.V.	1982	1984	1982	1984	
∠ 20 20-40 40-60	⁴ ¹ ³ ²¹	< 1 61 25	12 28 24	75 18	
60-80 > 80	17 59	10 4	15 21	5 2	

c) Number of coils on 8 x 1 examination - % of calls

	A-	OTSC	B-OTSG		
Coils	1982	1984	1982	1984	
1 2	20 26	90 10	18 24	80 20	
>3	16 38	21	15 43	21	

NOTE: 1982 data includes inspection of original tube roll transition area. The 1984 data does not include inspection from the top of tube sheet to the bottom of the kinetically expanded region.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 11 of 48

Chemistry Specifications

Corrosion Experience with Inconel 600

Three types of primary-side initiated attack have been identified in Inconel 600. In recirculating steam generators using mill-annealed tubes that have not been stress-relieved after U-bending, stress corrosion cracking (SCC) has initiated from the primary side in the highly stressed bend areas. Also in mill-annealed tubes in recirculating steam generators, SCC has been found to initiate from the primary side at highly stressed transition areas in the lower tubesheet. Laboratory studies have shown that the stress relieved Inconel tubing used in OTSG's is significantly more resistant to SCC than the mill annealed type.

The other primary side attack of Inconel 600 that has occurred in steam generators is the intergranular stress assisted cracking (IGSAC) caused by reduced sulfur species on sensitized OTSG tubing. This is the mechanism which caused the TMI-1 OTSG leakage in 1981. This mechanism requires sensitized tubing, low temperatures, oxygen, and significant levels of reduced sulfur species.

Corrosion Test Results

As part of the overall program to evaluate the most recent eddy current testing results, we have reviewed the results of corrosion tests performed as part of the original failure analysis and OTSG requalification programs. These data provided a partial basis upon which we could evaluate the layup and test conditions to which the steam generators had been subjected.

Long Term Corrosion Test (LTCT)

The primary purpose of the long term corrosion tests was to verify that the proposed operating chemistry specifications are satisfactory to prevent corrosive attack of the OTSG tubes. To this end, chemistry conditions for the testing were established at the maximum allowable values consistent with the upgraded TMI-1 operating specification (Ref. 4). The LTCT was conducted using actual TMI-1 tubing. Temperatures, tube loads, and heatup and cooldown rates were representative of actual plant operating conditions.

In addition, as the LTCT was actually performed, specific factors which parallel actual plant layup conditions were experienced. The tubes were held in a cold, aerated condition for several days after the completion of each operating cycle. Aeration was done after cooldown. Before heatups, or while waiting for other autoclaves in the test program to be ready for operation, the test loops were operated in a cold, deaerated, circulating mode. Because eddy current examinations were done after each test cycle, the tubes had to be removed from the autoclaves and drained. Thus, drained aerated layup conditions were also included.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Pace 12 of 48

Table 2 summarizes LTCT operational times in each mode. All loops spent significant time under drained, cold deaerated, and aerated conditions.

Review of the chemistry history of the LTCT's revealed that the conditions were comparable to the plant's experience. The LTCT specification (Ref 5) for sulfate and chlorides was 0.100 ppm + .050 ppm. Actual analysis results (Ref. 6, 7, 8) revealed that the concentrations of these species were maintained at or slightly above the .150 ppm upper limit. The actual values measured in these tests bound any of the contaminant "spikes" reported in the Chemistry and Operational History Review.

C-ring tube samples from archive tubing (tubing never installed in the TMI-1 OTSG's, which was included as a control sample) showed no evidence of cracking, pitting or general corrosion.

Some intergranular attack (IGA) was noted on 4 C-rings made from a single TMI-1 OTSG tube; this IGA was evaluated to be pre-existing damage associated with the 1981 IGSAC incident. Of a total of 38 C-rings evaluated, 31 had no visible defects, 3 showed very shallow cracks when strained severely, and 4 had IGA as described above.

Five full tube samples were metallographically examined after the LTCT. In addition to previously reported defects, four samples exhibited scattered, shallow cracking or ICA which was not detectable by eddy current testing. This IGA was consistent in size and shape with IGA that had been seen during the failure analysis (Ref. 9). Therefore, the observed IGA on these four tubes was judged to have been present at the start of the LTCT.

One tube sample had severe IGSAC and IGA which had progressed during the term of the LTCT and had been detected by eddy current. The tube sample which showed flaw growth during the LTCT was exposed in the test loop in which the sulfur species was thiosulfate, at a concentration of 0.100 ppm \pm 0.050 ppm (as sulfate). Therefore, the only tube sample exhibiting flaw growth during the LTCT was exposed to intentionally added, reduced corrosive sulfur species.

The four C-ring samples showing IGA and the full tube sample showing flaw growth were removed from the same OTSG tube. This tube was recorded as having multiple eddy current indications when inspected in the OTSG. The IGA seen in the post-test examination is there are consistent with an original tube sample which had multiple defects and, presumably, associated IGA.

Results of metallographic examination of the LTCT samples (Ref. 8) confirmed that in the absence of intentionally added aggressive sulfur species, normal operations would not cause corrosion of TMI-1 OTSG tubing.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 13 of 48

Short Term Test Results

Several sets of tests were previously run on Inconel 600 tubing to establish corrosion resistance under various conditions representative of TMI-1 service. Those results which apply to the period of this review are summarized below:

- Screening work on actual TMI-1 removed tubes and archive tubes (Ref. 10) identified that at oxidizing potentials, 1 ppm of thiosulfate was required to cause IGSAC. Sulfate levels as high as 10 ppm did not cause IGSAC.
- Simulation of hot functional testing and cooldown (Ref. 11) utilizing thiosulfate contamination and actual operating temperatures and times revealed that 1 ppm of thiosulfate caused IGSAC.

These short term tests thus confirmed that in the absence of thiosulfate contamination, no short term attack of OTSG tubes is expected.

Bulk vs. Surface Effects

The above corrosion tests were performed using actual TMI-1 OTSG tubing. The surface film condition was therefore representative of that in the plant. Chemistry control in both corrosion testing and actual operation is done by the measurement and control of species of interest in the bulk fluid.

Since both surface conditions and chemistry control were identical between the laboratory tests and plant operations, the results of the corrosion tests can be directly applied to the plant environment, and, conversely, plant bulk chemistry data can be used to evaluate the propensity for corrosion.

TMI-1 Chemistry Guidelines

Hot Operations

After sulfur was identified as the causative agent of the 1981 IGSAC, hot operational guidelines (Ref. 4) were reviewed to ensure that adequate corrosion protection was maintained. As a result of this review, two changes were made to provide increased margins against corrosive attack.

First, a requirement was added that primary system sulfate be maintained below 0.100 ppm. Sulfate at this level does not cause corrosive attack of Inconel 600 in primary coolant, and maintaining sulfate below this level provided assurance that intermediate sulfur species could not exist at harmful concentrations.

Second, the lower limit on lithium concentration was increased to 1.0 ppm, to take advantages of lithium's inhibiting effect on sulfur-induced IGSAC in Inconel 600 (Ref. 12).

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 14 of 48

The net result of these changes is to ensure that total sulfur species concentrations are a factor of 10 below the level at which corrosive attack might occur. At the same time, the minimum Li/S ratio will be 30 (or Li/SO4 of 10), which is a factor of 3 over the recommended (Ref. 12) ratio of 10 for inhibition of IGSAC initiation.

Layup

For cold layup conditions, guidelines have been established to maintain as many protective conditions as feasible. The individual protective conditions that are feasible for the TMI-1 RCS are:

- Elevated pH during layup, pH has been elevated, using ammonia, to at least 7.2. The normal pH without ammonia is 5.6 - 6.5.
- 2) Control of contaminants The primary water contaminants of concern are chlorides and sulfates. Chlorides have traditionally been limited to less than 0.100 ppm during operation; we have maintained this level as a general guideline during layup. The sulfate level of less than 0.100 ppm used during hot operation also applies to layup.
- 3) Control of oxygen level When the system is filled and able to be pressurized, the oxygen level is to be maintained below 0.1 ppm. For cases where the primary system is open and oxygen cannot be excluded, air saturated conditions are specified as this is more protective than some intermediate oxygen level.
- 4) Control of OTSG level One of the contributing factors to the 1981 IGSAC incident was the existence of a water line on the primary side of the OTSG tubes. For layup of the OTSG's, wherever possible, no static waterline shall be allowed to exist in the OTSG tubes. Either the water level should be above the upper tubesheet or the OTSG primary side should be fully drained.
- 5) Inventory Turnover Periodic replenishing of the OTSG contents will assure that local buildup of contaminants will not occur. Layup guidelines have included provisions for periodically turning over the water inventory on the OTSG primary side to meet this objective.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 15 of 48

TABLE 2

		Operat					
	Hot	Cold Circ	Cold Circulating		a 1) Comments		
roob	noc	Deaeraced	Aeraceu	(note	.,	connerres	-
1	348	52	28	132			
2	308	69	27	157	Thi	iosulfate	100 p
3	241	42	23	58			
4	242	40	22	61			

Summary of Operations for Long Term Corrosion Tests

Notes

 Does not include drained layup between completion of operational cycles and start of metallographic examination.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 16 of 48

Chemistry and Operating History Review

Data Base

The chemistry and operating history data were obtained from two sources. First, the on-site Plant Analysis group reviewed operational records to identify plant conditions during this time period (Ref. 13). Then, we retrieved the primary plant chemistry parameters of interest from the GPUN computerized chemistry data base.

The major plant activities that occurred between May 1983 and October 1984 are listed in Table 3. Within each of these periods, we identified different plant conditions of RCS level, temperature, pressure, circulation, and pH. Then, we reviewed the chemistry data for each time period.

Chemistry data selected to be of interest with respect to corrosion were pH, oxygen, lithium, sulfate and chloride. As an additional check on the effectiveness of chemistry controls, we calculated the lithium to sulfur ratio for each operating period. In cases where simultaneous analyses for lithium and sulfate exist, we calculated the Li/S ratio for each data point.

The data from the operational and chemistry investigations are plotted as a function of time in Appendix A.

Results of Operational/Chemistry Review

During both hot shutdown and cold layup conditions, TMI-1 has maintained conditions within chemistry guidelines for about 95% of the time. For short time periods, some deviations have occurred which are discussed in the balance of this section.

Chloride and Sulfate

There have been short time periods where chlorides and/or sulfates have exceeded specified limits. In all instances chemistry data reflect that corrective actions were appropriately and promptly taken to return the concentrations of these species to specified levels. Collectively, these out-of-specification periods can best be described as normal chemistry "spikes".

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 17 of 48

Oxygen

In preparation for both the September 1983 and May 1984 hot functional tests, it was necessary for the RCS to be taken from a layup to an operating mode. During this transition, oxygen levels were higher than desired for optimum protection, but other factors made it very unlikely that corrosion occurred. First, chloride and sulfate concentrations were controlled to acceptably low levels. Second, the lithium level was maintained such that the minimum lithium to sulfur ratio was 66; the recommended minimum value for protection against IGSAC is 10 (Ref. 12). Chemistry control during these periods is summarized in Table 4.

Other Operational Considerations

During the Integrated Leak Rate Test (ILRT) in April 1984, the primary side water level was maintained at about the 12th tube support plate for 8 days. This condition was both preceded and followed by drained layup with elevated pH, aerated water. Both sulfate and chloride levels remained within specification. Therefore, no OTSG tube corrosion was expected.

In August 1983 and May 1984 oxygenated water was injected into deoxygenated RCS during HPI testing. Most of these tests were conducted prior to the high temperature portion of the hot functional tests, and the oxygen introduced would have been consumed by hydrazine and/or hydrogen added for that purpose. One test was conducted on May 26, 1984, at the end of HFT and may be postulated to have injected 5000-6000 gallons of oxygen-saturated water. During this time period, however, the lithium to sulfur ratio was greater than 30 which was more than adequate to inhibit corrosion during this test.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 18 of 48

TABLE 3

Major Plant Evolutions, 5/83 to 10/24

Event	Duration
Fill & Bubble Test	June 1983
Peroxide Clean	July 1983
Hot Functional Test	Aug - Oct 1983
Circulating Wet Layup	Oct - Nov 1983
DH-VI Repair	Nov 1983
Circulating Wet Layup	Nov 1983 - Jan 198
RC-PIB Repair	Feb - April 1984
Integrated Leak Rate Test	April 1984
Hot Functional Test	May 1984
Non-Circulating Wet Layup	May - June 1984
Tube Plug Rerolling and	June - Oct 1984
Bubble Testing	

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 19 of 48

TABLE 4

Chemistry Summary Before Hot Functional Testing

Period	Days	Oxygen, ppm	Li, ppm	SO4, ppm	C1 ppm	Li/S Ratio
8/83	29	0.3	.82-1.96	.047079	.05156	66-123
5/84	19	.075-2.2	1.06-2.17	.02047	.05110	127-240

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 20 of 48

In-Plant Observations

Leak Testing

Since completion of the kinetic expansion repairs, several leak tests have been performed to measure primary-to-secondary leakrates and identify individual leaking tubes. These tests are summarized in Table 5.

No pattern of tube leakage can be seen. After the cooldown tests included in hot functional testing some increase in leakage was seen. Further investigation showed that this leakage was the result of leaks through a small number of tubes. These leaks were located in the expanded region within the upper tube sheet and were repaired by mechanically rolling a portion of the expanded area.

Of greatest significance is that since 1983 no tube which is in service has had a leak in an unexpanded portion of the tube. All leaks have either been due to bypass leaks in the expanded area or leaking plugs.

Fiberscope Inspection of Selected Tubes

A fiberscope inspection was performed (Ref. 14) of six A-OTSG tubes which exhibited typical eddy current indications. During the inspection features were observed on 4 out of 6 tubes at the same elevation as the eddy current indications.

The visual features were "patchlike" rounded areas having an outer ring which was darker than the general tube surface and slightly reflective components in the interior. The patches were between 0.020 and 0.060" in diameter.

The patches appeared similar to surface deposits seen during the initial tube failure analysis. These earlier deposits were found to be associated with partial through wall intergranular attack.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 21 of 48

TABLE 5

Leak Tests in OTSG's Since 5/01/83

Month/Year	Test Type	Reason For Test	Results	Repairs
May 1983	Drip	Test of Kinetic Expansion	2 Leaking Tubes, 8 Leaking Rolled Plugs 10 Leaking Explosive Plugs	Plugs Installed/Rerolled
June 1983	Bubble/Drip	Final Test of Kinetic Expansion	Small Number of Slightly Leaking Tubes and Plugs in A OTSG - 1 Leaking welded plug	Repaired welded plug
Sept 1983	Kr-85 Tracer	Establish Baseline Leak Rate	Baseline Leak Rate 1 gph	None Required
May 1984	Kr-85 Tracer	Measure Baseline Leak Rate	Slight Increase in Leak Rate	None Required
June 1984	Bubble/Drip	Identify Leaking Tube(s)	4-5 Leaking Tubes in B-OTSS	Plug 3 tubes w/welded plugs
			6 Rolled Plugs Missing	Reroll all W plugs Replugged tubes.
Oct. 1984	Bubble/Drip	Test Rolled Repairs	Small Number of Leaking Tubes, one welded plug	Roll 8 Tubes Reweld Plug

Note: No leaks seen in final October 1984 Bubble Test, after tube rolling.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 22 of 48

Discussion

General

Removal of sodium thiosulfate from the TMI-1 site and tighter operational chemistry controls implemented since 1981 have made it highly unlikely that the conditions to cause sulfur-induced IGSAC could be recreated. The steam generator layup guidelines are specifically designed to protect the steam generators from additional corrosion and are more stringent than B&W's generic recommendations, particularly in the areas of contaminant control and the use of elevated pH during cold layup. Industry experience on B&W PWR's also does not reveal any other primary-side initiated attack mechanisms on Inconel OTSG tubing.

TMI-1 compliance with operating and layup specifications has been excellent. Transient out-of-specification conditions, which were identified during plant operation, have been infrequent and corrected promptly by the plant operators. Plant conditions have always been bounded by those which were evaluated during corrosion testing and found to be satisfactory.

The only period of possible vulnerability to corrosion would have existed during the time when the OTSG's were drained for the kinetic expansion repair. During this period sulfur would have remained in the oxide film on the tube surfaces as peroxide cleaning had not yet been performed. During this time, however, eddy current testing done on the 100 tube surveillance sample did not reveal any growth of existing indications or any new indications. Thus, while the oxide film may have contained sulfur during this time, there is no evidence that corrosion continued.

Previously detected IGA, both in the failure analysis (Ref. 9) and long term corrosion test (Ref. 8), has roughly been in the form of hemispherical pits penetrating approximately 50% through wall. A pit of this shape and penetration would appear as a circle on the surface of diameter of approximately 0.035". Areas of this circumferential extent would not be predicted to be detectable by the .540 S.D. eddy current technique (Ref. 2).

Under mechanical loadings induced by kinetic expansion or cooldown, these areas could become more detectable by eddy current through several mechanisms:

- creation of a linear grain boundary separation within the IGA islands as was seen in the LTCT (Ref. 8), or
- 2) disconnected grains dropping out and leaving pits.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 23 of 48

Two additional pieces of data from Ref. 16 lend support to the mechanical scenario. First, peripheral tubes consistently see higher loads than core tubes. Therefore, in the periphery, the highest stresses would also act on this IGA. Second, the A-OTSG cooled down more quickly than the B unit. The peak load during the most rapid cooldown (Ref. 16) was 200 lb, or higher (12%), in the A-OTSG than in B-OTSG. Figure 1 is a representation of how the A-OTSG would have had significantly more tubes carrying loads high enough to cause IGA to become more detectable.

A previous study (Ref. 15) on crack opening displacement of archive tubes with approximately .5" long through-wall cracks found that loads between 1500 and 2000 lbs. would induce permanent displacements in the vicinity of the cracks. Loads less than this would induce only elastic displacements with a load of 1000 lbs. producing an elastic displacement of approximately .CO2". Although tubes with cracks of this size are no longer in-service with the steam generators, this study does point out that one can expect local straining in the vicinity of smaller defects, but that it would be of proportionately lesser magnitude.

During the 1983 HFT, the most rapid cooldown was calculated to have induced loads in the tubing of between 1600 and 1700 lbs. (Ref. 16). It is such loads acting on the regions of IGA which we believe leads to grain dropping or grain boundary separation.

Visual observations made during the fiberscope examination of selected OTSG tubes support the cause of the present eddy current indications being mechanical damage to existing IGA. At locations where eddy current indications existed, we frequently saw rounded, darkened areas of a size consistent with IGA detected in the original failure analysis.

Detectability of Indications by Eddy Current

It should be noted that the primary defects of concern for OTSG tube integrity (i.e. tube rupture) are circumferential cracks. The production of 0.540" standard differential eddy current technique is optimized and qualified for this type of defect. However, it can also be used for detecting different defect geometries as discussed below.

The 1984 tube ID indications as detected by eddy current and as seen during the fiberscope inspection had significantly different characteristics than the IGSAC responsible for the 1981 tube leakage. The 1981 IGSAC consisted of tight, circumferential cracks that penetrated completely through the wall. The 1984 observed IGA is more rounded and does not completely penetrate the tube wall.

The different geometry will have a direct effect on detectability. The current .540" S.D. eddy current technique was optimized for the IGSAC geometry; therefore, a different geometry will have a different detectability. The balance of this section of this report will discuss changes in sensitivity due to changes in data ion geometry.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 24 of 48

Figure 2 (Figure 2 from Reference 2) shows the measured sensitivity of the .540" S.D. technique in the range of short circumferentially oriented defects. The shaded region in Fig. 2 identifies the area in which 90% of the 1984 indications fall. It can be seen that the eddy current calls span the 0.3 volt detectability limit. Thus only slight changes in indication geometry could cause a particular indication to become detectable.

In Figure 3a and 3b, we have taken the eddy current data and visual observations from the fiberscope inspection (shown in Table 6) and indicated where the indications would be in relationship to the calibration curves. The tubes for fibrescope inspection were chosen to be representative of the types of indications being found in 1984. All of the below-UTS indications (Figure 3b) are close to the 0.3 v detectability limits; the within-UTS indications (Figure 3a) do not fall into the detectable range. Therefore, it is reasonable that before mechanical loading these indications may not have been detectable. Mechanical loading, as discussed in the previous section, can alter IGA geometry.

Because the calibration was done on a length vs. through-wall basis using EDM notches of a constant axial width of about 0.004", IGA geometry could produce a different signal. Patch-type indications of the same length would have a larger axial extent, and therefore a larger volume, and could be expected to give a higher voltage signal. The S.D. response would also be enhanced by increased axial extent, even at constant defect volume, since the differential coils are wound in the circumferential direction and are more sensitive to the axial extent of defects.

The large increase in the number of degraded tubes in A-OTSG and B-OTSG is also consistent with the scenario of pre-existing IGA becoming more detectable. IGA islands of 20-40% through wall extent would be expected to have a length of about .015-.030 inches; this is below the 300 mV sensitivity for free-span detection (Figure 2). The additional disturbances of mechanical, thermal, and hydraulic loading could easily disturb these islands enough to now make them more detectable.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 25 of 48

				EC Res	ults		
Pou	Tube	Flevation	.540	S.D. Volte	Nolte 8	X 1 Cotle	Viewal Observations
	Tube	Lievacion	<u>* 1</u>	TOTES	TOILS		TIBUUT ODGETTUETOND
89	124	US+5.4	98	1.6	1.6	2	
		US+4					Rounded indications - possible IGA
		US+5.8					Axial alignment of 3 rounded indications
76	119	US+2.4	97	2.1	0.8	2	
		US+5.5					Small dark spot when scanning w/90° head
66	129	15+27.6	70	2.8	1.3	2	
		15+24.5					Rounded indications - possible IGA
61	123	15+21.8	67	2.3	1.1	2	
		15+26					Small dark spot - no detail visible
		15+24.7	45	1.7	0.5	1	
57	128	US-2.6	92	1.3	0.3	1-2	
		US-1.5					Axially oriented rounded indications
60	126	15-14.2/15-6.5	37/42	1/1.0	NDD		Small single rounded indication

Table 6 - Comparison of Preliminary Eddy Current Data and Fiberscope Results

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Fage 26 of 48



Figure 2 - 1984 Eddy Current Date

TDR Rev. 0 Page 27 of 48

Compared to Detectaability Limits



90% of 1984 Indications Lie Within This Area



A-89-124 A-76-119 B

200

000

CO.TT TA TUINE



% Through Wall

3

-

Tube Identification

С	A-66-129
D	A-61-123
Ε	A-57-128
F	A-60-126

GE 11.82 11:40

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 30 of 48

Conclusions

- The TMI-1 layup guidelines are adequate to prevent any identified mechanisms for primary side initiated corrosion of Inconel 600 OTSG tubes.
- The TMI-1 layup guidelines have been adhered to since completion of the kinetic expansion repair. Minor deviations have been corrected promptly.
- 3. Vulnerability to corrosion may have existed during the period when the OTSG's were drained for repair prior to peroxide cleaning. However, eddy current data and the absence of OTSG leakage during this time period do not show evidence of corrosion of OTSG tubes.
- Results of both GPUN-sponsored and industry corrosion test programs confirm that corrosion would not be expected during TMI-1 operations since May 1983.
- Results of eddy current tests since 1982 do not indicate any trends of indication growth of pre-existing indications.
- Leak rate testing and OTSG bubble cesting do not indicate any increases in leakage or new leaks in the tube free span.
- 7. The eddy current data and visual observations are consistent with a mechanism where previously existing areas of intergranular attack are made more detectable by mechanical loading during kinetic expansion and thermal and hydraulic loading during cooldown from HFT.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 31 of 48

References

- R. Barley, J. A. Janiszewski, G. E. Rhedrick and M. Torborg, "Three Mile Island - Unit 1 OTSG Tubing Eddy Current Program Qualification," GPUN Technical Data Report 423, Rev. 1, January 1984.
- G. E. Rhedrick, "Task IV Report on Eddy Current Indications Found Subsequent to Kinetic Expansion of TMI-1 Steam Generator Tubes," GPUN Technical Data Report 401, Rev. 0, April 1983.
- T. M. Moran, "Assessment of TMI-1 Plant Safety for Return to Service After Steam Generator Repair," GPUN Topical Report 008, Rev. 3, August 19, 1983.
- "Primary Water Chemistry," GPUN Specification SP-1101-28-001, Rev. 3, July 11, 1984.
- "Long Term Corrosion Testing," GPUN Specification SP-1101-22-008, Rev. 2, Oct. 29, 1983.
- "Long Term Corrosion Test Program of Nuclear Steam Generator Tubing Samples from Three Mile Island Unit 1 - First Interim Report," Westinghouse Electric Corp. Report No. 0914c/0127c/010684:5, October 1983.
- "Long Term Corrosion Test Program of Nuclear Steam Generator Tubing Samples from Three Mile Island Unit 1 - Second Interim Report," Westinghouse Electric Corp., May 1984.
- "Long Term Corrosion Test Program of Nuclear Steam Generator Tubing Samples from Three Mile Island Unit 1 - Final Report," Westinghouse Electric Corp., unpublished draft.
- A. K. Agrawal, W. N. Stiegelmeyer, and W. E. Berry, "Final Report on Failure Analysis of Inconel 600 Tubes From OTSG A anu B of Three Mile Island Unit 1," Batteile Columbus Laboratories, June 30, 1982.
- 10. J. V. Monter and G. J. Theus, "TMI-1 OTSG Corrosion Test Program - Final Report," Babcock & Wilcox Report No. RDD:83:5433-01-01:01, May 9, 1983.
- J. C. Griess and J. H. DeVan, "Behavior of Inconel 600 in Sulfur-Contaminated Boric Acid Solutions," Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report ORNL/TM-8544, March 1983.

Task 638 Rev. 0 Page 32 of 48

- 12. R. Bandy and K. Kelly, "Investigation of the Sulfur and Lithium to Sulfur Ratio Threshold in Stress Corrosion Cracking of Sensitized Alloy 600 in Borated Thiosulfate Solution," USNRC NUREG/CR-3834, July 1984.
- J. R. Kasper, "TMI-1 Primary Plant Status from 5/01/83 to 11/27/84," GPUN IOM PA-TMI-84-47, Nov. 27, 1984.
- J. A. Janiszewski, "Observations During Fiberscope Inspection of A-OTSG Tubes, Dec. 19, 1984," GPUN IOM MT1-1550, December 28, 1984.
- J. A. Janiszewski, "Leakage and Crack Opening Displacement of OTSG Tubes," GPUN Technical Data Report 480, Rev. 1.
- G. L. Lehmann, T. M. Moran, J. R. Sipp and D. G. Slear, "TMI-1 OTSG Hot Testing Results and Evaluation," GPUN Technical Data Report 488, Rev. 0, October 25, 1983.

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 33 of 48

APPENDIX A

TMI-1 CHEMISTRY DATA

MAY 1, 1983 to OCTOBER 26, 1984

Contents

Table Al - Chemistry Guidelines Applied to TMI-1 5/1/83 to 10/26/84

Figure A1-1 - A1-7 - Chemistry Data for TMI-1 5/1/83 to 10/26/84

TDR 638 Rev. 0 Page 34 of 48

Table Al

CHEMISTRY GUIDELINES APPLIED TO TMI-1

5/1/83 to 10/26/84

Operating Mode	Wet Layup	Drained Layup	Hot Shutdown (Hot Functional Testing)	Peroxide Cleaning
OTSG Primary Level	Full	Drained	Full	Full
Maximum Chloride, ppm	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
Maximum Sulfate, ppm	0.1	0.1	0.1	Note 2
Maximum Oxygen, ppm	0.1	N/A	0.1	Note 2
pH greater	than 7.2	4.6-8.5	4.6-8.5	8.0-8.5
Li,ppm	1.0-2.0	1.0-2.0	1.0-2.0	1.8-2.5
Minimum Li/S ratio	10	10	10	N/A

Notes:

1. Limits are for bulk RCS - no water in OTSG's at this time.

2. Sulfate and oxygen were monitored but no limit was applied.



ידי המז

ายาม จากษาเท ก.ศ.

58.7T 17 TEITT





100 '011 GPU NICHOLS PIG.

58-21 13 11:25



500

100.57

01 15 92 T1:25





100.00 GPU NICHOLS PDG. CG:LL 58-21 13 BR





600

100 101

GPU NICHOLS RDG.

FS:11 S8/21 10



-

יזובים מעה אורשחרים עיומי

CR 21 13







STA

too 'on

90:TT 00.7T T1



