





## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

April 2, 1985

35 ARR -5 AT :11

DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

Peter B. Bloch, Esq., Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Herbert Grossman, Alternate Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Elizabeth B. Johnson Administrative Judge Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box X, Building 3500 Oak Ridge, TN 37830 Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom Administrative Judge Dean, Division of Engineering, Architecture and Technology Oklahoma State University Stillwater, OK 74078

Dr. Walter H. Jordan Administrative Judge 881 W. Outer Drive Oak Ridge, TN 34830

In the Matter of
Texas Utilities Generating Electric, et al.
(Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2)
Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446

Dear Administrative Judges:

On March 16 and 19, 1985, respectively, Intervenor Citizens Association for Sound Energy (CASE) filed supplements to its January 7, 1985 Motion for Reconsideration of the Board's Memorandum (Concerning Welding Issues), LBP-84-54 (December 18, 1984). Under the Commission's Rules of Practice, the Staff's responses to CASE's submissions are schedule to be filed not later than April 2 and April 5, 1985, respectively. See 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.710 and 2.730(c).

In each of its supplements to its Motion for Reconsideration, CASE indicated that it intends to submit additional information to the Board in support of its Motion for Reconsideration of the Board's Welding Order.

See e.g., March 16, 1985 Supplement at 2; March 19, 1985 Supplement at 11. In light of these representations, the Staff believes that the resources of all of the parties and the Board would be utilized more effectively if the Staff were permitted to defer filing its response to CASE's supplemental submissions until all of CASE's supplemental submissions have been filed. The Staff discussed this proposal with CASE on March 26, 1985, and with Applicants on March 28, 1985, neither of whom objected to this

7507

proposal. Consequently, after CASE has completed its filing, the Staff will file its response to CASE's supplements to the Motion for Reconsideration of the Board's Welding Order within the time prescribed by the Commission's Rules of Practice.—

Sincerely,

Gregory Alan Berry
Counsel for NRC Staff

cc: Service List

By this deferral of its filing obligation, the Staff intends no waiver of, and indeed expressly reserves, its right to challenge the appropriateness of CASE's supplements to its Motion for Reconsideration.