November 14, 1984

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD '84 NOV 15 ALL:07

In the Matter of

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

(Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2) OFFICE OF SECRETARY DOCKETING & SERVICE:

Docket Nos. 50-445/2 50-446/2

NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO O. B. CANNON BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF LIPINSKY PRIVILEGE

I. Introduction

On November 5, 1984, counsel for O.B. Cannon & Son, Inc. (OBC) filed a submission captioned "Brief in Support of Lipinsky Privilege" setting forth reasons for withholding several documents authored by Joseph J. Lipinsky pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. 1/According to counsel for OBC, disclosure of the withheld documents would reveal confidential communications between Mr. Lipinsky and Messrs. Reynolds and Watkins, attorneys retained by OBC to represent Mr. Lipinsky in connection with the taking of the latter's deposition by NRC investigators.

II. Analysis

As counsel for CBC points out, the attorney-client privilege applies if:

(1) the asserted holder of the privilege is or sought to become a client; (2) the person to whom the communication was made (a) is a

3501

^{1/} The withheld documents consist of a January 9, 1984 file memorandum from Mr. Lipinsky and Mr. Lipinsky's calendar diary notes for November 30, December 1, and December 8, 1983.

member of a bar of a court and (b) in connection with this communication is acting as a lawyer; (3) the communication relates to a fact of which the attorney was informed (a) by his client (b) without the presence of strangers (c) for the purpose of securing primarily either (i) an opinion on law or (ii) legal services or (iii) assistance in some legal proceeding, and (d) not for the purpose of committing a crime or tort; and (4) the privilege has been (a) claimed and (b) not waived by the client.

In re LTV Securities Litigation, 89 F.R.D. 595, 600 (N.D. Texas, 1981); United States v. United Shoe Machinery Corp., 89 F. Supp. 357, 358-59 (D. Mass 1950); see 8 J. Wigmore, Evidence, § 2292 (McNaughton rev. 1961). The burden of establishing the existence of the attorney-client privilege rests with the party asserting the privilege. In Re Grand Jury Investigation No. 83-2-35, 723 F.2d 497 (7th Cir. 1983); F.T.C. v. Shaffner, 626 F.2d 32 (6th Cir 1980). Although a party asserting the privilege need not detail the contents of the communication, he is required to provide sufficient information from which the decisionmaker could conclude that the communication was between a client and an attorney acting in his professional capacity; related to legal matters; and that privilege is invoked by the client. Id. at 37. The Staff is persuaded that counsel for OBC has made this showing. Mr. Lipinsky's communications with Messrs. Reynolds and Watkins related to taking of Mr. Lipinsky's deposition by NRC investigators, clearly a legal matter. Lipinsky Affidavit, § 2. Second, Messrs. Reynolds and Watkins were retained in their professional capacity by OBC to represent Mr. Lipsinsky in connection with that legal matter.

<u>id</u>. ¶ 3. 2/ Finally, the privilege has been invoked by its holder, Mr. Lipinsky. <u>Id</u>. ¶ 5. In view of these considerations and also because there is no indication that the subject communications were intended to further tortious or criminal conduct or that the privilege has been waived, the Staff has elected not to contest Mr. Lipinsky's invocation of the attorney-client privilege with respect to the withheld documents.

III. Conclusion

For the reasons stated in this Response, the attorney-client privilege invoked by counsel for O.B. Cannon & Son, Inc. on Mr. Lipinsky's behalf should be upheld.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory Alan Berry Counse for NRC Staff

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 14th day of November 1984

The Staff notes that Mr. Lipinsky's assertion that he "considered Messrs. Reynolds and Watkins as [his] attorneys in dealing with the NRC deposition matter," Lipinsky Affidavit, § 3, is corroborated by his diary notes for November 29, 1983 ("Explained to F. Hawkins that NSR [Nicholas S. Reynolds] represents JJL [Joseph J. Lipinsky] on this item."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

(Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2) Docket Nos. 50-445/2 50-446/2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO 0. B. CANNON BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF LIPINSKY PRIVILEGE" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system (*), or by express mail or overnight delivery (**), or by hand delivery (***), this 14th day of November, 1984:

Peter B. Bloch, Esq., Chairman***
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Herbert Grossman, Alternate Chairman***
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Walter H. Jordan ** Administrative Judge 881 W. Outer Drive Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom Administrative Judge Dean, Division of Engineering, Architecture and Technology Oklahoma State University Stillwater, OK 74078 Mrs. Juanita Ellis President, CASE 1426 South Polk Street Dallas, TX 75224

Renea Hicks, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
P. O. Box 12548, Capital Station
Austin, TX 78711

Nicholas S. Reynolds, Esq.***
William A. Horin, Esq.
Bishop, Liberman, Cook,
Purcell & Reynolds
1200 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. James E. Cummins
Resident Inspector/Comanche Peak
Steam Electric Station
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 38
Glen Rose, TX 76043

Robert D. Martin William L. Brown U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, TX 76011

Mr. Michael D. Spence, President Texas Utilities Electric Company Skyway Tower 400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81 Dallas, TX 75201

Lanny Alan Sinkin 114 W. 7th, Suite 220 Austin, TX 78701

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Docketing and Service*
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Joseph Gallo, Esq.***
Isham, Lincoln & Beale
Suite 840
1120 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Billie Pirner Garde Citizens Clinic Director Government Accountability Project 1901 Que Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20009

Robert A. Wooldridge Worsham, Forsythe, Sampels & Wooldridge 2001 Bryan Tower, Suite 2500 Dallas, TX 75201

Ellen Ginsberg, Esq.***
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esq.***
Trial Lawyers for Public Justice
2000 P Street, N.W, Suite 611
Washington, DC 20036

Gregory Alan Berry Counsel for RC Start