

Office of Planning and Budget

Executive Department

Clark T. Stevens Director

GEORGIA STATE CLEARINGHOUSE MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ms. Elinar Adensam, Chief Licensing Branch No. 4 Division of Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

FROM:

Charles H. Badger, Administrator Georgia State Clearinghouse Office of Planning and Budget

DATE:

February 20, 1985

SUBJECT:

RESULTS OF STATE-LEVEL REVIEW

Applicant: Georgia Power Company

Project:

Draft EIS - Vogtle

State Clearinghouse Control Number: GA850208-002/NUREG-1087

The State-level review of the above-referenced document has been completed. As a result of the environmental review process, the activity this document was prepared for has been found to be consistent with those State social, economic, physical goals, policies, plans, and programs with which the State is concerned.

CHE:st

Enclosure: DNR, February 8, 1985

8502260310 850220 PDR ADOCK 05000424 PDR (1002

TO: State Clearinghouse Office of Planning and Budget 270 Washington Street, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334 FROM: Name: Lonice C. Barrette eputy Commissioner -- Programs Agency: Department of Natural Resources SUBJECT: RESULTS OF REVIEW Plant Vogtle, Units 1 & 2, Draft Environmental Statement February 8, 1985 This notice is considered to be consistent with those State MXXXX), (policies), (objectives), XXXXX, organization is concerned. (Line through inappropriate word or words). Note comments at bottom of sheet. XXX This notice is recommended for further development with the following recommendations for strengthening the project (additional pages may be used for outlining the recommendations). This notice is not recommended for further development

The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) has completed a review of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement related to the operation of the Vogtle Electric Plant, Units No. 1 & 2 (NUREG-1087). It is DNR's position that the document adequately assesses the environmental impact of the proposed plant operations on a routine basis and during accidents.

(accompanied by detail comments which explains the

Division's rationale for this decision).