740



RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

February 25, 1985

DOCKETER

35 FEB 26 P3:24

SERVICE

Marjorie M. Aamodt 200 North Church Street Parkesburg, PA 19365

In the Matter of

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, ET AL.

(Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1)

Docket No. 50-289-57

(Restart Remand on Management)

Dear Mrs. Aamodt:

This is in reply to your February 12, 1985 letter to me in which you claim that there are two errors in the January 15, 1985 NRC Staff Reply to Aamodt Motion for Reconsideration of Commission Order CLI-84-22 and Opening of a Hearing (Staff's Reply).

First, you object to the following sentence from page 6 of Staff's Peply, which you claim is incorrect:

The Staff's intent to influence, according to the Aamodts, is shown by the fact that virtually every other page of the Aamodt June 21, 1984 Motion was not copied and sent to Dr. Caldwell.

Your complaint about this sentence, as described in your letter, is incomprehensible to me. The quoted sentence merely characterizes the claim in your January 15, 1985 motion for reconsideration that the Staff intentionally tried to deceive Dr. Caldwell of the Center for Disease Control by forwarding to him an incomplete copy of your June 21, 1984 motion. I believe the quoted sentence accurately characterizes your allegation against the Staff and, therefore, there is no reason to correct Staff's Reply as you request.

Secondly, you claim that the Staff is wrong when it states in footnote 7 on page 10 of Staff's Reply that the "Gamble draft reports" had been available in the NRC's public document room for a rumber of years. Footnote 7 does not state what you say it does. Footnote 7 reads as follows:

Page 16 of Attachment 4, which page was not included in the copy of the Aamodts' Motion which was served upon the Staff, lists the references used in preparing this

> 8502270286 850225 PDR ADDCK 05000289 G PDR

0507

draft sect...n of NUREG-0760. The documents referenced have, to the best of Staff's knowledge, been available in the NRC's Public Document Room for a number of years.

Footnote 7 does not state that the "Gamble draft reports" (Attachment 4) had been available in the NRC's public document room for a number of years. Rather, footnote 7 states that the references ("documents referenced") listed on page 16 of Attachment 4, to the best of Staff's knowledge, have been available in the NRC's public document room for a number of years. The Staff still believes this to be correct. Since you obviously misread footnote 7, and it is correct to the best of Staff's knowledge, there is no reason to correct Staff's Reply on this point.

I hope this rectifies any misunderstandings you may have about the Staff's Reply.

Sincerely.

Mary E. Wagner Staff

cc: TMI-1 service list