ORIGINAL **UNITED STATES** NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO: 50-352-OL

50-353-OL

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

(Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2)

LOCATION:

STOWE, PENNSYLVANIA

PAGES: 15173 - 15319

DATE:

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 13, 1984

Ald largey to ASLBP, E/N-439

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Official Reporters 444 North Capitol Street Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 347-3700

NATIONWIDE COVERAGE

8412190310 841213 PDR ADOCK 05000352

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of:

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

(Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2) : Docket Nos. 50-352-OL : 50-353-OL

Stowe Fire Company Vine and Rice Streets Stowe, Pennsylvania

Thursday, December 13, 1984

The Public Meeting in above-entitled matter

convened at 7:00 p.m.

BEFORE:

HELEN F. HOYT, ESQ., Chairwoman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C.

DR. RICHARD F. COLE, Member Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C.

DR. JERRY HARBOUR, Member Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

25

APPEARANCES

Donald P. Hassell, Esq.
Hathene A. Wright, Esq.
Office of Executive Legal Director
U.S. Muclear Regulatory Commission
Washington D.C. 20555
Appearing on behalf of the Nuclear
Regulatory Commission

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esq.

Washington, D.C. 20006 Appearing on behalf of

1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Philadelphia Electric Company

CONVER & WETTERHANN

Zori G. Ferkin, Esq.
Ralph J. Hippert, Esq.
Assistant Counsel
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Governor's Energy Council
300 North Second Street, 11th Floor
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101
Appearing on behalf of the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

		A
	CONT	PENTS
53	PATEMENT OF:	PAGE
	orothy Owadi	15179
	irginia Bruner llen Curcio	15182 15184
CI	hristopher Wilson	15186
	argaret Rideout ack Coffin	15190 15194
Lo	ou Schneider	15195
J	. William Inslee	15197
Ma	aureen Hurley	15200 15203
	huck Yarmark atricia Godfrey	15208
	awrence Farman	15211 15212
	ohn Milito	15,217
Pe	eggie Kile	15,220
	ames R. Campbell	15,222
	. J. Rosetty	15,224
	. E. Greenidge	15,226
	race Dawkins	15,228
Ja	ames J. Clarey	15,228
	avid Marconi	15,231
Ge	eorge Lauderback, Jr.	15.232
	hn Dugan	15,235
	Illiam Farally	15.238
	Lm Murtha	15.240
	ill Butler	15.244
	lck Hause	15.246
100	on Trent	15.249
	im Russell	15,249
Jo	ohn D. Thomas	15.250
	ony Molinaro	15,253
	ohn C. Thomas	15.257
	bert Hughes	15,262
1	ete Riviello	15.264
	. Frerick Hofkin	15,267
	nneth G. Stout	15,270
-	lliam Ridge	15,273
	arles C. Smith	15.274
Jo	hn Shaffer	15.275

CONTENTS

2	STATEMENT OF:	PAGE
3	Ralph Rizzo	15,278
4	Raymond R. Vees	15,279
5	William P. McCarron	15,281
6	Joe Leithman	15,283
7	Harry Kennedy	15,285
8	Clarence Mercer	15,288
9	Edward McBride	15,289
10	William Kelly	15,291
11	William McClain	15,291
12	Patrick Naugle	15,293
13	George Balmer	15,296
14	Robert McConnell	15,297
15	Frank W. Hake, II	15,298
16	William L. Gormen	15,299
17	Alma Bretthauer	15,300
18	Richard Harrington	15,302
19	Bob Harrington	15,304
20	James R. Frank	15,307
21	Terry R. Scholl	15,309
22	David Moore	15,312
23	Bill Naustad	15,315
Photos N		

24

1

25

JUDGE HOYT: Good evening ladies and gentlemen, the hearing will come to order.

I would like to introduce the Board from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. On my left is Dr. Richard Cole and on my right is Dr. Jerry Harbour. These two members of the Board are the technical members of the Board, along with Dr. Simburs (phonetic), scientific disciplines. My name is Helen F. Hoyt. I am the legal member of this Board, and I am the chairperson.

I would like to also introduce to you the counsel for the various other parties represented in this case. From the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Miss Ferkin, and from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory staff counsel Mr. Hassell and Miss Wright. From the applicant in this case, Philadelphia Electric Company, members of the firm of Conner and Wetterhahn, Mr. Wetterhahn.

These are the counsel who have taken their place at the counsel table. Any other parties who wish to have taken their place at the table may have done so had they wished to. Apparently they have elected not to.

This Board is appointed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to conduct hearings and assemble

a record on the issues of the Off Site Emergency Plan.
Under the provisions of the rules of the Commission,
this time for public statements limited to appearances
has been set aside for this evening.

Provisions for limited appearance of the rules of this Commission applies to the person who is not a party in the discretion of the presiding officer be permitted to make a limited appearance by making an oral or written statement of his position on the issues at any session of the hearing or any prehearing conference within such limits and on such conditions as may be fixed by the presiding officer. But he may not otherwise participate in the proceeding.

In order to reap from this allotted time the greatest benefit to this Board in reaching a decision on the issues of off site emergency planning, which are the subject and contentions for this Board, and for those individuals who indicated they wish to speak, I have some comments appropriate to accomplish that end, hopefully.

The focus of this Board is to hear tonight and receive your input. These hearings and the evidentiary hearings will comprise a record for our decisions for the N. R. C. and also for the Appellate Court review, if any.

As judges, it is not our function to respond tonight to your questions, which are better addressed to local officials when your concerns are sharply focused ones beyond the scope of what this Board could respond to effectively.

. 13

We are neither the office of the emergency plan nor the reviewers of the plan. What our ultimate duty is is to assemble a record of evidence; and from that evidence make a decision recommending solution of those issues brought before the Board through the contentions of the various individuals.

The application to operate Limerick

Generating Station has progressed through a number of stages. This Board's present concerns involve the Off-Site Emergency Plans. Formal evidentiary hearings are being conducted in Philadelphia in accordance with a schedule established by the Board. Your comments are testimony I input this evening in regard to the emergency plans may alert us to another and different dimension than that received from the formal evidentiary hearings.

However, let me caution you that the statements we receive tonight are not evidence. What these statements are are reflections of public concerns which may generate inquiry. It is my intention to

provide you with the opportunity to be heard. It is not my intention to mislead you into believing that each and every expressed concern will be acted on. The opportunity cannot and does not insure that you will receive satisfaction.

In any large group it is possible to provide the opportunity to be heard to some of the many who wish to present their unsworn testimony. For those who may not have that opportunity, the notice for this hearing provides you with an address where your written comments may be forwarded. The written statements will be placed in the docket for this case and will become a part of the record the same as the oral statements will be recorded by the reporter and placed in the records of these hearings.

The time provided this evening will best be utilized with the largest number of persons if statements are limited to five minutes. Accordingly, I have a timer that will alert you when the time is expired. The next speaker will be alerted to be ready so that there are no unnecessary breaks in the testimony.

Notice of this hearing has set forth certain rules for this hearing. It is a Federal judicial proceeding, although there are some informal procedures

in operation. For example, the first ones you heard about are that a speaker does not take an oath and these statements are not evidence. In addition, there is no cross-examination and the rules of evidence are not in force, which would preclude any part of an individual's statement.

However, common sense dictates that disruptive conduct neither benefits the progress of the hearing or ensures that another individual will have his or her chance to make a comment. The conduct of this proceeding will be the same as that of a Federal District Court.

A place has been designated for those members of the press to take photographs with the available light. The place designated is in the rear of the room in that corner under the exit sign.

The Board will attempt to close these proceedings promptly or as close thereto to 10 o'clock p.m.

First speaker on this evening's list is Dorothy Owadi.

STATEMENT OF

Dorothy Owadi: I would just like to make a statement and it is one of observation. I had the

opportunity of observing the first war game exercise from our EOC in our township. I live in Perkiomen Township.

From the beginning of the entire scenario, I got the feeling that the only people who had the script were the PECO workers and the PECO family members. Our EOC and two volunteers were the ad-libers. As far as I could understand, there were a lot of unanswered questions. There was a lack of complete, accurate communication.

To begin with our coordinator was not notified until after the radios and the township office had been notified. I got the strange feeling of taking part in a fantasy. I didn't know how to follow the yellow-brick road, let alone find it.

There were at least 17 or 20 people in the building. When the disseminaters were to be handed out during the critical test, there were only five simulated disseminaters. The rest of us were told to pretend we had them. And one of the people, the volunteers, said he would tell us when to read them.

To find out if all the sirens in the township worked, they sent the fire chief out with a walkie-talkie to check and call back to another PECO volunteer. Then later on a time critical emergency

alarm was confused and given at the wrong time. That is all fact, and there were a lot of unmet needs.

Now, I would like to know how you are to supply safety needs in regard to the Gratersford Penitentiary which is approximately three quarters of a mile from my home and half a mile from the Perkiomen High School?

Have you ever seen these roads when there is a sheet of ice on the roads? How many police, teachers, or just plain volunteers have you gotten signed up to help route traffic? Our township is one of three or four districts that use one ambulance that is available: Perkiomen, Collegeville, Trappe, and Upper Providence. And when it comes to ambulance crews, most of the crews in the corp are women and young men under the age of 40.

How can you call an evacuation drill successful if it is not tried in fact and not just theory? You cannot use a computer to displace bodies. You must, to have any idea at all of success, have at least moved part of the population. My own observation is you yourself must take part in an actual evacuation, and not a paper game.

I have seen copies of plans that stated 2BD, to be decided. I don't want my fate decided at a later

time by a utility. I value my life and my family's life more than money.

One other observation I would like to make is that there is what is classed a DEC work shop for the disabled and retarded at 950 High Street in Pottstown, where my daughter attends. There are 78 clients and 12 staff members there. There has been no notification of any bus needs for their disposal in the event of an evacuation. There are also transitional living arrangements for the retarded adults living in the Pottstown E. P. Z. at five or six clients that I know of, and one family with children.

I don't believe the unmet needs are being met by these paper evaluations, and until we have a thorough study of what is actually needed in all the townships and everyone understands it, not only PECO, not only the officials but us just plain human beings that our lives depend on. I thank you for your help and that is all I have to say.

JUDGE HOYT: Thank you. Next is Virginia Bruner, Bucks County.

STATEMENT_OF

Virginia Bruner: My name is Virginia Bruner and I am here on behalf of the Pennsylvania Voice of

Energy, who have been actively encouraging area residents to attend this hearing tonight. We feel that in this highly emotional issue, the facts should not be overlooked.

We are here to show our support for the evacuation plans, because Pennsylvania State Law 1332 requires local and county governments to have emergency plans to protect the public in the event of any emergency, whether it be by natural or industrial sources.

We are here to emphasize the need for the local evacuation plans, because we are not only neighbors with a nuclear plant, but we also have many industries that use hazardous substances in this vicinity.

Likewise we live by a river and several creeks that are subject to flooding every year. In June of 1974 the residents of Collegeville must have been quite grateful that an emergency plan was available when mother nature so chose to strike their community. The use of this plan prevented any loss of life. And I would like to emphasize that it was the Limerick Emergency Evacuation Plan that went into effect that day.

Pennsylvania Voice of Energy feels that

existing emergency plans are more than adequate to deal with the possibility of an event at Limerick Generating Station. In addition, these plans provide the basis for your local communities to react to any emergency situation.

These plans will bring the local government into compliance with the existing law. And this law was enacted in 1978 and signed by the former governor of Pennsylvania, Milton J. Shaft. Thank you.

STATEMENT OF

Ellen Curcio: I am also with the

Pennsylvania Voice of Energy. I am a resident of

Westtown, Chester County, which is outside the ten mile

emergency planning zone. I recently moved there from

Phoenixville.

I am here to state that reception centers have been designated outside the ten mile emergency planning zone to accept evacuees in the case of an event at the Limerick Generating Station or any event requiring evacuation.

Immediate medical care would be available to those who would need it and routing maps would be available to those needing assistance at mass care centers, which would be located outside the 20 mile

boundary of the Limerick Generating Station.

Plans have been made for both short term evacuation, which would involve a large number of people, and long term evacuation in which a substantial number of people would make their own arrangements for shelter.

In the worse nuclear power accident to ever happen, Three Mile Island, an unordered, unplanned evacuation was successfully carried out without loss of life. One mass care facility was set up and it accepted between 75 and 100 people.

I spoke with Timothy Campbell, Chester County Director of the Emergency Services on Wednesday of this week and he said, and I quote him with his permission, "The fifteen municipalities of northern Chester County are among the top 20 or so municipalities as far as preparedness for disaster goes."

I would personally like to thank the men and woman who worked so hard on these plans to protect their communities from disaster. Personally, I felt much safer living in Phoenixville than I now feel living in Westtown.

My home is one quarter mile from 202, which is heavily traveled by tanker trucks carrying everything from propane gas to toxic chemicals. I

called my township and asked Evelyn Groff, who is the township secretary, if there was an emergency evacuation plan for Westtown. She said, and I quote her, "Not at this time. We have a skeleton plan, and a thin one at that. It is not a number one priority."

Unfortunately I do not have the comfort of knowing that if my family was in danger, I would be warned by sirens to turn on my radio for civil messages. Unfortunately, plans would not be put into action immediately to ensure my family's safety, because there are no plans. My community is not prepared for a disaster.

In view of the facts I presented here tonight, I urge the N. R. C. to accept the evacuation plans drawn up at the Limerick Generation Station.

Thank you.

STATEMENT_OF

Christopher Wilson: My name is Christopher Wilson from East Vincent Township. It is in northern Chester County. I have a message from all the Quakers in our area. This area being Eastern Pennsylvania, including Harrisburg, the Three Mile Island area, including New Jersey, and north Delaware. We got together last month and sent or had a representative

from each monthly meeting. Like there is a Schuylkill meeting in Phoenixville and a monthly meeting in Euckland or Euckland Township (phonetic) in Downingtown, and representatives from all these meetings got together and came up with a statement about Limerick. I would like to read it to you.

"For several years many members of the Philadelphia yearly meeting at the Religious Society of Friends, Quakers of eastern Pennsylvania, southern New Jersey and Delaware have been concerned about the problems which are attendant on the development and use of nuclear power.

Our lack of information on several questions makes it difficult to understand your decision regarding power plants in our area. The imminent low power testing of the plant at Limerick and repeated difficulty with other plants in our area adds to the uneasiness highlighted by the accident at T. M. I. in 1979.

Among our unanswered and perhaps unanswerable questions are: (1) Have the conditions under which uranium is mined improved? We have been informed that most uranium miners have had a much shortened life expectancy as a result of their work. We have also been informed of difficulties surrounding the disposal

of mine pallings (phonetic). We are disturbed that availability of electric power should require miners either in the uranium or coal mines to sacrifice their health or their lives for our comfort.

- (2) Are the measures taken to safeguard workers and the public generally in transportation, manufacture, and loading of fuel rods fully adequate?
- (3) Is there any way of knowing whether the routine emmision of low level radiation during testing and operation of nuclear plants is one addition too many to the background radiation from other sources with which we now live?
- (4) When will we have an adequate method of disposing of nuclear waste, including abandoned plants? From our earliest days as chemistry students, some of us were told adequate preparation to care for the end product of reactions was essential.

We feel the failure to come to grips with the waste problem is really unforgiveable. From our religious concern for those who have been and may be victims of nuclear war, we are led to work for the prevention not only of war, but of similar life-threatening activity sponsored by our government. We see development of nuclear power plants as one of these activities.

From our religious concern for all of God's creation grows our effort to encourage the preservation of our environment. The unrestrained development of nuclear power seems to pose a threat to all living things.

While we understand you have no control over the emphasis our government has put on research in the field of nuclear power as compared to work on nonpolluting, decentralized souces, such as those provided by wind, sun and water power, we do urge you to exercise your regulation of the nuclear power operation with great care. Even greater than has been the case until how.

We urge further that you consider the postponement of operation of any plant not now operating until we know that the waste from them will be adequately taken care of.

This was or should have been sent today or could be sent tomorrow, or maybe it was sent yesterday to the N. R. C. and it was signed, written and signed by Charles Brown, who is the clerk of the representative meeting. And it is from the Philadelphia yearly meeting of the Society of Friends.

That is our statement and views.

JUDGE HOYT: We will now hear from Margaret

Rideout.

STATEMENT OF

Margaret Rideout: Chairperson Hoyt, I am a resident the Schwenksville and I have two children, one a baby and one attending kindergarten in Gratersford, which is very close to Limerick. I have a Masters Degree in educational psychology and a P.H.D. in developmental psychology.

I am very concerned about the possible evacuation of school children. I have thought about what an evacuation would be like. Many parents preoccupied with their children's immediate needs have not. I think all parents should read their school's evacuation plans.

Although the plans are general, reading them leads one to think about an individual child's movements, endangerment and experience rather than the evacuation in the abstract.

I have recently received the Radiological

Emergency Response Plan prepared for my daughter's
school by energy consultants. The plan is to be
reviewed by parents before it is adopted by the school.

I have many questions about the plan.

For example, the plan relies on the use of

teachers' private vehicles. What happens when those vehicles are in need of repair? With respect to sheltering, how much protection does the building offer and for how long?

I would like to emphasize my concerns with the wellbeing both physical and psychological of children involved in sheltering or evacuation. The children of my daughter's school range in age from two to nine years. When school is in session they have three adults caring for about 30 children. When they travel away from the school, however, they always plan to have lots of parents along so there will be an adult for every few children. There is no insurance that there will be any such help in the event of an evacuation.

Furthermore, one of the three adults, the director, is responsible for carrying out a long list of duties in the event of an emergency. So there will be substantial periods of time when she is unable to supervise children.

In the event of an alert, for example, the plan list at least 17 items for the director, who is one of the teachers, to attend to; including, securing windows, notifying the church Sexton, reviewing shelter area condition and reviewing evacuation procedures.

A site emergency might require all of these actions, plus an additional aid including substantial telephoning. While she is doing these things, the children will have less supervision than they normally have at a time when they may need more supervision and support than normal.

Q

Under the heading "Upon Recommendation To Shelter," the plan says have students place dampened clothes over nose and mouth as applicable. How can two or three adults be expected to get 30 young children, many of whom are two or three years old, to follow these instructions? I don't think we should ever place our children in a situation in which their safety depends on following such instructions.

The plans I have read say nothing whatever about safeguarding the psychological wellbeing of the children. There is no mention of preparing the staff to explain to the children what is going on. A five year old expects an explanation. Children understand what they can see and hear and feel. If there is danger in the air, how can their be any safe place?

The children's emotional wellbeing is not even mentioned in the emergency response plan. I want to know what my child will be told before, during, and after an incident at Limerick.

Questions about emotional wellbeing extend to the post evacuation period. In the event of sheltering or evacuation, we will be confronted with anxieties

about our children's health that may be with us for

The more I learn about the evacuation plans, the less peace of mind I have about my children's health. I don't see how this intrusion on our lives can be justified.

In closing, let me repeat two central points with respect to the Radiological Emergency Plan we are considering for the Collegeville, Montisory Academy in Roversburg.

First, psychological wellbeing of the children has been overlooked. Second, given the duties that may be required by the staff during the emergency, the number of adults available does not seen to be adequate to safeguard the children. These deficiencies warrant serious consideration.

JUDGE HOYT: Your time has expired. MS. RIDEOUT: I am down to my last sentence. JUDGE HOYT: Thank you, ma'am, for your input.

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

decades.

STATEMENT OF

Jack Coffin: What I would like to do is show you a 30 second film of a congested intersection that is on my evacuation route.

Before I start it, what I would like to say is--

JUDGE HOYT: You will have to describe this for the record.

MR. COFFIN: This is the intersection of 113 and Charlestown Road and Route 23 in Phoenixville. And this is recorded at eleven o'clock this morning. It is just something we see everyday. It is a reality.

JUDGE HOYT: You have to describe it for the record.

MR. COFFIN: Here come some cars down the road.

JUDGE HOYT: How many cars and what direction?

MR. COFFIN: That is next to the diner. There is where Route 23 comes down and meets 113. There is traffic.

Now, my point is, this is a reality and it also is a reality that we have to deal with an evacuation plan.

JUDGE HOYT: You tell where your camera is located.

MR. COFFIN: On the corner of Charlestown Road and Route 113, which is also Route 23 at that intersection.

JUDGE HOYT: Are you pointing your camera south, east, west? You have to tell us.

MR. COFFIN: As you see, it is east. But pretty soon I am going to point it west, and you will see the other side of the intersection where it is congested.

This is the intersection where cars come down east towards Valley Forge. There is not enough time for the line of cars that buildup to get through that light.

JUDGE HOYT: Those cars are moving. Which line are you talking about?

MR. COFFIN: Hold on and you will see. Now in this direction there is not enough time for the cars to get through this point.

That is all I have to say.

STATEMENT OF

Lou Schneider: I've lived in Wallace
Township on the western perimeter of the ten mile
limit.

I am here to say -- I am here to comment as a

planner. In my professional life I am a planner and a designer, and I am on the planning commission of Wallace Township.

My point is simple. It is that, were this plan presented to Wallace Township by someone who wanted to build something as benign as a house, it would be rejected. And in my professional life, if I presented a plan of this caliber, it would also probably be rejected.

Now the reasons for this are many. The most glaring reason for this is because the plan overlooks the most basic and obvious fact, which is, which way does the wind blow? There is absolutely no account taken in the plan for the direction of the wind. The wind will be the determinate of who has to leave or who should leave, and it will not stay within the ten mile limit.

This is the second area of concern I have, is that the ten mile limit is an arbitrary limit depending on how the wind blows. If it were to blow over Philadelphia, this whole plan would be just out the window. If Philadelphia needed to evacuate, we here at Limerick and surrounding Limerick could be in a very awkward position. The plan takes no account of this.

It is my suggestion that, given the new

concerns since Three Mile Island, which have caused these hearings to happen after the plant has already been built, that the N. R. C. called this ball for what it is, a strike. You would gain a considerable amount of credibility, which I feel you have lost.

It appears to be a rubber stamp that we are doing here. And the reality of the situation is not borne out by the study that has been made of the evacuation.

That is all I have to say.

JUDGE HOYT: Thank you.

STATEMENT OF

J. William Inslee: I am a resident of Valley
Township at this point. I grew up in East Brandywine
Township. I do business at Chadds Ford as an antique
dealer and maintain an office location in East
Brandywine Township, which is just beyond the EPZ of
the ten mile area being considered for evacuation
plans.

I am also involved in historical restoration, presently close to the intersection of 113 and 401 the Collins Mill property owned by French and Pickering Creek Trust.

I periodically enter the area working on old

buildings and doing similar on-and-off kind of work that would leave me as a sort of unknowing entrant into the area.

I came here particularly to raise the point that people who are coming as periodic workers within this area, and that may involve -- for me it involves at least these two respects, as an antique dealer and as consultant construction person, a strange situation of being in the spot for an indeterminate period of time.

Monday morning. That happens to be an occasion that can be a very big collection of people and it can be a very small collection. On holiday weekends throughout the year it could have several thousand people anywhere between the hours of six, sometimes as early as four-thirty in the morning and twelve, one, two o'clock in the afternoon. There is a alive stock auction and three or four auctions of junk to good antiques and general merchandise and the general flea market atmosphere.

I join that as one of the people who is here within the E. P. Z. not accounted for as far as I can tell within the plans. I join that same group as a worker coming here with other workers on occasion not

accounted for within the plans.

I think that specifically must be addressed. Beyond that, I think that it is clear the plan is frought with many difficulties. I believe Philadelphia Electric Company and the N. R. C. are seriously trying to address these problems. I have no question of the intent of being good at what they are doing. I want them to do it successfully.

I don't think to date the plan incorporates enough consideration of the specifics that are going to have to go into a realistic proposition, one that I believe the industry is acknowledging must be addressed, the N. R. C. is acknowledging at present must be addressed, and it must be heavily examined.

I just can look back on the one comment. I think it is probably out of context, but it bears a little bit of information for us this evening. The fellow who is interviewed who is a union worker at the Union Carbide plant in West Virginia who said he knew there were plans in place, but he hadn't been able to see the review of what was involved. But he did know no one had come to his home to tell him what was involved in the plans. He didn't know what would happen if there was a problem there. Now, there are plans in place, but would they be viable? Let us be

sure if they are put in place here and you accept them, they are fully viable and they provide the buses, they provide the notice for people who need it. Thank you very much for serious consideration.

STATEMENT OF

Nancy Menzies: My name is Nancy Menzies and I live in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, approximately 25 miles from Limrick.

I am coming here tonight concerned for the fact, in light of the recent incident in Bhopal, India, where there were people contaminated from that gas as far as 25 miles away; and to date there are no evacuation plans for anyone past a ten mile zone. In fact, we are supposed to be hosting, Bucks and Berks County and other areas that are past the ten miles, we are supposed to be hosting people evacuating away from the ten mile zone. But we all know the wind will not stop when it gets to a ten mile radius. And the plume of these gases in India carried 25 miles, and there are no provisions for evacuations.

I used to work at the Montgomery Maul, and I would drive down Route 202, a major evacuations route for people leaving the E. P. Z. zone. And on a normal day, I would wait through four or five traffic lights.

I believe that you are intelligent people, and I don't know how anyone can seriously believe that over 200,000 people could be evacuated in a matter of hours. Maybe if you had two or three days you could do it. But there is no way it can be done.

The alarm in Bhopal sounded two hours after the gases escaped, which is coincidently the same time that the warning was given at Three Mile Island after the plume escaped there. And here you are dealing with the nuclear plant that has the second highest population density than any other in the country. There are 3.5 million people who live within 30 miles.

And I just think in light -- I just want to read something from the New York Times about something that happened in Bhopal. It says, "Hundreds of people blinded by poisonous fumes groped their way from the streets in search of medical treatment. Squatting on a hospital floor, a woman who identified herself only as Monarama, said the fumes hit her around 1:00 a.m. while she was in bed with her two children. Monarama, her husband, and the children ran out of the house in a slum beside the factory and collapsed alongside the road. The children brought her to the hospital about 7:00 a.m., the nurse said. Her husband was dead by then and the two children were missing.

Babucan, 45 years old, a laborer sat on the floor of the hospital floor corridor vomiting. His eyes what bloodshot, puffed and watering, and his voice was hoarse and he gasped for breath. My wife and children have been vomiting since yesterday. Now, I don't even have the strength to sit up. His two year old son, who was blinded, asked for water, but could not drink because of a sore throat. Nearly 500 other victims lay moaning on the hospital floor and shivering in the cold. One woman wept for her young son she left behind while escaping because she could only carry one child."

I just think that in the light of this, which is minor compared to what could happen, given the high population density around Limerick, I hope you have the guts and courage to stand up to the pressures that I know you receive when you think of granting the license in this plan. Because I would hate to be in the shoes of the officials from Union Carbide who went there and had to see these people convulsing and blinded.

And if this plant is granted a license, and if anything every happens, I would hate to be in the shoes of some of the people who are responsible for it.

STATEMENT OF

Maureen Hurley: I have come to proceedings before the representatives of the N. R. C. before, and I have gained a feeling it doesn't matter much what could possibly be said here. But I entertain the hope I am wrong.

To mediate an industry involving itself in the most dangerous technology of all times must have a certain numbing effect on one's sensibilities. Next to the strength and potency of the elements of the (inaudible), the little voices of the people must seem small.

We are being asked to trust to expensive, complex monitoring systems and warning systems, though the fallibility of machines and the indiscretion of company minded personnel has been demonstrated elsewhere.

Begining two years before the accident at Three Mile Island, farm animals in the area had increases in birthing problems and strangely breaking bones and birth defects. Statistics gathered in the shadow of nuclear plants repeatedly show increases in diseases, such as leukemia among children with birth defects which mean the life of a handicapped person for as long as they survive.

I know what it is like to be physically

handicapped having been an asthma patient through my growing years. I guess anyone who has ever been ill or had a broken limb knows what it is like to be dependent upon devices, drugs and other people for survival and what it feels like to be limited in your and unable to perform as anyone else around you can.

When we are in a situation like this, we want and pray for the day when we will get better, as anyone who loves us does, and we pay much in time lost, in income lost, and for our efforts and our doctor's efforts in making us functional again.

If any person were to go around the coummunity rendering others ill or handicapped, whether through acts of physical violence or surreptitious poisoning, every effort would be made to stop that person and to see that those offenses could not be repeated.

If that person denied having done it, the situation would be thoroughly investigated. If that person had no intention of causing harm to those people, it would not lessen the harm of his actions or the need for stopping them.

If it were known that that person could quite suddenly go out of control and become uncontained kill vast numbers of people and destroy vast areas of

private and public and personal properties as well, it is quite likely that the rest of the people would not permit that person to operate in free society. If we needed a service that that person was providing us with, but did not want to risk being killed, we would seek the services or commodities elsewhere.

If it were a company of persons and not just one individual, it would not lessen the gravity of crimes, though it might be hard to judge who in particular would pay with his or her life for the lives taken or crippled.

When a criminal law judge decides to take away one man's freedom because he has taken another citizen's property, or when he decides to condemn a man to death because it appears he has taken another man's life, he does it to protect other people not yet affected from similar harm and loss in the future. And citizens pay their government to do so.

If I were to rule on a company the extent of whose involvement in nuclear power plants contributed to my own livelihood, it might be difficult to be totally objective. How can a person assign guilt when the diagnoses themselves are so difficult to make?

We have in effect here in P. E. a company body, persons wishing to remain faceless, guilt shared,

whose type of new machine has been shown to be risky and threatening to life known to potential catastrophic lethality far and wide into the imagineable future and at the very least is an intermittent dispenser of invisible materials with ability to create cell damage in humans, animals, plants, and the unborn and yielding cancer or premature death.

It sounds as accustomed as we are to loud TV dramatization, billboards, hard sale, and fanfare, brilliantly pictured in color, a little wild and unbelievable somehow.

We all want to believe someone who says there is nothing to be afraid of. But still there is something to be afraid of as a thief in the night. Everyone knows such a plant as Limerick with its location could not be licensed to construct today. The reason being the hazard it poses threatens too great a number of people, that too many people would be asked to take the gamble that PE's machinery and men would work it without mistake.

I think the nuclear industry should have had the foresight to make those determinations to begin with and that the rulings should be retroactive. I feel it is unreasonable and dishonest in light of the admitted recognition of the dangers to ask the

residents and businesses of the city of Philadelphia and other surroundings to relocate or take the risk or consequences.

I think P. E. and the nuclear industry should be big enough, I think they are ample and man enough to take the consequences. It is foolish to play ostrich in the sand or say babyishly or helplessly or carelessly it is too late to do anything about it.

Worse yet to attempt to manipulate the evacuation drill, the monies, the public opinion, to attempt to have the severity of the problem appear to be less than it is, is devious. If risks --

JUDGE HOYT: Your time has expired. Thank you for your input.

MS. HURLEY: Can someone else speak for Ed Feld?

JUDGE HOYT: If you wish to give the notes to Mr. Crockett, we will take them as a written submission and put them in the record, as I indicated to you earlier we would take written submissions.

We don't have any other persons who registered with Mr. Crockett to speak. We have exhausted our list prepared by the previous limited appearance session. And I think Mr. Crockett will be -- very well, come forward and you can just give us

your name on the record.

And anyone else, if you will give your name to Mr. Crockett, he is the gentleman with the hand raised in the blue jacket, and he will be happy to sign you up.

STATEMENT OF

Chuck Yarmark: The first thing I want to do is thank this panel for using its wisdom in extending these hearings. I think it was a very good move and I want to thank you for that, all three of you.

Why are we here? We are here because many years ago Philadelphia Electric decided to build a nuclear power plant here. And when T. M. I. happened, if they would have used the wisdom that they possess, they would have sought a different way of generating electricity; but

P. E. is here now because they have billions of dollars tied up in this plant, and we are here because we are fighting for our lives, economically and biologically.

Nuclear power we have been told by many people who consider themselves experts that it is safe. Well, the definition of expert says nothing about the being perfect, it says nothing about not making

mistakes. All it talks about is being very skilled. I looked it up in a dictionary today. I would be happy to share it with anyone here. And I am not here to harrass anybody.

JUDGE HOYT: I would like to caution members of the audience not to interrupt the speakers. Not only is it discourteous, but it is unfair to the Board. We must hear each speaker in their entirety.

MR. YARMARK: My father is an engineer and my family started a business 50 some odd years ago in a loft in Manhattan making parts for lighting. I know about industry. I grew up in it. I know about profit. I know about being moral. And I know that industry should never make profit at the expense of human life and its quality.

Well, last week the whole world took a gasp, according to Time Magazine. Whether you are working at the Limerick plant, whether you work for the Becktel Company or whether you live in Plumsteadville, whether you are for nuclear or against it whether, whether you think Limerick is safe or not, there is no way you can look at photographs from Bhopal, India, and not get sick because it is real. And it is something that can remind us all of the fragility of our lives.

There is no way on God's green earth that if

they allow, if the N. R. C. allows this plant to operate, and God forbid there is ever an accident, that this area will ever be safely evacuated. And if you don't think that scares the hell out of me, you are wrong. Even if I worked there I would feel that way.

The people in India, they felt they had their act together, Union Carbide, a big corporation, big responsibility, multibillion dollars. Well, none of that is going to change what happened to little children who died there in the middle of the night or older people who were the most prone to what happened from that gas. And God forbid if there is ever an accident here, we are never going to get out of there. So it does scare the hell out of me.

My feeling is experts can be very well skilled. My father is an expert, and I see him screw up all the time. I'll be damned if there is anybody in the lighting industry who has his shit more together than my old man. And he is the first to look you dead in the eyes and say, "I can make mistakes." But molding parts for lighting fixtures and nuclear power are two different levels.

There is no room for error. And I am not saying that as a threat to construction workers who work there, because I know you have families and you

care about them. But you have to look at the other side of the picture, man. That is just one job and that plant is going to operate if it goes on line for 20 or 30 years. And it is going to produce low level radiation. The experts say it is safe. I don't know who experts are, and I know they are not perfect. I question anything that isn't perfect and nothing is perfect on earth.

So we saw the results, and I don't want to see that happen here in Pottstown. I don't want to see --

JUDGE HOYT: Thank you remember for your input. Your time has expired.

STATEMENT OF

Patricia Godfrey: My name is Patricia

Godfrey, and I live in Plumstead Township, which is
about 25 miles from Limerick.

I haven't heard anything about an evacuation plan for where I live. And I know it is within -- it is in the dangerous zone.

Also what I am concerned about is the low level leakage permitted and the effects of that on food, by animals ingesting that, through water, rain.

And there are certain elements in plutonium and in uranium by-products, which disguise themselves or which the body will interpret pretty them as vitamins, such as vitamin D, which it causes bone cancer and other cancers.

My concern is there is no control over that and the amount that your body is going to get. And it doesn't really matter if you live within 10 miles or 20 miles or 100 miles, because you can still get that poisoning.

I just don't understand how nuclear power can be an accepted source of power when it is such a danger and a threat to humanity. I just really can't understand it at all. So that is really all I have to say.

STATEMENT OF

Lawrence Farman: I have just been informed it is not a question and answer deal here. But after attending several of these meetings and reading as much as I could read on the evacuation plan, I still am left with a lot of unanswered questions.

I don't understand what an adequate plan means in the first place. As I checked into what adequate means, it means "bearly enough." Bearly

enough is hardly sufficient for me. That is Webster's dictionary.

I would also like to know, in the event of a catastrophy and that is what I think it would be, who is responsible for the worth of my house in the event I could not move back? Being as I checked with my insurance company to purchase such insurance, they said it was not available. I don't know who is going to cover what I have worked my life for. I will have nothing to leave to my children if that is wiped out. No one has answered that question for me, and I have asked it before.

I don't understand why we are forced to pay financially and physically for expenses that Limerick may bring when we oppose it. The people who benefit by it, they don't share any profits.

I would like to know how come the O. J.

Roberts planning committee spent, what, 18 months or so and could not come up with even an adequate plan that was satisfactory to them? They are the same people who educated some of the people who are working there at the plant.

In the event of an accident, what you should do, the safety precautions to be taken? I don't understand why they don't issue protective clothing

like they have been wearing at Three Mile Island. And if they would, I would like to have about half a dozen for my grandchildren.

And in the event of an evacuation and you have a school bus accident and an arm is severed, leg is severed, where are they going to sew this on?

Harrisburg? The kid is going to be dead by the time they get there.

I would like to also know why the state police barracks is supposed to be moved to Gratersford when really they should be right there to help in the evacuation.

I understand from the newspapers that the prisoners at Gratersford have priority. I would like to know why P. E. is so opposed to Scott Paper Company producing their own electricity? I would like to know why people who are not in any danger of this plant, have any say in what happens to us?

I would like to know why all the P. E. officials and the N. R. C. officials, and I would like to know if they will be packed into some armory with me when we get evacuated? I would like to know how much is spent by P. E. to persuade the N. R. C. to rule in its favor? I would like to know what is the big rush when there is obviously so much concern about this

plant? What is the big rush to getting it on line?
Why go ahead and build this stuff without the permits
all to the last hookup, and then the permit comes
through and they make the hookup. All the lines and
poles were in before they had the permit for it, and
then they got the permit. They knew damn right well
they were going to get it.

I don't understand why P. E. is always talking about cost compared to risk. I suppose they think my grandchildren have some certain price on them.

The figure given by P. E. on the possible deaths in a nuclear incident or event or whatever handle you want to put on it, I understand to be 1.5 million to one. I think it is a bunch of crap. You are going to lose two for every convalescent home you try to move. You will lose several for each hospital you try to move. And if it is 1.5 million to one, I don't want to that one to be me or mine.

I would also like to know just who in the hell P. E. is responsible to? It is not the human race and it is not God, because it is the most unhuman and unGodly plan I have every heard.

JUDGE HOYT: Do we have any other speakers at this time?

(No response.)

We will call the list again of the persons who had previously registered.

(Calling of list.)

We will take a five minute recess. Contact Mr. Crockett if you wish to make an appearance on this record.

(Brief recess from the record.)

8 9

AFTER RECESS

(Hearing resumed at 7:28 o'clock p.m.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: The first one of this group is John Milito. And the one after that will be Peggie Kile. All right, sir. Mr. Milito, if you will begin, sir, you have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. John Milito: Good evening. My name is

John Milito. I live in Audubon, Pennsylvania, Montgomery

County. I'm a registered professional engineer, PE-30642-E.

And I work for the Philadelphia Electric Company, and I'm

not faceless. I would like to state that I am personally

certain that the evacuation plan will never be needed because

of an accident at the Limerick Plant.

But I am convinced that the evacuation plan would, if ever be needed, for any reason that the plan would have been formulated and work in response to Act 1978-323 of the Pennsylvania Legislature, would be more than adequate to evacuate the people in the effected area.

I feel the plan as formulated will help the local townships in the event of any type of disaster. In the Pottstown area there is an operating chemical plant. I am not aware, and I am sure most of the people in this room are not aware, of what chemicals are being produced in this plant. For all we know, we could be on the eve of a major

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

disaster as occurred recently in India.

If any event would occur, the plant could be used 3 to safely evacuate the people in the area, and hopefully a tragedy would be averted.

On the other hand, Limerick Nuclear Power Plant has many safety features designed and carefully engineered into its construction. Along with these safety features, many hours are spent on exhaustive operator training, which will make the need for an evacuation highly unlikely.

There are a number of safety devices and barriers which prevent the release of radioactive material to the public. Some of the barriers are the following: the fuel itself. The fuel pellets are made of dense ceramic material which contains the radioactive material, and the pellets are sealed in a metallic tube. The reactor vessel itself is made of thick carbon steel, and then it is encapsulated by thick concrete, which is also a radiation shield.

There's also a massive outer concrete shell which acts as another radiation shield. This is the primary containment vessel, which is six foot thick reinforced concrete.

Some of the devices used at Limerick, Limerick has a secondary containment structure in the reactor enclosure, which is made of three feet thick reinforced concrete.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, just a moment. Sir, you

were instructed not to take photographs in this room.

I'm sorry, please continue.

Mr. John Milito: That's okay. Limerick has emergency core cooling systems that ensure seven full or partial back-up supplies of water which will keep the reactor from overheating if the water supply to it would be reduced.

7 The reactor is operated from a central control 8 room.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Out. Just a moment. Just escort the gentleman out. He has refused to comply with the order. Thank you.

All right, sir, please continue.

Mr. John Milito: The reactor is operated from a central control room located apart from the reactor itself. The reactor can be operated manually, but if any abnormal operating condition is sensed, the reactor controls will automatically respond to shut the reactor down immediately.

The reactor can also be operated from a remote shutdown panel located outside the main control room, should something happen to the main control room to make it unuseable.

The emergency core cooling systems that I talked about earlier also provide a redundant design concept. By this I mean that the failure of any one component will not prevent the systems from operating. And there will be sufficient additional systems to provide safe automatic

I shutdown of the plant.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In conclusion, I feel that the Limerick Nuclear Power Plant should be placed on line as soon as possible,

4 and that the Unit Two construction should proceed immediately.

5 And I would like to reassert that I have complete confidence

6 that the emergency plan will be effective as presently

7 formulated. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Peggie Kile, you have five minutes, ma'am.

STATEMENT OF

Ms. Peggie Kile: Thank you. I live in Pottstown well within the ten mile limit or circle of the Limerick generating station. And despite what everyone is reading in the newspapers, there are a lot of people who have worked very hard to make this evacuation plan a workable success. Even though it is all over the newspapers about how dangerous this plant is, and how people will all panic and nothing will go right if there is a problem at the plant. I want to go on the record and say that I know better.

I am a tax payer and have lived in this area since 1970. And know many volunteer firemen and emergency coordinators who actually get very steamed every time there is an article that says how bad we did in one way or another.

And the thing is, and the thing that is really behind all this criticism of the plan is the people who don't want this power plant to begin with.

Well, I for one would like to know what these people do want. The changes in EPA regulations that have come about because of environmentalists in the last six years have come close to shutting down some coal-fired electric -- electricity generating plants. And others, especially in this part of Pennsylvania, have had to make so many changes that their costs have gone sky high, and have started to impact on rate payers.

With the price of electricity generated by an oilfired process, a household type consumer would never be able to have their current energy lifestyle. Wind or solar power are just not going to do it for our economic system.

An article in the Philadelphia Inquirer on October the 14th showed numerous wind generating projects up and down the coast of New Jersey to have been abandoned due to failure to provide sufficient energy.

Another article in the October 29th Wall Street

Journal tells us that even after hundreds of millions of

dollars of research and development on solar energy, it still

costs about ten times more than oil produced energy, our

most expensive current source.

Technologically generated energy is responsible for our advanced state of public health, our individual high quality of life, employment opportunities, our cultural and political stability, our national strength, and the realization

8

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of the American dream of opportunity for our children and the future of those who would work to change their station in life.

If those other people who are fighting the plant want to change all that, I sure don't. I feel that the evacuation plan will do what it is supposed to, just as nuclear driven power plants can. And I thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. James R. Campbell, and the next one will be S.J. Rosetty. You have five minutes, sir.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. James R. Campbell: My name is Jim Campbell, 13. and I've owned a house in Lower Pottsgrove for four years. And my house is approximately one mile from the power plant. I have four children, and three of them attend schools within the evacuation area.

Due to the close proximity of the Limerick plant, my wife and I have spoken at length and often with many of our neighbors over the past five years about nuclear power and what to do if an accident should occur.

Of course, our biggest concern is that of our children's safety. Each resident in these conversations about safety always develop into conversations about evacuation procedures and civil defense strategies for other situations thousands of times more realistic, such as nuclear war and

toxic gas leaks. And suddenly given the safety record of nuclear power plants world wide, an accident at the power plant becomes insignificant. Where are the public meetings that are concerned with the catastrophies we know are real?

I would prefer that my children stay put in the event that the sirens blow, knowing that they are more likely to be killed in an automobile accident during evacuation than exposed to any dangerous levels of radiation. I strongly suggest that we reduce our emphasis on evacuation plans for power plant, and put our efforts into protecting ourselves and children from more tangible tragedies.

I also suggest that the Limerick Power Plant be granted a full operating license and put a stop to these stalling techniques implied by a few -- employed by a few uninformed citizens who cannot differentiate between real dangers and those which are fabricated.

I'd also like to state that groups of people such as the Society of Friends, the Quakers, are an example of uninformed citizens who have not spent the time or the effort to actively search beyond the news media for their information about nuclear power. This is evident in the comment which puts nuclear power and nuclear war on the same level.

We talk about facts. Where are the facts about nuclear power? How many have died? How many have been maimed? How many have been injured or even scratched because of a

nuclear power plant? A Voice: Zero. 2 A Voice: Hiroshima. Mr. John R. Campbell: In our neighborhood? The only facts is that no one has been injured. Granted, radiation 5 is scary. We know what radiation can do; it's destruction and it's benefits. And we have thus far controlled it and used it constructively. The only dangers from this power plant would be 9 the evacuation itself as far as I'm concerned. From people 10 panicking with a get-out-of-town attitude. 12 Thank you for the consideration of the evacuation plan for the power plant, but I prefer to stay stationary 13 until it's been proven to me that there is, in fact, a danger and save myself and those I brought into this world. 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: S.K. McClain? 16 (No response.) 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: S.J. Rosetty? And then M.E. 18 Greenidge. Is it Greenidge? 19 A Voice: Greenidge. 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: I am sorry, Greenidge. You have 21 22 five minutes. 23 STATEMENT OF Ms. S.J. Rosetty: Thank you. I would just like 24 to say that it would only take one instance in which the

I evacuation plan were not to work, say one school, one nursing

2 home, one camp, one town, one township, where a mistake

3 happens and the evacuation plan was not to be effective. That

4 is enough to make the whole evacuation plan ineffectual.

We can't afford to accept the possibility of even one death or person being left out of this evacuation plan.

And I've heard dozens of people report from school supervisors, township officials, school bus drivers, that have said there's no way that they could evacuate their children in time. And in townships where they don't have enough ambulances to transport the infirm.

And all I'm saying is that it only takes one, one person being left behind to make this evacuation plan ineffective. And I think you know as well as I do that it's impossible to evacuate everyone in case of an accident. And I have to say that accidents do happen. We all know that.

And if we're not prepared for it, then this plant shouldn't come on line. And I don't think the evacuation plan is good enough to move everybody that would need to be moved.

I think you've heard the testimony to that effect as well as I have. And in all good conscience, I don't think that the NRC can vote to license this plant until the evacuation plan takes into account every single person that

would have to be moved. And there's no way that this evacuation plan can do it.

That's all I have to say.

3

7

11

12

13

14

15

17

20

21

22

24

25

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. Greenidge? And after Mr. Greenidge, Grace Dawkins and James J. Clarey in that order.

Mr. Greenidge, you have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. M.E. Greenidge: Good evening members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Merve Greenidge. I live close to the Limerick generating station without fear, because I believe the plant to be safe, well constructed, meeting all the stringent specification requirements, and in complete conformance with the design documents.

The quality and safety programs on the Limerick project have been outstanding. They are the envy of the industry. They did not just happen. There were commitments made at the outset of the project which were followed through always knowing that the project could stand up to audits at any time with the assurance of being found in compliance.

The Limerick safety and quality records provide the sort of traffic record I wish were available in every engineering endeavor, which has a potential for threatening life. But which many of us routinely accept without question or apparent concern.

The likelihood of ever having to evacuate the area around the Limerick Power Plant, I believe, is remote. But it seems to me that it is a responsible action to develop an emergency plan and keep it in place in the event it should ever be needed.

Many peripheral and emotional issues have been raised for no other reason than to further delay licensing Limerick. These tactics are wasteful in every way in that they serve to drive up the cost of the project, ultimately the cost to consumers, and they divert valuable human resources that could be better utilized.

I have never really understood the thinking of those who would accuse the utility of not caring what impact the plant might have on local residents. When the utility has to depend on these consumers to generate its revenue. This utility built and operates two nuclear units at Peach Bottom. These units are among the best performing in the nation.

I know that the sister units here at Limerick are even, are of even better quality construction that those at Peach Bottom. I believe all the ingredients to provide confidence that safe reliable and economic energy will be generated exist right here.

I would urge the ASLB to issue a full power license for Limerick as soon as possible. Because I believe

1 | it is in the best interest of Philadelphia Electric Company's 2 rate payers. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Grace Dawkins? And 3

4 Mr. James J. Clarey next, please. Miss Dawkins, you have five 5 minutes.

STATEMENT OF

7 Ms. Grace Dawkins: My name is Grace Dawkins. And 8 I live in a house -- host county, Bucks County. I'm sorry to 9 find it necessary to be up here and say that if there is an 10 evacuation at Limerick, I and my family will not stay put, II but will be out on the road as soon as possible to get as 12 far away as possible.

I think it is important to go on record before you 14 people at this hearing board for myself, for my family, and 15 you'll have to take my word for this, for many friends and 16 neighbors I've spoken with. We're just going to go. I don't know what the road situation will be there either. Bad, I'm sure. But staying put is out of the question.

A Voice: Louder.

6

13

19

20

21

25

Ms. Grace Dawkins: Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Clarey. I am going to caution the audience just once. I do not wish to have people address comments to the speakers. All right, sir, go ahead.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. James J. Clarey: Madam Chairperson and members

of the Board, I'm a registered professional engineer, and a lifelong resident of the Schuylkill Valley, not the Delaware River Valley, the Schuylkill Valley. And I'll add to my comments that I'm proud to be identified as a PE employee, and as Limerick Construction Superintendent.

I've lived in Lower Providence Township for the past eleven years. And I'm pleased that my township has 7 accepted its responsibility under the law and participated in the supplementary evacuation drill last month. The emergency plans which have been prepared are sound. Their 10 implementation will probably never be required because of 11 Limerick. But may be required due to the natural, especially 12 weather related disasters. Thus our counties and municipalities 13 are much better prepared to deal with these kinds of events 14 than ever before. 15

It's also important to remember that Philadelphia Electric Company is a highly competent and experienced operator of nuclear power plants, having started with its Peach Bottom Unit Number One, high temperature gas reactor in 1967. And the Peach Bottom Units Two and Three boiling water reactors like Limerick, in 1974.

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

The employees of PE have demonstrated their dedication and integrity time and time again. Witness the 1958 snow storm, Hurricame Agnes, and so on.

Concerns have been expressed regarding the

willingness of certain persons to carry out their responsibilities should they be called upon to do so. I cannot believe

3 that professional persons such as our dedicated school

4 teachers or any other persons who have tasks related to public 5 safety will shirk their duties.

Thus the necessary legal requirements have been established, plans prepared, training accomplished, facilities established, and exercises conducted. All is ready for final approval by this Board.

We should also recognize what has preceded this final phase of licensing the Limerick project. Studies, healings, reviews, inspections, and analyses by miriad agencies have time and again reached the same conclusion. Limerick is an excellent plant.

Most recently NRC Chairman Nunzio Palladino inspected the plant. The November 30th, 1984 edition of the Norristown Times Herald quoted him as follows: "The standard of quality for this plant is very good." And suggested the Limerick reactor could become the quality construction standard of the U.S. nuclear industry.

These words from an engineer. That was the end of the quote. These words from an engineer and former Dean of Engineering at the Pennsylvania State University. The licensing of Limerick should proceed posthaste to prevent further cost increases due to unnecessary delays. And to

make its output available for use by the residents of the

Schuylkill Valley and our entire region. Continued

development of our power generation systems will enable us

to progress.

We're already faced with the burden of neglect and penalties of delay and procrastination in our regional highways, railroads, and even our trash disposal facilities. Let us move forward with the licensing of Limerick, a safe quality standard setting plant, in order that our electrical generating facilities will be maintained at their traditionally high level of integrity. And not be numbered among the services or facilities which have failed to fulfill their obligations to those who need them.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: David Marconi. It looks like M-a-r-c-a-n-i. And the next speaker after that will be George Lauderback, Jr. You have five minutes, sir.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. David Marconi: My name is Dave Marconi. It's M-a-r-c-o-n-i. And I'm a resident of Bucks County. I live outside the ten mile area but within 25 miles. I share the same concerns, safety and health, as many people in this room.

But I also know that the Limerick Nuclear Power generating station has been built excellently. It is very

safe. It will remain safe. And I cannot foresee how an accident can occur there.

I also feel that maybe some of the people that criticize should add suggestions rather than the destructive criticism and help to implement a safer plan than they believe is now in effect.

Again I feel that the plant is safe. I feel that the licensing should be granted posthaste so the rate payers in the Delware Valley do not increase extra burden cost for delays. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. Lauderback?

After Mr. Lauderback will be John Dugan. And then after Mr.

Dugan will be Dave -- I am sorry, that is a duplicate. Go

ahead, sir. You have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. George Lauderback, Jr.: Thank you. First I'd like to preface my remarks by saying that my name is George Lauderback. I reside in Lower Salford Township.

I too am proud to be identified as an employee of Philadelphia Electric Company. I work on the Limerick site in the quality assurance department. And I personally can vouch for the integrity and the estuteness of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in their inspections. Which has been questioned to some degree here tonight.

I'd like to take this opportunity to express my

support of the Limerick generating station and the emergency 2 evacuation plans associated therewith. It is my personal opinion that the likelihood of an accident at the nuclear generating station is extremely remote.

5

11

13

16

17

18

20

21

23

I am confident that every step has been taken in the design and construction of this station to assure the safety of the rublic. I feel that the residents of the area surrounding this generating station have reaped the benefits of the emergency plan which many of the other people throughout the state do not have.

This plan can be used in association with any type of emergency such as natural disasters or chemical leaks similar to the tragic accident which recently occurred in India. And are far more likely to occur than a nuclear accident.

I believe that had it not been for the efforts extended by the Philadelphia Electric Company to assure that emergency evacuation procedures were prepared for the Limerick site, there would be no effective emergency evacuation procedures for this area.

During these 16 years that I have lived in Lower Salford Township, I was never informed of any type of evacuation plans such as we have now. In the event of an occurrence which would require evacuation of a large population, in an area where there is no nuclear plant, and such procedures do

not exist, I feel there would be mass confusion resulting from a disorderly evacuation. 2

3

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd further like to state that as a person who has devoted a tremendous portion of his adult life to actively protecting our natural environment by serving in various positions in environmental associations, I find it particularly appalling to read reports which indicate that people who call themselves environmentalists are attempting to coerce school districts into refusing to participate in emergency evacuation procedures in order to stop the construction of this much 10 needed energy facility.

Statements made by such people in various letters to the editor recommending that the plant be converted to pollution free coal, and I quote, clearly shows that these people have no sincere interest in the environment. And are merely obstructionists.

Any one with a minimum amount of knowledge certainly realizes the enormous damage done by the mining of coal in the State of Pennsylvania. The acid mine drainage, the mine subsidance, the air pollution, the mining accidents that have added up to be one of the most environmentally and physically costly facets of our history.

Nuclear power with its associated cooling towers is presently the safest, cleanest method of producing energy so sorely needed to relieve us of the dependence on foreign

- 1 nations for an item so necessary to our own national security.
- 2 These people who have made a profession out of obstructing
- 3 progress in the United States under the guise of protecting
- 4 | the environment, these people have made a profession, while
- 5 complaining about the skyrocketing cost of nuclear power
- 6 plant construction have continually added to this cost will-
- 7 fully, and I believe maliciously in many cases, by bringing
- 8 one absurd contention after another before such panels as
- 9 you are now presiding over.
- I ask that you have the wisdom to see through this
- II facade and urge you to pass favorably upon the licensing of
- 12 the nuclear -- of the Limerick Nuclear Power Plant and the
- 13 associated emergency procedures. Thank you.
- 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. John Dugan. And
- 15 then Will Farally, F-a-r-a-1-1-y.

STATEMENT OF

- Mr. John Dugan: Members of the Panel, my name is
- 18 John Dugan. I am a resident of East Norriton Township,
- 19 Montgomery County.

16

- Nuclear power with the proper controls as required
- 21 | is safe and will supply a major portion of our energy needs.
- 22 The Philadelphia Electric plant in Limerick during its
- 23 construction has followed every safety and construction
- 24 spec to a T. And should be rated number one in the nation on
- 25 the grounds of quality construction and up-to-date safety

| control equipment and installation.

In the United States over 25 years of commercial operation, which is over 800 reactor years, not a single individual has been seriously injured by any kind of a radiation accident at any nuclear power plant.

The safety systems of a nuclear power plant are more elaborate than any protective system in industrial technology. As part of the nuclear plant licensing procedure, the utility must present to the NRC its emergency plans.

Every operating plant has emergency preparedness and response plans that have been tested and are functioning properly and are also backed up by local emergency plans.

The world's growing needs will eventually fall on nuclear power. And nuclear power is the only environmentally acceptable option with the capacity to meet that demand as the world population doubles to ten million in the next century. We must use nuclear energy and also coal for our energy needs.

The high price of crude oil and dependency of its supply by foreign countries is certainly not the answer for solving our energy needs now and for generations to come. If our future energy needs were met by coal entirely, our climate could be disastrously affected due to carbon dioxide emissions, oxides of sulfur and nitrogren, and trace element emissions.

Geoligists are asking world leaders to focus more

attention and funds on the clean energy sources, meaning
nuclear power and synthetic fuels. Unfortunately, other
nations are taking a long-range view while the United States
is scaling back or eliminating synthetic fuel development
plans and nuclear power plants.

The Limerick Nuclear Power generating station is capable of supplying our need and energy requirements.

Billions of dollars have already been invested and many years of planning and labor have also been invested.

Thousands of jobs on the site have been lost due to stoppage of construction at present. And many many more jobs have been lost by supporting industries. If we expect our region, our nation, and economy to grow, our children to prosper and live comfortably for generations to come, we must support the start-up of Unit One and completion of Unit Two at Limerick.

A leading psychiatrist has stated that people who fear nuclear power have a distorted view of the facts. This fear is widespread, irrational, and aggressively exploited by the political opposition to nuclear electricity. This fear persists despite the remarkable safety record of the nuclear power industry. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Will Farally?

A Voice: Farally, Italian.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Very well. If you like. And Jim M-u-r, it looks like t-h. Is it Murth?

Judge Cole: Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You would be after this speaker. Thank you.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. William Farally: Ms. Chairman, they've been butchering my name up for years. My name is William Farally. I am a resident of the Delaware County. I'm also a business representative for the Sheet Metals Worker Local 19.

I will not debate the pros and cons of nuclear energy. There's been enough experts and statements on that fact. The safety of any evacuation plan I think starts with the safe power plant itself.

A lot of people look at the catastrophe at TMI.

My own opinion, when I think God gave us a second chance in this country to really utilize nuclear energy the way we should. Debates like this, we live in Democracy. Things of the nature that happened in India, lets face it, they don't have this type of forum to discuss the issues to protect their lives.

Like so many other trades in the area, our people have worked on the plant. They live in the community. When the job is done, they are in and out of the community constantly. Most of us are on the road like so many of our neighbors.

I polled our people in Royersford, Phoenixville,

the northern end of Chester County, Boyertown, Gilbertsville. There seemed to be no problem with the evacuation method that's been used. And I'm not saying that all the knowledge has been 3 let out to everyone. This is our local, if there is any information we can disseminate to our people, we'd be more than glad to help the utility.

7

10

12

16

22

23

I think the key is emergency. We feel that it probably won't have to be used. Not just a model on safety that we've learned from the mistakes at TMI, but the Limerick Power Plant itself has probably been labor management symboled throughout the country also.

We've worked in harmony with the contractors and the unions as far as getting the job done and getting the very best job done for the residents in the area and the people in the Delaware Valley as far as being a rate payer.

It was noted earlier about the use of evacuation plans other than a nuclear accident. My home is about ten 18 miles from Marcus Hook, Chester, and the people along southwest, in southwest Philly. Those refineries, the risk of a catastrophe is a lot more evident there than would be in the northern part of Montgomery County. And I wish we'd have an emergency plan similar to that.

I'd like to, also there was a note earlier in reference to insurance. I think it would be probably up to the press to check out or I'm pretty sure you people are well

aware of the Price Anderson Act in reference to liabilities 1 in reference to homes or lives. And this is something that 2 can maybe be put in the paper and let people know what they're entitled to as far as this is, from what I understand, supposed to be a super fund where all utilities pay into it. 5 And it's been in effect probably since the first nuclear power plant has been in existence or on-line. In closing, what I'd like to say is, I noted the 8 risk factor. Our job will not be done until Unit One is on-10 line. We urge the support that you license it. We feel it's a safe plant. Emergency evacuation is an insurance policy. 11 And we wish to get this on-line generating power so we can get to work on Unit Two for the Delaware Valley region. Thank you. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: The next speaker, Jim Murtha. 15 All right, and the next one after that is Harry Ficher. You have five minutes, sir. 17 18 STATEMENT OF 19 Mr. Jim Murtha: Judge Hoyt and Judges, we come here extensively to hear comments relating to the proposed 20 evacuation plans for the Limerick automic generating plant. We are told that these hearings are part of a 22 rational and objective process that will eventually determine the safety and effectiveness of these plans. As you are well

aware, the controversy surrounding these plans is highly

emotional. This emotionalism seems to be used by this 2 utility company to obscure the real issues.

7

9

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

I am not a radical nuclear, anti-nuclear activist. I am a teacher. And I have looked at the plans. And I feel at the present time they are inadequate. I may not understand all the complexities of nuclear generation. I know -- but however, I know all too well that capping a reactor is not 8 quite as innocuous as putting the cap on my thermos.

Will Limerick Light's next issue tell us that evacuating during a snow storm will be like sleighing out to Grandma's house? We are emotional because we seek real assurances of safety.

However, we get a never-ending barrage of ill conceived and poorly prepared ideas. How can we feel reasonably assured of our safety when PECO and its hirelings tell us that over 600 cars will have no trouble evacuating over a one-lane dirt road in adverse weather conditions? What reasonable assurance of safety do the area school children have when their evacuation plans make dangerous and unwarranted assumptions about the response of school personnel, school bus drivers, and the private phantom drivers who have as yet not been identified?

How can we feel assured of safety when our State Government has time and time again demonstrated an inability or perhaps unwillingness to address even the most obvious

flaws in these plans? The Federal Emergency Management

Agencys tell us that there is no definite indication that

teachers would not remain with their students.

In a like way, they tell us that it must be assumed that drivers are willing, trained, and ready to participate in the event of a radiological problem at Limerick. I don't believe assumptions are adequate when we are talking about the safety of our children. We know that PECO's hirelings have claimed that they have trained school personnel for evacuation duties.

But I have yet to speak with a single teacher who has said that the evacuation training session was any more than a propaganda session on the need for and the benefits of nuclear power. In fact, most teachers and school employees responsible for the implementation of the evacuation have not and do not know what is expected of them.

We few who have gained access to the plans discover weaknesses in the provisions for busing students depend upon. These assumptions about the response of presently contracted part-time drivers and the effectiveness of hastily made contracts with private bus company owners offer us no assurance. There have been no programs of effective training including practice runs at designated pick-up areas.

There is absolutely no evidence to demonstrate that these private buses would be able to enter the EPZ

against the flow of evacuating traffic. 1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

And lastly, there is a nagging question concerning the willingness of school and as yet to be identified, private bus drivers to enter an area of real, or as PE would have us believe, perceived danger. What makes anyone believe that 5 these drivers will be immuned to the unwarranted fears and misconceptions about the dangers of nuclear power that affect 7 the rest of us misinformed citizens?

Will PECO be giving them a magic potion? Even if every one of these unnamed drivers faithfully attempted to reach their assigned pick-up area, who can possibly believe that they will be able to buck the flow of traffic and drive unfamiliar routes to unfamiliar pick-up sites? I simply don't believe that the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agencies when they tell us that private bus drivers who are running routes when an evacuation is called, will be able to complete their routes, assemble and receive pick-up assignments, and drive against the flow of evacuees to arrive at unfamiliar schools and load students in a one-hour time period.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, your time has expired. 20

Thank you. Harry Ficher? 21

(No response.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Bill Butler.

A Voice: He's here, ma'am.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Butler? After Mr. Butler

will be Ed, and it looks like Violon. Rick Hause after that.
Those are the next three speakers.

Mr. Butler, you have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. Bill Butler: Thank you. I live in Upper
Uwchlan Township. It's 11 miles southwest of the plant. I'm
a family man. I have a wife and four children. And I'm
concerned about the safety of my children like anybody else
is.

One thing I haven't heard tonight is, I don't like,
I don't like the alternatives to nuclear power that I hear.
I know when I read things about coal-fired plants that the
acid rain is killing the fish, it's killing the trees.

Another thing I don't like is oil-fired plants.

I mean, we live in a great country. I don't like being dependent on foreign oil.

Who are we kidding? We can't afford to be dependent on foreign oil anymore. We all like electricity. We love to turn out lights on. Everybody in this room has electricity. If you have a storm, who do you call up? The power company. Hey, my lights aren't on. Why don't we just go home, turn all our lights out, turn our heat off? When I go home tonight my lights will be on, I'll have warmth.

My heater is run by electricity. And I appreciate that, and I like the luxury of having that. I don't like the

```
alternatives. Like I said, I don't like my children to cross
   the street. It's dangerous to cross the street.
              I do work at the power plant in Limerick. And I'm
3
   proud to work there. I've worked there for three years. And
   being involved with the PECO employees, I feel they're
   dedicated in making a safe plant. Bechtel Corporation is
   who I work for. And I would say that Bechtel and their
   engineering staff have tried to make a safe plant. And I,
   yeah, I think they did. And I feel I'm still proud to work
   there. I still work there now.
11
              I do think nuclear power is dangerous, but I don't
   like the alternatives I see here. As again, as I said with
   four children, hey, I'm concerned like anybody else.
              An evacuation plan, in order for that to work,
14
   we as the private citizens have to get behind it and
   cooperate. If you don't cooperate, it's not going to work.
   But it's here, nuclear power is a fact of life, and I think
17
18
   we have to accept it. And I think we have to get behind the
19
   evacuation plan. And I do think it will work. Thank you.
20
             THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Violon?
              A Voice: Violon.
21
              THE CHAIRPERSON: As you like. Rick Hause, are you
22
   here, sir?
23
              Mr. Rick Hause: Yes.
24
25
              THE CHAIRPERSON: All right, Mr. Hause.
```

STATEMENT OF

Mr. Rick Hause: Good evening.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Good evening, sir.

Mr. Rick Hause: The question has been asked are nuclear power plants really safe. The answer is that by any measure of comparison, yes, they are. Nothing is perfectly safe, but nuclear power is very safe compared to all other ways we have to generate electricity. And much safer than most normal activities in which people are involved.

This has been demonstrated by the safety record of nuclear power over the years, and by numerous safety studies. The record of nuclear power since it first started producing electricity in 1957 has been excellent. By 1981 all the commercial reactors in operation comes to a total of 76 in the United States, has accumulated over 550 plant years of operating experience.

worldwide with another 179 plants, the numbers are even more impressive. 1,840 plant years in all of the accumulated years, no employee or member of the public has ever been seriously injured from any sort of radiation accident at a commercial nuclear power plant.

If the records with early research reactors including military research are included as well, only seven people have lost their lives due to nuclear causes. This record is one of the best of any industrial undertaking.

Numerous studies comparing nuclear power with other ways of producing electricity show that nuclear power is not significantly different from any other. In 1981 Britian's Health and Safety Executive completed a review of all principle studies done in the United States and a number of other countries comparing the risks of oil, coal, and nuclear power.

The review concluded that nuclear power is safe, if not safer than oil or coal. The review also concluded that a principle reason that the public doesn't understand this is because other energy technologies are only just beginning to be reviewed by the same advancement of the use for nuclear plants.

Still other studies have shown conclusively that nuclear power generation is three times safer than gas, one hundred times safer than oil, and three hundred times safer than coal.

The excellent safety record has resulted from the concern for safety adoptive, adopted by designers and regulators since the inception of nuclear power. The methods they have used are now serving as models for safety design and analysis of other energy and advanced technologies. The design philosophy for nuclear plants expects malfunctions, human errors. In fact, mistakes of all sorts. But reduces their consequences with a three-tiered approach; prevent,

mitigate, and contain.

2

3

7

8

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Every consideration is taken to prevent accidents. But since this is not totally possible, the plants are designed to mitigate or minimize the consequences of 5 accidents. Since even this is not always possible, the plants are further designed to contain serious accidents within the plant.

This philosophy is resulted in multiple approaches to accomplish the same protective functions. Furthermore, it is physically impossible for a nuclear power plant to explode like a bomb. The concern for safety and the design philosophy have resulted in the excellent safety record. It is important for the general public to learn of this record to clear up the current misconceptions about the safety of nuclear power.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Joseph Beck. Joseph Beck?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Don Trent?

A Voice: He's here. Get up there, Trent.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Trent, it is your turn.

Mr. Don Trent: Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir. You have five

24 minutes.

25

STATEMENT OF

Mr. Don Trent: I'm sorry I didn't appear here with a written text tonight. I came out of curiosity. And I am very surprised to hear some of my neighbors that seem on the verge of panic over this nuclear power plant being built here.

I wonder, have they taken the time to spend as much of their energy on research of nuclear power in the industry and the safety record that nuclear power carries with it?

I see they have spent a lot of time picking apart the emergency warning system. Perhaps their energies might be better put into education along the line of how nuclear power works and its safety record.

I had the opportunity to be employed in the Peach
Bottom project One, Two, and Three, plus the Limerick project.
And the restrictions that have been put upon the Limerick
project surpass the other two. And there hasn't been any
accidents whatsoever with the other three plants. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Jim Russell. After Mr. Russell will be Robert O'Donnell. Mr. Russell, you have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. Jim Russell: Thank you, Mr. Chairperson. Okay.

I do not have a written statement either. I just want to

state my confidence. I work at the plant. I want to state

my confidence in the evacuation plan.

If PE has put into the community, or the communities

```
have put into their evacuation plan the same thoroughness
 1
   that the contractor, Bechtel, and PE have put into training
   the personnel at the plant to effectively evacuate the
   community in the event of a probable or nuclear event,
   whatever you want to call it, I would say I am confident
 5
   that I could get out of the plant, and I could get out of
   the community.
              I don't have any fear of that. That's the
   statement I would like to make.
10
              THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. O'Donnell?
   Mr. Robert O'Donnell, are you here, sir?
              (No response.)
12
              THE CHAIRPERSON: John D. Thomas? After Mr.
13
   Thomas is either Troy or Tony, it looks like M-o-l-i.
14
              A Voice: You should have had me make out that
15
   list. You could have read it.
17
             THE CHAIRPERSON: Next time.
18
              A Voice: You got it, kid.
19
              THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Thomas, please, if you will,
20
   sir. You have five minutes.
21
                           STATEMENT OF
22
              Mr. John D. Thomas: Thank you for giving me this
   opportunity to speak. I would like to site three statistics
24
   which I think are appallable. Some of them were mentioned
25
   here tonight.
```

On November the 19th, 1984, there was a tragedy in Mexico City where we had a gas explosion that killed 300 people and injured over 500 people.

On December the 3rd, 1984, there was an incident in India, which was a gas leak at a pesticide plant. It killed well over 2,000 people. And calls for medical treatment of over 50,000 people.

And every year in the United States there are over 600 children that are killed with bicycle related accidents. I haven't heard anyone tonight, any individual, any group, haven't read anything in the paper, where people would suggest that we stop building storage, gas storage plants, or that we would stop building chemical pesticide plants.

Or God forbid, that we stop building bicycles.

And yet we've had one "accident" at Three Mile
Island, and that's all we've read ever since that happened.
No one was killed. No one was injured. And yet we have
individuals running around this country saying that we should
stop the building of the nuclear power plants.

Well, I want to welcome them to the 20th Century.

Nuclear power is here to stay. At least until there is a
better, safer, cheaper alternative.

Now, some of the gross reporting, some of the worst journalism I have ever read came out of the Three Mile Island incident. I have a basic philosophy, never talk to

newspaper people, never talk to them.

If you and I and all the people in here had to debate the facts tonight on nuclear power, pro and con, you would be hard pressed to have an intelligent debate based on what comes out of the newspaper.

Now, I don't know too much about the City of Mexico, Mexico City, nor the government there, but in a matter of a couple hours they evacuated a hundred thousand people.

Now, I would put out city government up here, and the populous in this county, that I know we can beat that record. And I have no qualms about the safety of the nuclear power plant. I happen to be one of many individuals that I talked to, who still has confidence in our government, and in the other watchdog agencies who have responsibility of the safe construction of this industry. And I'm sure after tonight hearing all the testimony about our evacuation program, that we're going to have an excellent evacuation system.

I would like to leave you with what does concern me. I'll tell you what concerns me. When an oil exporting country can bring a country like the United States down to its knees and put their hands around your throat and tell you how much oil you're going to have, and to tell you how much oil you're going -- or power you're going to be able to produce. That's frightening.

A Voice: South Africa and Uranium.

Mr. John D. Thomas: And let's not forget one thing that Kruschev told us all not too many years ago, we will bury you without firing a shot.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, would you spell your name for us?

STATEMENT OF

Mr. Tony Molinaro: Yes. M-o-l-i-n-a-r-o. Good evening, Your Honor, Members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Tony Molinaro. I've been a resident in this area for the last 21 years, and I live within one mile of the plant, and I feel very safe there.

And I would like to go on to say that the United

States of America was once the most technological advanced

country in the world. We took pride in our achievements and

our economy and our wealth. We take pride in our independence.

Now, we are economically regressing. We cannot even produce enough energy to meet our own needs. We constantly hear buy American products, that those products are being manufactured in factories that are being run by foreign energy supplies. In 1983 we spent 7.5 billion American dollars on foreign energy to meet this country's needs.

Limerick generating station gives us an opportunity to keep the American dollars at home. Cancelling this power

I plant on the basis of emergency planning would be foclish.

2 Studies published recently have shown that the emergency planning

3 | zone does not need to extend more than five miles. This has

been based on the events of the Three Mile Island and other

5 tests.

And the resultants potential for off site consequences, even though these findings point to the contrary, it is still an NRC regulation to have any PZ of 10 miles.

Philadelphia Electric has hired Energy Consulting, Incorporated to draft those plans. This should not have been necessary since Pennsylvania State Law 1332 enacted in 1979 by Governor Shapp requires that. Each political subdivision of this Commonwealth is directed and authorized to establish a local energy management organization in accordance with the plans and program of the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency.

Each local organization shall have responsibility for emergency management, response and recovery within the territorial limits of the political subdivision within which it is organized. And in addition, shall conduct such services outside of its jurisdictional limits and as may be required under this part.

Our local government, our local and county governments, will now be in compliance with the State Law

and will be protected from liable in any emergency as long as these plans are accepted.

To the townships that are refusing to cooperate with these plans, I ask you how do you explain the fact that you are violating State Law? When do you plan to comply? Let's get on with the licensing of Limerick and ensure the independence of America.

I'm completely in favor of the emergency planning efforts, including the evacuation plan that have been formulated in response to Act 1978-323 of the Pennsylvania Legislature.

As already mentioned, an emergency evacuation plan is thus required by law for each township. Failure to comply with this law can leave township officials open to prosecution should the lack of such a plan result in harm or loss of life as a result of natural disaster, such as fire or flood.

The value of this plan was adequately demonstrated during a flood of the Schuylkill just this past spring.

Nevertheless, there are those who insist that an evacuation plan cannot work. This is a ridiculous assertion for several reasons.

First, every township has and has always had an evacuation plan, even though it may never have been used.

The plans have been for everyone to jump in his vehicle or her vehicle and drive like blazes. Any plan would have to be

an improvement on this.

Second, with no formal plan accepted, except for the aforementioned ones, the TMI area was evacuated in 1979 with no additional injuries or loss of life.

Third, have you ever seen Vet Stadium evacuated after a ball game? 50 thousand people in a half hour with no emergency planning at all.

As in any plan involving a large number of persons and material, there are some details to be worked out. The drills on July 25th, and November 20th proved to be satisfactory of the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency that the plans were completely workable.

Philadelphia Electric Company has cooperated in.

every way to assist the township governments in completing
their emergency evacuation plans. They have donated
equipment, hired with orientation and organization.

Philadelphia Electric Company employees have volunteered to
help as concerned citizens in their own townships. They have
also volunteered to serve in adjacent townships when additional
persons were needed.

I'm personally certain that the evacuation plan will never be needed because of an accident at Limerick. The Peach Bottom has an excellent safety record. The Limerick has even more safety back-up features than Peach Bottom.

But for civil emergencies and natural disasters,

an emergency evacuation plan is necessary and entirely
 workable. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: John C. Thomas? John C. Thomas. Robert Hughes is next. And after that Pete Riviello. Go ahead, sir.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. John C. Thomas: As we approach the final stages of licensing, start-up, and operation of Limerick One, we are here tonight to discuss such issues as safety and emergency evacuation procedures. But I would like to preface these issues by sharing several items which should be of concern to all of us.

Over the past couple of years people have often asked whether I was "pro-nuclear" or "anti-nuclear". My answer to them is that I'm "pro-American." This response may seem a bit strange, but if you bear with me for a moment, you will come to understand the reasoning and the logic behind this type of response.

In 1980 oil imports from OPEC nations cost the United States 80 billion dollars. It seems strange that we are willing to make these types of expenditures to foreign imports as opposed to developing our own resources to ensure a safe and constant energy supply.

We, the private citizens, pay for these expenditures in one way or another, so it makes a great deal more sense to

me to invest in our own country than to invest in somebody else's.

di

Recently Paul Harvey wrote an article entitled:

"America Is Importing Electricity. We Should Be Ashamed."

We should. In his article, Mr. Harvey informs us that

although we had a 20 year head start in the development of

nuclear electricity, we are dead last among the 10 nations

presently developing nuclear power.

Mr. Harvey also tell us that in 1982 we bought \$11 (ETC) billion worth of electricity from Canada. And that, in fact, Canada is now contemplating building a whole new nuclear plant, the total output of which they intend to sell to the United States.

Energy Secretary Donald Hodel warns that buying electricity outside of the U.S.A. will make us so dependent on that source that we will be forced in the future to pay any prices that the Canadians demand. Isn't this the same position we allowed the OPEC nations to lull us into back in 1973 and '74? One can hardly rest assured knowing that the availability of electricity in our country is contigent upon not only the volatile political situation in the Persian Gulf, but also on our ability to maintain friendly relations with those to the north of us.

Due to the recent oil glut, the prices of oil remains somewhat stable and very eagain allowed curselves to be lulled into a false and false security. One can easily

perceive the direct causal relationship between increasing

oil prices leading to a simultaneous decrease in the demand

for oil, while increasing the development for alternative

sources of energy.

However, factor out the increasing oil prices and desire to develop our own independent sources decreases geometrically.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, Mr. Thomas, would you slow down to about a thousand words a minute?

Mr. John C. Thomas: I'm just trying to get everything in in a short time.

electricity demand has increased while the demand for nonelectric energy has remained about constant. For the next 20 years, most of the new and replacement forms of energy will be the electricity produced from coal and nuclear plants.

Nuclear power has the potential that will not only to conserve oil and gas, but may play a vital role in the creation of a viable and stable energy supply free from dependence on unreliable foreign energy supplies.

Probably one of the biggest issues surrounding nuclear power concerns the safety factor. This issue has been negatively biased -- this issue has been and remains clouded and distorted as a result of the negatively biased media coverage that nuclear power has received in recent

years. It seems that the issue has become one of emotion rather than logic.

During a ten-year study of television coverage detailing nuclear power plants, the following statistics have been documented: before the Three Mile Island accident, television coverage on nuclear power amounted to one-quarter of 1 percent.

After the TMI accident, television coverage increased to 82 percent for nuclear power plants. And of those, this percentage, 47, portrayed nuclear power negatively.

Doctor Dupont conducted a study of these statistics and concluded that the media has created a nuclear phobia because their approach has been to focus on the "what if" rather than the "what is". There is little wonder given the importance the media as an educational source in this country that the majority of the population has continued to be confused, misinformed, and afraid of nuclear power.

When one takes the time to review the preponderance of expertise in the nuclear field, but of those who have no direct interest in the outcome of the commercial nuclear power issue, one such as the World Health Organization, the National Academy of Sciences, and the American Medical Organization have concluded: "Although nothing is perfectly safe, nuclear power is, in fact, very safe in comparison to other available

resources which can be used to generate electricity."

Since 1957 when nuclear power first began producing electricity in this country, there have been 76 commercial reactors licensed with over 550 plant years of operating experience and worldwide 179 plants are operational with 1,840 plant years of operation. In all of these accumulated plant years, no employee, or member of the public has ever been seriously injured from any sort of radiation accident at a commercial nuclear power plant.

In 1981, Britian's Health and Safety Executive concluded that nuclear power is safer than coal or oil as a resource for electrical production. The report also concluded that a principle reason that the public does not understand this is due to the fact that these other technologies are only now beginning to be reviewed by the stringent and advanced methods as those used for nuclear power plants.

Despite these statistically proven facts concerning the safety record of nuclear power, Pennsylvania State Law dictates basically emergency planning as the responsibility of all communities to ensure the safety of the public in the face of any --

A Voice: Time.

Mr. John C. Thomas: Oh, I was interrupted, so I thought I had more.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, and I will remind the public that I will keep the time. Would you please. Give that statement to the Reporter.

A Voice: These comments have nothing to do with the evacuation plans. Why was he allowed to speak?

Mr. John C. Thomas: I was getting to it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hughes, Robert Hughes, are you available, sir? Mr. Hughes, you have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. Robert Hughes: I'm Bob Hughes, and I'm from Delaware County, Pennsylvania. If we could handle our labor relations over at the site as quickly as he just spoke here, he'd only have to work one day a week.

I've been a member of labor and worked on the nuclear power plant since 1971. And when I began there, this was promised to be a two units at a projected figure of \$950 million in 1971. This is almost 14 years since I've been on the site. We've had numerous delays.

There's, I think, we're getting into crunch time right now. It's quarter of 9:00. I think everybody here has heard as much as can possibly be said.

A Voice: No way.

Mr. Robert Hughes: I believe so. As I said, from, from \$950 million to projected \$6 billion, it's just unbelievable.

I believe our values in this country since when I grew up, it seems like we've done a complete 180 here. When I was a kid and grew up, we went out and we bought clothes that were brand new and you wore them until you wore them out. They had holes in them.

Today you go into a store to do Christmas shopping today, you buy clothes today with holes in them. They're dungarees washed out. It looks like you've had them on for ten years, and they're selling for \$60.

Our whole values, we're out of sync. And I believe it's time that people realize nuclear power is here. It's the best thing we have right now. And it's been stated that we all like to turn our lights on. We all like the convenience of it.

We're here as labor working on a site. We want to see Unit One on-line. Philadelphia Electric, as I said, I've been there 14 years, this company has built and bought the best materials that's possible from this country. They've put the best that they have into it in personnel, and into the materials into the site.

The safety factors have taken, the cost overruns because of safety factors. It's unbelievable the safety factors in this plant. And it's time that we get on with the licensing of this plant, we get Unit One on-line, and then we build Unit Two.

We're going to need the energy from it in the future. And it's been too long and too many delays. And the cost is becoming prohibitive. Thank you very much.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, did I have your name correct, Robert Hughes?

Mr. Robert Hughes: Yes, ma'am.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Now, Pete Riviello?

Mr. Pete Riviello: Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Have a seat, sir, you have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. Pete Riviello: Well, you know I had a lot to

But might I add one thing? We're all going on supposition here. And it's not fair, the thing that they're doing to PE. It seems like it's war, consumer against PE.

PE is not their enemy. PE doesn't absorb excessive amounts of profit from the unknown public. PE is a regulated company that has to be regulated by the Federal Government. And by the State Government. They only are allowed to make so much profit, and that's it. But these people have you believe that they'll go to any means to try to increase their profit margin. And that's not so.

They come in here with innuendo and half truths, no facts whatsoever to back them up.

Now, pertaining to the evacuation, how unrealistic can you get? This is just another bizzare attempt on these 2 people to try to subrogate PE in not to opening this plant. And I don't think that it is right that the contingency plan should be met on a viable evacuation before they got their permit.

1

3

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Because first of all, they have laws in Pennsylvania that the municipalities must provide evacuation for these people. And nothing is going to be perfect. More people have gotten hurt going after their Cabbage Patch dolls than any nuclear --

We are here, and everybody is saying, well, after all I'm concerned about my children. Who is going to relay this message to a five-year old in case of an accident? How in the hell are you going to get anything conveyed to a fiveyear old when you can't get these idiots to accept it and they're grown people?

Let me say another thing. Do you know that these people I watched put hoods on, they had piled so many thousands of people, Limerick, question mark. But that question mark has been going on for 28 years in the nuclear industry, 28.

But will these same people that want to inflict all kinds of regulations upon a utility that will increase the cost of producing electricity, would they if the

legislature says now we have one element that you people have taken into your house. You've exposed your children to it, your parents, everyone.

That element has been responsible for killing more people andmaiming more people since the world began of all the wars in history. And you know what that is? It's fire. It's fire that we can't live without. Are they going to go out and outlaw fire? No, they're not going to outlaw fire.

Are they willing to put their families through the regimentation of going through safety seminars and evacuating their homes? And it's proof that they don't do that because how many times do you read in a paper every eight seconds of the day there are people that are dying and are maimed from fire.

Thirdly, do these people stop buying the furniture that we have today that are by-products from the chemical industry which are from oil. When they ignite, there is an intensity that is beyond proportion. And you don't die from the flame, you die from the noxious gases. And that's not only in the home, but the surrounding community also.

Now, I ask you, with all intents and purposes, evacuation of an accident at one of the nuclear power plants, the worst thing you could do is to leave your home. One, you don't know the conditions cut there. Like they said, wind, what way to go, where to go.

But if you allow 24 to 48 hours to elapse prior to you leaving your home, it will dissipate that concentration of radioactivity.

We had a woman that was concerned so much about her children. Suppose an accident happened in the wintertime, she said I'm going to leave my home. You're going to take them out of the safety of your home and sleep in the road in the cold. How much material can you carry with you? No food, nothing. People are just being panicked and I blame 10 the media for it. They have printed, they skirt the truth. They plant the seed of fear, and then it multiplies. And that's why this subsequently here is why we're only backwasting.

Because they have made our administration panic 15 for this fear. Now, if we had panicked to initiate any kind of emergency exiting of any community, then we're derelict in our duty. During the war, what do you do? They say, well, what about the safety. We want a hundred percent. There is no such thing as a hundred percent. People are getting every -- sorry. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

(Short recess was taken.)

STATEMENT OF

Dr. Fredrick Hofkin: Dr. Fredrick M. Hofkin,

H-o-f-k-i-n.

1

2

3

5

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right, Doctor, if you will, 1 sir. And then Kenneth G. Stout will be next. 2 Dr. Fredrick M. Hofkin: I'm a resident of 3 Cheltenham Township in Montgomery County. I've been a 5 teacher, department head, and a school administrator for the last 37 years. And for the last 12 years, I've been Director of Science for the school district of Philadelphia, where I've had total responsibility for the supervision of 8 approximately 900 and some science teachers. I was to be honored tonight at the Franklin Institute in Philadelphia, 10 but as a long-term advocate of adequate energy of American, I felt it was more important to come here tonight to make a 12 13 statement. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: We are glad to have you. Dr. Fredrick M. Hofkin: I've been concerned that 15 there are more doomsday philosophers tonight who seem to know all the answers than there are concerned citizens who 17 seek to look at the questions. 18 19 I cannot speak for all teachers, but I certainly can convey the general sense, the attitude, and the reaction of those whom I have known. 22 The general question has been, are teachers able and willing to handle students in their schools in the case 23 of a major emergency. 25 And I can say unequivocably and absolutely, yes.

Teachers have always had concern and always taken action for the protection and well-being of their students.

Historically, the words used are in loco parentis, which means acting for the parents when the parents are not around.

The best understood example is the fire drill, where teachers regularly practice drills with their students, and in real emergencies have been able to lead them to safety. Every school practices such drills giving teachers and students precise instructions as how to act and how to reinforce their learnings when the time comes to make such an action.

I will remind you that in the 1950's and 1960's, when we were locked in what was called the Cold War, teachers in every community took responsibilities for another type of nuclear emergency, that was the possibility of a nuclear attack from a foreign nation.

Air raid drills were held regularly. Cause of action was defined. And teachers and students were prepared to take remedial action.

Fortunately, none was necessary. But the schools had taken all of the needed precautions.

In other cases throughout this nation, earthquakes explosions, tornadoes, floods, teachers have stood by their students, protecting them, taking every action for their

safety. In some cases the plans were very vague compared to the Limerick evacuation plans.

Yet intelligent action has almost invariably been taken. Teachers have frequently been given a bad rap that they will take no action unless they get paid. And sometimes teachers are reinforced and supported that position because they have been traditionally been the most underpaid professionals in our society.

But whenever an emergency has occurred, teachers have stood by their students honorably and well to help find the best solutions.

I'm sure you'll find the educational community devoted to the children, their control with devotion and concern, and with intelligence. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Doctor. Kenneth G. Stout. Mr. Stout, you will, sir, have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. Kenneth G. Stout: Yes, ma'am. My name is

Ken Stout. I live in Boyertown, Pennsylvania. I live within

the ten-mile evacuation area of the plant. I must say that

the previous speech is a hard one to follow, but I'll continue

as much as I can.

I feel that the plant itself has been constructed, been designed to the highest standards we have existing today within the nuclear field. I'm proud to be a part of the

I plant and affiliated with the Philadelphia Electric Company.

I work for Bechtel Corporation, and am very proud of this

3 plant, along with the Philadelphia Electric people.

Mr. Palladino from the NRC has recently stated also that he recognized the highest standards of design in the construction of the nuclear power plant.

I feel that the evacuation plan within the area I live in Boyertown is fine. I feel that the evacuation plan for the job site is also fine. I feel that any further needless delay as far as the evacuation plans are really resulting in undue cause to the consumers themselves within Philadelphia Electric. And more also as far as the people within the United States themselves.

But to quote some from Mr. Paul Harvey, from the article that arrived in The Mercury paper on December the 1st, in 1984 entitled America Is Importing Electricity. We Should Be Ashamed. This was also quoted by Mr. Thomas earlier, but let me give you some excerpts from that.

United States of America, historically the power house of the planet, is so delinquent in the development of new energy sources that we are having to buy electricity from the outside of our country.

While the United States barely gets 13 percent of its electricity, Taiwan gets 40 percent, France is building a fast-breeder reactor. Finland and Sweden is getting 40

percent from nuclear power.

American power companies are having to string wires between the Canadian border and the United States. We are presently importing enough to produce a billion dollars in 1982.

The New England Power Pool is a group of 86 utilities in Massachusetts, Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Connecticut.

In June of 1983 the Pool agreed to purchase another 7 billion kilowatt-hours over a period of subsequent years, nine subsequent years. Thus another 7.5 billion American dollars will leave the home.

Had we completed the two disputed power plants in Seabrook, we would have produced more than enough electricity for half that cost. And we would have created thousands of American jobs.

New Brunswick is now contemplating building a whole new nuclear power plant, the total output of which they intend to sell to the United States. Shame on us. And what the paranoid bureaucracy and the misguided fearmongers who have thwarted our nation's independence. Unquote.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: William M. Ridge. And then Charles C. Smith. Mr. Ridge?

Mr. William M. Ridge: Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. William M. Ridge: My name is Bill Ridge. And I reside in Upper Uwchlan Township. And I am one of the four coordinators. And I am here to voice my support for the evacuation plans, because Pennsylvania State Law requires local and county governments to have emergency plans to protect the public in the event of emergencies ranging from floods and other natural disasters to nuclear war.

We should be here to emphasize the need for local evacuation plans, because we are not only neighbors with the nuclear plant, we also have in our area many industries that use hazardous and toxic substances in their process.

We also have lots of flammable farmland and wooded areas. And we live by a river and several creeks that subject us to floods of various sizes every year.

But the whole concept of evacuation plans for nuclear power plants does not appear to be well-founded. Recently released studies of information from the TMI proves that radiation and contamination releases have been grossly overestimated.

These studies emphasize that rarely in all the postulated accidents is evacuation the best option. These studies also recommend reducing the Emergency Planning Zone to a two-mile limit.

But rather than go on about the quality of construction and operation of Limerick and say the evacuation plans are not really necessary, we should thank the NRC for forcing us to plan for some very real possibilities, those of natural disasters. Area evacuation plans should not be tied to the full power license at Limerick, but they should be pursued adamantly by local residents in order to lessen the effect of potential problems that might arise all around us. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. Smith?

STATEMENT OF

Mr. Charles C. Smith: My name is Charles Smith.

I live in Skippack Township. And I believe that the emergency plan is an acceptable proposition. That it will work.

There are some that have expressed opinions tonight that the plan is far from perfect. But I'm sure that they will agree with me that it is a much better plan than they had a few years back, which was nothing.

I think it's a good plan to be built. It can be built upon and improved as time goes by to cover all the answers and the contingencies that they're looking for.

Those that find that there are weak spots in the plan, I urge them to go back to their school board and their local elected officials and find out what kind of a plan they

1 have for them, not only for a nuclear emergency, but

2 evacuation of any type of emergency, flood, fire, gas leak,

3 chemical spill, whatever the case may be. And that they

could ask or demand their local officials that an evacuation

5 plan equal to what they're demanding of here tonight.

6 Thank you.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

THE CHAIRPERSON: John Shaffer? Are you the gentleman? You have five minutes.

STATEMENT OF

Mr. John Shaffer: Thank you, ma'am. My name is
John Shaffer. Before I go on in my written text, I'd like
to address the comment that I had the privilege of sitting
in the back row during the whole proceedings. A comment
that they'd like to see someone get up here to support Limerick
that doesn't work at the plant. The guys that are working at
the plant, they're people that have commented that they're
afraid of losing their jobs. They're not concerned with the
plant or the evacuation plan.

I, if Limerick goes on-line or doesn't go on-line,

I still have a job. So this one is for you, sir (indicating).

In light of the recent tragedies in Mexico and in

In light of the recent tragedles in Mexico and in India, isn't it about time we realize that we have a real threat in this world, and that those are the real threats in this world, and not from the use of the atom.

Within a few weeks of the Three Mile Island

incident, ten coal miners were killed in England, seven
citizens were killed in gas explosions in Philadelphia, and
eight oil workers were lost off the coast of Mexico. These
lost lives were lost in energy related accidents.

Commercial nuclear plants have been in operation since the late 1950's. No employee or member of the public has ever died or been seriously injured from radiation accidents.

A Voice: What about the waste?

Mr. John Shaffer: Do you mind? I'm sorry, Your Honor, I sat back there all night long and heard that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's keep it down on all sides.

I think that you can be a little bit fairer to the speakers.

I think there has been a representative group of all sides of the question. I do not think people need to be harrassed.

All right, sir, go ahead.

Mr. John Shaffer: Thank you very much. Why do people that think of Limerick as a threat and ignore the other realities of the world? Fires, floods, and accidents involving chemicals can happen quickly and would require immediate evacuation.

Contrary to the popular anti-nuclear belief, nuclear power plants do not explode. The time between the start of an accident and actual threat to the public is relatively long. A matter of hours or days rather than minutes.

Knowing these facts, an evacuation plan can work. Studies suggest a five-mile evacuation zone for a nuclear power plant. A ten-mile evacuation zone is more than adequate for Limerick and any other plant that we would come on-line with. That's all I have, Your Honor. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: We will have a very short break for the purpose of changing reporters. (Please turn to next page.)

(Hearing resumed at 9:20 o'clock p.m.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Rizzo? The next speaker will be Mr. William Butler. Mr. Rizzo, you have five minutes starting now. These hearings are in session.

STATEMENT OF

Ralph Rizzo: My name is Ralph Rizzo, and I live within the 10 mile zone of the plant. Tonight we are here to talk about the evacuation plans for the Limerick Generating Station. The plans we are talking about are State Law enacted in 1978.

It is for the protection of all the people living, working, and traveling in the State of Pennsylvania. This evacuation plan can be used in any emergency that can occur in this region. This evacuation plan is meant to save lives and protect people in any type of emergency.

The records show that nuclear plants have never cost a single life. Man has learned and keeps on learning to improve this source of energy.

The issue of safety is top priority in the construction and operation of a nuclear plant. Improvements will keep being made especially when positive effort is made.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Rizzo. Mr. William Butler and then Mr. Raymond R. Vees, are you present?

STATEMENT OF

Raymond R. Vees: I am Ray Vees. I'm from

Plumstead Township in Bucks County. I'm a gas distribution

mechanic for Philadelphia Electric Company, and I am

Financial Director of the Independent Group Association

representing 5600 Philadelphia Electric Company employees.

I had a little thing prepared to speak but I am not going to use that tonight because everything has been said. All I did was write comments down that other people spoke about and I wanted to comment on them.

One thing was on TMI, and I know that there is a lot of feelings about TMI and most of them are hysteria created by the media. I don't think there is anybody including those that work in the nuclear industry that probably weren't a little bit scared when they heard of TMI, the first couple days, anyway. You hear about hydrogen bubbles that were non-existent and melt downs that didn't take place. Most people were just scared out of their wits, come to find out, and it's years down the road, that none of that took place.

The accident was the most serious accident in the nuclear history and yet the containment worked. That's probably the most important part, that the double containment the same kind of containment that's at Limerick and improved from Three Mile Island, is there, it's in place, and it

B:

works. 1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The deal about the evacuation plan, and I think one thing that's being overlooked is the liability that we 3 put ourselves and townships and our counties in by non-participation in evacuation plans, the liability that 5 we are leaving ourselves open to if someone was to get hurt 7 and face injury from any kind of disaster that we're mandated by law to cover. 8

The references toBhopal, India I think are important especially in Pennsylvania. This year we finally had lobbied legislature into improving Bill 1236 which gives us the right to know our toxic and hazardous chemicals. Today we don't even know what they're making in all these chemical plants that are in our area. The East Coast is lined with them. We have Allied Chemical; we have Dow Chemical; we have plenty of chemical plants, and we don't even know what they make. They could be making the same gas that killed the 2200 people in Bhopal, India, and we don't even know it. And we won't know it until sometime at the end of next year.

The other comments were about, that really struck me as funny, and I guess it's what I want to leave you with, I think that a lot of people, the fear mongers, associate nuclear power with nuclear war. One person said that nobody died from nuclear power, and another person shouted out, How about Hiroshima. That's the kind of mentality that we're dealing with.

I wonder sometimes that if President Reagan had been around when we dropped the bomb on Hiroshima, and we had the technology for Star Wars if, in fact, that technology produced a solar power capable enough of generating vast quantities of electricity, if these same people would be in here hollering about solar power and promoting the safe form of energy from the ground.

I thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. William P. McCarron? And then Joe Leithman will be next.

STATEMENT OF

William P. McCarron: My name is William McCarron.

I live in Phoenixville, Pennsylvania. I lived in Phoenixville
my whole life. I presently work at the Bechtel Power Plant.

I'm an asbestos worker.

In my community we partook of the emergency drill at the practice they had back in the summer. I have two children and my wife. I explained to them what was going to happen. My wife reads the paper. We're quite aware of the practice drill. We did what we were supposed to. We followed the drill. We knew what was going to happen. We were prepared for it.

We have a siren system close to our home. We

heard the system, and we knew what to expect of the system.

I feel that people live in that community which has this
system for the protection from nuclear and other accidents
and casualty type things, and if they don't use it that's
their problem. It's there. It's provided by the

Philadelphia Electric Company for this purpose, and to me it
was very good. It worked well for our family. We knew the
system, and we knew what to do with it.

In the line of work I'm in, I work with asbestos, and when people hear asbestos they get scared. It's like nuclear energy. It's like, ah, because it kills people.

Asbestos does. But with the proper safety equipment we remove asbestos. We don't install it anymore, but we do remove it. We're doing the proper procedures for removing asbestos.

I have worked at the power plant for a year and a half. Tomorrow I'm going to work on maintenance. That's a decision of my own. I could leave and work in another area. If I was afraid of radiation I would go somewhere else. I have seen what has gone into this plant. I have worked with fire seals. I'm content that Philadelphia Electric has been providing the utmost.

These people are really concerned about the people who work in the plant and the community. They have a system called Alara [phonetic] which worked in plants in

Gulf Refineries. We just go to work, they put us through schooling, they have educated, they have told us what possible effects it has. These people are really concerned.

By instrumenting their alarm system and their early warning system, in the event of an accident, I am very positive that they have taken the proper procedure and have applied it. I am all for it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Joe Leithman?

STATEMENT OF

Joe Leithman: My wife and I live within the 10 mile zone. We in our township never had emergency planning. We had an officer like many townships that was assigned that title, but in all truth never had participated in a drill. It was just a title that was given him.

So, as a result of the Limerick Plant coming on line, and as a result of townships obeying the law or coming around to the place where they realized they should be obeying the law and having an emergency preparedness plan and mobilizing people, I had the local township police chief tell me that he was very gratified and appreciated the fact that we were now getting on and were, in fact, mobilizing volunteers and people knew what to do and how to do it and when to do it. And he said, You know, it's not just for Limerick, but he said, We could have an airplane crash, some other type of natural disaster, which did, in fact, happen a

few months after he told me that.

So, No. 1, I feel very good about the fact that we do have an ongoing emergency preparedness office in the township that is functioning. We now have desks, we have equipment, we have people that have assignments.

And as a resident of that township and within the zone I feel very good about that. I feel very good also about the fact that the PE Company is not new to the nuclear business, nuclear generation business. I feel very good about the fact that they have been safely operating the Peach Bottom Plant since 1967. I have a lot of confidence about that and feel very good about it.

I feel good about the quality of work that I have seen at the Limerick Plant. I not only have to say that that's the way I feel, but I read reports from Mr. Palladion and others who can attest; people more knowledgeable and people who know what they're looking at and can attest that this plant is one of the finest or maybe the finest that's ever been built.

I heartily recommend to the NRC your speedy approval of full license and the approval of the emergency evacuation plans.

I thank you for hearing me.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Harry Kennedy and then Clarence Mercer, you will be next. You have five minutes, sir.

STATEMENT OF

Harry Kennedy: Thank you very much. My name is
Harry Kennedy. I live in Chester County in a community
called Romansville which is outside of Coatesville,
Pennsylvania, which is outside the 10 mile limit.

I'm here tonight as a parent, father, a worker in my community, and also here as a rate payer in the Philadelphia Electric Company who is basically tired of the delays and watching the few narrow-minded people keep this plant from being licensed and put in practice so I can see lower rates in the years to come.

years ago, it has followed every example given to them by the NRC and the Federal Government and the State Agencies to meet the standards required to build the plant. And every time it does what it has to do there is a delay by a group of people who put fear of God in all of us. And as I read through all the information—I've been reading for years and trying to go through my children and hopefully with other people I work with, I have never seen anybody die from a nuclear station. All I I have heard from them is they're cheap power. They have generated power safely and this evacuation plan from all I have read, even though it has some negative points of view, it works.

I live outside the 10 mile area. It's easy for

someone to say who lives in Chester County, who lives 10 miles beyond the circle, you don't live here, but I'm here to say to you I believe this plant is safe because it has met all the standards of what I've read in the paper.

I just wish the efforts that have been forced to delay this plant and has cost me, personally, as a rate payer, money, would have been put forth to stop a landfill within two miles of my house that I know it will affect me, my house, would have been put in an effort to clean up our landfills instead of putting in an effort to delay a plant that has met all the standards far greater than any industry that has to meet it to exist, would have been put forth in other efforts— I don't think we would be here tonight.

The bottom line for me is simply the plant is safe. I believe that. In fact, I'm willing to take the dump two miles from my house and put it where the plant is, and you can put the plant where the dump is.

The thing that bugs me the most is the majority of the people that live in this area are like myself, we go to work everyday. We pay our taxes, and we work our ass off to keep ahead of the bill collectors, and a small, narrow-minded group of people who basically cannot see beyond their nose have basically held up this plant, have cost me money.

This plant should have been finished five to six,

ten years ago, on time, at a cost tremendously less than what

it is right now. I beg of you, as a committee of the NRC,

and whoever else is in charge of regulating this plant and

getting it licensed, I beg of you as a rate payer who is

tired of the delays and the costs that you and whoever else

is holding this plant up has cost me because I want it

started. I know it's safe. I know the evacuation plan works

if people will make it work. I would like to see it

started so down the road we as a rate payer, and me personally,

can see the cost finally stop and maybe the rates down the

road pushed back down.

There is no other way of generating electricity

There is no other way of generating electricity cheaper than what this plant can do, and we all know that.

That's what I don't understand. It's safe. It's cheap. And that's the bottom line.

I don't understand why people who are afraid of it would rather have a coal burning station that dirties up the air, and it costs twice as much, than a plant that does not dirty up the air and doesn't cost anyone and has not killed anyone.

16

17

19

20

21

23

please license this plant as soon as possible and get it working. And the majority of the people in this area would love to see it started and the costs finally stopped. It's about time that we get it finished and get it on the road.

Thank you very much.

-24

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Mercer and then Ed McBride.

If you would step along so that we can get as many in as possible.

STATEMENT OF

Clarence Mercer: Good evening, Your Honor.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Good evening.

Clarence Mercer: My name is Clarence Mercer, and
I am a resident of Chester County. I would like to say first
of all that it has been a refreshing experience to attend
this hearing tonight and hear so much factual information
as opposed to emotional, mindless emotionalism.

I would like to make a couple comments about an emergency plan. Every township and municipality needs an emergency plan. It does not matter how near or how far away a nuclear plant is. And the nuclear plant should not be the motivation for an emergency plan.

There has been a lot of comments here tonight about the terrible chemical accident in India. Well, there has also been a lot of chemical accidents in the United States. There was an emergency not long ago about a mile from where I live. There is going to be a lot more emergency chemical accidents in the United States.

So, everyone does need an emergency plan.

Regarding this emergency plan, it will simply provide an

extra margin of safety because the Limerick Station is and will be an extremely safe plant.

So, let's get on with the emergency plan for everyone's benefit.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir.

STATEMENT OF

Edward McBride: Madam Chairman, Panel Members, my name is Edward McBride. I represent 500 Union members of the Independent Group Association within the 10 mile zone and thousands within 25 miles of the plant.

On behalf of these members I state, by our understanding through legal counsel and our political representatives, that according to a law established in 1978 that by political boundaries all of Pennsylvania is mandated to have an evacuation plan. We are derelict in our duty if we don't adopt one and subject to fines that will eventually hit our tax dollars, not to mention the problems we will have if we don't have a plan, regardless of the disaster.

Rather than breaking the law we should pitch in and do it right, get behind a good plan and stop obstructing a good faith effort.

I hear the tragedy caused by the Union Carbide
Company being used to add sensationalism to these hearings
which I personally feel is an outrage used by people who

don't want a plan of any kind for anything.

Furthermore, this plant didn't just drop here magically. I will not belabor the long road to arrive at this juncture. The permits to build and all but a few of the bridges have been crossed, and continued delay by a handful of sensationalists is bordering on breaking the law.

I feel—I don't believe that it's fair to the working class people to raise the costs; and if it continues to be unrealistic interference class action suits are eminent against the perpetrators of these delays to recover the increased cost of producing electric from this plant and, therefore, raising our electric bills.

I, myself, have three daughters, and I'm very comfortable within the Limerick area, as I am equally comfortable when a nuclear submarine or carrier comes up the Delaware River.

On behalf of my Union brothers and sisters and their families please see to it that a plan is adopted in all areas for all emergencies and don't delay this project anymore.

We can't afford it. PE has one of the best records in the U.S. for operating their Peach Bottom Plant, and due to the high technical capabilities of the engineers and the high skill of the Delaware Valley Labor Unions Limerick can only be a better plant. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Granger Schrader, are you here, 1 sir? 2 (No response.) 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Bill Kelly? Then William McClain, are you here, sir? 5 STATEMENT OF William Kelly: My name is William Kelly, for 7 the record. I live in Marple Township, Delaware County. I am here on behalf of the Pennsylvania Voice of Energy. I have seven members of my family living within 10 the shadow of the Limerick Generating Station. I wish to 11 state that I have absolutely no reservations regarding their 12 13 safety. I also wish to state that I believe Limerick to 14 be the best built station in the nation. And I also believe 15 that enough is enough, let's get on with the operation of 16 Limerick. 17 That's all I have. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Richard Hause, H-a-u-s-e, will 19 be next. Mr. McClain, you have five minutes. 20 21 STATEMENT OF William McClinn: My name is William McClain, 22 M-c-C-l-a-i-n. I'm a resident of Chester County. 23 It seems to me that the people who are for energy 24 25 independence are also for good, workable evacuation plans.

Those who are against progress and energy independence are against the present evacuation plans.

It all boiled down to one basic fact, if you are for nuclear power you are for the plans. If you are anti-nuclear and you don't want the plans to work for fear of the Limerick Plant getting its license—and that is something that anti's will go to any extreme to prevent even if it means these plans could save lives in any other type of emergency.

The average person, I feel, usually doesn't get involved in these type of issues because they're too busy with everyday life to go out and protest. But I'm here tonight for the first time to express my views because I see if I don't no one will for me.

And I might have my rights taken away by these anti groups who are against what I feel are my rights about a safe and sufficient energy for my needs now and in the future and a workable evacuation plan for any type of emergency.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Richard Hause?

VOICE: He spoke.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Patrick L. Naugle, N-a-u-g-1-e? George Balmer will be next.

STATEMENT OF

Patrick Naugle: Good evening. My name is Patrick 2 Naugle. I'm a professional engineer, registered in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Registration No. PE021176AD.

1

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to make some statements relative to the evacuation plans and the risk involved with nuclear power. 6

The first statement in the form of a question is, 7 8 Do we need an evacuation plan and if so, why. The simplistic answer is that 1) the NRC requires an evacuation plan as part of their safety analysis of a nuclear power plant, in this case, Limerick; and 2) the Commonwealth of 11 Pennsylvania requires local governments to have emergency plans in Act 1978-323. 13

Now, the second part is, Why are the evacuation plans required. On one hand the NRC requires an evacuation plan in the event of a serious accident at a nuclear power plant. My personal knowledge of the design and construction of nuclear power plants with multiple redundant safety systems and defense in depth design approach, and the industrial record of commercial nuclear power, indicates that the probability of a serious accident at a commercial nuclear power plant is very, very low.

There have been no known cases of evacuations caused by nuclear power plants, not only in the United States but also in the world. This includes Three Mile Island.

This is a very significant statement considering that the level of technology in some countries with nuclear power plants is somewhat below that of the USA. Russia, for example, does not even require primary containments to be built around their reactors. In fact, the probability of a serious accident is so low one may wonder why the NRC requires evacuation plans as a part of their safety analysis.

My position is that the NRC requires the plans as another ultraconservative approach to assuring public safety. It is not meant as an indication that a serious accident at a nuclear power plant is the slightest bit probable.

On the other hand, the state-mandated emergency plans are supposed to deal with natural disasters as well as man-made disasters from industrial accidents, train derailments, et cetera. One does not have to be a research librarian or have a very long memory to come up with cases where accidents at chemical plants or train derailments have caused, or should have caused, an evacuation of the public.

The recent disaster in Bhopal, India with Union Carbide, an American company, comes immediately to mind.

Train derailments, some involving very toxic chemicals, are relatively commonplace and some have resulted in large evacuations of the public.

I would like to make two points, the first one 2 being the safety factor of the rail and chemical industries is very low compared to the nuclear power industry based on the number and severity of the accidents associated with those industries.

3

6

7

11

13

16

17

20

21

22

24

25

And secondly, and more importantly, and this also explains why both sides have mentioned Bhopal, India, the 8 main reason for the lower safety factor is that these industries are not subjected to the intensity of regulation that the NRC imposes on the commercial nuclear power industry.

I am not suggesting that a CRC, in other words, a 12 Chemical Regulatory Commission, or triple RC, in other words, a Railroad Regulatory Commission, is required or even necessary. But I am merely pointing out that the safety factor of these industries could be raised through increased regulation.

However, society has determined that the risks from these industries is acceptable by omitting the requirement for increased regulation.

In conclusion, evacuation plans are unfortunately prudent and necessary in today's environment, however, the probability is very high that the initiating event will be a natural disaster or a man-made disaster other than an accident at a commercial nuclear power plant.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: George Balmer, you have five minutes, and then Robert McConnell.

STATEMENT OF

George Balmer: Thank you. My name is George Balmer. I live in Delaware County.

I am not going to take up a lot of your time because I think everything that has been said is what the whole picture is about.

I live in New Town Square which is within 25 miles of Limerick. I am very concerned with an evacuation plan.

My community has none whatsoever. They have what some of the other people have said, a limited amount of where if you go -- if we have some kind of a nuclear disaster of any kind, or a non-nuclear, any disaster, just get out.

This State in the last ten years has had two times where mother nature has done it for us. They have shown us that it works. Right in this area it has worked. We have school children, people here talk about our school children, what are we going to do, our teachers -- like the gentlemen said before, we have plans that have been in effect since I was in school, fire drills, that work.

I was in a high school that was on fire back in the 50's. It worked. We got out. The same thing can happen here. There's going to be shortcomings, and I agree; and these are all human beings. There's going to be mistakes

made, but it can work.

And I think that if the people in these communities around this plant would work at it, it will work. And it's a necessity, we need it, we need the electricity. There isn't anybody here going to turn the lights off, and we need the plant, we need the plan.

That's all I have to say. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. McConnell and then Mr.

Frank W. Hake, II.

STATEMENT OF

Robert McConnell: My name is Bob McConnell.

Under Pennsylvania Law there is a requirement that every township has an evacuation plan. Under this law there should be an orderly evacuation, redistribution of people to other areas. There should be a chance for them to have food, clothing, medical care if they need it, and I think that PE surpasses this requirement in any way possible in their evacuation plan.

I am here representing myself and my family and over 275 Union members from Philadelphia Electric. Thank you.

THE CHAIRFERSON: Thank you. Mr. Frank W. Hake, II, and then Mr. William L. Gormen. Mr. Hake, that's spelled H-a-k-e, and Roman Numeral II.

STATEMENT OF

Frank W. Hake, II: My name is Frank Hake. I live 1 2 right across the river in North Coventry Township which is 3 |within five miles of the plant. On my behalf, as well as my family's behalf, I 5 would like to go on record as stating that the evacuation 6 plan as endorsed by the municipalities and Philadelphia 7 Electric is adequate to safeguard me and my family. The evacuation plan, and definitely as previous 8 people have said, may have some shortcomings here or there. 10 When I think of those shortcomings sometimes I become a little bit testy and I say to myself that I know that the plant was built with skill, quality, local craftsmen who live in the area. It was designed by a bunch of engineers that could be the best in the world, and it will be operated and maintained by Philadelphia Electric Company whose safety record, as far as nuclear goes, is unexcelled. 16 I will sleep as well three years from now when 17 both units are hopefully running as I will tonight. But one time I wouldn't sleep well is that if we only had an 19 adequately constructed powerhouse and an excellent evacuation 20 21 plan. I urge your license of Limerick as soon as 22 possible. Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. William L. Gormen 24 25 and then A. J. Tate.

STATEMENT OF

William L. Gormen: Good evening. My name is William Gormen. I live at East Coventry Township. I live approximately two miles from the plant.

I feel that the plan of evacuation is a good plan. I have been involved for some time in my township on a committee to work on the planning. I feel that our plan is a good plan because the people have cooperated. They have given their time, their own time, that they have volunteered to come and become trained. They have had quite a bit of training sessions from professional consultants.

We live in a good county that has provided us with support with any problems we have had with our plans and have worked them out.

I feel that this plan is a workable plan, and it can work. And I feel that the people that have come and feel that the plan can't work, if they would go to their local township meetings and put in positive input they could get involved in this plan and there would be plenty of volunteers.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. Tate? After
Mr. Tate is Alma Bretthauer. A.J. Tate, are you here, sir?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Alma Bretthauer,

B-r-e-t-t-h-a-u-e-r?

STATEMENT OF

Alma Bretthauer: Correct. I am Alma
Bretthauer, and I am the President of the Phoenixville
Area Education Association, the Teacher's Union.

I have serious concern for the welfare of our professional staff. According to our Collective Bargaining Agreement teachers shall not be required to work under unsafe or hazardous conditions or to perform tasks which endanger their health, safety or well-being. It is our opinion that this proposed evacuation program is not a workable, reliable plan.

We believe that teachers input is critical to the planning process as we are the ones expected to carry it out for our school students. As it presently stands, it assumes that in loco parentis provision extends to the custody of children beyond the regular school day.

In loco parentis means in place of parents, and applies only to the teacher acting in place of a parent on issues of discipline and classroom control during the regularly scheduled school day, and that covers fire drills.

It in no way implies a teacher should assume the custody of a child in the ence of a parent.

Phoenixville's regularly scheduled day is defined

for the elementary teacher and for the secondary teacher.

This evacuation system proposes teachers be assigned to

duties that would go beyond the regularly scheduled school

day, duties that have not been bargained for.

Of grave concern is the open-ended length of time that teachers are expected to perform their assignments. A single inservice day program explaining the way the evacuation program is supposed to work does not constitute a deliniation or explanation of duties expected of the teachers.

To pressure the School Board into unilateral acceptance of this or any evacuation plan without bargaining the issues would place the School Board in an unfair labor practice situation. There is obvious clear conflict here of Boards being put in a position of committing an unfair labor practice because of non-bargaining of the issues.

Let it be known many of our professional staff live within the site of the towers. There is nothing in this plan that takes into consideration the teacher's own families and personal obligations. In short, this evacuation program is unsatisfactory.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Tom Schadt, are you here, sir?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Richard Harrington. Mr.

1 Harrington, are you here, sir?

MR. HARRINGTON: Here.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Very well. Is Bob Harrington

a relative?

2

3

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HARRINGTON: Yes.

STATEMENT OF

Richard Harrington: I am a teacher at Phoenixville
High School, and I would like to say that I am educated about
the issue. I researched this issue.

And I feel because I am a teacher who is expected and asked to stay with students during a potential emergency to procure the correct role, to escort students to the host school outside the EPZ, to stay with them until parents come or until we are dismissed, I feel I am entitled to a few very crucial questions.

First, how can anyone assume that all teachers will stay when they have other obligations particularly to their families. Neither PHEMA or Philadelphia Electric know anything about the psychology of people who are faced, not with a known fear, but with an unknown fear, a fear which is not discernible to any of the senses.

This is an assumption that is illogical and unethical.

Secondly, if we have learned anything from Three
Mile Island, how can we really trust anyone or any computer

to accurately assess the condition of a reactor. Seven years after the Three Mile Island accident we have finally come to learn that the reactor was 300 degrees away from a meltdown. How are we going to, in an emergency situation, possibly understand the integrity of a reactor when we do not want to frighten people prematurely, when emotions are high and objectivity is low.

It's unethical to believe also that teachers who have not been served either correctly or gently should be asked to take on these responsibilities.

Also, one of the things that has not come into these hearings tonight is the problems with radioactive wastes which are related to an evacuation plan in the sense that much of the on-site storage of fuel rods can also create hazardous conditions. Both Peach Bottom and Salem have asked for extensions for compaction of fuel rods on-site. Limerick will have to do the same thing. No one in this room has any long range plan for a long term storage of high, medium or low level radioactive wastes.

National Academy of Sciences says it; John Gofton in his book says it; and the Union of Concerned Sciences say it; and I believe maybe subconsciously that the NRC believes it, too. I would hope that you do.

I would like to say also that in the hearings

tonight much of the testimonies given by Philadelphia

Electric employees are basically canned speeches. They are

saying the same thing, and they are not addressing the

issue of evacuation plans. They are talking about the issue

of nuclear power, costs, things that are not integrated into

this argument. I think that that should be brought into

this situation tonight. I think we need to stay on the topic.

I'm an English teacher.

Also I believe that as a teacher there is a lot of emotionalism not only in people who are afraid, and I would agree with that and I do not read newspapers. I believe that there is a lot of emotionalism on both sides, and I do not justify either side. I believe that the emotionalism for people on either side is wrong, and I would like to make sure that objectivity is brought into play.

If there are any other speakers -- and my brother has come -- I would like to make sure -- I would also like to ask for more hearings because there are many more people who would like to speak on this issue.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Bob Harrington? Then James R. Frank.

STATEMENT OF

Bob Harrington: I am Bob Harrington, and I live in Phoenixville. In my hands tonight I hold the Phoenixville

Emergency Response Plan which I have read over many times and I think I know it pretty well. And I think I can assert very clearly that this particular plan has nothing to do with moving real people out of real places.

Right now, as the plan stands, there are a lot of people in Phoenixville in responsible positions who also feel the same way. Our own Chief of Police will state that the road system will not handle an evacuation out of the Phoenixville area.

I think we have seen events like the events in India and Mexico that indicate an extreme need for an evacuation plan that is based on reality. I recommend to this committee that you have real exercises to show our real ability to be able to move people out of the zone, out of the EPZ.

I think that the people in Phoenixville deserve to know if they're really capable of doing an exercise to prove that this plan, which is mandated by the NRC, can be pulled off.

Also, there has been no studies by PHEMA or PECO for schools in the EPZ to show that they are radiologically safe to shelter children. In the Phoenixville plans there are statements made that show that when children are in the schools they are supposed to close the windows and all the vents inside of that school so that no radiation can get

I inside. Well, there has been no test to show that this

2 | could even possibly happen, that these schools and other

3 buildings in the Phoenixville area are radiologically safe

4 to shelter school children. There has been no real

5 committment on the part of the teachers or bus drivers.

6 So now energy consultants are trying to find volunteers

7 outside of the EPZ to drive into the Phoenixville area so

8 | that they would be able to take people out.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It should be a real scene to try to see volunteers try to get into the EPZ in the midst of a heavy snowstorm. The fact an emergency plan says the children are supposed to put a dampened cloth over their faces as air filters so they don't breath contaminated air, I have real questions about those statements.

If PECO is serious about their emergency planning then they should consider changing their siren warning system to a site emergency instead of a general emergency. This would give emergency workers plenty of time, and fire emergency people and borough workers who would have to remove snow and other things like that time to do their responsibility. As it stands right now it's too late if you call a general emergency. It should be moved up to a site emergency. By that time things are too late.

In Phoenixville there are 14 people requiring ambulance service; 86 people that need special assistance;

1 82 people that are hearing impaired; and 308 people that
2 cannot get transportation and that are supposed to call on
3 the EOC to be able to get assistance to get out of the EPZ.
4 If anyone were to ask any one of these people how they're
5 supposed to get out, I guarantee you they have absolutely no
6 idea what the procedure would be.

In the plans itself it says, these individuals will be contacted by the medical ambulance service officer at an alert to confirm the status of their medical needs. The assumption by PE that people perform admirably in an emergency situation is a ridiculous assumption. Anyone that has ever been in an emergency know that the psychological situation at that time could be extremely various and totally unpredictable. This is an immense assumption, and I am afraid that you cannot base a realistic plan on that kind of thinking.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak.

THE CHAIRPERSON: James R. Frank. Mr. Frank and then Terry R. Scholl.

STATEMENT OF

James R. Frank: My name is Jim Frank. I live in Lower Frederick Township. I must be within 10 miles because I have one of those horns down the street, and I know they go past me. We have been living up there 14 years. I don't know the exact date they started Limerick, but I wasn't

I aware of it, and it's no concern to me.

I've put about 13 months in the plant. I am amazed at the safety involved over there, not only in the construction but in the plant itself. Some of these people talked about the redundance in the building. People can't do that in their own homes like that. If something fails you have backup and then another backup. That place is really safe.

I didn't go there with any concept of pro-nuclear
or anti-nuclear, but I've come away with it trusting PE. I
live in a house that is total electric. Over the years I've
found PE to be my friend. They're not there to hurt me.
I've heard a lot of negative reports tonight about the
disaster in India, TMI, and the Mexican gas explosion. That's
a good way to touch on emotions. Soap operas do the same
thing.

I am touched emotionally by those people that have died, but the decisions that have to be made by you folks can't be based on emotions because emotions can lie to the truth. It has got to be based on knowledge, wisdom, knowledge of the facts. The facts that I have run across are, PE is not trying to hurt me.

I also see that TMI cannot be compared with PE.

There is a lot of crazy kids out there driving cars, running up on sidewalks, go through red lights, stop signs, they even

kill people. Does that compare with me? I'm a driver. How
can we compare somebody who acts in apparently a somewhat
irresponsible way to somebody who acts responsibly. That
goes the same way with utilities. TMI did one thing and
from the reports that I have heard and read I wouldn't put
them in the same boat as PE. I don't believe PE is trying
to hurt me, but rather to help me.

PE has worked to build a safe plant and because
of that and the way I have seen them perform over the years

of that and the way I have seen them perform over the years
I believe the evacuation system is also just as safe and
trustworthy. I don't think we should concern ourselves with
what could go wrong. There's a thing they call Murphy's Law,
if anything can go wrong it probably will. There are some
people who live by that. And that's a pretty dumb and crazy
way to live.

I think we have good to look at the facts, and I think it's good.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. Scholl? Thomas

Walsh?

STATEMENT OF

Terry R. Scholl: My name is Terry R. Scholl,

S-c-h-o-l-1. I am the emergency coordinator for Lower Salford

Township which is in the northwestern part of Montgomery

County. My purpose here tonight is single, and that is to

report on the status of the emergency preparedness in Lower

Salford Township. And that is the purpose of the hearing, I believe.

I have been emergency coordinator there, or civil defense director it was called when I was appointed in September, 1971, by then Govenor Shapp, and I have held that position since. However, for 12 of those 13 years civil defense and emergency preparedness is what you see in front of the microphone. There was no plan, per se, within the township.

We qualified legally under the State law because there's also a statute that says a municipality, if it has no plan of its own, falls under the blanket of the Montgomery County or the local county organization. So we did have words and manuals, but only on paper.

The things that have occurred within the past year have improved the situation in my particular township, and I was born and raised there.

Under cold war we had no plans of any kind, but it was informal. Now we have equipment. We have an emergency operations center with equipment in it, telephone lines. There is some funding available. We have volunteers. We didn't have that at all before, zip.

So, just for my report on the emergency management status in Lower Salford, it's good. We have taken part in both of the drills in July and again in

```
I November. I chose in July to participate but asked not to
2 be rated at that time because all the staff was new. I felt
3 we were not sufficiently trained and experienced. As it
   turned out I wish we would have been rated. I think we would
5 have passed.
             We did participate last month and I think we did
7 exceedingly well. I think the plan we have in place now is
8 a workable plan. Perfect? No. It never will be. We're
   people. But I think it's a workable plan, and it has given
   us a good solid foundation for the people that are involved
   with my staff now to expand on that plan, to begin to list
12
   local resources that we have available to handle various
   kinds of emergencies.
13
            And I have not called it, and I refuse to call it
14
   an evacuation plan. It's an emergency response plan. I
15
   think people have been scared into using the term evacuation
16
   plan. The evacuation situation is only a very small part of
17
   the overall plan. I think my township is far better off at
18
   this point for Limerick having come in to existence.
20
             We were admittedly unwilling participants at first,
   but in looking back with hindsight we are better off.
21
22
             Thank you.
             THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Mr. Walsh is next,
23
24
   is he in?
25
```

(No response.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Kenneth Cromwell? 1 (No response.) 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: William Edwards? 3 (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Frank Remki? 5 (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: Leonard --7 VOICE: What number was that? THE CHAIRPERSON: Leonard Garti, G-a-r-t-i. 9 VOICE: Frank something? 10 VOICE: It wasn't your name. 11 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Paul D. Murray? 13 (No response.) THE CHAIRPERSON: David Moore? 14 15 STATEMENT OF David Moore: My name is David Moore, New Hanover 16 Township, Montgomery County. I would like to say that the 17 people in the community that I live in are as important as 18 they are -- as they can be from the township. We go to our township as far as evacuation is concerned. I think a lot of people here tonight don't think about it. What they read 21 in the paper or what they don't read in the paper is as far 22 23 as it goes. As far as Limerick itself is concerned, I moved 24 here in 1978 in the area from Philadelphia. Construction

```
I worker of 24 years, I worked at Limerick for a little over
 2 three years. I feel it's a safe place. I feel comfortable
 3 in my community.
             In the last two or three years, if you will check
  in your real estate, if you will look at Limerick before it
6 started, everybody was afraid. I read it myself when I lived
 7 in the Philadelphia City limits.
             If you will look in the past couple years the real
 9 estate in this area has really went up as far as people
10 coming up from Valley Forge, Philadelphia area. They're
  coming out all the time. If it's as bad as we or some
12 people perceive it to be, I don't understand why the
  population continues to rise in the area.
14
             Like I said, the times that I was in Limerick,
15 for the days I was there, I feel confident 100 percent.
16
             The evacuation situation is only going to be what
  the people in the area get involved with and look at. Civil
18 defense, for instance, when we were a kid growing up nobody
19 knew anything about it. Nobody knew the route, where to go,
  what to do. The school system, you did have your fire drills.
21
  That was basically the only thing that most people, I'd say
  the majority of people, were even aware of.
23
            Civil defense today, the evacuation routes, they're
24
  there. If there is a problem it's nice to know there's
25
   something you have to do. As far as the people in
```

```
1 Phoenixville, I feel bad for those people. To start with, I
2 would hate to think if we were at home and we had an
3 evacuation or situation, flood, fire, anything, and I would
4 leave my next door neighbor there and not help. And I
5 would hate to think my children were in school and those
6 people would walk off and not help. It's not humane, in my
7 opinion.
            It's unfortunate that the people don't get
9 involved and be more aware as far as your civil defense or
10 your evacuation situations are. If they don't I don't know
II what to tell them. It's unfortunate because a lot of people
12 don't get involved with their townships or fire companies,
13 for instance. How many people come, how many people get
14 involved and pay attention to what goes on in their
15 communities.
16
            Most people that's involved in the anti-nuclear,
17 for instance, with this Limerick situation aren't even aware.
18 They don't even care. And most of them aren't from the
19 communities.
20
            I livedin Warminster, and I know the Delaware River
21 situation at the time -- we needed water. We needed water.
July and August you didn't have any water. You had no water
23 to wash your car and do your lawn, and yet people voted no
```

Even here in Montgomery County before we lived here-

water, and I can't understand it.

25

1 | there's a necessary need and people don't get involved.

2 | They would rather say no and walk away than get involved.

And that's an unfortunate situation for most people.

Like I said, look back at your civil defense, how many people were aware of an evacuation method from the cities. It's a question of getting involved, and I hopethat you people will understand this.

You can't make me go out and be aware of what is going on in life or in the community whether or not Limerick is here or not. I'm sure if you feel that the evacuation situation is adequate, I'm sure you people will be fair in your judgment.

That's all I have to say. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Bill Naustad?

STATEMENT OF

Bill Naustad: Good evening. My name is Bill Naustad, Schwenksville. I live in Lower Frederick Township, and I attended the drill on July 25th as an observer at Lower Frederick Township.

I have four concerns I would like to bring up before the Board that I tried to be specific tonight because a lot of this stuff I've been hearing hasn't been very specific.

In regard to the sirens, I felt personally that I thought they sounded too much like fire sirens. Knowing --

let's put it this way, it's the same type tone but it's

constant. And I spoke to some people in the area who were

involved in, like, fire rescue, and they felt it was adequate.

But I think the people who are into that kind of thing are

more conscious of sirens and pay attention to them.

The evening that I was out observing this drill

I told our babysitter not to be concerned if she would hear

I told our babysitter not to be concerned if she would hear the siren; it would be loud and don't be afraid and whatnot, and she heard it and didn't even notice it. It was very quiet. She didn't hear it well and it was a tone that wasn't noticed.

Another thing I wanted to mention about that was while I was at the township office I couldn't hear the siren inside. I spoke to the PHEMA man who was also in there, and I said, Did you hear it. And he said, No, he did not hear it, and we had to go outside to hear the siren. I don't know if they were all implemented at that time. I cannot tell you, but I know I did not hear the siren from inside the building.

I suggested in our township meeting that PECO--I heard talk sometime back about an automatic phone message system, and they were going to look into that so that people will be automatically called on the telephone rather than these sirens that you may not hear in the middle of the night.

I also feel-I heard another fellow say earlier that he felt they should go off earlier in the emergency process.

Personally I would like to see the thing go off at the alert stage. I think at the very latest it should be at the site emergency state because when you get to the general emergency it's too late, in my opinion.

My wife happened to be down at the control center observing that same drill day, and she reported it was something like an hour and 15 minutes after the hard copy came in to actually declare a general emergency that the sirens were let off. And at that point everyone, I think, in this room is aware that your potential -- your very close to loss of containment. Okay. So I think it would behoove the tate to move the actual siren blaring up to at least one or two levels earlier than they're presently doing.

I also believe that the shelter action is very inappropriate because of the fact of air infiltration in buildings. I did a little study of this on my own. I don't claim to be an expert. I think it can be researched that air changes in buildings are very significant, particularly in the winter. From what I understand, air changes change in a building completely, all the air in the building changes completely within one hour.

So, if you're planning to shelter and seal off a building you have to look at the effectiveness of particulates coming in around the doors and windows. And I don't really believe that has been thoroughly researched.

Last night I went to a presentation at my church by Philadelphia Electric and Mr. Mulford, I believe his name is, the Chief Engineer, was spoken to about this particular issue, and I don't think he had a good answer in regard to particulates coming in under the doors. About the only other thing I would like to address is the fact of teacher training being inappropriate. I am a teacher. I work at one of the local schools here. I have never been trained. I've never even had the PR speech that I've heard they've had at other schools.

I think our administration is dealing with it in the way that they don't want to have trouble so they don't want to have any training. I believe they should be trained, and I think they should be surveyed as to who is doing what. I have a lot of concerns personally about what I will do. I still haven't made the decision. I think my own personal decision will be done based on how well prepared my particular family is.

I think I am being very honest about it. I know other people that will leave. They told me that. My time is up, I understand?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, is that your last -- MR. NAUSTAD: That's basically about it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir. We have run a little bit over this evening. I don't think anyone has

minded too much. Thank you very much for your input this evening. If you want to have a copy of the Order, we will give you the address and you may have them at the door from Mr. Crocket. Thank you for your attendance and for your participation in this hearing.

(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 10:20 o'clock p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

1 This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION in the matter of: 5 NAME OF PROCEEDING: PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY (Limerick Generating Station, 6 Units 1 and 2) 7 DOCKET NO.: 50-352-OL 50-353-OL PLACE: Stowe, Pennsylvania 10 11 DATE: Thursday, December 13, 1984 12 were held as herein appears, and that this is the original 13 transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear 14 Regulatory Commission. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25