BELATED CORRESPONDED

LILCO March 8, 1985

\*85 MAR 11 A11:13

\* CFFIGE OF SECRETARY
GOCKETING A SERVICE
BRANCH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of		
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY	DOCKET NO.	50-322 (OL)
(Shoreham Nuclear Power ) Station, Unit 1)		

PROFFERED TESTIMONY OF PAUL R. JOHNSTON

DS03

- Please state your name, business affiliation and address.
- A. My name is Dr. Paul R. Johnston. I am employed by Failure Analysis Associates, 2225 East Bayshore Road, Palo Alto, California 94303.
  - 2. Have you previously testified in this proceeding?
- A. Yes. My resume and professional qualifications have been previously submitted in this proceeding.
- 3. Dr. Johnston, are the measured stresses in the Shoreham replacement crankshafts linear in their progression from 2800 KW to 3800 KW?
- A. Yes. The stresses in the crankshaft increase linearly with increase in load. The stresses in the crankshaft have been determined from the dynamic strain gauge test of EDG 103 in January 1984 at loads of 1750, 2700, 2800, 3500, and 3800 KW. Results of this test are shown in LILCO Exhibit C-16 previously admitted in this proceeding. Specifically, the relationship between load and stress or strain is shown in Figures B-1 through B-6 of this exhibit. These figures show a linear increase of stress with load. Generally, if the load changes by a given percentage, it can be seen that the stress or strain changes by a slightly smaller percentage.

4. Dr. Johnston, in response to several of Mr. Dynner's questions on cross-examination, Dr. Bush indicated that one of the stresses measured upon the Shoreham replacement crankshaft was tensile stress, which Dr. Bush indicated was measured at 29,800 psi (in a Stone & Webster report) and was not utilized in his analysis. Do you agree with this?

A. No. The strain gauge measurements on the EDG 103 crankshaft capture the effect of all stresses on the crankshaft. These stresses include torsional, bending, and tensile stresses (I prefer to refer to the tensile stresses as axial stresses). The strain gauge measurements were reduced to alternating and mean stresses by Failure Analysis Associates in LILCO Exhibit C-17 at page 3-9 (FaAA-84-3-16 referred to by Dr. Bush), previously admitted in this proceeding. Dr. Bush testified that it was these stresses that were used in his fatigue analysis.

The stress values quoted by Dr. Bush in response to a question from Judge Ferguson were taken from LILCO Exhibit C-16, page B-5 (the Stone & Webster report referred to by Dr. Bush). The major principal stress of 29,800 psi referred to by Dr. Bush is not an axial stress but simply a combination of the bending and shear stresses. The stresses that Dr. Bush quoted are simply different representations of the same stress state.

This stress state is the one used by Failure Analysis

Associates in LILCO Exhibit C-17 and thus is the stress state
used by Dr. Bush. Simply put, this means that Dr. Bush did not
ignore any stresses and actually used the appropriate stresses
in his fatigue analysis.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In the Matter of
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)
Docket No. 50-322 (OL)

I hereby certify that copies of the Proffered Testimony of Paul R. Johnston were served this date upon the following by first-class mail, postage prepaid, or by hand, as indicated by as asterisk:

Lawrence Brenner, Esq.\*
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. NRC
4350 East-West Highway
Fourth Floor (North Tower)
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Dr. Peter A. Morris\*
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. NRC
4350 East-West Highway
Fourth Floor (North Tower)
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Dr. George A. Ferguson\*
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board
School of Engineering
Howard University
2300 6th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Secretary of the Commission
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Marc W. Goldsmith
Energy Research Group
Twomey, Latha
4001 Totten Pond Road
Waltham, Massachusetts 02154
P. O. Box 398

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Robert E. Smith, Esq. Guggenheimer & Untermyer 80 Pine Street New York, N.Y. 10005

Robert G. Perlis, Esq.\*
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
7735 Old Georgetown Road
Maryland National Bank Bldg.
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Alan R. Dynner, Esq.\* Kirkpatrick & Lockhart 1900 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Stephen B. Latham, Esq. Twomey, Latham & Shea 33 West Second Street P. O. Box 398 Riverhead, New York 11901 MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue Suite K San Jose, California 95125

Mr. Jay Dunkleberger New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Jonathan D. Feinberg, Esq. State of New York Department of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Howard L. Blau 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, New York 11801 Ralph Shapiro, Esq. Cammer and Shapiro, P.C. 9 East 40th Street New York, N.Y. 10016

James Dougherty, Esq. 3045 Porter Street Washington, D.C. 20008

Martin Bradley Ashare, Esq.
Attn: Patricia A. Dempsey, Esq.
County Attorney
Suffolk County Department of Law
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, New York 11787

Hunton & Williams
BB&T Building
Post Office Box 109
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

DATED: March 8, 1985