

Carolina Power & Light Company P.O. Box 10429 Southport, NC 28461-0429

APR 2 6 1996

SERIAL: BSEP 96-0172 10 CFR 50.73

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT UNIT 2 DOCKET NO. 50-324/L/CENSE NO. DPR 62 SUPPLEMENTAL LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 2-96-01

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50.73, Carolina Power & Light Company submits the enclosed Supplemental Licensee Event Report. The original report fulfilled the requirement for a written report within thirty (30) days of a reportable occurrence.

Please refer any questions regarding this submittal to Mr. George Honma at (910) 457-2741.

Sincerely,

WK

W. Levis, Director-Site Operations Brunswick Nuclear Plant

SFT/sft

Enclosures

- 1. Supplemental Licensee Event Report
- 2. Summary of Commitments
- Mr. S. D. Ebneter, Regional Administrator, Region II
  Mr. D. C. Trimble, NRR Project Manager Brunswick Units 1 and 2
  Mr. C. A. Patterson, Brunswick NRC Senior Resident Inspector
  The Honorable H. Wells, Chairman North Carolina Utilities Commission

9604300386 960426 PDR ADOCK 05000324 S PDR

IE22/

1	(S	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)		APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATIO COLLECTION REQUEST. 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INT THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGADDI BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T.6. F3: U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO TH PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGE WASHINGTON, DC 20503.										
FACILITY NAME	(1)							DOCK	ET NUMBER (2	2)	1	F	AGE (3)	
Brunswick	ick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2			05000324				1 OF 3						
Control Ro	od Averaç	ge 5% Inser	tion Time	Exceed	ls Techr	nical S	Specifi	II.	n Require	ements				
EVENT D	ATE (5)	LER	NUMBER (6	)	REPO	RT DAT	E (7)		0	THER FACILIT	IES INVO	VED (8	)	
MONTH DA	Y YEAR	YEAR S	EQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACIL	ITY NAME		1	OCKET NI		
02 02	2 96	96	01	01	04	26	96	FACILI	CILITY NAME DOCKET NUMBER 05000		JMBER			
OPERATING MODE (9)		THIS REPOR	RT IS SUBMI	TTED PUI	20.220	TO THE 3(a)(2)(	REQUI	REMEN	TS OF 10	CFR 5: (Chec a)(2)(i)	k one or	more) (	11)	
POWER LEVEL (10)	, 25	20.2203			20.220	a sublicit share the	And the second se		50.73(				(a)(2)(viii) (a)(2)(x)	
		20.2203	3(a)(2)(ii) 3(a)(2)(iii) 3(a)(2)(iv)		20.220 50.36(c	3(a)(4) )(1)		_	50.73(a	a)(2)(iv)				
NAME Steve Tab	or, Sr. A	nalyst - Reg		ffairs	SEE CON			1	ELEPHONE NU		457-21	78		
				DEG	H COMPO	NENT				THIS REPORT	1		REPORTABLE	
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTU		NPRDS		CAUS		SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFA	ACTURER TO NPRI		
×	AA	v	G080		Y									
				VPECTER	2/14)						MONTH		VEAD	
YES (If yes, co		PECTED SUBN			21141	XN	5	-	SUBI	PECTED MISSION TE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	

inserted to support the start of the Unit 2 B212R1 refueling outage. Subsequent review of the control rod scram time data revealed that the core average 5% insertion time was 0.387 seconds which exceeds the limit of 0.358 seconds specified in Technical Specification 3.1.3.3. This time was primarily skewed by the 5% insertion times of 10 control rods with insertion times ranging from 0.53 to 1.078 seconds. Additionally, 10 two-by-two control rod arrays exceeded the Technical Specification 3.1.3.4 limit for average scram insertion time. The cause of the degraded control rod performance is attributed to accelerated aging of the control rod Hydraulic Control Unit Scram Solenoid Pilot Valve (SSPV) Buna-N diaphragms. The accelerated aging resulted from a less than optimal formulation of the Buna N diaphragm material. Corrective actions include replacement of the degraded SSPV assemblies, increased frequency of monitoring SSPV performance, and sample population inspections to monitor possible premature SSPV degradation.

Review of the Unit 2 scram insertion time data indicates that there was no operational safety significance associated with the measured core average 5% scram insertion time. The actions taken at the time of this event met the requirements of the Technical Specification and the degraded SSPV condition would not have prevented the fulfillment of the Control Rod Drive system safety function. Additional GE analysis determined that: (1) the impact of slower scram speed at the core average 5% insertion point does not present a substantial safety hazard and (2) increasing the core average 5% insertion time to 0.49 seconds results in a less than .01 increase in the Critical Power Ratio. Thus this event is not considered reportable in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73. Voluntary report 2-96-01 was submitted on March 1, 1996, because of the current generic issues involving SSPV diaphragms. This report supplements voluntary LER 2-96-01. Additionally, this event was reported to the industry in Operational Experience Report OE 7683 on February 13, 1996.

NRC FORM 366A			U.S. NUCLEAR	R REGULATO	RY COMMISSION
L	CENSEE EVENT REPORT ( TEXT CONTINUATION	(LER)			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUMBER	(6)	PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	

05000324

96

01

OF

2

01

3

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

### TITLE

Control Rod Average 5% Insertion Time Exceeds Technical Specification Requirements

### INITIAL CONDITIONS

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2

On February 2, 1996, with Unit 2 operating at approximately 25% reactor power, a planned reactor manual scram was inserted to support the start of the Unit 2 B212R1 refueling outage.

### EVENT NARRATIVE

On February 2, 1996, following insertion of a planned manual Unit 2 reactor scram, review of the control rod scram time data revealed that the core average 5% insertion time was 0.387 seconds which exceeds the limit of 0.358 seconds specified in Technical Specification 3.1.3.3. This time was primarily skewed by the 5% insertion times of 10 control rods with insertion times ranging from 0.53 to 1.078 seconds. Additionally, 10 two-by-two control rod arrays exceeded the Technical Specification 3.1.3.4 limit for average scram insertion time.

This event is not considered reportable in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73 since the actions taken at the time of this event met the requirements of the Technical Specification and the degraded Scram Solenoid Pilot Valve (SSPV) condition would not have prevented the fulfillment of the Control Rod Drive system safety function. Voluntary report 2-96-01 was submitted on March 1, 1996, because of the current generic issues involving SSPV diaphragms. This report supplements voluntary LER 2-96-01. Additionally, this event was reported to the industry in Operational Experience Report 7683 on February 13, 1996.

# CAUSE OF EVENT

A representative sample of those SSPV assemblies which exhibited normal and slow start of motion times were disassembled and inspected by both CP&L personnel and an independent laboratory. The investigations concluded that the degraded scram times resulted from accelerated aging of the Buna-N diaphragms. The accelerated aging was found to be caused by a less than optimal formulation of the Buna N diaphragm material. Specifically, the lack of antioxidant protectant in the formulation did not allow the material to resist oxidation induced degradation over a significant period of time in operation. This determination is consistent with the information regarding premature Buna-N diaphragm degradation provided in General Electric RICSIL 069.

A review of scram time history over the cycle revealed that indications of the failure mechanism were limited to a small number of degraded SSPVs. Out of the 56 control rods tested during the cycle, five SSPV assemblies were replaced to correct slow response times. Since these indications were manifested randomly rather than as widely occurring degradation, data review during the cycle did not identify a trend toward degrading scram times as the cycle progressed.

NRC FORM 366A (4.95)				U.S. NUCLEAR	R REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	
u	CENSEE EVEN	TINUATION	ER)				
FACILITY NAME (1)		JOCKET	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION		
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2		05000324	96	01	01	3 OF 3	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

# CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The Unit 2 SSPV assemblies were replaced with new assemblies during the B212R1 outage. The new assemblies utilize Buna-N exhaust diaphragms. From a review of industry data, the most limiting documented case of Buna-N diaphragm service life is 2.9 years (Operational Experience Report 7543). Thus, it is expected that the current SSPV configuration will provide acceptable scram time performance throughout the present cycle.

Both Unit 1 and Unit 2 currently have Buna-N diaphragms installed in the exhaust side of the SSPV assemblies. As an interim measure, monitoring equipment has been temporarily installed on Unit 1 to support scram time data collection during weekly Reactor Protection System functional tests. This increased monitoring will allow earlier detection of diaphragm degradation.

A sample population of the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Buna-N diaphragms will be inspected to determine if degradation has occurred (i.e., stiffening or cracking) and the need for exhaust diaphragm replacement during respective refuel outages.

### SAFETY ASSESSMENT

Review of the Unit 2 scram insertion time data indicates that there was no operational safety significance associated with the measured core average 5% scram insertion time. The actions taken at the time of this event met the requirements of the Technical Specification and the degraded SSPV condition would not have prevented the fulfillment of the Control Rod Drive system (AA) safety function. Additional GE analysis determined that: (1) the impact of slower scram speed at the core average 5% insertion point does not present a substantial safety hazard and (2) increasing the core average 5% insertion time to 0.49 seconds results in a less than .01 increase in the Critical Power Ratio. The Unit 2 scram time average was much less than 0.49 seconds, with the actual time measured at 0.387 seconds.

### PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

A similar event involving slow scram times was reported in LER 1-96-02; however, the cause of that event is attributed to the use of Viton SSPV exhaust diaphragms.

### EIIS COMPONENT IDENTIFICATION

System/Component EIIS Code

AA

Control Rod Drive

# Enclosure List of Regulatory Commitments

9 . . .

 $\mathbf{x}$ 

The following table identifies those actions committed to by Carolina Power & Light Company in this document. Any other actions discussed in the submittal represent intended or planned actions by Carolina Power & Light Company. They are described to the NRC for the NRC's information and are not regulatory commitments. Please notify the Manager-Regulatory Affairs at the Brunswick Nuclear Plant of any questions regarding this document or any associated regulatory commitments.

Commitment	Committed date or outage
A sample population of the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Buna-N diaphragms will be inspected to determine if degradation has occurred (i.e., stiffening or cracking) and the need for exhaust diaphragm replacement during respective refuel outages.	B111R1/B213R1