

Rope Ferry Rd. (Route 156), Waterford, CT 06385

Millstone Nuclear Power Station Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385-0128 (860) 444-4300 Fax (860) 444-4277

The Northeast Utilities System

APR | 9 1996

Docket No. 50-336 B15664

Re: 10 CFR 50.73

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

This letter forwards Licensee Event Report (LER) 96-017-00 documenting an event that occurred at Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2 on March 20, 1996. This LER is being submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii).

Very truly yours,

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY

P. M. Richardson

Director - Millstone Unit No. 2

Attachment: LER 96-017-00

cc: T. T. Martin, Region I Administrator

P. D. Swetland, Senior Resident Inspector, Millstone Unit No. 2

G. S. Vissing, NRC Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 2

9604290334 960419 PDR ADDCK 05000336 S PDR

290114

1578 W

NRC FORM 366 (4-95)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  *  LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								EXPIRES 04/30/98  ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATOR INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 90 0 HRS. REPORTED LESSON LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FE BACK TO INDUSTRY FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDE ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH IT								
		LIC	ENSE	EEVENI	REPURT (	LEH)			6 F3	3), 5-000	U.S. NUCLEA	H REGULATORY	REDU	MISSION, WASH	NGTON, DO			
				verse for requi					OFFIC	E OF	MANAGEME	NT AND BUDGET.	WASH	HINGTON, DC 2050	23.			
FACILITY NAME (1)								1	PAGE (3	JE (3)								
		Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 2									05000	336	1 of 3					
TITLE (4)									_									
	Hydrog	en Mo	onitorin	ng System do	es not Mee	et Single	Failure	Criteri	ia									
EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7)					OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)										
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACIL	L A	NAME		DOCKET NUMBER					
03	20	96	96	017	00	04	19	96	FACIL	JTY	NAME		DOCKET NUMBER					
OPERA	10000000	-	THIS R	EPORT IS SUBI	MITTED PURS	UANT TO	THE REC	UIREME	NTS	OF	10 CFR §	: (Check on	e or i	more) (11)				
MODE (9)		5	20	.2201(b)	20.2203(a)(2)(v)					50.73(a)	(2)(i)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)						
POWER LEVEL (10)			20	.2203(a)(1)	20.2203(a)(3)(i)				X	50.73(a)	i)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)	(x)				
		0%	20	.2203(a)(2)(i)	20.2203(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)			73.71						
. augustinaan augustinaan augustinaan augustinaan augustinaan augustinaan augustinaan augustinaan augustinaan			20	.2203(a)(2)(ii)	20.2203(a)(4)					50.73(a)	(2)(iv)		OTHER					
			20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(1)				50.73(a)		(2)(v) Spec		cify in Abstract below				
			20	.2203(a)(2)(iv)	50.36(c)(			50.73(a)	(2)(vii)	or in NRC Form 366A								
CONTRACTOR A RESIDENCE A RESIDENCE	CONTRACTOR SOCIALISM		discount of the contract		LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR TH	IS LER (	12)	-								
NAME	G.	P. va	n Noor	dennen, Nuc	lear Licensi	ng Supe	rvisor			TELE	PHONE NUM	(860)44						
THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	CC	MPLE	TE ON	E LINE FOR	EACH CON	PONEN'	T FAIL	URE DE	SCF	RIB	ED IN T	HIS REPO	RT	(13)	-			
CAUSE	SYSTE	M CON	MPONENT	M/ NUFACTURES	TO NPROS		CAUSE	SYS	STEM	CC	OMPONENT	MANUFACT	URER	REPORT TO NE				
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)										CTE		MONTH		DAY	YEAR			
YES (If yes	complet	e EXPE	CTED SI	JBMISSION DA	TF)	NO		S	UBMI	55	ON		1					

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On March 20, 1996 at 1655 hours, with the plant in mode 5, at 0% power, it was discovered that the hydrogen monitoring system does not meet the single failure criterion required by Regulatory Guide 1.97. The corrective actions for LER 95-038 provided procedural guidance that would establish alternate electrical power, by installing a temporary jumper, to the respective outside containment isolation valve that could be potentially de-energized following a loss of a single DC bus. It was concluded that the operator actions required by the procedural guidance do not meet the single failure criterion of Regulatory Guide 1.97. This event is being reported pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii) "any event or condition that resulted in the condition of the nuclear power plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant."

The cause of this event was a to failure update the design basis to reflect the deviation of Regulatory Guide 1.97 requirements that existed subsequent to implementing the corrective actions for LER 95-038-00. Corrective actions include a design change to permanently re-power the outside containment sample line isolation valves to meet the single failure requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.97, and a continuing investigation to verify that the post accident sampling system (PASS) and the hydrogen monitoring system comply with their design basis requirements. There were no automatic or manually initiated safety systems actuated as a result of the condition.

NRC FORM 366A

(4-95)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)			
M Ilstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 2	05000336	YEAR	NUMBE		REVISION NUMBER	2 of 3
The first of the state of the s		96	**	017	**	00

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

### I. Description of Event

On March 20, 1996 at 1655 hours, with the plant in mode 5, at 0% power, it was discovered that the hydrogen monitoring system does not meet the single failure criterion required by Regulatory Guide 1.97. The hydrogen monitoring equipment is designated as Category 1 and requires redundancy. LER 95-038 previously identified that following a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) coincident with the loss of a DC Bus, a hydrogen monitoring flowpath could not be established due to the configuration of the power supply to the valves. The corrective action for LER 95-038 was to provide procedural guidance that would establish alternate electrical power, by installing a temporary jumper, to the respective outside containment isolation valve that could be potentially denergized following a loss of a single DC bus. It was concluded that the operator actions required by the procedural guidance does not meet the single failure criterion of Regulatory Guide 1.97.

On March 20, 1996 at 1708 hours, with the plant in mode 5, at 0% power, a report was submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii)(B), "any event or condition during operation that results in the condition of the nuclear power plant being in a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant."

There were no automatic or manually initiated safety systems actuated as a result of this event. Additionally, no operator actions required in response to this event.

### II. Cause of Event

The cause of this event was a failure update the design basis to reflect the deviation to RG 1.97 requirements that existed subsequent to implementing the corrective actions for LER 95-038-00.

#### III. Analysis of Event

LER 95-038-00 previously identified that following a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) coincident with the loss of a DC Bus, a hydrogen monitoring flowpath could not be established due to the configuration of the power supply to the valves. The corrective action for LER 95-038-00 was to provide procedural guidance that would establish alternate electrical power, by installing a temporary jumper, to the respective outside containment isolation valve that could be potentially de-energized following a loss of a single DC bus. It was concluded that the operator actions required by the procedural guidance does not meet the single failure criterion of Regulatory Guide 1.97. This event is being reported pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii) "any event or condition that resulted in the condition of the nuclear power plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant."

Both hydrogen monitors have similar flowpaths. The hydrogen monitoring system and PASS utilize the normal containment radiation monitor flowpath as the sampling flowpath post LOCA. The facility 1 hydrogen monitor has a facility 1 suction line isolation valve inside containment and a facility 1 return line isolation valve outside containment powered by facility 1 DC power. The outside containment suction isolation valve is powered by facility 2 DC power. The containment isolation signals are the same facility as the power to the valves. The discovery of this condition was reported in LER 95-038-00.

The event postulated is a LOCA, with the resulting initiation of a containment isolation signal, coincident with the loss of either the facility 1 or facility 2 DC bus. The current Post Incident Hydrogen Control Procedure provides guidance for the installation of a jumper that would either reroute facility 1 power to the facility 2 isolation valve or facility 2 power to the facility 1 isolation valve. By providing operator guidance for the

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(4-95)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)				
Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 2	05000336	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER			REVISION NUMBER	3 of 3
minuteria reación i caracir cine 2		96		017		00	0.0.0

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

installation of an electrical jumper to allow opening of both isolation valves on a single hydrogen monitoring train, the containment isolation valves for hydrogen monitoring post accident sampling could be performed when required by the emergency operating procedures. However, the investigation concluded that this does not meet the single failure requirements for Regulatory Guide 1.97, Category 1, equipment requiring redundancy.

The containment isolation function of these valves was not affected by this event.

LER 96-009-00 identified that the original FSAR description reflecting a 12 hour sample time was never updated to reflect the current design basis, which requires the capability to analyze a sample within 3 hours following the decision that a sample is required. The safety evaluation that was prepared for the procedure change that implemented the guidance to install the electrical jumper was based on the 12 hour sampling time. The investigation concluded that the operator actions could be performed within 3 hours, therefore the FSAR discrepancy had no implications in this event.

The actual and potential safety significance of this event is considered low. Analysis confirmed that the connections to re-power the appropriate valves can be made within the control room in sufficient time (3 hours) to satisfy the post accident sampling requirements.

### IV. Corrective Action

The following actions will be completed prior to the end of the current outage:

A design change to permanently re-power the outside containment sample line isolation valves to meet the single failure requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.97, for hydrogen monitoring, will be implemented.

An investigation is continuing to verify that PASS and the hydrogen monitoring system comply with their design basis requirements. This investigation and any modifications deemed necessary will be completed during the current outage.

## V. Additional Information

Similar Events

LER 96-009-00 LER 95-038-30

Manufacturer Data

None