MAC (9.83	For	m	364
(9.83	1		

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104

TITLE (4) Diesel Generator Cooling Water System EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7) OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8) MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER MONTH DAY YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER										L	ICI	ENS	E	EV	EN	T RE	PORT	(LI	ER)				XPIR) 31	50-4	104	
Diesel Generator Cooling Water System	ITY NAN	ME (1)		-	-		-				-	-		-						DOCK	ET NU	MBER	(2)	-	-		I	PA	GE	3)
Diesel Generator Cooling Water System	Sequoyah, Unit 1																0 1	5 0	0	1 3 2 17				1 OF 0 1						
MONTH DAY YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION MONTH DAY YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER MONTH DAY YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER MONTH DAY YEAR SEQUENTIAL SEQUEN		L Ge	ene	ra	to	r Co	oli	ng W	ate	er S	ys	tem																		
Sequoyah, Unit 2	the same and the s							1		EPO	RTD	ATE	7)		R FACI	FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)														
Sequoyah, Unit 2						REVISI	ON E.B.	MONTH		DAY	1	EAR			FACILITY NA	AMES DOCKET NUN						MBE	ABER(S)							
OPERATING MODE (9) 1 20.402(b) 20.406(c) 20.406(c) 20.406(c) 20.406(c) 50.73(a)(2)(iv) 73.71(c) 73		1		1				O G g C	-				1		+		Sequ	иоу	ah, Un	nit	2		0	5	10	10	10	13	1	2 8
Departing 1 20.402(b) 20.406(c) 50.73(a)(2)(iv) 73.71(b) 73.71(b) 73.71(c) 73.71(1 1	5	8	5	8	5	0	0 5		0	d	0 2	2 1	1	3 8	5							0	5	10	10	10)	_	1
POWER 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 50.36(c)(1) 73.71(c) 73.7					THIS	REPOR	IT IS S	UBMITTE	ED PU	IRSUAN	TT	O THE	REO	UIRE	MENT	S OF 1	0 CFR 8: 10	Chec	k one or more	e of the	followin	ng) (1	1)							
LEVEL (10) 1 0 0 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 50.35(c)(2) XX 50.73(a)(2)(vii) OTHER (Specify in Abstract FOR THIS LER (12) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 50.	POWER 20.406(a)(1)(i)										0.73(a)(2)(iv)				73.71(b)															
(10) 1 0 0 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 50.36(c)(2) XX 50.73(a)(2)(viii) OTHER (Specify in Abstract FOR THIS LER (12) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 50.73(a)											0.73(e)(2)(v)			OTHER (Specify in Abstrac																
David P. Ormsby, Compliance Section Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE DAVID SO.73(a)(2)(iii) SO.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) SO.73(a)(50.36(c)(2) XX 50.73(a)(2)(0.73(a)(2)(vii)																		
David P. Ormsby, Compliance Section Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE						20,406	(*)(1)(i	(1)				50.73	(a) (2))(i)				50	0.73(a)(2)(viii)	(A)				36	6A)					
David P. Ormsby, Compliance Section Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE						20.406	(a)(1)(i	v)		-		50.73	(a)(2))(ii)				54	0.73(a)(2)(viii)	(8)										
David P. Ormsby, Compliance Section Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE	20.406(a)(1)(v)						50.73(e)(2)(iii) 50.73(e)(2)(x)						0.73(e)(2)(x)									_								
David P. Ormsby, Compliance Section Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE				_				-	-		L	CENSE	E CO	NTA	CT FC	R THIS	LER (12)	_					75.1		21.5		0.00	_		
David P. Ormsby, Compliance Section Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE																				1	AREA C	ODE	TELL	PHU	THE	NUM	BEH	-	-	
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) CALLES SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CALLES SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE	avid	P.	Or	ms	bv	. Co	mp1	ianc	e S	ect	io	n Er	igi	ne	er								8	7	7. 6)		6. 1	.4	.6
CALLES SVETEN COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CALLES SVETEN COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE					-	,			-			_										L			L	_	1	1.	L	I.
				-			CC	MPLETE	_			EACH	OM	PONE	NT F	AILURE	DESCRIBE	T T	N THIS REPO	PHT (13	1)		_	_	_					
	SE SYST	TEM	co	мео	NEN'											CAUSE	SYSTEM		COMPONENT	,										
			-		1		1	1.1											1.1.1		1 1	1								
									T																					

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces i.e. approximately fifteen single space typewritten lines) (16)

YES III yes complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE

A design review has revealed that, during a seismic event, essential raw cooling water (ERCW) piping downstream of the diesel generator (D/G) coolers could have failed. This failure would not have affected cooling for the D/G but may have resulted in localized flooding with potential D/G failure. The pipe supports have been modified to ensure seismic qualification. Since there was no seismic event, the subject piping retained its integrity and performed its intended function.

X NO

B502260137 B50213 PDR ADOCK 05000327 PDR

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

42/3

MONTH

YEAR

NRS Form 366A (9-83) LICENSEE EV	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES. 8/31/85												
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LE	ER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)								
•		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION							
Sequoyah, Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 2	7 8 15	_	0 1 0 1 5	_	0 0	0 2	OF	0	12			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Unit 1 in mode 1 at 100 percent power. Unit 2 in mode 1 at 86 percent power.

During a walkdown of ERCW piping in the D/G building on December 1, 1984, an engineer from TVA's Office of Engineering (OE) noticed an apparent deficiency in the support of some Class C piping for D/G 2A2. A nonconformance report was initiated when a review of design requirements verified that Drawing 47W845-1 and Design Criteria SQN-DC-V-3.0 specify that the ERCW piping in the D/G building shall be Class C. The as-built condition for the ERCW piping downstream of the cooler associated with D/G 2A2 did not have the required seismic supports specified by Civil Engineering Branch Report 80-5 (EDS Report No. 0600105-01, "Alternate Criteria for Piping Analysis"). The subject piping was reanalyzed using the TPIPE computer code and on December 19, 1984, it was determined that four additional supports needed to be added to the ERCW piping on the downstream side of the D/G coolers in each D/G bay. Failure of this piping would not affect the cooling capacity for the D/Gs. Modifications were approved by the Plant Operations Review Committee (PORC) on December 20, 1984, and completed on December 24, 1984.

As a result of the TPIPE analysis, OE performed a failure evaluation and forwarded the failure evaluation/engineering report to the Sequoyah Nuclear Plant (SQN) Site Director on December 28, 1984, for evaluation. It was received by the Site Director on January 2, 1985, and forwarded to and received by the Regulatory Engineering Section (RES) on January 4, 1985, for preparation of a safety evaluation. The safety evaluation report (SER) was forwarded to and received by the plant manager on January 9, 1985. A review of the SER and nonconformance report by the Plant Compliance Staff on January 11, 1985, resulted in questions concerning the basis used by RES for the SER. Because the failure evaluation concluded that the discharge piping could have failed during a seismic event and questions concerning the SER, a potential reportable occurrence (PRO) report was written in accordance with SQN Standard Practice SQA 84 on January 15, 1985. It was determined that the SER issued by RES was inadequate in that it was based on a review of the system as modified. To define the actual consequences of pipe failure on plant safety with the preexisting configuration, an additional evaluation was performed. As a result of this safety evaluation completed on February 11, 1985, it has been determined that a seismic event could have caused failure of each of the discharge pipes for the coolers of all D/Gs. This failure would not affect the cooling capability; however, the resultant spray and localized flooding may have rendered all D/Gs inoperable.

In the future, safety evaluations will be performed based on as-found conditions.

An additional review of the remaining Class C piping is being conducted. Information on any additional reportable deficiencies will be provided in subsequent licensee event reports.

There was no adverse effect on public health or safety.

Previous occurrences - none.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Sequoyah Nuclear Plant Post Office Box 2000 Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

February 14, 1985

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Gentlemen:

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT 1 - DOCKET NO. 50-327 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-77 - REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE REPORT SQR0-50-327/85005

The enclosed licensee event report provides details concerning a design deficiency for discharge piping supports for the diesel generator cooling system. This event is reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, paragraph a.2.vii.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

P. R. Wallace Plant Manager

Enclosure cc (Enclosure):

> James P. O'Reilly, Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Suite 2900 101 Marietta Street, NW Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Records Center Institute of Nuclear Power Operations Suite 1500 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339

NRC Inspector, NUC PR, Sequoyah

TE22