



SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO.31 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-12

## SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE AUTHORITY

VIRGIL C. SUMMER NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

#### I. INTRODUCTION

By letter dated March 22, 1984, South Carolina Electric and Gas Company (SCE&G) requested a change to Technical Specification Table 3.8-2," "Motor Operated Valves Thermal Overload Protection and/or Bypass Devices." The amendment would add additional motor operated valves and correct spelling errors.

#### II. EVALUATION

The additional motor operated valves are in the service water, residual heat removal, and reactor building spray systems. They are required to be listed based on an engineering review of Regulatory Guide 1.106, "Thermal Overload Protection for Electric Motors on Motor Operated Valves," and a comparison of the Technical Specification Table 3.8-2 with Final Safety Analysis Report Table 3.9-8, "Active Code Class 1, 2, and 3 Valves." The staff finds the addition of these motor operated valves acceptable. The staff reviewed the spelling corrections and finds them acceptable. Therefore, the staff concludes that the requested amendment is acceptable.

## III. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves a change in the use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Sec 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federa Register (49 FR 33370) on August 22, 1984, and consulted with the state of South Carolina. No public comments were received, and the state of South Carolina did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Jon B. Hopkins, Licensing Branch No. 4, DL O. P. Chopra, Power Systems Branch, DSI

Dated: October 24, 1984

October 24, 1984

AMENDMENT NO. 31 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-12 - Virgil C. Summer Unit 1

# DISTRIBUTION w/enclosures:

bcc w/enclosures:

Docket No. 50-395 LB #4 r/f J. Hopkins M. Duncan OELD E. Adensam R. Diggs, ADM T. Barnhart (4) J. N. Grace, DPR:I&E E. L. Jordan, DEQA:I&E L. Harmon, I&E D. Brinkman, SSPB

1.000

NRC PDR Local PDR NSIC PRC System ACRS (16)

DESIGNATED ORIGINAL Certified By