



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

March 11, 1983

'83 MAR 15 A10:20

REG. SERVICE  
BRANCH

Mrs. Maureen Singhi  
50-A Copiague Place  
Copiague, New York 11726

Dear Mrs. Singhi:

This is in response to your January 26, 1983, letter to Judge Lawrence Brenner regarding the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station. As judges are generally not permitted to discuss cases which they are currently hearing, Judge Brenner has asked me to write to you. Please forgive my delay in responding.

Your letter expresses your grave concern about the possible consequences of an accident and evacuation at Shoreham and asks that Judge Brenner send you "an application to sign against it". While there is no official NRC form to fill out to oppose the licensing of a nuclear plant, the NRC's rules provide two ways in which individuals or groups may raise their concerns in the context of a licensing proceeding: (1) making or filing written or oral statements of their concerns with a licensing board (known as "limited appearance statements"), or (2) formally "intervening" as a party (actually litigating their concerns before a licensing board through testimony and cross-examination).

As you may know, a number of private litigants and Suffolk County have been participating as formal parties in the adjudicatory hearing for quite some time. Based on your letter to Judge Brenner, I am assuming that you did not want to take part in the formal litigation of the Shoreham plant, but did want to express your views about Shoreham to those people involved on the licensing process. I have, therefore, had a copy of your letter, together with this response, served upon the parties to this proceeding.

I recognize, Mrs. Singhi, that telling you that your letter has been formally docketed and served on the parties to the Shoreham proceeding probably does not go very far in reassuring you that your concerns about any potential emergency or evacuation are going to be resolved in the NRC licensing process before any decision is made about

8412130268 840521  
PDR FOIA PDR  
BELAIR84-250

whether Shoreham should be licensed. Congress has passed laws, however, both concluding that nuclear power plants may be operated safely and empowering the NRC to license plants which meet Federal requirements. All that I can promise you is that Shoreham will only be licensed if the NRC finds that the plant and its emergency plans meet those Federal requirements.

Very truly yours,

*Daniel F. Brown*

Daniel F. Brown  
Attorney to the Shoreham  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

c: Docketing and Service for  
servicing with incoming  
Singhi letter to all parties.

'83 MAR 15 AMO:20

U.S. SERVICE  
ANCH

1/20/83

Dear Judge Brennan,

As everyone else, I am deeply concerned over the opening of the Sherborn plant. If anything were to happen, people would panic, going to get their children from various schools and then trying to leave Long Island, especially if you have no car. I would prefer to pay higher out on gas bills, than worrying about if I am & others are going to die. It is a shame that the higher up people are more concerned about the money spent for their monstrosity than people's lives. It is a lie and would you please send me an application to sign against it.

Thank you & I hope you read this carefully.

Yours truly  
Mrs. Maureen Singh  
50 - A Copogue Place  
Copogue, N. Y. 11726

JAN 31 1983

Dear Judge Brewer,

Mailed Tues, <sup>83</sup> 3/8/83 <sup>MAR 15</sup> AIO:21

Stop nuclear Shoreham! One individual - whether incompetent or careless or poorly trained or disgruntled (because of his job, his love life, etc) or one on drugs or alcohol can make a miniscule mistake - thereby releasing radioactivity into the air - and we'll have to pay for it sooner or later.

Employees in the U.S. have gotten into the habit of turning out shoddy goods or workmanship. It's bad enough when you get appliances or cars that don't function properly or technicians who can't fix TV's, radios, cars, etc. - but may I tell that happening at Shoreham!! We can not afford such chances.

MAR 10 1983



(2)  
The Union of Concerned Scientists reports  
there are lots of these unreported mis-  
traps in our nuclear plants. The  
authorities at Three Mile Island did  
not tell the truth to the people  
in the area. The U.S. Gov't did  
not tell the truth to its soldiers  
who took part in nuclear acti-  
vities in the West in the 50's.

How can we believe that we  
would be told the truth here on  
Long Island - where evacuation  
is simply impossible. Anyone  
who has ever ridden the Long  
Island Expressway when there  
is a rush hour, repairs on the  
road, a truck jacked up, etc  
knows that the traffic situation  
would be horrendous.

③  
The people here in Suffolk County have cut down on Lelco consumption, and considering the alternative, we can cut down even more. Furthermore fears professed by Lelco have proved to be groundless. Both countries' growth have been grinding to a halt. We can manage on what non-nuclear Shoreham can produce in electricity.

As far as costs are concerned, why are Lelco stockholders incurring a loss? I have lost money on stocks and bonds and no one bailed me out. As consumers we may have to pay part of the nuclear costs, but let the stockholders pay their share too!

Lelco has made one blunder after another in building nuclear Shoreham. It is considered to be among the lowest 10 (not percent) of U.S. public utilities. That is the fault of the stockholders for putting up with such inept management. Above all, no financial sacrifice is too great to insure our health and lives. Yours sincerely, Charles + Lynn Grets

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of )  
 )  
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY ) Docket No.(s) 50-322  
 )  
 )  
(Shoreham Unit 1) )  
 )  
 )  
 )

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document(s) upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Office of the Secretary of the Commission in this proceeding in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.712 of 10 CFR Part 2 - Rules of Practice, of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Rules and Regulations.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this  
15<sup>th</sup> day of March 1983.

Peggy H. Sawring  
Office of the Secretary of the Commission



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of )  
 )  
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY ) Docket No.(s) 50-322  
 )  
(Shoreham, Unit 1) )  
 )  
 )  
 )

SERVICE LIST

Administrative Judge Lawrence Brenner  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Peter A. Morris  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. James L. Carpenter  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Counsel for NRC Staff  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

W. Taylor Reveley, III, Esq.  
Hunton and Williams  
700 East Main Street  
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Jeffrey L. Futter, Esq.  
Long Island Lighting Company  
250 Old Country Road  
Mineola, New York 11501

Long Island Lighting Company  
ATTN: Andrew W. Wofford  
Vice President  
175 East Old Country Road  
Hicksville, New York 11801

Daniel F. Brown, Esq.  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555  
Jeffrey Cohen, Esq.  
New York State Energy Office  
Swan Street Building  
Core 1, Second Floor  
Albany, New York 12223

Energy Research Group, Inc.  
400-1 Totten Pond Road  
Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

Irving Like, Esq.  
Reilly, Like and Schneider  
200 West Main Street  
Babylon, New York 11702

Mr. Joseph P. Novarro, Project Manager  
Shoreham Nuclear Power Station  
P.O. Box 618, North Country Road  
Wading River, New York 11792

MHB Technical Associates  
1723 Hamilton Avenue, Suite K  
San Jose, California 95125

Hunton and Williams  
P. O. Box 1535  
Richmond, Virginia 23212

Ralph Shapiro, Esq.  
Canner & Shapiro, P.C.  
9 East 40th Street  
New York, New York 10016



Joel Blau, Esq.  
New York State Department  
of Public Service  
Gov. Nelson A. Rockefeller  
Empire State Plaza  
Albany, New York 12223

Honorable Peter Cohalan  
Suffolk County Executive  
County Executive/Legislative Bldg.  
Veteran's Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, New York 11788

Stephen Latham, Esq.  
Twomey, Latham & Schmitt  
P.O. Box 398  
Riverhead, New York 11901

Herbert H. Brown, Esq.  
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.  
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Hill,  
Christopher & Phillips  
1900 M Street, N.W., 8th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20036

David J. Gilmartin, Esq.  
ATTN: Patricia A. Dempsey, Esq.  
Suffolk County Department of Law  
Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, New York 11787

Mr. Martin Suuberg  
c/o Congressman William Carney  
1113 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20515

T.S. Ellis, III  
Donald F. Irwin  
Hunton & Williams  
P.O. Box 1535  
Richmond, Va. 23212

Matthew J. Kelly, Esq.  
Staff Counsel  
New York State Public  
Service Commission  
Three Rockefeller Plaza  
Albany, N.Y. 12223

Christopher M. McMurray  
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Hill  
Christopher & Phillips  
1900 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. Brian McCaffrey  
Long Island Lighting Company  
175 East Old Country Road  
Hicksville, New York 11801

James P. Hogan, Esq.  
John R. Ross, Jr., Esq.  
GA Technologies, Inc.  
Law Department  
10955 John Jay Hopkins Drive  
San Diego, California 92121

James Dougherty, Esq.  
3045 Porter Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20008

Dr. Walter H. Jordan  
881 West Outer Drive  
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Robert Crem, Esq.  
Assistant General Counsel  
Stone & Webster Engineering Corp.  
P.O. Box 2325  
Boston, Massachusetts 02107

George L. Edgar, Esq.  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius  
1800 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Ms. Nora Bredes  
Executive Coordinator - SOC  
195 East Main Street  
Smithtown, New York 11787