

GPU Nuclear Corporation

Post Office Box 388 Route 9 South Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388 609 971-4000 Writer's Direct Dial Number:

November 7, 1984

Mr. John Gaston, Director Division of Water Resources NJ Department of Environmental Protection P.O. Box CN-029 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Dear Mr. Gaston:

Subject: GPUN Nuclear Corporation

NJPDES Permit No. NJ 000 5550

Noncompliance Report No. 000 5550/84/04

Attached is a report indicating a noncompliance with item 9b(3) of the subject permit for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station.

Very truly yours,

Peter B. Fiedler

Vice President and Director

Oyster Creek

PBF/dam Attachment

cc: Dr. Richard A. Baker, Chief
Permits Administration Branch
Planning and Management Division
U.S. EPA
Region II
26 Federal Plaza
New York, NY 10278

Regional Administrator, Region I US NRC 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

> 8411140251 841107 PDR ADDCK 05000219 S PDR

NJ Bureau of Radiation Protection Attention: Chief Division of Environmental Quality United Sierra Bldg. 380 Scotch Road West Trenton, NJ 08625

Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
c/o Distribution Srv. Branch, DDC, ADM

ZE25

50-219

Date of Occurrence: September 1984

REPORT OF NONCOMPLIANCE WITH CONDITIONS OF NUPDES

PERMIT NO. NJ 000 5550 REPORT NUMBER 000 5550/84/04

IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE:

Noncompliance with item 9b(3), page 5 of 20.

CAUSE OF NONCOMPLIANCE:

Failure to take a velocity measurement (tide gauge reading).

DESCRIPTION OF NONCOMPLYING DISCHARGE:

From the period of September 15th to the 30th, four circulating water pumps were operating continously even though the plant was in an outage. The permit requires a velocity measurement whenever three or more pumps are operating. Routinely, a velocity estimate is determined by reading a tide gauge located on the station's intake structure and applying this reading to the intake velocity tables as specified in the permit. The reading, however, was not taken during the 15 days of pump operation, consequently a noncompliance exists for having missed the tide gauge reading.

Although the measurement was not taken a conservative estimate of intake velocity can still be determined based on the operating regime at the time of four pumps and six ports, and in addition a conservative estimate of a water height of 20 feet. The 20 feet level is conservative, as this level has been reached only once since 1976. It is highly improbable that the intake velocity limitation could have been exceeded without having an unusually high water level of 21 or greater. Consequently the actual intake velocity was not exceeded.

DURATION OF NONCOMPLIANCE:

Fifteen days

CORRECTIVE ACTION TO REDUCE THE NONCOMPLYING DISCHARGE:

N/A

CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:

As indicated, the standard format for determining the intake velocity is to take a tide gauge reading, determine pump operational status and apply this to the predictive tables. To ensure that the required measurement is taken procedure 9410.SUR 4512.07 will be revised to include the specific method for determining the velocity and under what conditions. Additionally, a memorandum of agreement has been initiated between the Operations Department and the Environmental Controls Department, in which the Operations Department will advise the

Environmental Controls Department of the circulating water pump operational status in order to make an assessment as to when to take a velocity measurement that is representative of the normal operating regime of the intake structure.