



UNITED STATES
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
DIRECTORATE OF REGULATORY OPERATIONS
REGION I
631 PARK AVENUE
KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406

APR 2 1974

D. L. Capton, Senior Reactor Inspector
Directorate of Regulatory Operations, RO:I

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
OYSTER CREEK (DN 50-219)
50-219/74-02

This inspection was conducted primarily to close out on a substantial number of AO's and violations dating back to the last routine inspection (August 1973).

Inspection results indicate 9 violations - including several multipart violations. Nine violations were reported by the licensee. Violations resulting from the inspection included late reporting, both 24 hour and 10 day reports, failure to utilize procedures (jumper installation) and a surveillance test frequency violation.

The following action item was closed out during this inspection I 73-91 and Reinmuth memorandum to Carlson dated October 30, 1973 related to the Oyster Creek diesel generator restart problem. Testing appears adequate, and TAB (Thomas) informs me that the OC diesel generator fix appears to be one of the better ones. The licensee in adopting this fix has not considered OSHA applications.

The OC Abnormal Occurrence frequency is increasing markedly (10 so far in 1974). This is partially attributable to the attention RO has focused on review and audit on the part of JCP&L. I do not feel that large numbers of AO's are necessarily indicative of poor vs. good performance. The licensee has assigned two (2) personnel, internal audit responsibilities.

The following areas continue to be of concern:

1. MSIV test spool valve problem - Insufficient residue was available for analysis. The licensee's proposed fix is valve replacement and a N₂ atmosphere for outside valves.
2. Chromate Water Storage - JCP&L has no approved plans to get rid of this water in "temporary storage."
3. The slow response from JCP&L concerning commitments (i.e., RBCCW leak).

My limited review of health physics related items indicates that the new health physics supervisor is effective. This represents a significant

B/S 25

improvement. During discussions with maintenance personnel the maintenance supervisor received "four complaints" about HP trying to "run the jobs".

for *Richard A. Heil*
Edward G. Greenman
Reactor Inspector