

From: Maria E. Schwartz (MES)
To: TW9(MLA) ~~mark~~ au
Date: Monday, June 19, 1995 10:50 am
Subject: court case

The case states that "The Commission points out that Congress specifically left it to the Commission to determine what kinds of firms should be considered suppliers of "basic components" having substantial safety significance, and vested the Commission with the discretion necessary to perform this task....We also rely on the principle that courts must give substantial deference to an agency's interpretation of a statute it administers. This principle has even greater force when Congress has specifically left it to the agency to flesh out the terms of the statute."

I will get back to you as to whether the case should actually be cited.

CC: JEB2