

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Public

T.E.O

REGION III 801 WARRENVILLE ROAD LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532-4351

March 28, 1996

Mr. E. Watzl, Vice President Nuclear Generation Northern States Power Company 414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401

180040

PDR

9604220127 960328 PDR ADDCK 05000282

Dear Mr. Watzl:

Enclosed for your review is the SALP 12 Report for Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant covering the period July 24, 1994, through February 17, 1996. This report will be discussed with you at a public meeting to be held at the Prairie Island Training Center at 9 a.m. on April 17, 1996. During this meeting you are encouraged to discuss any comments you may have regarding our report.

In accordance with NRC policy, I have reviewed the SALP Board assessment and concur with its ratings. The areas of operations, maintenance, and plant support were rated Category 1. The engineering area was rated Category 2. The details of our assessment are provided in the enclosed report. It is my view that conduct of nuclear activities at Prairie Island was excellent overall, and was properly focused on nuclear safety.

Prairie Island's performance was characterized by strong management involvement and a conservative approach to operations. Interdepartmental communications, teamwork, and work controls were strong. These attributes resulted in excellent material condition and operating performance. In addition, aggressive and effective self-assessments conducted by the line organization provided insight into the facility and organization and identified potential deficiencies. One example was the significant investment in your self-assessment of the cooling water system, which involved considerable research of the design basis followed by a detailed assessment of the performance of the system. The result was an excellent understanding of the design basis, operational performance, and deficiencies associated with the system.

Although not indicative of the overall performance, there was evidence of problems associated with the understanding and implementation of the safety evaluation process. Similarly, while operational performance was excellent during normal operation and transient conditions, several errors involving infrequent operations occurred near the end of the assessment period. These issues indicate weaknesses exist that could become significant if not properly corrected. Additional focus and attention by your staff may be necessary to understand and correct their root causes. E. Watzl

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter, the SALP report, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning the SALP report, we would be pleased to discuss them with you. While no written response is required, if you wish, you may provide written comments within 30 days of the SALP meeting.

Sincerely,

Mulle

Hubært J. Miller Regional Administrator

Docket No. 50-282 Docket No. 50-306

Enclosure: SALP 12 Report No. 50-282/306-96001

cc w/encl: Plant Manager, Prairie Island John W. Ferman, Ph.D., Nuclear Engineer, MPCA State Liaison Officer, State of Minnesota State Liaison Officer, State of Wisconsin Tribal Council Prairie Island Dakota Community INPO

> Chairman Jackson Commissioner Rogers Commissioner Dikus OEDO J. Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement W. T. Russell, Director, NRR R. Zimmerman, Associate Director for Projects, NRR A. C. Thadani, Associate Director for Inspection and Technical Assessment, NRR J. W. Roe, Director, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV. NRR D. L. Gamberoni, SALP Program Manager, NRR (2 copies) DRP Division Directors, All Regions C. D. Pederson, RIII G. E. Grant, RIII R. J. Caniano, RIII L. Cox, RIII DRP Technical Assistant, RIII

March 28, 1996

Mr. E. Watzl, Vice President Nuclear Generation Northern States Power Company 414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401

Dear Mr. Watzl:

Enclosed for your review is the SALP 12 Report for Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant covering the period July 24, 1994, through February 17, 1996. This report will be discussed with you at a public meeting to be held at the Prairie Island Training Center at 9 a.m. on April 17, 1996. During this meeting you are encouraged to discuss any comments you may have regarding our report.

In accordance with NRC policy, I have reviewed the SALP Board assessment and concur with its ratings. The areas of operations, maintenance, and plant support were rated Category 1. The engineering area was rated Category 2. The details of our assessment are provided in the enclosed report. It is my view that conduct of nuclear activities at Prairie Island was excellent overall, and was properly focused on nuclear safety.

Prairie Island's performance was characterized by strong management involvement and a conservative approach to operations. Interdepartmental communications, teamwork, and work controls were strong. These attributes resulted in excellent material condition and operating performance. In addition, aggressive and effective self-assessments conducted by the line organization provided insight into the facility and organization and identified potential deficiencies. One example was the significant investment in your self-assessment of the cooling water system, which involved considerable research of the design basis followed by a detailed assessment of the performance of the system. The result was an excellent understanding of the design basis, operational performance, and deficiencies associated with the system.

Although not indicative of the overall performance, there was evidence of problems associated with the understanding and implementation of the safety evaluation process. Similarly, while operational performance was excellent during normal operation and transient conditions, several errors involving infrequent operations occurred near the end of the assessment period. These issues indicate weaknesses exist that could become significant if not properly corrected. Additional focus and attention by your staff may be necessary to understand and correct their root causes. E. Watzl

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter, the SALP report, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning the SALP report, we would be pleased to discuss them with you. While no written response is required, if you wish, you may provide written comments within 30 days of the SALP meeting.

Sincerely,

/s/Hubert J. Miller

Hubert J. Miller Regional Administrator

Docket No. 50-282 Docket No. 50-306

Enclosure: SALP 12 Report No. 50-282/306-96001

cc w/encl: Plant Manager, Prairie Island John W. Ferman, Ph.D., Nuclear Engineer, MPCA State Liaison Officer, State of Minnesota State Liaison Officer, State of Wisconsin Tribal Council Prairie Island Dakota Community

INPO

Chairman Jackson **Commissioner Rogers Commissioner** Dikus OFDO J. Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement W. T. Russell, Director, NRR R. Zimmerman, Associate Director for Projects, NRR A. C. Thadani, Associate Director for Inspection and Technical Assessment, NRR J. W. Roe, Director, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, NRR D. L. Gamberoni, SALP Program Manager, NRR (2 copies) DRP Division Directors, All Regions C. D. Pederson, RIII G. E. Grant, RIII R. J. Caniano, RIII L. Cox, RIII DRP Technical Assistant, RIII

(See attached continued distribution)

E. Watzl

(Distribution continued)

Docket File w/encl PUBLIC IE-01 w/encl OC/LFDCB w/encl SRI Prairie Island, Monticello w/encl A. B. Beach, w/encl

DRP w/encl RIII PRR w/encl Prairie Island PM, NRR w/encl IPAS (E-Mail) w/encl RMB/FEES

OFFICE	RIII	E	RIII	E	RIII	E	RIII.	RTYI
NAME	Jordan MM		Childwell		Grobe		Mannon por ut	Miller
DATE	03/21/96		03/22/96		03/12/96		03/27/95	103/ 1/96

Document: R:\insprpts\powers\pra96001.slp