
Southern Nuclear Operating Company Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201 Telephone (205) 868-5131





April 15, 1996

the southern electric system

Docket Nos. 50-348 50-364

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant
1995 Annual Environmental Operating Report (Nonradiological)

Gentlemen:

Enclosed in accordance with subsection 5.4.1 of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Environmental Protection Plan (Units 1 & 2), Appendix B to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, is the report summarizing implementation of the Environmental Protection Plan during the calendar year 1995.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

84 mong

Dave Morey

KWB/ahl ENV-96-077

Enclosure

cc: Southern Nuclear Operating Company

R. D. Hill, Plant Manager

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

B. L. Siegel, Licensing Project Manager

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II

S. D. Ebneter, Regional Administrator

T. M. Ross, Senior Resident Inspector

220026

9604220191 951231 PDR ADOCK 05000348 R PDR JE25 1

ENCLOSURE TO ENV-96-077

SOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT - UNITS 1 AND 2

NRC DOCKET NOS. 50-348 AND 50-364

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-2 AND NPF-8

ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT FOR 1995 (NONRADIOLOGICAL)

JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT - UNITS 1 AND 2 ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT FOR 1995 (NONRADIOLOGICAL)

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with Subsection 5.4.1 of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Environmental Protection Plan (Units 1 and 2), Appendix B to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, this report is submitted summarizing implementation of the Environmental Protection Plan for calendar year 1995.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following is submitted in accordance with Subsection 5.4.1 of the Environmental Protection Plan.

A. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN (EPP) NONCOMPLIANCES AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS.

There were no EPP noncompliances during 1995.

B. CHANGES IN STATION DESIGN OR OPERATION, TESTS, AND EXPERIMENTS MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPP SUBSECTION 3.1 WHICH INVOLVED A POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNREVIEWED ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE.

There were no changes in station design or operation, tests, or experiments during 1995 which involved a potentially significant unreviewed environmental issue.

C. NONROUTINE REPORTS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPP SUBSECTION 5.4.2.

There were no nonroutine reports submitted during 1995.